

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District GLENS FALLS COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 630918080000 Superintendent ELLA COLLINS Telephone (518) 792-3231 Grades K-6

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	25	32	20
Grade 1	18	23	27
Grade 2	19	23	24
Grade 3	17	18	21
Grade 4	22	28	17
Grade 5	24	19	18
Grade 6	21	22	19
Ungraded Elementary	15	5	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	161	170	146

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	22	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	72	45%	62	36%	65	45%
Reduced-Price Lunch	8	5%	21	12%	9	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	10	6%	10	6%	10	7%
Hispanic or Latino	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Asian or Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	150	93%	159	94%	136	93%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	2	N/A	3	2%	2	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	33	40	75
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	10%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	2	0
Percentage of Total	0%	11%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	11%	5%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	19	19	19
Total Other Professional Staff	4	5	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	5	6	6
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

(App	blies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)		(Applies to New York State districts)
	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en ic	dentified as a District in Need of Improvement
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	•	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District GLENS FALLS COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	▲ Good Standing						
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received 1	itle I Part & Funding				

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	v				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••••		
White	✓	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	–	<	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 2 of 2	🖌 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective		Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (80:71)	~	v	98%	~	162	111			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)				•••••					
White (74:66)	<	<	97%	~	167	110	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (17:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (30:29)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (78:67)	 Image: A set of the set of the	~	97%	v	182	74			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	–	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (72:62)	<	 	97%	 ✓ 	182	74	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (16:14)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (30:30)	~	-	-	~	167	69			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

to Determine AYP Status

Insufficient Number of Students

1

X

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participatio	on ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (18:37)		-		-		200	100	2003 00 2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (16:32)	• ••••	-	_	-	~	200	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (7:20)	• •••••	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing
1 school identified 100% of total

ABRAHAM WING SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at c	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	71%		24
Grade 4	63%		16
Grade 5	58%		19
Grade 6	63%		19
Mathematics			
Grade 3	87%		23
Grade 4	88%		1 6
Grade 5	78%		18
Grade 6	84%		19
Science			
Grade 4	L00%		16

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
100%	0.2%				92%						
	5270	71%			9270	60%					
						0570					
			8	%			7%				
	 วว	17									
	22	11		2							
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	24	92 %	71%	8%							
	9	89%	89%	22%							
	15	93%	60%	0%							
ve											
	2										
					······			05			
					This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
	24	92%	71%	8%							
		95%	84%	11%							
	5	80%	20%	0%							
	24	92%	71%	8%							
	6	100%	83%	17%							
	18	89%	67%	6%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	24	92%	71%	8%		••••	•••••••••••••••				
	100%	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 92% 22 22 2005-06 Se Total Tested 9 15 ve 22 24 9 15 ve 22 24 19 5 24 6 18	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 92% 71% 100% 22% 71% 22 17 2005-06 Stbeer Total Percentage Tested 2-4 9 89% 15 93% ve 2 22 - 24 92% 9 89% 15 93% ve 2 22 - 24 92% 9 89% 15 93% ve 2 22 - 24 92% 19 95% 5 80% 24 92% 5 80% 24 92% 5 80% 24 92% 6 100% 18 89%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $616-780$ $650-780$ 7 100% 92% 71% 8 22 17 8 8 22 17 8 8 22 17 9 89% 8 22 17 9 9 9% 9% 10%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 92% 71% 8% 22 17 2 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Q2% 71% 8% 9 89% 89% 22% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 24 92% 71% 8% 9 89% 89% 22% 15 93% 60% 0%	Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level(\$): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $616-780$ $650-780$ $730-780$ 92% 100% 92% 71% 92% 92% 22 17 2 92% 92% 22 17 2 92% 92% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 24 92% 71% 8% 9 9 89% 89% 22% 70% ve 2 - - - 22 - - - - 22 - - - - 22 - - - - 22 - - - - 22 - - - - 22 - - - - - 22 - - - - - </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 92% 71% 8% 92% 22 17 2 69% 69% 22 17 2 704 Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 15 93% 60% 0% 9 22 - - - - 24 92% 71% 8% 11% 24 92% 71% 8% 11% 19 95% 84% 11%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 92% 92% 100% 92% 71% 92% 69% 69% 7% 22 17 2 2005-06 School Year 7% 7% 2005-06 School Year 7% 7% 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 9 89% 89% 22% 71% 8% 9 3-4 4 9 89% 89% 22% 71% 8% 9 10<</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 92% 71% 8% 92% 22 17 2 69% 69% 22 17 2 704 Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 9 89% 89% 22% 704 15 93% 60% 0% 9 22 - - - - 24 92% 71% 8% 11% 24 92% 71% 8% 11% 19 95% 84% 11%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 92% 92% 100% 92% 71% 92% 69% 69% 7% 22 17 2 2005-06 School Year 7% 7% 2005-06 School Year 7% 7% 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 75ted 2-4 3-4 4 7% 7% 9 89% 89% 22% 71% 8% 9 3-4 4 9 89% 89% 22% 71% 8% 9 10<			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State I	NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 670	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770							
	100%	96%	87%	1	3%	94%	81%	25%				
Number of Students:		22	20		3							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year					
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		23	96%	87%	13%							
Female		10	100%	100%	10%							
Male		13	92%	77%	15%							
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative											
Black or African American		2										
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>			
White		21				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		23	96%	87%	13%							
General-Education Students		18	100%	100%	17%							
Students with Disabilities		5	80%	40%	0%							
English Proficient		23	96%	87%	13%							
Limited English Proficient					•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	1	7	86%	86%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		16	100%	88%	19%		•••••	•••••				
Migrant												
Not Migrant		23	96%	87%	13%							
NOTES												

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					in the motion	2		

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 664	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7:	16-775						
	100%	100%				91%					
						91%	69%				
			63%				09%				
				0	N/			9%			
				0			_				
Number of Students:		16	10	()						
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		16	100%	63%	0%						
Female		7	100%	57%	0%						
Male		9	100%	67%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		_	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-					and mid	Idle-level Eng	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematic				
White		14					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		16	100%	63%	0%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students		16	100%	63%	0%		ed to results tered assessi	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities								nemo.			
English Proficient		16	100%	63%	0%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	43%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	78%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		16	100%	63%	0%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 678	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800							
	100%	100%	0.00/			93%						
			88%				78%					
								26%				
				13	3%							
Number of Students:		16	14		2	_						
Results by		2005–06 S					School Year					
Student Grou	JD	Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le				
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		16	100%	88%	13%							
Female Male		7 9	100% 100%	86% 89%	14% 11%		••••	••••••	•••••			
American Indian or Alaska	Nativo	9	100%	09%	1170							
Black or African American			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······-	 _	• •••••						
Hispanic or Latino		<u>+</u> 1	· ·····	······-		New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	rv-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	 Dther	·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		Idle-level Eng		-			
Pacific Islander							l mathematic		5			
White		14	-		-		tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		16	100%	88%	13%		ssessments c		-			
General-Education Student	s	16	100%	88%	13%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities								nents.				
English Proficient		16	100%	88%	13%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantage	d	7	100%	86%	14%							
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	89%	11%	_						
Migrant												
Not Migrant		16	100%	88%	13%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested 2–4 3–4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	rcentage scoring at level(s): 4 3–4 4 % 95% 86% 80% 49% 42% Øb04-05 School Year 49% 42% Dotal Percentage scoring at level(s): ested 2–4 3–4 4 24 100% 100% 42% 12 100% 100% 42% 3 – – – 21 – – –			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 80	Range:	45-100	65-1	.00 8	35-100					
2005-06	100%	100%100%	100%1		4% 42%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%	
2004-05										
Number of Students:		16 24	16	24	7 10					
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	ar		2004-05	School Yea	ar		
Student Gro	un	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Gro	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		16	100%	100%	44%	24	100%	100%	42%	
Female		7	100%	100%	29%	12	100%	100%	42%	
Male		9	100%	100%	56%	12	100%	100%	42%	
American Indian or Alaska	a Native									
Black or African American	۱	1				3				
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	_	_					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	′Other									
White		14	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals		16	100%	100%	44%	24	100%	100%	42%	
General-Education Studen Students with Disabilities	its	16	100%	100%	44%	20	-	-		
English Proficient		16	100%	100%	44%	24	100%	100%	42%	
Limited English Proficient		TO	100 %	100 /0	++ /0		100 /0	100 /0	+2 /0	
Economically Disadvantag		7	100%	100%	43%	13	100%	100%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	100%	43%	11	100%	100%	<u>51</u> %	
Migrant		5	10070	10070	4470	11	10070	100.10	5570	
•••••		16	100%	100%		24	100%	100%	42%	
Not Migrant		TO	100%	100%	44%	24	100%	100%	42%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 659	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795					
	100%	000/				94%				
		89%					670/			
			58%				67%			
				10	5%			12%		
Number of Students:		17	11		3					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		19	89%	58%	16%					
Female		9	89%	67%	11%					
Male		10	90%	50%	20%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander						· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
White		17				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		19	89%	58%	16%					
General-Education Students		12	100%	67%	25%					
Students with Disabilities		7	71%	43%	0%					
English Proficient		19	89%	58%	16%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		10	80%	30%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	89%	33%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		19	89%	58%	16%					
NOTES										

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. **Othor** 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year

Uther	2005-00 30	chool real						
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at lev			vel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test v	was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Distric	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780					
100%	94%				00%				
	5170	78%			90%	60%			
						68%			
			6	%			19%		
		_				_			
	17	14		1					
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
	Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	18	94%	78 %	6%					
	8	100%	75%	0%					
	10	90%	80%	10%					
/e				•••••					
	1			_	•••••				
	1								
				•••••	······ –· · ·			0.5	
	16			_	I his tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	18	94%	78%	6%					
		100%	82%	9%					
	7	86%	71%	0%					
	18	94%	78%	6%					
	10	90%	60%	0%					
	8	100%	100%	13%					
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 619-780 100% 94% 94% 17 2005-06 So Total Tested 18 8 10 7 16 18 11 7 18 10	2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-7 100% 94% 78% 100% 94% 78% 100% 17 14 2005-06 SC-Vear 78% Total Percentage Total 2-4 18 94% 100 90% re 1 1 - 16 - 18 94% 11 100% 7 86% 18 94% 11 100% 7 86% 18 94% 11 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 6 100% 94% 78% 6 100% 94% 78% 6 100% 94% 78% 6 100% 94% 78% 6 17 14 6 6 17 14 6 6 17 14 6 6 17 14 6 6 17 14 6 6 17 14 7 6 10 90% 80% 7 10 90% 80% 7 16 - - 7 18 94% 78% 7 11 100% 82% 7 18 94% 78% 7 18 94% 78% 7 10 90% 60% 7	Percentage scoriug at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 94% 78% 6% 100% 94% 78% 6% 17 14 1 total 6% Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 10 90% 75% 0% 10 90% 75% 0% Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total 75% 0% Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Tasted 100% 75% 0% Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 6% Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 6% Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 6% Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ 6% Tasted $2-4$ $3-4$ Tasted $3-4$ Ta	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 100% 94% 78% 90% 90% 100% 94% 6% 90% 90% 17 14 1 90% 90% 90% 90% 17 14 1 1 90% 10 90% 90% 10 90% 8 100% 75% 0% 10 10 90% 80% 11% 10% 11% 10% 10% <td< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 68% 100% 94% 78% 6% 90% 68% 68% 100% 94% 78% 6% 90% 68% 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 86% 71% 0% 7 7 86% 71% 0% 7 7 86% 71% 0% 10 10 90% 6% 10 10 10 9% 6% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10% 10 10</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 68% 100% 94% 78% 68% 19% 10% 10% 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td></td<>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 68% 100% 94% 78% 6% 90% 68% 68% 100% 94% 78% 6% 90% 68% 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 86% 71% 0% 7 7 86% 71% 0% 7 7 86% 71% 0% 10 10 90% 6% 10 10 10 9% 6% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10% 10 10	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 68% 100% 94% 78% 68% 19% 10% 10% 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	her 2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 662	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70)5-785					
	100%	95%				93%				
			63%				60%			
				09	6			12%		
Number of Students:		18	12	<u>_</u>						
Results by		2005–06 S		• •			School Year		14.3	
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le		
All Students		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students Female		19 8	95%	63% 88%	0%					
Male	•••••		91%	45%	0%	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	10	11	9170	4570	0 /0					
Black or African American		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander										
White		19	95%	63%	0%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
General-Education Students		16	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities		3			_					
English Proficient		19	95%	63%	0%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		6	83%	33%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		13	100%	77%	0%					
Migrant										
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not given in 2004-05.		
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						was not giv		4-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics


		ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
100%	100%	0.404			87%				
		84%				6004			
						60%			
			3	/%					
							13%		
	19	16		7					
	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
				level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	19	100%	84%	37%					
	8	100%	88%	63%					
	11	100%	82%	18%					
е									
				••••••		t was not ai	on in 2004	05	
	19	100%	84%	37%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	16	-							
	3	-	_	_					
	19	100%	84%	37%					
	6	100%	67%	33%					
	13	100%	92%	38%					
	19	100%	84%	37%					
		2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 100% 19 2005-06 S Total Tested 19 8 11 e 19 19 19 10 8 11 19 8 11 6 3 19	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 84% 84% 100% 84% 100% 100% 100% 84% 19 16 16 Total Percentage 2-4 Total Percentage 2-4 19 100% 8 100% 11 100% 8 100% 11 10 11 100% 11 100% 11 19 100% 11 19 100% 11 19 100% 11 16 - - 19 100% 11 19 100% 11 13 100% 13	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } 2-4 & 3-4 & 4 \\ \hline Range: 616-780 & 650-780 & 696-780 \\ \hline 100\% & 84\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & 37\% & 33\% & 37\% & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } \hline 2-4 & 3-4 & 4 & 2-4 \\ \hline Range: 616-780 & 650-780 & 696-780 \\ \hline 100\% & 84\% & 57\% & 87\% & 87\% & 87\% & 19 & 100\% & 84\% & 37\% & 19 & 16 & 7 \\ \hline \hline 2005-06 School Year & 2004-05 & Total & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
_	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200،	4-05.			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	_		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	2	14	7	5	28	657
Feb 2004	1	7	12	2	22	655
Feb 2003	0	3	19	3	25	663

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Test Date	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				el:		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	1	14	9	24	677	
May 2004	0	7	10	6	23	654	
May 2003	1	7	13	4	25	653	