

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 660302030000
Superintendent MICHAEL YAZURLO
Telephone (914) 337-6600
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	81	73	74
Grade 1	77	82	76
Grade 2	75	74	87
Grade 3	86	70	73
Grade 4	74	93	80
Grade 5	62	76	96
Grade 6	77	58	79
Ungraded Elementary	0	6	8
Grade 7	81	78	61
Grade 8	74	78	79
Grade 9	65	62	79
Grade 10	72	65	62
Grade 11	83	70	64
Grade 12	64	75	70
Ungraded Secondary	5	8	7
Total K-12	976	968	995

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	19	21
Grade 8			
English	14	17	19
Mathematics	14	19	19
Science	17	19	20
Social Studies	14	19	20
Grade 10			
English	18	17	16
Mathematics	26	13	20
Science	26	26	19
Social Studies	20	16	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	108	11%	122	13%	111	11%
Reduced-Price Lunch	48	5%	50	5%	52	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	25	3%	40	4%	45	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	146	15%	138	14%	139	14%
Hispanic or Latino	123	13%	115	12%	128	13%
Asian or Native	27	3%	41	4%	40	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	680	70%	674	70%	688	69%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	26	N/A	43	4%	25	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	221	226	335
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	10%	5%	6%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	4	2	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	4%	2%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	5	4	7
Percentage of Total	6%	5%	8%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	58%	63%	61%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	90	88	88
Total Other Professional Staff	14	12	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	26	29	28
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	3	3	3

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♦ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	♠ Good Standing	Math	
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

10010 0110 21001100 1100 1100 1100 1100					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	-	••••••	- -	- -	••••••	
White	~	/	•••••••••	V	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	V	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_		••••••••	_	- -	•••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	•••••••	_ _	- -	•••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (477:472)	V	<u>/</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	185	117		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (66:65)	V	V	98%	V	169	110	•••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (65:63)	/	V	100%	V	168	110	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	_	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (331:329)	/	/	99%	/	191	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (62:59)	/	✓	95%	V	158	109		
Limited English Proficient (15:15)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (107:104)	V	V	98%	~	168	112	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (477:469)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	182	81		·
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (67:65)	V	V	97%	V	157	74	••••••••	•••
Hispanic or Latino (64:60)	~	V	97%	/	173	74	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	- -	- -	_	_	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>
White (331:329)	/	V	99%	/	188	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (62:60)	/	V	97%	V	135	74		
Limited English Proficient (15:15)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (107:104)	V	V	98%	~	156	76	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07		
/	Qualified	<u> </u>	98%	<u> </u>	197	100			
	-	_	-	-	_	<u> </u>	_		
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
	-	_	-	-	_	- -	- -		
· · • · · · · · · · ·	Qualified	~	98%	~	198	100	······································		
	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	_	_	-	_	_	-	_		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	_	~	194	100	•••••••••••••		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified - Qualified - Qualified - — — — — — — — — — — — —	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Met Criterion Tested 98%	Safe Harbor Status Qualification V Qualified Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage C	Status Safe Harbor Qualification Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index ✓ Qualified ✓ 98% ✓ 197	Safe Harbor Status Met Qualification Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index State Standard V Qualified V 98% 197 100		

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (74:70)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	186	143		2000 07	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (9:8)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (14:12)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	_	_	_	_	_		-	
White (47:46)	/	/	100%	V	189	140	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:5)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (13:12)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (74:70)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	197	135			
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (9:8)	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (14:12)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	
White (47:46)	/	/	100%	V	196	132	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (13:12)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count)1	AYP Criterion		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (74)		/	95%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)				·····				
Black or African American (12)		-	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (8)		_	-	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (51)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	~	94%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (11)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (1)		-	-	<u> </u>	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (22)			_	-				
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

^

Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total

TUCKAHOE HIGH SCHOOL
TUCKAHOE MIDDLE SCHOOL
WILLIAM E. COTTLE SCHOOL

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	5 0 %	100%
Grade 3	92%		71
Grade 4	88%		80
Grade 5	94%		93
Grade 6	83%		T 76
Grade 7	60%		62
Grade 8	87%		77
Mathematics			
Grade 3	92%		72
Grade 4	93%		80
Grade 5	82%		99
Grade 6	82%		= 77
Grade 7	73%		64
Grade 8	91%		76
Science			
Grade 4	99%		81
Grade 8	96%		76
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	86%		74
Mathematics	97%		74
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	96%	I	74

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	71	100%	92%	23%						
Female	34	100%	91%	29%						
Male	37	100%	92%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	17%				j		
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-				İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	-	_				Ì		
White	51	100%	100%	27%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	0%				·		
General-Education Students	61	100%	95%	25%						
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	70%	10%				Ì		
English Proficient	71	100%	92%	23%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••				i		
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	69%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	55	100%	98%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	71	100%	92%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	72	97%	92%	31%							
Female	35	97%	94%	29%							
Male	37	97%	89%	32%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	8%							
Hispanic or Latino	7			-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	<u> </u>							
White	52	98%	98%	38%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	13%	•••••						
General-Education Students	62	98%	95%	32%							
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	70%	20%							
English Proficient	71	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	1	-		_							
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	75%	6%							
Not Disadvantaged	56	98%	96%	38%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	72	97%	92%	31%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	2004-	2004-05 School Year								
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4		
80	99%	88%	14%							
33	100%	94%	24%							
47	98%	83%	6%	•••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
9	_		-	•••••						
11	91%	73%	0%	New assessments for elementary-						
າ	••••			and	and middle-level English language					
3		_ 	_	arts	arts and mathematics were					
57	100%	95%	19%							
12	100%	67%	0%					•		
69	99%	90%	16%		•		•	iously		
11	100%	73%	0%	aur	mmste	red assessi	nents.			
80	99%	88%	14%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••						
18	100%	67%	0%							
62	98%	94%	18%	••••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
80	99%	88%	14%	••••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 80 33 47 9 11 3 57 12 69 11 80 18	Total Tested 2-4 80 99% 33 100% 47 98% 9 - 11 91% 3 - 57 100% 12 100% 69 99% 11 100% 80 99% 18 100% 62 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 80 99% 88% 33 100% 94% 47 98% 83% 9 - - 11 91% 73% 3 - - 57 100% 95% 12 100% 67% 69 99% 90% 11 100% 73% 80 99% 88% 18 100% 67% 62 98% 94%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 80 99% 88% 14% 33 100% 94% 24% 47 98% 83% 6% 9 11 91% 73% 0% 3 57 100% 95% 19% 12 100% 67% 0% 69 99% 90% 16% 11 100% 73% 0% 80 99% 88% 14% 18 100% 67% 0% 62 98% 94% 18%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 80 99% 88% 14% 33 100% 94% 24% 47 98% 83% 6%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Total Tested 80 99% 88% 14% 33 100% 94% 24% 47 98% 83% 6% 9 - - - 11 91% 73% 0% New asse and middl arts and middles arts and mi	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 80 99% 88% 14% 33 100% 94% 24% 47 98% 83% 6% 9 11 91% 73% 0% New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic atmistered in 2006 these assessments of the administered assessments of the administ	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 3-4 4 4		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	:l(s): ⊿		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0			<u> </u>	0		3-4		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				5	5	4	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				otal	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	ested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	80	98%	93%	41%								
Female	33	100%	94%	42%								
Male	47	96%	91%	40%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	9		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • •							
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	27%	• • • • • • • •	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				and middle-level English language						
Pacific Islander		_	_ 	_ 		arts and mathematics were						
White	57	98%	96%	51%			red in 200					
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	8%			essments c		,			
General-Education Students	69	100%	96%	45%		•	d to results red assessr	•	iously			
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	73%	18%	• • • • • • • •	aummiste	reu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	80	98%	93%	41%								
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	89%	67%	11%								
Not Disadvantaged	62	100%	100%	50%	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	80	98%	93%	41%	• • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant Not Migrant	80	98%	93%	41%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	81	100%	99%	75%	96	99%	94%	58%
Female	33	100%	100%	82%	50	100%	92%	46%
Male	48	100%	98%	71%	46	98%	96%	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	-	-	-	12	92%	83%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	55%	10	_	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	57	100%	98%	88%	72	100%	96%	63%
Small Group Totals	13	100%	100%	38%	12	100%	92%	67%
General-Education Students	70	100%	100%	80%	85	100%	96%	62%
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	91%	45%	11	91%	73%	27%
English Proficient	81	100%	99%	75%	92	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	4	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	100%	39%	17	94%	82%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	63	100%	98%	86%	79	100%	96%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	81	100%	99%	75%	96	99%	94%	58%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	93	100%	94%	22%						
Female	49	100%	90%	22%						
Male	44	100%	98%	20%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	100%	100%	10%						
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	91%	0%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••						
Pacific Islander										
White	72	100%	93%	26%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students	80	100%	95%	25%						
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	85%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	93	100%	94%	22%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••	••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	90%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	73	100%	95%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	93	100%	94%	22%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	99	97%	82%	32%						
Female	52	94%	71%	25%						
Male	47	100%	94%	40%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	12	92%	75%	25%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_						
White	74	97%	84%	35%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	13	100%	77%	23%	•••••					
General-Education Students	86	100%	85%	37%						
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	62%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	93	97%	82%	30%						
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	67%	••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	70%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged	76	97%	86%	39%		••••	•••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	99	97%	82%	32%		•••••	••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	76	100%	83%	22%					
Female	37	100%	89%	22%					
Male	39	100%	77%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	8	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	0%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_					
White	56	100%	84%	30%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	0%	•••••				
General-Education Students	69	100%	90%	25%					
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	14%	0%					
English Proficient	76	100%	83%	22%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	60%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	61	100%	89%	28%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	76	100%	83%	22%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0					was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	77	97%	82%	17%						
Female	37	97%	86%	16%						
Male	40	98%	78%	18%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	9	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	60%	0%	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_						
White	56	98%	89%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	70	100%	86%	19%						
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	43%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	74	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	3	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	17	88%	53%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	60	100%	90%	22%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	77	97%	82%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	62	98%	60%	6%					
Female	23	100%	61%	9%					
Male	39	97%	59%	5%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	43%	0%	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	<u> </u>	_					
White	35	97%	71%	9%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	13	100%	46%	8%					
General-Education Students	50	100%	66%	8%					
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	33%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	61	-	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	-	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	36%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	48	98%	67%	8%		•••••	•••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	62	98%	60%	6%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	<u> </u>	-		was not giv		4)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	64	95%	73%	20%					
Female	23	91%	70%	13%					
Male	41	98%	76%	24%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10								
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	57%	14%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	- -	_	_					
White	37	97%	81%	22%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	13	85%	69%	23%					
General-Education Students	52	100%	83%	25%					
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	33%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	61	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	-							
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	47%	13%					
Not Disadvantaged	49	96%	82%	22%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	64	95%	73%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-0	2004–05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
77	99%	87%	12%						
43	98%	93%	5%						
34	100%	79%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
15	100%	80%	0%	• • • • • •					
10			_	New a	assessments fo	or elementa	ary-		
2	_	_	_	and middle-level English language					
							_		
50	100%	94%	16%						
12	92%	67%	8%				,		
73	-	-	-			•	lously		
4	_	_	_	aumin	iistereu assess	sillellis.			
76	-	-	-						
1	_	_	_	• • • • • •					
15	93%	67%	0%						
62	100%	92%	15%		••••••	•••••••••	••••••		
77	99%	87%	12%			•••••••••			
	Total Tested 77 43 34 15 10 2 50 12 73 4 76 1 15 62	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 77 99% 43 98% 34 100% 15 100% 10 - 2 - 50 100% 12 92% 73 - 4 - 76 - 1 - 15 93% 62 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 77 99% 87% 43 98% 93% 34 100% 79% 15 100% 80% 10 2 50 100% 94% 12 92% 67% 73 4 76 1 15 93% 67% 62 100% 92%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 77 99% 87% 12% 43 98% 93% 5% 34 100% 79% 21% 15 100% 80% 0% 10 2 50 100% 94% 16% 12 92% 67% 8% 73 4 76 15 93% 67% 0% 62 100% 92% 15%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 77 99% 87% 12% 43 98% 93% 5% 34 100% 79% 21% 15 100% 80% 0% 10 New a and marts a solution of the second of t	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 77 99% 87% 12% 43 98% 93% 5% 34 100% 79% 21% 15 100% 80% 0% 10 New assessments for and middle-level Errarts and mathematic administered in 20% 12 92% 67% 8% these assessments compared to resulting administered assess 4	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at late tested Tested 2-4 3-4 77 99% 87% 12%		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	_	_	N/A	1	_	_	N/A
Grade 8								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	76	97%	91%	28%			
Female	42	100%	95%	29%			
Male	34	94%	85%	26%			
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	15	87%	80%	20%			
Hispanic or Latino	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	ive Hawaiian/Other 3 and						
White	50	100%	92%	30%	administered in 2006. Results from		
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	27%	these assessments cannot be directly		
General-Education Students	72	-	-	_	compared to results from previously		
Students with Disabilities	4	-	_		administered assessments.		
English Proficient	74	_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	–			
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	80%	13%			
Not Disadvantaged	61	100%	93%	31%			
Migrant							
Not Migrant	76	97%	91%	28%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	3	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	76	100%	96%	28%	78	100%	97%	41%
Female	42	100%	98%	19%	36	100%	94%	33%
Male	34	100%	94%	38%	42	100%	100%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	87%	13%	13	100%	85%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_			•••••	•••••
Vhite	49	100%	98%	39%	56	100%	100%	46%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	0%	•••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	72	-	-	-	72	100%	97%	43%
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	-	6	100%	100%	17%
English Proficient	74	-	_	_	76	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	2	_	_	-	2	_	_	_
conomically Disadvantaged	14	100%	86%	0%	22	100%	91%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	62	100%	98%	34%	56	100%	100%	46%
ligrant								
Not Migrant	76	100%	96%	28%	78	100%	97%	41%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 S G	hool Year	nool Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at leve		oring at level	(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				2	_	_	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				۷	_	_	_			
Regents Science	0				0						


District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 0 8 49 34 688 14 45 75 Feb 2004 1 15 671 Feb 2003 0 14 33 15 62 674




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	0	13	44	19	76	724
Jan 2004	0	28	29	10	67	709
Jan 2003	0	21	48	10	79	709


District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 43 51 96 686 May 2005 0 32 38 76 677 May 2004 6 May 2003 0 6 26 30 62 675



Test Date	Number o	f students sco				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	9	48	19	76	743
May 2004	1	4	42	19	66	744
May 2003	0	10	59	11	80	738

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	74	96%	86%	42%	78	92%	86%	49%
Female	40	100%	90%	50%	37	95%	89%	46%
Male	34	91%	82%	32%	41	90%	83%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	_	_	-	14	93%	93%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	85%	23%	8	_	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	49	94%	90%	53%	53	91%	85%	53%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	75%	17%	11	100%	82%	45%
General-Education Students	69	99%	91%	45%	67	94%	91%	57%
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	20%	0%	11	82%	55%	0%
English Proficient	74	96%	86%	42%	77	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	1	_	-	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	69%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	61	95%	90%	49%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	74	96%	86%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort* 2001 Cohort*							
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number Number scoring at level((s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	74	99%	97%	34%	78	91%	87%	21%		
Female	40	100%	100%	33%	37	95%	92%	16%		
Male	34	97%	94%	35%	41	88%	83%	24%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	8	_	_	-	14	86%	86%	21%		
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	100%	23%	8	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	_	_	3	-	_	_		
White	49	98%	96%	37%	53	91%	85%	21%		
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	33%	11	100%	100%	18%		
General-Education Students	69	100%	100%	36%	67	94%	90%	24%		
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	60%	0%	11	73%	73%	0%		
English Proficient	74	99%	97%	34%	77	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient					1	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	100%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	61	98%	97%	38%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	74	99%	97%	34%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••		

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort
2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	74	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%
	2001	78	91%	0%	1%	4%	4%
Female	2002	40	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	37	95%	0%	0%	3%	3%
Male	2002	34	91%	0%	0%	6%	3%
	2001	41	88%	0%	2%	5%	5%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	8	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	14	93%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	13	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	8	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	49	94%	0%	0%	4%	2%
	2001	53	89%	0%	2%	6%	4%
Small Group Totals	2002	12	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
•	2001	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	69	99%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	2001	67	91%	0%	1%	3%	4%
Students with Disabilities	2002	5	60%	0%	0%	40%	0%
	2001	11	91%	0%	0%	9%	0%
English Proficient	2002	74	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%
	2001	77	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	13	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	61	95%	0%	0%	3%	2%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	74	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%

NOTES

District TUCKAHOE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	75	96%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Female	36	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Male	39	95%	0%	3%	0%	3%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	13	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	8	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	51	94%	0%	2%	2%	2%
Small Group Totals	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	64	97%	0%	2%	2%	0%
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	0%	0%	0%	9%
English Proficient	74	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	24	92%	0%	0%	4%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	51	98%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	75	96%	0%	1%	1%	1%

NOTES