

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District FORT EDWARD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 640601020000 Superintendent STANLEY MAZIEJKA Telephone (518) 747-4594 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	24	24	21
Kindergarten	35	46	43
Grade 1	44	31	52
Grade 2	36	46	35
Grade 3	42	33	40
Grade 4	49	36	32
Grade 5	27	40	36
Grade 6	34	30	39
Ungraded Elementary	27	23	0
Grade 7	53	43	46
Grade 8	42	39	42
Grade 9	57	61	47
Grade 10	49	44	46
Grade 11	34	39	33
Grade 12	37	40	41
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	566	551	532

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	17	17	19
Grade 8			
English	15	17	40
Mathematics	14	12	14
Science	14	13	14
Social Studies	13	15	13
Grade 10			
English	18	36	
Mathematics	11	15	12
Science	15		20
Social Studies	15	13	15

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	144	25%	108	20%	172	32%
Reduced-Price Lunch	61	11%	54	10%	60	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	7	1%	0	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	1%	1	0%	1	0%
Asian or Native	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	557	98%	550	100%	526	99%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		94%		95%
Student Suspensions	35	N/A	33	6%	34	6%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	146	143	222
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	3%	6%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	2	3
Percentage of Total	2%	4%	5%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	4%	4%	5%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	57	57	56
Total Other Professional Staff	4	5	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	16	13	18
Assistant Principals	0	1	1
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District FORT EDWARD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		▲ Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level						
	ELA	Good Standing	ELA	A Good Standing				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

litle i Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	X	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••		
White	×	 	••••••••••••••••••••••	v	 ✓ 	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	X	X	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 0 of 4	X 2 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on ² Test Performance ³		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (332:239)	X	X	90%	~	153	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Lating (2.2)			-			-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (327:237)	X	X	91%	 ✓ 	153	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (64:44)	X	×	81%	✔ SH	89	107	20	100
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (124:86)	X	X	85%	~	136	111		
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (323:232)	 	 	95%	 ✓ 	153	79		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (3·2)	_	_	-	-	_	-	••••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
White (245:230)	✓	<	95%	 ✓ 	155	79		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (60:40)	X	X	87%	~	98	71		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (120:83)	X	X	89%	~	137	75		
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (83:72)	 ✓ 	Qualified	- <u>·</u>	87%	 ✓ 	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)	••••••••		•••••••••				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino (3:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)						••••	•••••	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (80:71)	••••••••	Qualified	~	89%	~	187	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (18:13)		-	_	-	-	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged (33:26)	•••••••	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
 - Made AYP
 - ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
 - X Did Not Make AYP
 - Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	n ² Test Performa		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (41:38)	~	 	100%	 ✓ 	166	138		· · ·
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (41:38)	<	<	100%	 	166	138	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (7:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
 - Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (41:38)	~	 ✓ 	95%	 ✓ 	187	130			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	• •••••								
White (41:38)	<	✓	95%	 	187	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (8:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (7:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

those two years.

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (48)	~	v	69%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)								
White (48)	••••••	✓	69%	55%	+			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (6)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (7)		_	_	-	-	-		
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1						

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing 1 school identified 100% of total

FORT EDWARD SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%		
Grade 3	63%		40		
Grade 4	62%		34		
Grade 5	52%		44		
Grade 6	67%		42		
Grade 7	57%		37		
Grade 8	55%		40		
Mathematics					
Grade 3	75%		40		
Grade 4	83%		35		
Grade 5	67%		42		
Grade 6	50%		40		
Grade 7	54%		37		
Grade 8	49%		39		
Science					
Grade 4	82%		33		
Grade 8	92%		36		
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%		
English	60%		45		
Mathematics	84%		4 5		
	Percentage of who graduate		2002 Cohort		
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%		
2002 Cohort	76%	l	45		

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 73	30-780						
	100%	95%				92%					
						92 %	69%				
			63%				09%				
				39	<i>V</i> 6			7%			
Number of Students:		38	25								
Number of Students:		38	25	-	-						
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		40	95%	63%	3%						
Female		29	93%	66%	3%						
Male		11	100%	55%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er										
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			~-		
White		40	95%	63%	3%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students			100%	71%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		6	67%	17%	0%						
English Proficient		40	95%	63%	3%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		16	88%	56%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		24	100%	67%	4%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		40	95%	63%	3%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Association	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	1-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent								,4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 667	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 70	03-770					
	100%	100%				94%				
			75%			5470	81%			
								25%		
				5	%			25%		
Number of Students:		40	30	2	2					
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
•		Total Percentage scorin			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		40	100%	75%	5%					
Female		28	100%	71%	7%					
Male		12	100%	83%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander				•••••	•••••	This tos	t was not aiv	on in 2004	05	
White		40	100%	75%	5%	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals			1000							
General-Education Students			100%	82%	6%					
Students with Disabilities		6	100%	33%	0%					
English Proficient		40	100%	75%	5%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		16	100%	69%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		24	100%	79%	8%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		40	100%	75%	5%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 654	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 73	16-775					
	100%	91%				91%				
		5170				51%	69%			
			62%							
				3	%			9%		
Number of Students:		31	21	1						
		51	21	-	<u>L</u>					
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		34	91%	62 %	3%					
Female		16	88%	63%	6%					
Male		18	94%	61%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American					••••••					
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo	-	•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						and mic	Idle-level Eng	glish langua	ge	
Pacific Islander							l mathematic			
White		34	91%	62%	3%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals							ssessments c		-	
General-Education Students		31	-	-	-	compared to results from previousl administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	auninis	נכופט מששלאט	nents.		
English Proficient		34	91%	62%	3%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		14	79%	43%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		20	100%	75%	5%		••••	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	34	91%	62%	3%	•••••	••••	••••••		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 671	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	94%				93%					
			83%				78%				
								26%			
				1	1%						
Number of Students:		33	29		4						
					-						
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group				ge scoring at level(s):		Total	-	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		35	94%	83%	11%	_					
Female		16	88%	75%	6%						
Male		19	100%	89%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American	•••••					• •••••					
Hispanic or Latino	•••••						sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her						ddle-level Eng	5 5	age		
Pacific Islander	•••••						d mathematic stered in 200		rom		
White	•••••	35	94%	83%	11%		ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		32					red to results		-		
General-Education Students	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••				administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	_					
English Proficient	•••••	35	94%	83%	11%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	15	87%	67%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		20	100%	95%	15%	_					
Migrant											
Not Migrant		35	94%	83%	11%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 76	Range:	45-100	65-1	00 8	5-100						
2005-06	100%	100%100%	82% 8		^{1%} 19%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%		
2004–05											
Number of Students:		33 36	27	31	77						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	ge scoring at level(s): $3-4$ 4 6 86% 80% 49% 429 o5 School Year Percentage scoring at level $2-4$ $3-4$ 5 100% 86% 19 3 100% 89% 22 3 100% 86% 19 5 100% 86% 19 2 - 4 - 2 - 4 - 3 100% 86% 19 3 100% 86% 19 3 100% 86% 19 3 100% 86% 19 3 100% 86% 19 3				
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		33	100%	82%	21%	36	100%	86%	19%		
Female		15	100%	73%	13%	18	100%	89%	22%		
Male		18	100%	89%	28%	18	100%	83%	17%		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er										
White			100%		21%	36	100%	86%			
Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••								
General-Education Students		30	-	-	-	32	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	–	4	-	-	-		
English Proficient		33	100%	82%	21%	36	100%	86%	19%		
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	79%	14%	8	100%	63%	13%		
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	19	100%	84%	26%	28	100%	93%	21%		
Migrant											
	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	82%	•••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	Tested 2–4 3–4 4 Tested					3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 645	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795				
	100%	86%				94%	67%		
			52%	5	%			12%	
Number of Students:		38	23	2	2				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		44	86%	52%	5%				
Female		20	95%	85%	10%				
Male		24	79%	25%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Pacific Islander					•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		44	86%	52%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		32	100%	66%	6%				
Students with Disabilities		12	50%	17%	0%				
English Proficient		44	86%	52%	5%				
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		16	69%	56%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	28	96%	50%	7%		••••	•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant			86%	52%	 5%		••••	•••••	
NOTES									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99-780						
100%					00%					
	88%	670/			90%	600/				
		67%				00%				
			2	1%			19%			
	37	28		<u> </u>		_				
	51	20		5		-				
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	42	88%	67 %	21%						
	21	95%	76%	33%						
	21	81%	57%	10%						
ve										
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	o =		
	42	88%	67%	21%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	33	100%	76%	27%						
	9	44%	33%	0%						
	42	88%	67%	21%						
	15	80%	60%	7%						
	27	93%	70%	30%			•••••••			
	42	88%	67%	21%						
	100%	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 619-780 100% 88% 37 2005-06 Se Total Tested 42 21 21 ve 42 33 9 42	2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-7 100% 88% 67% 100% 88% 67% 37 28 2005-06 SC-Vear 7 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 42 88% 21 95% 21 81% Ve 42 42 88% 33 100% 9 44% 42 88% 21 91% 21 81% 7 21 88% 7 33 100% 9 44% 42 88% 27 93%	Percentage scoriug at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 6 100% 88% 67% 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 37 28 2 2 100% 2-4 3-4 2 100% 76% 2 3 21 95% 76% 2 21 81% 57% 3 42 88% 67% 3 42 88% 67% 3 33 100% 76% 3 9 444% 33% 3 42 88% 67% 3 15 80% 60% 3 27 93% 70% 3	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 100% 88% 67% 21% 37 28 9 21% 37 28 9 37 2005-06 Scbool Year 70% 33% 21% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 76% 33% 100% 21 88% 67% 21% 21 95% 76% 33% 33% 21 81% 57% 10% 9 42 88% 67% 21% 21% 42 88% 67% 21% 33 0% 42 88% 67% 21% 33 0% 33% 0% 42 88% 67% 21% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 33% 0% 3%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 100% 88% 67% 21% 90% 37 28 9 90% 90% 37 28 9 90% 100% 100% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 100% 10	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 90% 68% 100% 88% 67% 21% 68% 68% 37 28 9 90% 68% 68% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 21 95% 76% 33% 21 21 95% 76% 33% 21 21 81% 57% 10% 42 88% 67% 21% This test was not giv 33 100% 76% 27% 9 44% 33% 0% 42 88% 67% 21% This test was not giv 33 100% 76% 27% 9 44% 33% 0% 42 88% 67% 21% 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 619-780 650-780 699-780 68% 68% 100% 88% 67% 21% 90% 68% 68% 37 28 9 90% 68% 19% 19% 37 28 9 704 704 Percentage scoring at level(s): 19% 37 28 9 704 19% 19% 19% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 100% 2-4 3-4 4 104 2-4 3-4 4 104 2-4 3-4 4 104 104 2-4 3-4 4 104 104 2-4 3-4 4 104 104 2-4 3-4 4 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	This test was not given in 2004-						4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 653	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 70)5-785							
	100%					93%						
		88%	670/			5570						
			67%				60%					
				59	6			12%				
Number of Students:		37	28	2								
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		42	88%	67 %	5%							
Female		14	86%	71%	0%							
Male		28	89%	64%	7%							
American Indian or Alaska N	ative											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her											
Pacific Islander												
White		42	88%	67%	5%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students		35	100%	77%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		7	29%	14%	0%							
English Proficient		42	88%	67%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		14	79%	43%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		28	93%	79%	7%							
Migrant												
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		42	88%	67%	5%							

UIE3

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

					NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):							
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-78	80 6	96-780						
100%										
	73%				87%					
		50%				60%				
			5	%			13%			
	20	20								
	29	20		2						
	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	40	73%	50%	5%						
	14	79%	50%	7%						
	26	69%	50%	4%						
e										
	40	73%	50%	5%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	34	82%	56%	6%						
	6	17%	17%	0%						
	40	73%	50%	5%						
	14	57%	21%	0%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	26	81%	65%	8%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	40	73%	50%	5%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
		Range: 616–780 100% 73% 100% 29 29 2005–06 Se Total Tested 40 14 26 40 40 34 6 40 14 26 2 14 26 14 26 14 26 14 26 14 26 14 26 14 26 14 26 14	Range: 616-780 650-73 100% 73% 50% 100% 50% 50% 29 20 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 40 73% 14 79% 26 69% e 40 40 73% 40 73% 40 73% 40 73% 6 17% 40 73% 14 57% 26 81%	Range: 616-780 650-780 69 100% 73% 50% 50% 29 20 20 20 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 40 73% 50% 26 69% 50% 26 69% 50% 26 69% 50% 26 69% 50% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 40 73% 50% 26 81% 65%	Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 73% 50% 5% 29 20 2 2005-06 Scbool Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 40 73% 50% 5% 14 79% 50% 7% 26 69% 50% 4% e 40 73% 50% 5% 34 82% 56% 6% 6% 6 17% 17% 0% 5% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 6 17% 17% 0% 5% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 40 73% 50% 5% 6% 40 73% 50% 5% 6%	Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 73% 50% 87% 29 20 2 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 40 73% 50% 5% 14 79% 50% 7% 26 69% 50% 4% 40 73% 50% 5% 40 73% 50% 5% 34 82% 56% 6% 6 17% 17% 0% 14 57% 21% 0% 14 57% 21% 0% 26 81% 65% 8%	Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 73% 50% 5% 29 20 2 60% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 70tal Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total 2-4 3-4 4 70tal Tested 2-4 3-4 4 70tal 70tal 26 69% 50% 5% 7% 2004-05 School Year 70tal 26 69% 50% 5% 7% 70tal 70tal 70tal 26 69% 50% 5% 7% 70tal 70tal 70tal 26 69% 50% 5% 7% 70tal 70tal 70tal 34 82% 56% 6% 73% 73% 73% 73% 34 82% 56% 6% 73% 73% 73% 73% 14 57% 21% 0% 73% 73% 73% 74% 14 57% <td>Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 73% 50% 87% 29 20 2 60% 29 20 2 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 40 73% 50% 50% 5% 6 17% 17% 14 57% 21% 0% 26 81% 65% 8%</td>	Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 73% 50% 87% 29 20 2 60% 29 20 2 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 26 69% 50% 40 73% 50% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 34 82% 56% 6 17% 17% 40 73% 50% 50% 5% 6 17% 17% 14 57% 21% 0% 26 81% 65% 8%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Total Number scoring at leve			l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public						
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	600-790	650-7	' 90 7:	12-790							
100%	100%				0.2%						
					92%						
		57%				56%					
			30	26			8%				
	37	21	1	<u>_</u>							
	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	37	100%	57%	3 %							
	21	100%	52%	0%							
	16	100%	63%	6%							
ve											
	••••••		•••••								
r	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••								
	37	100%	57%	3%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
	32	100%	63%	3%							
	5	100%	20%	0%							
	37	100%	57%	3%							
	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••								
	13	100%	46%	0%							
•••••	24	100%	63%	4%		••••	•••••				
	••••••	••••••••	•••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 100% 100% 100% 37 2005-06 S Total Tested 37 21 16 Ve 37 32 5 37 13	Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-7 100% 100% 57% 100% 100% 57% 37 21 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 37 100% 21 100% 16 100% Ye 37 37 100% 37 100% 37 100% 37 100% 37 100% 37 100% 37 100% 37 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 7: 100% 100% 57% 3' 37 21 1 3' 37 21 1 1' Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 37 100% 57% 3' 21 100% 52% 16 3' 16 100% 63% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 57% 3' 3' 37 100% 5'% 3' 3' 37 100% 5'% 3' 3' 37 100% 5'% 3' 3'	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 57% 3% 3 37 21 1 3% 37 21 1 1 zoo5-o6 Schot Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 3% Tested 2-4 3-4 4 37 100% 57% 3% 21 100% 52% 0% 16 100% 63% 6% ve 37 100% 57% 3% 32 100% 63% 3% 3% 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 37	Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 100% 92% 92% 100% 3% 3% 92% 100% 3% 3% 92% 100% 3% 3% 92% 100% 3% 3% 92% 37 21 1 1 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 3 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 37 100% 57% 3% 21 100% 52% 0% 16 100% 63% 6% ve 37 100% 57% 3% 32 100% 63% 3% 5 337 100% 57% 3% 4 337 100% 57% 3% 5 337 100% 57% 3% 5	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92% 56% 100% 57% 3% 3% 56% 56% 37 21 1 100% 56% 56% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 2004-05 School Year 56% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 37 100% 57% 3% 2-4 16 100% 63% 6% 9 9 37 100% 57% 3% 3% 100% 37 100% 57% 3% 100% 10% 32 100% 63% 3% 13 100% 13 100% 13	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92% 92% 56% 92% 92% 92% 8%			

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ren in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):							
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	611-800	650-80	00 6	93-800						
100%	95%				970/					
					8176					
		54%				56%				
			8	%			12%			
	35	20		3						
	2005-06 5	chool Vear			2004-05	School Vear				
			scoring at	level(s).				vel(s).		
		-	-			-	-	4		
	37	95%	54%	8%						
	20	95%	50%	5%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	17	94%	59%	12%	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••		
/e										
					·······			<u> </u>		
	37	95%	54%	8%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	31	100%	61%	10%						
	6	67%	17%	0%						
	37	95%	54%	8%						
	13	92%	54%	0%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	24	96%	54%	13%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••			
•••••		95%	54%	8%	••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••			
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 611-800 100% 95% 35 2005-06 So Total Tested 37 20 17 /e 37 31 6 37 13	2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-80 100% 95% 54% 100% 95% 20 35 20 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 37 95% 20 95% 20 95% 20 95% 20 95% 20 95% 20 95% 20 95% 37 95% 37 95% 31 100% 6 67% 37 95% 31 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 6 100% 95% 54% 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 35 20 8 8 36 20 95% 9 20 95% 50% 9 20 95% 50% 9 20 95% 50% 9 20 95% 50% 9 7 94% 59% 9 7 37 95% 54% 31 100% 61% 6 6 67% 17% 37 37 95% 54% 9 31 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 95% 54% 8% 35 20 3 8% 35 20 3 8% 35 20 3 8% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 20 95% 54% 8% 20 95% 50% 5% 17 94% 59% 12% /e 37 95% 54% 8% 37 95% 54% 8% 3% 37 95% 54% 8% 3% 3% 3% 37 95% 54% 8% 3% <t< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 100% 95% 8% 8% 87% 35 20 3 8% 35 20 3 zoo5-o6 School Year zoo4-o5 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 7 200 95% 50% 5% 7</td></t<> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 56%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 87% 12% 100% 95% 54% 8% 12% 56% 12% 12% 100% 95% 54% 8% 35 20 3 87% 12% 2005-06 School Year 8% 35 20 3 12% 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 70tal 70tal 2-4 3-4 4 20 95% 50% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 100% 95% 8% 8% 87% 35 20 3 8% 35 20 3 zoo5-o6 School Year zoo4-o5 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 7 200 95% 50% 5% 7	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 56%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 87% 12% 100% 95% 54% 8% 12% 56% 12% 12% 100% 95% 54% 8% 35 20 3 87% 12% 2005-06 School Year 8% 35 20 3 12% 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 70tal 70tal 2-4 3-4 4 20 95% 50% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0		This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.		

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(5):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	602-790	650-7	90 71	L5-790						
100%	100%				010/					
					91%					
		55%				49%				
			89	%			5%			
	40	22								
	40	22								
	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at lev				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	40	100%	55%	8%						
	24	100%	58%	4%						
	16	100%	50%	13%						
ive										
	2				New ass	sessments for	elementa	ry-		
er							-	age		
	38									
	40	100%	55%	8%				-		
	32	100%	63%	9%			•	ously		
	8	100%	25%	0%	auminist	leieu assessi	nents.			
	40	100%	55%	8%						
	••••••••									
	12	100%	50%	8%						
	28	100%	57%	7%		••••••••••••••••••••••				
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 602-790 100% 100% 100% 40 2005-06 S Total Tested 40 24 16 tive 2 er 38 40 32 8 40	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ 71 100% 100% 55% 89 40 22 3 40 22 3 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 3-4 40 100% 55% 24 100% 58% 16 100% 50% 22 - - 23 - - 24 100% 50% 16 100% 50% 38 - - 40 100% 55% 32 100% 63% 8 100% 25% 40 100% 55% 32 100% 55% 40 100% 55% 12 100% 50%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 100% 100% 55% 8% 40 22 3 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 40 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 24 100% 55% 8% 38 - - - 27 - - - - 38 - - - - 32 100% 55% 8% 32 00% 8% 32 100% 55% 8% 36 37 37 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at $2-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 100% 91% 91% 100% 8% 91% 91% 40 22 3 91% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Stock Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 40 100% 55% 8% 16 100% 50% 13% cive 2 - - - - Administrate Administrate 2 - - - - - - - - 2 - <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 91% 100% 100% 8% 49% 40 22 3 49% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 16 100% 55% 8% 4% 2 - - - - er 2 - - - - 38 - - - - - 40 100% 55% 8% - - er 38 - - - - - 32 100% 55% 8% - - - 40 100% 55% 8% - - - - administered in 200% 63%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 100% 91% 49% 40 22 3 91% 5% 40 22 3 $2005-06$ School Year 5% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year $704-05$ S</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 91% 100% 100% 8% 49% 40 22 3 49% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 16 100% 55% 8% 4% 2 - - - - er 2 - - - - 38 - - - - - 40 100% 55% 8% - - er 38 - - - - - 32 100% 55% 8% - - - 40 100% 55% 8% - - - - administered in 200% 63%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 100% 91% 49% 40 22 3 91% 5% 40 22 3 $2005-06$ School Year 5% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $704-05$ School Year $704-05$ S		

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 2-4 3-4 4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 2 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 0 Grade 8

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public						
		Percentage so	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 647	Range:	616-775	650-77	75 7	01-775							
	100%	92%										
		9270				85%						
			49%				54%					
		3%			1%			10%				
Number of Students:		36	19		1							
		50	19		1							
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		39	92%	49%	3%							
Female		23	91%	43%	4%				•••••			
Male		16	94%	56%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino		2					essments fo		•			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level En	0	age			
Pacific Islander							mathematic					
White		37	-				tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		39	92%	49%	3%		sessments c		-			
General-Education Students		30	93%	53%	3%		ed to results tered assessi	•	Justy			
Students with Disabilities		9	89%	33%	0%	auminis		nents.				
English Proficient		39	92%	49%	3%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		11	91%	36%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		28	93%	54%	4%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant												
Not Migrant		39	92%	49%	3%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 78	Range:	44-100	65-1	00 E	5-100				
 2005-06 2004-05 Number of Students: 	100%	100% 94%	92%		3% 35%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18	25%
Results by			chool Yea	ır		2004–05 School Year			
•		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		36	100%	92%	33%	34	94%	82%	35%
Female		22	100%	86%	27%	22	95%	77%	23%
Male		14	100%	100%	43%	12	92%	92%	58%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino		1				1			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er								
White		35	_	_	_	32	-	_	
Small Group Totals		36	100%	92%	33%	34	94%	82%	35%
General-Education Students		29	100%	90%	38%	28	100%	93%	39%
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	100%	14%	6	67%	33%	17%
English Proficient		36	100%	92%	33%	34	94%	82%	35%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	90%	20%	11	82%	73%	18%
Not Disadvantaged		26	100%	92%	38%	23	100%	87%	43%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		36	100%	92%	33%	34	94%	82%	35%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷	_		_				
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	l:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	13	19	4	38	654	
Feb 2004	3	7	31	6	47	656	
Feb 2003	0	8	18	7	33	669	


	This School	This School				
- - -	Percentage se	coring at level(s	:	Percentage sc	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 91% 92% 91%	59% 32% 40%	9% ^{18%} 14%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 45%	6 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	2	13	5	2	22	697	
Jan 2004	3	13	16	7	39	705	
Jan 2003	4	22	11	6	43	694	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	2	26	9	37	663
May 2004	2	7	24	17	50	665
May 2003	0	4	16	11	31	667

	This School			NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage sc	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03		74% 54% 58%	8% 7% 9%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 519	6 9% ^{13%} 9%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	4	13	17	3	37	715	
May 2004	0	11	29	3	43	729	
May 2003	5	13	21	4	43	716	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	45	76%	60 %	31%	46	74%	65%	11%
Female	12	75%	58%	33%	21	81%	71%	10%
Male	33	76%	61%	30%	25	68%	60%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••						•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	45	76%	60%	31%	46	74%	65%	11%
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	37	81%	70%	38%	38	76%	71%	13%
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	13%	0%	8	63%	38%	0%
English Proficient	45	76%	60%	31%	46	74%	65%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	41	-	-	-			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	76%	60%	31%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2001 Cohort* 2002 Cohort* Other Number Number scoring at level(s): Number Number scoring at level(s): Assessments of Students of Students 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

4

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	84% 70%	84%	40% 20%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*							
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	45	84%	84%	40 %	46	70%	65%	20 %	
Female	12	75%	75%	33%	21	71%	67%	14%	
Male	33	88%	88%	42%	25	68%	64%	24%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander						•••••			
White	45	84%	84%	40%	46	70%	65%	20%	
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	37	89%	89%	49%	38	74%	68%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	63%	0%	8	50%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	45	84%	84%	40%	46	70%	65%	20%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged	41	_	_	-					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	45	84%	84%	40%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, d

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) a	re suppressed to protect	the privacy of	individual stud	ents.				
Other Accordents	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Number sc	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent

A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


4

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	45	76%	4%	7%	4%	9%
	2001	46	70%	2%	9%	11%	9%
Female	2002	12	75%	8%	0%	0%	17%
	2001	21	81%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Male	2002	33	76%	3%	9%	6%	6%
	2001	25	60%	0%	12%	16%	12%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			,	,	,	, /	,
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_			,		
White	2002	0 45 46	76%	4%	7%	4%	9%
	2001		70%	2%	9%	11%	9%
Small Group Totals							
General-Education Students	2002	37	81%	0%	5%	5%	8%
	2001	38	74%	0%	11%	11%	5%
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities	2002	8	50%	25%	13%	0%	13%
	2001	8	50%	13%	0%	13%	25%
English Proficient	2002	45	76%	4%	7%	4%	9%
	2001	46	70%	2%	9%	11%	9%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	4	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2002	41	_	_	-		-
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-			•	· · · · · ·	•	•	·
Not Migrant	2002	45	76%	4%	7%	4%	9%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:

2% 2%

12%

1%

19%

10%

4% 5%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	49	71%	2%	12%	4%	10%
Female	23	83%	4%	4%	0%	9%
Male	26	62%	0%	19%	8%	12%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	49	71%	2%	12%	4%	10%
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
General-Education Students	43	72%	0%	14%	5%	9%
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%	0%	17%
English Proficient	49	71%	2%	12%	4%	10%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%	0%	13%	0%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	41	71%	2%	12%	5%	10%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	49	71%	2%	12%	4%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.