

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL District FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 02-20-01-04-0001 Principal KYLE FAULKNER Telephone (585) 567-2289 Grades PK-12, UE

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Pre-K	37	30	35
Kindergarten	43	50	50
Grade 1	58	54	48
Grade 2	48	53	56
Grade 3	59	46	47
Grade 4	48	59	49
Grade 5	48	49	62
Grade 6	56	52	43
Ungraded Elementary	8	11	10
Grade 7	57	58	54
Grade 8	47	54	64
Grade 9	64	54	55
Grade 10	57	51	58
Grade 11	57	61	51
Grade 12	61	51	50
Ungraded Secondary	0	12	9
Total K–12	711	715	706

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	17	16	13
Grade 8			
English	15	14	16
Mathematics	11	14	13
Science	16	21	22
Social Studies	15	19	21
Grade 10			
English	14	14	16
Mathematics	18	19	19
Science	20	14	24
Social Studies	16	17	18

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	4-05	2005-06		2006-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	223	31%	243	34%	253	36%
Reduced-Price Lunch	88	12%	104	15%	97	14%
Student Stability*		93%		98%		96%
Limited English Proficient	3	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	5	1%	6	1%	7	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	2	0%	3	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	4	1%	4	1%
White	702	99%	702	98%	692	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2003-04		4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		93%		96%
Student Suspensions	24	3%	16	2%	9	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	57	59	62
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	3%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	12%	11%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	2%	2%	2%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	251	169
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	214	208	229
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	0%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	5%	9%	7%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

 eral Title I Status ies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been ident Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a S		as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for l Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	• • • • • •	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Le	vel				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation Rat	te 🔥 Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding	 g				
	2005-0	06	2006-07	2007-08				
	YES		YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	 	 	v	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	_	••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_					
White	~	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	 	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	~	 ✓ 		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Status	Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective		or Target 2007–08
V		100%		166	116	2000 07	
					-		
-	-	-	-	-	-		_
_	_	_	-	-	-	••••	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
<	~	100%	 ✓ 	165	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
~	~	100%	~	116	108		
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
	<u> </u>	100%	~	153	113	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
🖌 4 of 4							
	Status	Status Met Criterion ✓ ✓ – – – – – – – – – – – – ✓ ✓	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 100% – –	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ – – 100%	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Index Performance Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index Index	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2006-07 V 100% 166 116 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 100% 116 108 - 100% 153 113

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics					
	~	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]					

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		lestreno	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Ctatus	Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	АМО	2006-07	2007-08
V	V	100%	~	183	80		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
			-	-	-	••• •••••	_
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
 ✓ 	V	100%	 ✓ 	182	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
•••••••••••••••••		••••		••••			••••
~	V	100%	~	149	72		
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••	••••
/	V	100%	~	176	77	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
🖌 4 of 4							
	- - - - - -	Status Criterion	Status Criterion Tested IO0% IO0% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO% IOO%	Status Criterion Tested Criterion ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ – – – –	Status Criterion Tested Criterion Index Image: Construction of the state of	Status Criterion Tested Criterion Index AMO Image:	Status Criterion Tested Criterion Index AMO 2006-07 V 100% V 183 80 AMO 2006-07 V 100% V 183 80 AMO 2006-07 V 100% V 183 80 AMO 2006-07 -

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were ensured from testing for medical research are not included in the enrollment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	<u> </u>	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance		Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (114:111)	 	Qualified		100%	~	184	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American 3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–
White (110:107)		Qualified	 	100%	~	183	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••			•••		••••	••••••	••••••	••••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (17:16)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (51:49)		Qualified	~	100%	~	178	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 d	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particip shown is th participatio Groups wit For school were comb	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005– on rates over tha h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha bined to determi	ontinuously enrollo d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o6 and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and illed tested stuc enrolled tested formance indice	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require students in 2006–c es. rmer LEP students a	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–c is the weighte ed to meet the 07, data for 20	ccountability ent count. quired to me 17, the enroll ed average o e performan 05–06 and 2	y calculation et .ment f the ce criterion.

in the performance calculations.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts					
	~	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]					

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (48:45)	V	V	98%	V	196	145		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
⊔ispanie or Lating (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (47:44)	~	~	98%	 ✓ 	195	144	•••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (3:3)	-	_	-	_	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••	
(0:0) Economically Disadvantaged					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••
(15:13)	-	-	_	-	_	-		_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics					
	~	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]					

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (48:45)	~	~	98%	V	193	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(0.0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (47:44)	✓	~	98%	 ✓ 	193	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (3:3)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• •••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
(15:13)								
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2	2						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08		
All Students (49)	~	 	96%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (49)		<	96%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (5)		_	-	_			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (13)		_	-	-			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	69%		48
Grade 4	90%		49
Grade 5	83%		65
Grade 6	64%		44
Grade 7	67%		54
Grade 8	44%		64
Mathematics			
Grade 3	100%		48
Grade 4	98%		49
Grade 5	77%		66
Grade 6	75%		44
Grade 7	89%		5 4
Grade 8	78%		64
Science			
Grade 4	100%		49
Grade 8	75%		64
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%	I	50
Mathematics	96%		50

District FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780				
2006 Mean Score: 669	100%	98% _{92%}	80% 69%		91% 91%	66% 66%		
2005-06				10% 2%			7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		47 46	33 40	5 1				

Deculte by	2006–07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	48	98%	69 %	10%	50	92%	80%	2 %
Female	27	100%	70%	7%	23	91%	78%	4%
Male	21	95%	67%	14%	27	93%	81%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	44		-		48	-		-
Multiracial	••••••			•••••		•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	48	98%	69%	10%	50	92%	80%	2%
General-Education Students	43	98%	70%	9%	41	100%	90%	2%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	20%	9	56%	33%	0%
English Proficient	48	98%	69%	10%	50	92%	80%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••			•••••		•••••	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	70%	4%	22	86%	68%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	25	96%	68%	16%	28	96%	89%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	48	98%	69%	10%	50	92%	80%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	_	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 707	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2006 Mean Score: 664	100%	90%	100%		96% 95%	84% 80%		
2006-07				42%				
2005-06				8%			20% 16%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	48 45	48 37	20 4				

Boculte by	2006-07	School Yea	ır		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	je scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	48	100%	100%	42%	50	90%	74%	8%
Female	27	100%	100%	44%	23	87%	78%	9%
Male	21	100%	100%	38%	27	93%	70%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	44	-	-	-	48	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	48	100%	100%	42%	50	90%	74%	8%
General-Education Students	43	100%	100%	42%	41	95%	85%	10%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	100%	40%	9	67%	22%	0%
English Proficient	48	100%	100%	42%	50	90%	74%	8%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	100%	39%	22	82%	68%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	100%	44%	28	96%	79%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	48	100%	100%	42%	50	90%	74%	8%

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 684	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2006 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% _{92%}	90%		93% 90%	68% 64%			
2006-072005-06				14% 7%			6% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		49 55	44 40	7 4					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	49	100%	90%	14%	60	92%	67%	7%
Female	21	100%	95%	29%	28	93%	75%	11%
Male	28	100%	86%	4%	32	91%	59%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				•••••
White	47		-	-	59	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••	••••				•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	49	100%	90%	14%	60	92%	67%	7%
General-Education Students	44	100%	93%	16%	52	98%	77%	8%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	0%	8	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	49	100%	90%	14%	60	92%	67%	7%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	89%	5%	25	88%	44%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	90%	20%	35	94%	83%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	49	100%	90%	14%	60	92%	67%	7%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 696	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800						
2006 Mean Score: 681	100%	100% 98%	98% 87%		94% 93%	79% 77%				
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06				39% 22%			19% 18%			
Number of Tested Students:		49 59	48 52	19 13						

2000-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
49	100%	98%	39%	60	98%	87%	22%
21	100%	95%	38%	28	96%	86%	14%
28	100%	100%	39%	32	100%	88%	28%
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	_	_	_				
ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			-				
47	-	-	-	59	-	-	-
49	100%	98%	39%	60	98%	87%	22%
44	100%	100%	43%	52	98%	90%	25%
5	100%	80%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%
49	100%	98%	39%	60	98%	87%	22%
19	100%	95%	21%	25	96%	72%	8%
30	100%	100%	50%	35	100%	97%	31%
49	100%	98%	39%	60	98%	87%	22%
	Tested 49 21 28 1 1 47 49 44 5 49 44 5 49 19 30	Tested 2-4 49 100% 21 100% 28 100% 1 - 1 - 47 - 49 100% 44 100% 5 100% 49 100% 30 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 49 100% 98% 21 100% 95% 28 100% 100% 1 - - 1 - - 47 - - 49 100% 98% 44 100% 100% 5 100% 80% 49 100% 98% 100% 98% 100% 30 100% 95%	Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 49 100% 98% 39% 21 100% 95% 38% 28 100% 100% 39% 1 - - - 1 - - - 47 - - - 49 100% 98% 39% 49 100% 98% 39% 49 100% 98% 39% 100% 98% 39% 39% 49 100% 98% 39% 100% 98% 39% 39% 100% 98% 39% 39%	Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4Tested49100%98%39%6021100%95%38%2828100%100%39%32111111475949100%98%39%6044100%100%43%525100%80%0%849100%98%39%6019100%95%21%2530100%100%50%35	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 21 100% 95% 38% 28 96% 28 100% 100% 39% 32 100% 1 - - - 1 - 1 - - - 1 - 1 - - - 1 - 47 - - - 59 - 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 44 100% 100% 43% 52 98% 5 100% 80% 0% 8 100% 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 19 100% 95% 21% 25 96% 30 100% 100% 50% 35 100%	Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 87% 21 100% 95% 38% 28 96% 86% 28 100% 100% 39% 32 100% 88% 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 47 - - - 59 - - 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 87% 44 100% 100% 43% 52 98% 90% 5 100% 80% 0% 8 100% 63% 49 100% 98% 39% 60 98% 87% 19 100% 95% 21% 25 96% 72% 30 100% 100% 50% 35 100% 97%

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year:			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2006 Mean Score: 88 2006-07 2005-06	100%	100%100%	100% 97%	73% 77%	99% 99%	92% 92%	53% 50%		
Number of Tested Students:		49 62	49 60	36 48					
Results by		2006–07 Sc	hool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year			

2000-07	School lea	11						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
49	100%	100%	73%	62	100%	97%	77%	
20	100%	100%	85%	29	100%	97%	69%	
29	100%	100%	66%	33	100%	97%	85%	
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
						••••••	•••••	
_		-	-					
47	-	-	-	61	-	-	-	
49	100%	100%	73%	62	100%	97%	77%	
43	100%	100%	79%	53	100%	96%	81%	
6	100%	100%	33%	9	100%	100%	56%	
49	100%	100%	73%	62	100%	97%	77%	
			•••••			••••••	•••••	
19	100%	100%	68%	27	100%	93%	52%	
30	100%	100%	77%	35	100%	100%	97%	
49	100%	100%	73%	62	100%	97%	77%	
	Total Tested 49 20 29 1 1 1 47 49 43 6 49 43 6 49 30	Total Tested Percentage 2-4 49 100% 20 100% 29 100% 1 - 47 - 49 100% 1 - 47 - 49 100% 43 100% 6 100% 49 100% 30 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 49 100% 100% 20 100% 100% 29 100% 100% 1 - - 1 - - 47 - - 49 100% 100% 43 100% 100% 6 100% 100% 19 100% 100% 30 100% 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 49 100% 100% 73% 20 100% 100% 85% 29 100% 100% 66% 1 - - - 1 - - - 47 - - - 49 100% 100% 73% 43 100% 100% 73% 6 100% 100% 73% 49 100% 100% 73% 100% 100% 73% 33% 49 100% 100% 73% 100% 100% 73% 33% 49 100% 100% 73% 19 100% 100% 77%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Total Tested 49 100% 100% 73% 62 20 100% 100% 85% 29 29 100% 100% 66% 33 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 61 49 100% 100% 73% 62 43 100% 100% 73% 62 43 100% 100% 73% 62 49 100% 100% 73% 62 49 100% 100% 73% 62 19 100% 100% 73% 62 19 100% 100% 73% 35	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 49 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 20 100% 100% 85% 29 100% 29 100% 100% 66% 33 100% 1 - - - 1 - 1 - - - 61 - 47 - - 61 - - 49 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 43 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 6 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 49 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 19 100% 100% 73% 62 100% 30 100% 100% 77% 35 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scorin	

Other Assessments	2006–07 S o	hool Year:			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 675	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2006 Mean Score: 649 2006–07 2005–06	100%	98% 89%	83%	8% 7%	94% 90%	66% 56%	4% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	64 48	54 30	5 4				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	65	98%	83%	8%	54	89%	56%	7%
Female	32	97%	78%	13%	26	81%	46%	12%
Male	33	100%	88%	3%	28	96%	64%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
	1							
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	64	-	-	-	53	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	65	98%	83%	8%	54	89%	56%	7%
General-Education Students	55	100%	93%	9%	44	98%	68%	9%
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	30%	0%	10	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	65	98%	83%	8%	54	89%	56%	7%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		•••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	64%	4%	21	71%	29%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	95%	10%	33	100%	73%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	65	98%	83%	8%	54	89%	56%	7%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics - -

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 665	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2006 Mean Score: 634	100%	98% 75%	77%		91% 85%	66% 54%	
2006-072005-06			27%	9% 4%			12% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		65 39	51 14	62			
D 11 1		2006-07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year	

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	66	98%	77%	9%	52	75%	27%	4%
Female	33	97%	76%	9%	25	60%	24%	0%
Male	33	100%	79%	9%	27	89%	30%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	65	-	-	-	51	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	66	98%	77%	9%	52	75%	27%	4%
General-Education Students	56	98%	82%	11%	42	81%	33%	5%
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	50%	0%	10	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	66	98%	77%	9%	52	75%	27%	4%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	26	96%	69%	0%	20	60%	10%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	83%	15%	32	84%	38%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	66	98%	77%	9%	52	75%	27%	4%
	00	90%	1190	9%	52	15%	21%	4%

Other Assessments	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
100%	95% 94%	64% 62%		97% 89%	59% 50%			
			7% 15%		50%	5% 6%		
<u> </u>	42 50	28 33	3 8					
	5	Percentage scori 2–4 Range: 598–785 100% 95% 94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 100% 95% 94% 64% 62%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 95% 94% 64% 62% 7% ^{15%}	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage score 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 6 100% 95% 94% 64% 62% 97% 89% 100% 7% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 5 5 100% 95% 94% 64% 62% 97% 89% 59% 50% 100% 7% 15% 15% 15% 15% 59% 50%		

Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	44	95%	64%	7%	53	94%	62%	15%
Female	20	95%	65%	10%	29	100%	66%	17%
Male	24	96%	63%	4%	24	88%	58%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino		••••	••••••	•••••				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	
White	43		_	-	53	94%	62%	15%
Multiracial	••••••	••••		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
Small Group Totals	44	95%	64%	7%				••••••
General-Education Students	38	100%	71%	8%	46	96%	65%	17%
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%	7	86%	43%	0%
English Proficient	44	95%	64%	7%	53	94%	62%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	17	88%	35%	6%	29	93%	52%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	27	100%	81%	7%	24	96%	75%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	44	95%	64%	7%	53	94%	62%	15%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scl	nools	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4	
2007 Mean Score: 659	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2006 Mean Score: 653 2006-07 2005-06	100%	93% 96%	75%	9% 2%	91% 87%	68% 54% 13%	7%
Number of Tested Students:		41 51	33 28	4 1			
Pocults by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06	School Year	
Results by		Total F	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at lev	vel(s):

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	44	93%	75%	9 %	53	96%	53%	2%
Female	20	90%	70%	10%	29	93%	41%	0%
Male	24	96%	79%	8%	24	100%	67%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	43	-	-	-	53	96%	53%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	44	93%	75%	9%			••••••	
General-Education Students	38	97%	76%	11%	46	96%	57%	2%
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	67%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%
English Proficient	44	93%	75%	9%	53	96%	53%	2%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••		•••••	••••••		••••	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	82%	71%	12%	29	97%	41%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	27	100%	78%	7%	24	96%	67%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	44	93%	75%	9%	53	96%	53%	2%

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 S o	chool Year		
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 672	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2006 Mean Score: 635	100%	100% 86%	67%		94% 91%	52%	
2 006-07 2 005-06			42%	13%		53% 50%	4% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		54 55	36 27	7 1			

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 S	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	54	100%	67%	13%	64	86%	42%	2%
Female	26	100%	62%	15%	33	76%	42%	3%
Male	28	100%	71%	11%	31	97%	42%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino			•••••				••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••				••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	54	100%	67%	13%	63	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					64	86%	42%	2%
General-Education Students	49	100%	69%	14%	50	100%	54%	2%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	40%	0%	14	36%	0%	0%
English Proficient	54	100%	67%	13%	64	86%	42%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••	••••••	••••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	30	100%	50%	0%	36	81%	28%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	24	100%	88%	29%	28	93%	61%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	54	100%	67%	13%	64	86%	42%	2%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 Sc	hool Year		
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	_	-	_	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 678	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2006 Mean Score: 639	100%	100% 81%	89%		93% 87%	61%	
2006-072005-06			38%	24%		49%	10% _{6%}
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	54 51	48 24	13 0			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	54	100%	89%	24%	63	81%	38%	0%
Female	26	100%	85%	23%	32	84%	38%	0%
Male	28	100%	93%	25%	31	77%	39%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	54	100%	89%	24%	62	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					63	81%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	49	100%	90%	27%	50	88%	46%	0%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	0%	13	54%	8%	0%
English Proficient	54	100%	89%	24%	63	81%	38%	0%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••		••••••		•••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	30	100%	87%	10%	34	79%	35%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	24	100%	92%	42%	29	83%	41%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	54	100%	89%	24%	63	81%	38%	0%
NOTES								

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 S o	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 642	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 639	100%	94% 91%			96% 90%	55%	
2006-07 2005-06			44% 33%	2% 2%		44%	4% 3%
Number of Tested Students:		60 52	28 19	1 1			
Poculto by		2006–07 S	chool Year		2005-06 \$	School Year	
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scor 2–4 3	ing at level(s): 3–4 4	Total Tested	Percentage s 2–4	coring at level(s): 3–4 4
All Students		6.4	0.40/ 4/	1 0/ 2 0/	E 7	010/	220/ 20/

All Students	64	94%	44%	2%	57	91 %	33%	2%
Female	31	90%	55%	3%	27	89%	41%	4%
Male	33	97%	33%	0%	30	93%	27%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	–	-			••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		•••••				••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••			•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	62	-	-	-	57	91%	33%	2%
Multiracial	••••							
Small Group Totals	64	94%	44%	2%			••••••	
General-Education Students	54	100%	52%	2%	46	98%	41%	2%
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	0%	0%	11	64%	0%	0%
English Proficient	64	94%	44%	2%	57	91%	33%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••	••••••			•••••	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	31	90%	45%	0%	22	86%	27%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	33	97%	42%	3%	35	94%	37%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	64	94%	44%	2%	57	91%	33%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 S o	chool Year		
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 662	Range:	616-775	650-77	'5 7C)1-775				
2006 Mean Score: 654	100%	95% 95%	78%	%		90% 88%	<u>54%</u> 48	1%	
 2006-07 2005-06 				69	⁶ 0%				5%
Number of Tested Students:		61 53	50 36	5 4	0				
		01 00	50 50		Ũ				
		2006-07 S				2005-06 \$	ichool Yea	hool Year Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 95% 64% 100% 59%	
Results by					-	2005–06 S Total		-4 4 % 48% 6% 1 Year entage scoring at 1 2-4 3-4 5% 64% 0% 59%	level(s):
Results by		2006-07 S	chool Year		-		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s): 4
Results by Student Group		2006–07 S Total	chool Year Percentage s	scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	
Results by Student Group		2006–07 S Total Tested	chool Year Percentage s 2-4	scoring at l 3-4	evel(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4 95%	e scoring at 3–4 64%	4
Results by Student Group All Students Female		2006-07 S Total Tested 64	chool Year Percentage s 2-4 95%	scoring at l 3–4 78%	evel(s): 4 6%	Total Tested 56	Percentag 2-4 95%	e scoring at 3–4 64% 59%	4 0%
Results by Student Group All Students Female Male	e	2006-07 S Total Tested 64 31	chool Year Percentages 2-4 95% 94%	scoring at l 3–4 78% 74%	evel(s): 4 6% 3%	Total Tested 56 27	Percentage 2-4 95% 100%	e scoring at 3–4 64% 59%	4 0% 0%
Results by Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native		2006-07 S Total Tested 64 31 33	chool Year Percentages 2-4 95% 94% 97%	scoring at l 3–4 78% 74% 82%	evel(s): 4 6% 3% 9%	Total Tested 56 27 29	Percentage 2-4 95% 100%	e scoring at 3–4 64% 59%	4 0% 0%
Results by Students Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino		2006-07 S Total Tested 64 31	chool Year Percentages 2-4 95% 94% 97%	scoring at l 3–4 78% 74% 82%	evel(s): 4 6% 3% 9%	Total Tested 56 27 29	Percentage 2-4 95% 100%	e scoring at 3–4 64% 59%	4 0% 0%

NOTES								
Not Migrant	64	95%	78%	6%	56	95%	64%	0%
Migrant								
Not Disadvantaged	33	97%	85%	9%	34	91%	65%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	94%	71%	3%	22	100%	64%	0%
Limited English Proficient								

95%

70%

95%

100%

78%

87%

30%

78%

6%

7%

0%

6%

White

Multiracial

Small Group Totals

English Proficient

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

62

64

54

10

64

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

0%

0%

0%

0%

95%

93%

100%

95%

56

45

11

56

64%

67%

55%

64%

Not

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Scho	ol			Similar Sch	Similar Schools			
	Percentages	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	97% 98%				96% 98%				
		75%	57%			76% 77	%		
			JT 70						
2006-07							27	[%] 19%	
2005-06			14	15%				19%	
Number of Tested Students:	62 54	48	37	9 8					
Deculta by	2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	64	97%	75%	14%	55	98%	67%	15%	
Female	31	94%	61%	6%	26	100%	58%	12%	
Male	33	100%	88%	21%	29	97%	76%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	–					
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	62	-	_	_	55	98%	67%	15%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	64	97%	75%	14%					
General-Education Students	54	100%	85%	17%	43	100%	74%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	20%	0%	12	92%	42%	0%	
English Proficient	64	97%	75%	14%	55	98%	67%	15%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	31	97%	68%	13%	23	96%	65%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	33	97%	82%	15%	32	100%	69%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	64	97%	75%	14%	55	98%	67%	15%	
NOTES									

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compar				
Regents Science	0				0				

3 Overview of School Performance

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	92% 94%	92% 85%	46% 39%	80% 78%	76% 71%	27% 25%		

Poculto by	2003 Coho r	t			2002 Coho i	't**		
Results by	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	50	92%	92%	46%	54	94%	85%	39%
Female	25	100%	100%	52%	27	100%	89%	44%
Male	25	84%	84%	40%	27	89%	81%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	–	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander		•••••	•••••••••••	••••••				
White	48			-	54	94%	85%	39%
Multiracial				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		
Small Group Totals	50	92%	92%	46%				
General-Education Students	44	98%	98%	50%	50	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	6	50%	50%	17%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	50	92%	92%	46%	54	94%	85%	39%
Limited English Proficient				•••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	93%	27%	13	92%	92%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	35	91%	91%	54%	41	95%	83%	46%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					54	94%	85%	39%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

3 Overview of School Performance

School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	96% 96%	96% 89%	54% 43%	83% 81%	78% 75%	23% 19%	

Deculte by	2003 Coho r	t		2002 Coho i	2002 Cohort**			
Results by	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	50	96%	96%	54%	54	96%	89%	43%
Female	25	100%	100%	44%	27	100%	96%	37%
Male	25	92%	92%	64%	27	93%	81%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	–	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		•••••	••••••	••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	48	-	-	-	54	96%	89%	43%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	96%	96%	54%				
General-Education Students	44	100%	100%	57%	50	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	67%	33%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	50	96%	96%	54%	54	96%	89%	43%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	93%	47%	13	92%	85%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	35	97%	97%	57%	41	98%	90%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	54	96%	89%	43%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.