

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District MAMARONECK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 660701030000 Superintendent PAUL FRIED Telephone (914) 220-3005 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	73	63	63
Kindergarten	398	362	393
Grade 1	349	389	381
Grade 2	359	355	386
Grade 3	378	371	361
Grade 4	349	382	377
Grade 5	375	357	390
Grade 6	329	374	367
Ungraded Elementary	60	18	20
Grade 7	389	341	372
Grade 8	347	390	346
Grade 9	376	339	391
Grade 10	342	385	351
Grade 11	342	348	385
Grade 12	304	319	332
Ungraded Secondary	21	17	7
Total K-12	4718	4747	4859

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	20	19
Grade 8			
English	21	24	21
Mathematics	21	22	20
Science	21	24	22
Social Studies	21	24	21
Grade 10			
English	23	23	24
Mathematics	24	25	22
Science	25	23	23
Social Studies	22	23	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	69	1%	253	5%	313	6%
Reduced-Price Lunch	35	1%	91	2%	93	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	169	4%	171	4%	174	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	160	3%	159	3%	155	3%
Hispanic or Latino	650	14%	666	14%	721	15%
Asian or Native	153	3%	168	4%	210	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3753	80%	3754	79%	3773	78%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	105	N/A	69	1%	137	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	980	1039	1483
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	7%	5%	8%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	11	10	19
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	5%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	23	20	29
Percentage of Total	6%	5%	7%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	54%	56%	56%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	391	372	400
Total Other Professional Staff	37	28	31
Total Paraprofessionals*	212	92	201
Assistant Principals	9	9	8
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District MAMARONECK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level							
	ELA	Good Standing	ELA	Good Standing					
	Math	A Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing					
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding						

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	v	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	 ✓ 	✓	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	✓	••••	_	-	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	~	~	••••	-	-			
White	v	 ✓ 	••••	✓	~	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	~	 ✓ 		 ✓ 	~			
Limited English Proficient	~	✓	••••	-	-	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	v	 ✓ 	••••	_	–	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	🗸 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (2258:2210)	~	~	99%	~	183	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (63:61)	 	~	98%	~	148	110		
Hispanic or Latino (339:316)	~	<	99%	 ✓ 	156	116	•••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (99:96)	<	~	99%	~	190	112		
White (1757:1737)	<	<	99%	~	189	119	•• ••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (305:292)	 	~	97%	~	139	116		
Limited English Proficient (67:51)	<	~	100%	~	161	109		
Economically Disadvantaged (204:190)	<	~	98%	~	147	114	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	}						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (2260:2201)	~	 ✓ 	99%	~	183	84		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (63:60)	 	~	98%	~	148	74		
Hispanic or Latino (339:313)	~	 	98%	 ✓ 	151	80	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (98:93)	<	~	97%	~	196	76		
White (1760:1735)	<	<	99%	 ✓ 	189	83	•••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (305:286)	 	 	95%	~	136	80		
Limited English Proficient (86:48)	<	~	95%	~	117	72		
Economically Disadvantaged (205:191)	<	~	98%	~	144	78	•••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	s Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (723:707)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	99%	~	190	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (22:21)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (91:84)	• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<	95%	~	171	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:27)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (583:575)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	99%	~	193	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (105:101)		Qualified	~	97%	~	158	100		
Limited English Proficient (15:12)	••••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (58:55)	• •• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	97%	~	164	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (326:318)			100%		193	148	2005-00	2000-07
 Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (31:27)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
White (259:259)	<	~	100%	 	197	147	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (45:43)	~	~	100%	~	167	139		
Limited English Proficient (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (18:16)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	1						

NOTES

AYP Status

1 Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (326:318)	v	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	192	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (31:27)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (259:259)	<	~	100%	 	195	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (45:43)	~	~	100%	~	158	131		
Limited English Proficient (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (18:16)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (313)	~	~	95%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or						
Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African		-	-	-	-	-
American (14)						
Hispanic or		V	77%	55%		
Latino (31)						
Asian or Native		-	-	-	-	-
Hawaiian/Other						
Pacific Islander (5)				•••••••		
White (263)		~	98%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with		~	91%	55%		
Disabilities (43)						
Limited English		-	-	-	-	-
Proficient (9)						
Economically		_	-	-	-	-
Disadvantaged (12)						
Final AYP	1 1	of 1				
Determination						

NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing
 6 schools identified 100% of total
 CENTRAL SCHOOL
 CHATSWORTH AVENUE SCHOOL
 HOMMOCKS SCHOOL
 MAMARONECK AVENUE SCHOOL
 MAMARONECK HIGH SCHOOL
 MURRAY AVENUE SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	87%		356
Grade 4	88%		368
Grade 5	92%		381
Grade 6	83%		361
Grade 7	81%		379
Grade 8	77%		335
Mathematics			
Grade 3	91%		362
Grade 4	92%		377
Grade 5	86%		389
Grade 6	83%		368
Grade 7	79%		391
Grade 8	81%		342
Science			
Grade 4	95%		375
Grade 8	38%		21
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%	·	326
Mathematics	90%		326
	Percentage who gradua		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	92%	1	326

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 689	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780					
	100%	97%				92%				
			87%			5270	69%			
				1	3%			7%		
Number of Students:		347	310		46					
		J41	310		+0					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		356	97 %	87%	13%					
Female		177	98%	88%	16%					
Male		179	97%	87%	9%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive									
Black or African American		7	100%	100%	29%					
Hispanic or Latino		52	90%	67%	8%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	22	100%	91%	5%					
Pacific Islander		<i>۲۷</i>	100 %		J 70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
White		275	99%	90%	14%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		311	99%	95%	15%					
Students with Disabilities		45	84%	36%	0%					
English Proficient		348	98%	89%	13%					
Limited English Proficient		8	75%	25%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		27	93%	85%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	329	98%	87%	13%		••••	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	356	97%	87%	13%		••••	••••••		
NOTES										

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	2-4	3-4	4		2-4 3-4 4 est was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	10	9	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct	NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 689	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
	100%	98%	91%			94%			
			91%				81%		
				3	5%			25%	
Number of Students:		354	331	1	25				
Results by 2005-06 School Year						2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		362	98%	91%	35%				
Female		185	98%	91%	31%	.	•••••		
Male		177	97%	92%	38%				
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative								
Black or African American		7	100%		14%				
Hispanic or Latino			89%	78%	16%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther	22	100%	95%	55%				
Pacific Islander						This to	t was not si		05
White		278	99%	94%	37%		st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		319	100%	95%	38%				
Students with Disabilities		43	84%	63%	9%				
English Proficient		345	99%	93%	36%				
Limited English Proficient		17	76%	53%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	ł ł	29	100%	93%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged		333	98%	91%	37%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		362	98%	91%	35%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	2004–05 School Year							
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	_	This test	nis test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District NY State Public									
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 683	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	96%	0.0%			91%					
			88%			51%	69%				
				1	3%			9%			
Number of Students:		354	325		49	_					
Results by		2005–06 S o			2004-05 S						
Student Group		Total	Percentage			Total	5	scoring at lev			
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		368	96%	88%	13%						
Female		191	96%	89%	17%	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Male		177	96%	88%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve		700/			• • • • • • • •					
Black or African American			78%	44%	11%						
Hispanic or Latino		45	91%	76%	2%		essments for dle-level Eng		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	ſ	12	92%	83%	17%		mathematics	-	ye		
White	•••••						ered in 2006		om		
Small Group Totals							sessments ca		-		
General-Education Students		317	99%	93%	15%		d to results i	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	•••••		76%		4%	administe	administered assessments.				
English Proficient		366	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	2	–	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		21	90%	71%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		347	97%	89%	14%		••••••	•••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		368	96%	88%	13%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Association	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				۷	_		_	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	6	6	N/A	7	5	5	N/A	
Grade 4									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 692	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
	100%	98%	92%			93%					
			9278			55%	78%				
				3	8%			26%			
								20%			
Number of Students:		371	345	1	42						
		511	343	±	72				_		
Results by 2005-06 School Year						2004-05 School Year					
-		Total	Percentage sc		t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		377	98%	92%	38%						
Female		197	98%	89%	34%						
Male		180	98%	94%	42%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American		10	90%	60%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		50	100%	78%	18%		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	Other	14	100%	86%	21%		Idle-level Eng	5 5	age		
Pacific Islander		_ ·					l mathematic tered in 200				
White		303	98%	95%	43%		ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		226	0.00%	050/	420/		ed to results		-		
General-Education Student	S	326	99%	95%	43%		tered assess	•			
Students with Disabilities		51	92%	67%	6%						
English Proficient		369	98%	92%	38%						
Limited English Proficient		8	100%	50%	0%						
Economically Disadvantage	d		96%	79%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		353	99%	92%	40%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		377	98%	92%	38%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	ested 2–4 3–4 4 Tested					3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	I		-	_	I	_	-	_	

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic	86% 80%				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 84	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 00	35-100							
2005-06	100%	99% 99%	95% 9		7% 57%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%			
2004-05												
Number of Students:		373 382	356 3	354 2	15 220							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r				
Student Group		Total	Fotal Percentage		t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring a		at level(s):			
Student Grou	<u>Р</u>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		375	99%	95%	57%	386	99%	92%	57%			
Female		196	99%	93%	53%	183	99%	92%	58%			
Male		179	99%	97%	62%	203	99%	91%	56%			
American Indian or Alaska N	ative											
Black or African American		10	100%	70%	10%	12	100%	75%	33%			
Hispanic or Latino		49	100%	90%	29%	67	97%	70%	28%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	14	100%	86%	50%	12	100%	100%	83%			
White		302	99%	97%	64%	295	99%	97%	63%			
Small Group Totals					•••••				•••••			
General-Education Students		324	100%	97%	62%	342	99%	92%	61%			
Students with Disabilities		51	96%	80%	25%	44	98%	86%	30%			
English Proficient		367	99%	95%	58%	374	99%	93%	59%			
Limited English Proficient		8	100%	75%	13%	12	92%	58%	8%			
Economically Disadvantaged		24	100%	83%	21%	33	97%	70%	18%			
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	351	99%	96%	60%	353	99%	94%	61%			
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	375	99%		57%	386	99%	92%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	2	-	_	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 690	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	711-795						
	100%	100%	92%			94%					
			5270				67%				
							0170				
				2	5%						
								12%			
Number of Students:		380	350		97						
Results by	esults by 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year						Year				
Student Group		. otat		e scoring a		Total	-	scoring at le	vel(s):		
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		381	100%	92%	25%						
Female		182	100%	93%	32%						
Male		199	99%	90%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American			100%	58%	8%						
Hispanic or Latino		63	100%	78%	6%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	10	100%	100%	20%						
Pacific Islander						······			05		
White		296	100%	96%	30%	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		335	100%	94%	29%						
Students with Disabilities		46	100%	76%	0%						
English Proficient		377	-	_	-						
Limited English Proficient		4	_		_	· · · · · · ·					
Economically Disadvantaged		30	100%	57%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		351	100%	95%	28%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	381	100%	92%	25%		•••••	•••••••••••••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost	This test was not given in 2004-0				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷	_	_	_		was not yn				
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	9	8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5										

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	599-780						
	100%	98%	86%	2	7%	90%	68%	400%			
								19%			
Number of Students:		380	333	1	.04						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		389	98%	86%	27%						
Female		186	98%	87%	27%						
Male		203	98%	84%	27%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		12	100%	67%	17%						
Hispanic or Latino		67	90%	60%	7%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	۱r	11	100%	100%	36%						
White		299	99%	92%	31%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••							
General-Education Students		343	99%	90%	30%						
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	46	89%	52%	2%	• • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient		379	98%	87%	27%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	70%	30%	10%						
Economically Disadvantaged		36	86%	47%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	353	99%	90%	29%		••••	••••••			
Migrant											
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	389	98%		27%	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S a	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number sco	l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ŀ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	598-785	650-7	'85 7	705-785						
	100%	99%				93%					
			83%			5570					
							60%				
				n	4%						
				2	4 70			12%			
Number of Students:		357	301		88						
		551	501				_				
Results by		2005-06 S				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group	•	Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	,	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		361	99%	83%	24%						
Female	•••••	191	98%	85%	25%						
Male		170	99%	82%	24%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American			92%	50%							
Hispanic or Latino		47	98%	55%	9%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	22	100%	86%	41%						
Pacific Islander		····				This too	t was not si	on in 2004	05		
White		280	99%		26%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		306	100%		28%						
Students with Disabilities		55	93%	55%	2%						
English Proficient		361	99%	83%	24%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		31	97%	42%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		330	99%	87%	26%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		361	99%	83%	24%						
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	۷	_		_		was not yn	200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	10	9	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	96-780					
	100%	94%	83%			87%				
				2	3%		60%	13%		
Number of Students:		345	305	8	33					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	μ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		368	94%	83%	23%					
Female		193	92%	84%	18%					
Male		175	96%	81%	28%					
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative									
Black or African American		13	77%	54%	15%					
Hispanic or Latino		54	80%	54%	4%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther	21	100%	100%	38%					
White		280	97%	89%	25%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		315	97%	89%	25%					
General-Education Students	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• •••••				
Students with Disabilities		53 358	75% 95%	49%	<u>6%</u> 23%					
English Proficient	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	85%	••••••	• •••••				
_imited English Proficient Economically Disadvantagec	4	10 39	50% 79%	0% 44%	0%				_	
			95%		25%	• •••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	
Not Disadvantaged		523	9,070	0070	2 3 70					
Migrant	••••••			83%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	
Not Migrant NOTES		200	94%	03%	2370					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	۷	1	2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	600-790	650-7	'90 7	712-790						
100%	97%				02%					
		81%			9278					
						56%				
			1	.8%			8%			
	367	308		67						
	2005-06 54	chool Voar			2004-05	School Voar				
				t level(s).				vel(s)		
		-	-			-	-	4		
					resteu	2 7	5 4	4		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	207	96%	80%	18%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
ve										
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		75%	38%	0%	• • • • • • • • •					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	56	91%	48%	2%						
	 1 л	1000/		4.70/						
	14 	100%	93%	43%						
	301	98%	88%	20%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	339	99%	86%	19%						
	40	78%	43%	3%						
	379	97%	81%	18%						
	29	86%	31%	0%						
	350	98%	85%	19%						
	379	97%	81%	18%						
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 97% 367 2005-06 Se Total Tested 379 172 207 Ve 8 56 14 301 339 40 379 29 350	2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-7 100% 97% 81% 97% 81% 1 367 308 308 2005-06 Schwart Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 379 97% 172 98% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 301 98% 339 99% 40 78% 379 97% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 207 96% 301 98% 339 99% 40 78% 379 97% 29 86% 350 98%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 7 100% 97% 81% 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 367 308 1 1 1 367 308 1 1 1 7005 Fercentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 3 207 96% 80% 1 1 172 98% 83% 3 3 207 96% 80% 1 1 14 100% 93% 3 3 301 98% 88%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 97% 81% 18% 367 308 67 367 308 67 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total 79 97% 81% 18% 172 98% 83% 17% 207 96% 80% 18% 172 98% 83% 17% 207 96% 80% 18% 172 98% 83% 17% 207 96% 80% 18% 172 98% 83% 17% 301 98% 88% 20% 14 100% 93% 43% 301 98% 88% 20% 339 99% 86% 19% 40 78% 43% 3%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 97% 81% 92% 367 308 67 92% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total 379 97% 81% 18% 704 172 98% 83% 17% 704 2007 96% 80% 18% 704 172 98% 83% 17% 704 207 96% 80% 18% 704 172 98% 83% 17% 704 207 96% 80% 18% 704 301 98% 88% 20% 704 339 99% 86% 19% 704 339 99% 86% 19% 704 339 99% 86% 19% 704 29	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92% 92% 56% 100% 97% 81% 18% 56 56 91% 18% 18% 20% 704	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92%		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	11	7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 669	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 6	93-800						
	100%	94%									
		5170	79%			87%					
							56%				
				2	10/						
				2	1%			12%			
Number of Students:		369	309		34						
		2005–06 S				2024 25	School Year				
Results by			Percentage					scoring at le	vol(s).		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	ver(s). 4		
All Students		391	94%	79%	 21%	Testeu	2 4	5 4	4		
Female		179	96%	77%	19%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	212	93%	81%	24%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	75%	38%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	66	82%	47%	5%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		14	100%	100%	43%						
Pacific Islander		±4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>-</u>		
White		303	97%	86%	24%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		352	97%	84%	23%						
Students with Disabilities		39	74%	36%	5%						
English Proficient		381	96%	81%	22%						
Limited English Proficient		10	30%	20%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		39	74%	33%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		352	97%	84%	24%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		391	94%	79%	21%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total Number scoring at			l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(5):		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 676	Range:	602-790	650-7	'90	715-790					
	100%	97%				91%				
			77%			9178				
							49%			
				1	L4%			5%		
Number of Chudents		226	257		46					
Number of Students:		326	257		46					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	ip	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		335	97%	77%	14%					
Female		154	99%	79%	16%					
Male		181	96%	75%	12%					
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American		13	92%	54%	8%					
Hispanic or Latino		36	89%	33%	0%		sessments for		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	other	11	100%	91%	9%		dle-level Eng		age	
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		mathematic tered in 2006			
White		275	99%	83%	16%		sessments ca			
Small Group Totals		205	1000/	0.40/	1.00/		ed to results		-	
General-Education Students	5	285	100%	84%	16%		tered assessr	•	,	
Students with Disabilities		50	84%	34%	0%					
English Proficient		335	97%	77%	14%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantage	d	32	91%	34%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		303	98%	81%	15%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		335	97%	77%	14%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
ASSESSIIIEIILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		_	_	5	_	_	_	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	7	5	5	N/A	8	7	7	N/A	
Grade 8									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 684	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	95%								
		5570	81%			85%				
							54%			
				3	7%					
								10%		
Number of Students:		326	276	1	27					
		520	210	1	21				_	
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
Student Group		Total	al Percentage sc		t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		342	95%	81%	37%					
Female		157	97%	82%	38%					
Male		185	94%	79%	36%					
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American		12	92%	58%	17%					
Hispanic or Latino		41	80%	41%	2%		sessments for		•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/G	Other	13	100%	92%	77%		Idle-level Eng	5	age	
Pacific Islander				•••••			l mathematic tered in 2006		rom	
White		276	97%	87%	41%		sessments c			
Small Group Totals		202	0.00/	000/	400/		ed to results			
General-Education Student	S	292	99%	89%	42%		tered assessr	•		
Students with Disabilities		50	76%	34%	8%					
English Proficient		335	96%	81%	38%					
Limited English Proficient		7	71%	43%	14%					
Economically Disadvantage	ed		85%	50%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged		308	96%	84%	41%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		342	95%	81%	37%					

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	_				-			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 62	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	95% 88% 20 15	38%	_	% 0% D 0	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18%	₆ 25%
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
	10	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	ib	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		21	95%	38%	0%	17	88%	53%	0%
⁻ emale		6	100%	33%	0%	8	88%	38%	0%
Male		15	93%	40%	0%	9	89%	67%	0%
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American						3			
Hispanic or Latino		12	92%	33%	0%	5			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C Pacific Islander	other					1	-	-	-
White		9	100%	44%	0%	8	88%	63%	0%
Small Group Totals			•••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••	9	89%	44%	0%
General-Education Students	5	9	89%	44%	0%	1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		12	100%	33%	0%	16	-	-	-
English Proficient		21	95%	38%	0%	17	88%	53%	0%
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantage	d	8	100%	63%	0%	3	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged		13	92%	23%	0%	14			
Migrant									
Not Migrant		21	95%	38%	0%	17	88%	53%	0%

NOTES


Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	otal Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at le		oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	±	_	_		э	_	_	_
Regents Science	316	304	289	198	370	359	343	207

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	6	32	191	149	378	688	
Feb 2004	2	53	216	81	352	674	
Feb 2003	5	54	180	132	371	679	




Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	8	72	190	109	379	723	
Jan 2004	8	83	128	128	347	728	
Jan 2003	9	85	175	104	373	720	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	15	166	208	390	683	
May 2004	3	18	177	158	356	676	
May 2003	2	22	137	213	374	681	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):	:	Percentage sc	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	94% 95% 96%	82% 85% 82%	33% 39% 36%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% ^{13%} 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	24	45	193	131	393	745	
May 2004	16	37	161	134	348	748	
May 2003	14	53	174	136	377	745	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	g at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	95% 94%	92% 91%	71% 72%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 Cohoi	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	326	95%	92 %	71%	321	94%	91 %	72%
Female	166	93%	92%	73%	175	95%	93%	79%
Male	160	96%	91%	68%	146	93%	89%	62%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	71%	65%	24%	16	81%	63%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	30	83%	67%	23%	33	76%	67%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	94%	94%	76%	5	100%	100%	80%
White	262	98%	96%	79%	267	97%	96%	79%
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	280	98%	96%	78%	277	96%	94%	77%
Students with Disabilities	46	76%	65%	24%	44	84%	73%	39%
English Proficient	318	95%	93%	72%	310	96%	94%	74%
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	50%	0%	11	55%	27%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	75%	56%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	310	96%	94%	74%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	326	95%	92%	71%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) ar	e suppressed to protect	the privacy of	individual stud	ents.				
Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohoi	ť*		
	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number sc	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100%	97% _{92%}	90% 84%	46% 44%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%
-	100%	Percentage scor 2-4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 100% 97% 92% 90% 84%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 100% 97% 92% 90% 84% 78% 75%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 100% 97% 92% 90% 84% 78% 75% 71% 67%

Results by	2002 Coho i	·t*			2001 Coho i	rt*			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	326	97%	90 %	46 %	321	92%	84%	44%	
Female	166	96%	92%	46%	175	91%	82%	47%	
Male	160	98%	89%	47%	146	92%	86%	39%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	17	82%	59%	6%	16	81%	63%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	30	87%	67%	13%	33	73%	58%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	71%	5	80%	80%	60%	
White	262	99%	95%	51%	267	95%	88%	48%	
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
General-Education Students	280	99%	96%	52%	277	95%	87%	49%	
Students with Disabilities	46	87%	57%	11%	44	68%	61%	9%	
English Proficient	318	97%	92%	47%	310	93%	85%	45%	
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	50%	13%	11	64%	36%	9%	
Economically Disadvantaged	16	75%	56%	13%					
Not Disadvantaged	310	98%	92%	48%				••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	326	97%	90%	46%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohoi	rt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:


4% 5%

2% 2%

2%

1%

0%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	326	92%	0%	2%	4%	2%
	2001	321	93%	0%	1%	5%	2%
Female	2002	166	92%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	2001	175	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%
Male	2002	160	92%	0%	2%	6%	1%
	2001	146	88%	0%	2%	8%	2%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	17	71%	6%	6%	12%	6%
African American	2001	16	63%	0%	0%	31%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	30	73%	0%	7%	10%	10%
	2001	33	70%	0%	9%	15%	6%
Asian or Native	2002	17	88%	0%	0%	12%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White	2002	262	96%	0%	1%	3%	0%
	2001	267	97%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Small Group Totals							
General-Education Students	2002	280	93%	0%	2%	4%	1%
	2001	277	93%	0%	1%	4%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	46	87%	2%	0%	7%	4%
	2001	44	91%	0%	0%	9%	0%
English Proficient	2002	318	93%	0%	2%	3%	2%
5	2001	310	95%	0%	0%	4%	1%
imited English Proficient	2002	8	50%	0%	13%	38%	0%
	2001	11	27%	0%	18%	27%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	16	63%	6%	13%	19%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	310	94%	0%	1%	4%	2%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	326	92%	0%	2%	4%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 95% 72% 0% 2% 2% 1% 0% 5% 3%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
 All Students	320	95%	0%	2%	0%	3%
Female	174	97%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Male	146	92%	0%	3%	0%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	16	75%	0%	13%	0%	13%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	33	76%	0%	12%	0%	12%
Asian or Native	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	266	98%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
General-Education Students	276	95%	0%	1%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	44	91%	0%	5%	2%	2%
English Proficient	309	96%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Limited English Proficient	11	45%	0%	18%	0%	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	0%	8%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	307	95%	0%	2%	0%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	320	95%	0%	2%	0%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.