

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT

District ID 662001030000
Superintendent MICHAEL MCGILL
Telephone (914) 721-2410
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	334	353	356
Grade 1	382	349	375
Grade 2	327	391	359
Grade 3	343	334	393
Grade 4	350	339	337
Grade 5	363	347	343
Grade 6	380	367	356
Ungraded Elementary	33	24	0
Grade 7	378	378	370
Grade 8	363	375	374
Grade 9	352	364	371
Grade 10	347	352	365
Grade 11	289	340	344
Grade 12	327	280	336
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4568	4593	4679

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	20	20	21
Mathematics	19	20	22
Science	20	21	21
Social Studies	21	22	19
Grade 10			
English	21	21	21
Mathematics	19	20	19
Science	21	22	24
Social Studies	22	20	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	144	3%	128	3%	17	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	86	2%	89	2%	90	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	94	2%	81	2%	117	3%	
Asian or Native	563	12%	557	12%	575	12%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	3825	84%	3866	84%	3897	83%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		98%		97%
Student Suspensions	47	N/A	23	1%	12	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	975	924	1398
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	5%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	10	8	3
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	2%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	19	13	6
Percentage of Total	5%	3%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	55%	57%	59%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	394	392	395
Total Other Professional Staff	43	44	43
Total Paraprofessionals*	117	117	79
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	7	7	7

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary	/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA		Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	_	Good Standing	Math ■ Good Standing	
Science		Good Standing	Graduation Rate ■ Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
NO	NO	NO

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	V	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	/	'	•••••••	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	••••••••	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	••••••	V	V	•••••••
White	~	V	•••••••••	V	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	V		V	✓	
Limited English Proficient	V	V	•••••••••		- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged		••••••••	••••••	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 7 of 7	√ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	formance ³ Performance O		nce Objective	es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (2165:2132)	V	✓	99%	<u> </u>	192	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (37:35)	V	_	_	V	174	106		
Hispanic or Latino (55:54)	V	V	100%	V	187	109	••••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (252:243)	/	/	100%	~	191	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (1821:1800)	/	/	99%	/	192	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (149:145)	/	✓	98%	/	141	113		
Limited English Proficient (41:32)	V	V	98%	~	194	105	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					•••••••	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target		
All Students (2162:2125)	✓ ✓	- Criterion	99%	Criterion		84	2005-00	2000-07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (37:36)	/	_	_	V	172	70	•••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino (55:54)	V	V	100%	V	183	73	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (247:238)	/	/	99%	~	195	79	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
White (1823:1797)	~	/	99%	~	192	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (148:143)	/	/	97%	V	151	77			
Limited English Proficient (37:33)	/	_	_	V	191	69	••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••••	••••••			•	•••••	•••••••		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7	,							

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (708:682)	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	98%	<u> </u>	195	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (12:10)		_	_	-	-	-	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (15:14)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (78:74)		Qualified		97%	~	197	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (603:584)	•••••	Qualified	V	98%	~	195	100	· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (50:48)		Qualified	V	96%	~	158	100		
Limited English Proficient (16:13)		_	_	-	_	_	_	- -	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••	••••••	***************************************			••••	••••	••••••••••••	

AYP Status



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (337:338)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	197	148			
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	_	-			_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:44)		V	100%	~	195	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
White (274:275)	/	~	100%	/	199	147	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (44:46)	/	✓	98%	V	185	140			
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••						•••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index 199	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target 2006–07
All Students (337:338)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>		140		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (10:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)	-	_	-	_	-	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:44)		/	100%	~	200	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
White (274:275)	~	/	100%	/	199	139		•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (44:46)	/	V	100%	V	191	132		
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	••••••							•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP Criterion		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (275)	•	V	100%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)	. .							
Black or African American (8)		-	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (4)		_	_	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (39)		~	100%	55%				
White (224)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	~	100%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (29)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (0)								
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing 6 schools identified 86% of total

EDGEWOOD SCHOOL

FOX MEADOW SCHOOL

GREENACRES SCHOOL

HEATHCOTE SCHOOL

QUAKER RIDGE SCHOOL

SCARSDALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 14% of total

SCARSDALE MIDDLE SCHOOL

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	100%	
Grade 3	92%		387
Grade 4	96%		324
Grade 5	97%		332
Grade 6	91%		337
Grade 7	94%		363
Grade 8	86%		364
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		393
Grade 4	98%		332
Grade 5	93%		341
Grade 6	89%		340
Grade 7	87%		367
Grade 8	93%		363
Science			
Grade 4	99%		334
Grade 8	92%		356
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	96%		342
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	99%	1	342

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	387	99%	92%	17%					
Female	192	100%	94%	20%					
Male	195	99%	91%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	60%				i	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	0%	•••••			į	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	98%	90%	22%					
White	321	100%	93%	16%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			i	
General-Education Students	372	100%	94%	18%					
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	47%	0%				Ì	
English Proficient	387	99%	92%	17%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••				i	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	387	99%	92%	17%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	387	99%	92%	17%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	-				This test was not given in 2001 o				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	393	100%	96%	38%							
Female	196	100%	96%	38%							
Male	197	99%	96%	39%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	40%							
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	0%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	100%	94%	52%							
White	324	100%	97%	37%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••	•••••	••••••	••••			,			
General-Education Students	378	100%	97%	39%							
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	73%	13%	••••						
English Proficient	388	100%	96%	38%							
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	40%	••••			ľ			
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged	393	100%	96%	38%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	393	100%	96%	38%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accocciments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total						Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentag	tage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	324	100%	96%	23%					
Female	172	100%	96%	28%					
Male	152	99%	95%	18%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	_	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	100%	94%	36%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	278	100%	96%	21%	adminis	tered in 200	2006. Results from		
Small Group Totals	13	100%	100%	31%		ssessments c		•	
General-Education Students	304	100%	99%	25%	•	ed to results	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	45%	0%	adminis	tered assess	nents.		
English Proficient	324	100%	96%	23%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	324	100%	96%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	324	100%	96%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	_	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	6	6	6	N/A	5	3	2	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	·			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	332	100%	98%	55%						
Female	177	100%	98%	54%						
Male	155	100%	97%	56%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	_	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ıry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	100%	76%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	280	100%	98%	51%	adminis	stered in 200	6. Results 1	from		
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	64%		issessments c		•		
General-Education Students	312	100%	99%	58%		red to results stered assess	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	85%	5%	adminis	stereu assessi	ments.			
English Proficient	326	100%	98%	54%						
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	83%						
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	332	100%	98%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	332	100%	98%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	334	100%	99%	78%	339	99%	99%	72%
Female	178	100%	98%	80%	172	99%	98%	72%
Male	156	100%	99%	77%	167	99%	99%	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	80%	6	100%	100%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	82%	5	100%	100%	60%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	97%	79%	34	100%	97%	68%
White	280	100%	99%	78%	294	99%	99%	73%
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
General-Education Students	314	100%	99%	82%	322	100%	99%	75%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	90%	25%	17	94%	88%	18%
English Proficient	328	100%	99%	79%	332	99%	98%	72%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	50%	7	100%	100%	71%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	334	100%	99%	78%	339	99%	99%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	334	100%	99%	78%	339	99%	99%	72%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	332	100%	97%	37%					
Female	171	99%	98%	40%					
Male	161	100%	95%	34%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	8	100%	88%	13%				j	
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	100%	22%				į	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	94%	35%					
White	281	100%	97%	38%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••				·	
General-Education Students	309	100%	98%	39%					
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	78%	9%				Ì	
English Proficient	332	100%	97%	37%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••				İ	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	332	100%	97%	37%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	332	100%	97%	37%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
	0	2-4 3-4 4			Tested This tes	est was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	7	7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	99%	93%	41%				
Female	172	100%	94%	33%				
Male	169	97%	93%	49%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	78%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	100%	22%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	39	100%	90%	49%				
White	284	99%	94%	41%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	318	99%	96%	43%				
Students with Disabilities	23	87%	61%	4%				
English Proficient	334	99%	94%	41%				
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	71%	43%	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	341	99%	93%	41%		•••••	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	341	99%	93%	41%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accoccmonts	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	99%	91%	33%				
Female	156	99%	94%	37%				
Male	181	99%	87%	29%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%				Ì
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	60%	10%	•••••			Ì
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	100%	86%	43%				İ
White	285	99%	93%	33%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••			İ
General-Education Students	308	100%	94%	35%				
Students with Disabilities	29	93%	48%	3%				ľ
English Proficient	336	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_				ľ
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	337	99%	91%	33%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	99%	91%	33%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			ı					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total					
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost	This test was not given in 2004				
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	۷	_		_	Tills test					
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 6										

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	340	99%	89%	37%				
Female	159	99%	87%	31%				
Male	181	98%	90%	43%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	57%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	60%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	95%	61%				
White	285	99%	89%	35%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students	310	99%	91%	40%				
Students with Disabilities	30	93%	63%	7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	334	99%	88%	36%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	100%	• • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	340	99%	89%	37%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	340	99%	89%	37%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	363	99%	94%	30%				
Female	178	99%	93%	30%				
Male	185	99%	95%	30%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	40%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	100%	22%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	92%	22%				
White	313	100%	94%	31%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	339	100%	96%	32%				
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	67%	13%				
English Proficient	363	99%	94%	30%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	363	99%	94%	30%	•••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	363	99%	94%	30%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –		st was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	367	98%	87%	25%				
Female	182	98%	84%	24%				
Male	185	98%	91%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	67%	0%				Ì
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	91%	18%	•••••			ľ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	97%	92%	35%				·
White	313	99%	87%	25%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			ì
General-Education Students	343	100%	90%	27%				
Students with Disabilities	24	79%	46%	4%				ľ
English Proficient	362	98%	87%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	0%				ľ
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	367	98%	87%	25%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	367	98%	87%	25%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	364	98%	86%	18%						
Female	179	98%	89%	20%						
Male	185	98%	83%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	7	_		_						
Hispanic or Latino	anic or Latino 4 – – New assessments for eleme									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	86%	22%	and middle-level English langu arts and mathematics were					
White	317	98%	87%	18%	adminis	nistered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	55%	0%		ssessments c		,		
General-Education Students	336	100%	92%	19%	•	ed to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	28	82%	11%	0%	adminis	tered assess	ments.			
English Proficient	361	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	3	_	- -	-	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	364	98%	86%	18%	•••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	364	98%	86%	18%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year	nool Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at lev			vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т				0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	7	7	7	N/A	6	6	6	N/A	
Grade 8									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Year							
	Total	Percentag	age scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):							
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4					
All Students	363 179	99%	93%	28%									
Female		179	179	179	179	179	179	99%	93%	29%			
Male	184	98%	92%	28%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Black or African American	7	_	_	_									
Hispanic or Latino	or Latino 4 – – New assessments for elements												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	100%	53%	and middle-level English langer arts and mathematics were								
White	314	99%	93%	26%	administ	nistered in 2006. Results from							
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	0%		sessments c		,					
General-Education Students	338	100%	96%	30%		d to results	•	ously					
Students with Disabilities	25	84%	44%	0%	administ	ered assessr	nents.						
English Proficient	355	99%	93%	28%									
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	63%									
Economically Disadvantaged													
Not Disadvantaged	363	99%	93%	28%	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Migrant													
Not Migrant	363	99%	93%	28%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	5-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	ichool Yea	r		2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	356	99%	92%	40%	317	99%	93%	53%
Female	177	99%	92%	32%	145	99%	94%	52%
Male	179	99%	93%	48%	172	99%	92%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	-	_	-	8	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	97%	50%	26	96%	88%	58%
White	307	99%	92%	40%	281	99%	94%	52%
Small Group Totals	11	100%	82%	18%	10	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	329	100%	96%	43%	286	100%	97%	57%
Students with Disabilities	27	89%	44%	0%	31	90%	58%	10%
English Proficient	348	99%	92%	39%	316	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	75%	1	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	356	99%	92%	40%	317	99%	93%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	356	99%	92%	40%	317	99%	93%	53%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):			.(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1		_						
Regents Science	0				0				


District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 1 15 148 170 334 701 2 178 144 346 Feb 2004 22 689 Feb 2003 0 20 148 182 350 692




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	6	63	210	76	355	720
Jan 2004	1	64	160	88	313	724
Jan 2003	4	52	170	63	289	718


District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 2 246 339 701 May 2005 99 249 353 698 May 2004 1 4 May 2003 1 8 99 251 359 693



Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	4	21	226	95	346	748
May 2004	2	22	181	118	323	753
May 2003	1	33	172	83	289	748

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	342	98%	96%	75%	278	99%	99%	86%		
Female	164	99%	97%	81%	142	99%	99%	89%		
Male	178	98%	96%	69%	136	100%	99%	82%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	90%	70%	60%	8	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	80%	40%	4	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	44	98%	95%	77%	39	100%	95%	69%		
White	278	99%	98%	76%	227	99%	99%	90%		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	12	100%	100%	58%		
General-Education Students	293	100%	99%	82%	247	100%	99%	88%		
Students with Disabilities	49	88%	82%	33%	31	94%	94%	65%		
English Proficient	340	-	_	-	278	99%	99%	86%		
imited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	342	98%	96%	75%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	342	98%	96%	75%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••		


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	·t*		
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	342	96%	96%	75%	278	97%	97%	72%
Female	164	96%	96%	73%	142	98%	98%	72%
Male	178	95%	95%	77%	136	97%	96%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	90%	90%	50%	8	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	100%	40%	4	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	44	89%	89%	77%	39	95%	95%	72%
White	278	97%	97%	77%	227	98%	98%	73%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••	12	100%	92%	42%
General-Education Students	293	97%	97%	80%	247	98%	98%	75%
Students with Disabilities	49	88%	88%	45%	31	90%	90%	45%
English Proficient	340	-	-	-	278	97%	97%	72%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	342	96%	96%	75%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	96%	96%	75%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	342	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	278	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Female	2002	164	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	142	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Male	2002	178	99%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	2001	136	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	10	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American	2001	8	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	10	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
·	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	44	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	39	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
White	2002	278	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	227	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Small Group Totals	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	2001	12	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	293	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	247	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	49	92%	0%	0%	6%	2%
	2001	31	94%	0%	0%	6%	0%
English Proficient	2002	340	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	278	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	2002	342	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	342	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%

NOTES

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



District	
NY State	Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	277	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	142	99%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Male	135	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	8	_	_	_		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	39	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	226	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	247	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	30	97%	0%	0%	0%	3%
English Proficient	277	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	277	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	277	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%

NOTES