



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **03-16-01-06-0000**
Superintendent **MARK CAPOBIANCO**
Telephone **(607) 757-2241**
Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	285	270	303
Grade 1	285	277	265
Grade 2	313	302	271
Grade 3	292	306	298
Grade 4	331	297	296
Grade 5	306	351	294
Grade 6	350	317	352
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	23
Grade 7	351	344	310
Grade 8	329	347	334
Grade 9	402	338	353
Grade 10	329	370	306
Grade 11	342	312	352
Grade 12	285	336	296
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4200	4167	4053

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	18	19	19
Grade 8			
English	22	23	22
Mathematics	21	23	22
Science	22	23	22
Social Studies	22	23	22
Grade 10			
English	21	22	21
Mathematics	21	21	22
Science	19	21	21
Social Studies	20	21	20

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	363	9%	150	4%	339	8%
Reduced-Price Lunch	215	5%	298	7%	194	5%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	44	1%	61	1%	63	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	0%	11	0%	15	0%
Black or African American	124	3%	103	2%	105	3%
Hispanic or Latino	52	1%	63	2%	66	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	220	5%	222	5%	248	6%
White	3788	90%	3768	90%	3619	89%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		96%		97%	
Student Suspensions	81	2%	59	1%	63	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	337	335	295
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	9%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	11%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1290	858
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1210	1211	1220
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	2%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	15%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	10%	12%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	41	49	48
Total Paraprofessionals*	142	165	161
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—	—	—	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	2 of 3	3 of 3	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1946:1912)			100%		177	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (57:53)			100%		151	109	
Hispanic or Latino (30:30)		—	—		170	105	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (116:107)			98%		188	112	
White (1739:1718)			100%		178	119	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (289:284)			100%		128	116	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (24:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (267:255)			99%		158	115	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1940:1911)			100%		181	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (57:53)			100%		158	73	
Hispanic or Latino (31:30)		—	—		160	69	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (117:110)			100%		195	76	
White (1731:1714)			100%		181	83	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (288:284)			100%		132	80	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (23:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (265:255)			100%		161	79	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (646:632)		Qualified		99%		195	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (32:30)	—	—	—	—		200	100	
White (583:571)		Qualified		99%		195	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (103:102)		Qualified		100%		184	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (89:85)		Qualified		99%		188	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 2 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (327:322)			100%		189	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (304:298)			100%		189	153	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (44:51)			100%		141	146	146 147
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (25:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 3						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (327:322)			99%		193	146	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (304:298)			100%		194	146	
Multiracial (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (44:51)			98%		161	139	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (25:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination		3 of 3					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2006–07	2007–08
All Students (369)			90%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (6)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (4)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25)		–	–	–		
White (334)			90%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (40)			55%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (3)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (23)		–	–	–		
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **03-16-01-06-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 57% of total

AFRICAN ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
CLAYTON AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GLENWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
VESTAL HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 43% of total

TIOGA HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
VESTAL MIDDLE SCHOOL
VESTAL SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	82%			305
Grade 4	82%			300
Grade 5	87%			299
Grade 6	75%			358
Grade 7	77%			317
Grade 8	70%			338
Mathematics				
Grade 3	93%			303
Grade 4	93%			298
Grade 5	91%			301
Grade 6	76%			359
Grade 7	78%			319
Grade 8	73%			341
Science				
Grade 4	98%			295
Grade 8	91%			338

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	88%			350
Mathematics	90%			350

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	305	95%	82%	17%	299	98%	82%	12%
Female	155	94%	85%	20%	142	100%	87%	12%
Male	150	97%	80%	13%	157	96%	78%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	60%	40%	0%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	94%	81%	19%	16	100%	94%	19%
White	273	97%	84%	18%	270	98%	82%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	0%	13	92%	69%	8%
General-Education Students	262	99%	89%	19%	257	100%	88%	14%
Students with Disabilities	43	72%	44%	0%	42	86%	43%	0%
English Proficient	300	95%	83%	17%	298	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	43	91%	67%	12%	46	91%	70%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	262	96%	85%	18%	253	99%	84%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	305	95%	82%	17%	299	98%	82%	12%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	303	98%	93%	42%	303	99%	95%	38%
Female	155	99%	91%	35%	145	99%	95%	43%
Male	148	97%	95%	49%	158	99%	94%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	78%	56%	11%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	94%	39%	19	100%	100%	68%
White	270	99%	94%	42%	270	99%	94%	37%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	83%	14	100%	93%	21%
General-Education Students	260	100%	97%	45%	260	100%	97%	41%
Students with Disabilities	43	88%	65%	19%	43	93%	79%	19%
English Proficient	295	98%	93%	42%	298	99%	95%	38%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	25%	5	100%	100%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	93%	76%	29%	47	98%	89%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	262	99%	95%	44%	256	99%	96%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	303	98%	93%	42%	303	99%	95%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	300	98%	82%	11%	292	97%	85%	15%
Female	139	98%	83%	15%	134	98%	87%	16%
Male	161	98%	81%	7%	158	96%	83%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	44%	0%	6	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	90%	20%	14	100%	93%	14%
White	266	98%	83%	11%	270	97%	84%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					8	88%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	257	99%	89%	12%	254	99%	91%	17%
Students with Disabilities	43	91%	42%	0%	38	79%	39%	0%
English Proficient	297	-	-	-	291	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%	73%	4%	33	91%	73%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	255	98%	84%	12%	259	97%	86%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	300	98%	82%	11%	292	97%	85%	15%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	298	98%	93%	35%	298	99%	92%	39%
Female	138	97%	92%	37%	137	99%	91%	34%
Male	160	98%	94%	33%	161	99%	93%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	0%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	100%	80%	16	100%	88%	56%
White	264	97%	93%	33%	271	99%	92%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					11	100%	82%	9%
General-Education Students	256	99%	96%	39%	259	100%	94%	43%
Students with Disabilities	42	88%	71%	10%	39	95%	77%	8%
English Proficient	295	-	-	-	289	99%	92%	39%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	100%	91%	20%	34	97%	88%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	254	97%	93%	37%	264	100%	92%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	298	98%	93%	35%	298	99%	92%	39%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	295	100%	98%	83%	299	100%	99%	70%
Female	136	100%	99%	79%	138	100%	98%	64%
Male	159	100%	98%	86%	161	100%	99%	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	100%	100%	88%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	100%	95%	15	100%	100%	73%
White	262	100%	98%	83%	273	100%	99%	70%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					11	100%	91%	45%
General-Education Students	253	100%	99%	86%	260	100%	99%	72%
Students with Disabilities	42	100%	95%	64%	39	100%	97%	56%
English Proficient	292	-	-	-	290	100%	99%	70%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	100%	71%	34	100%	97%	47%
Not Disadvantaged	253	100%	98%	85%	265	100%	99%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	295	100%	98%	83%	299	100%	99%	70%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	299	99%	87%	14%	344	99%	85%	20%
Female	135	99%	93%	19%	166	99%	90%	23%
Male	164	99%	83%	10%	178	98%	81%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	11	91%	73%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	90%	10%	16	100%	94%	19%
White	268	99%	88%	15%	311	99%	86%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	73%	9%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	261	100%	92%	16%	292	100%	92%	23%
Students with Disabilities	38	95%	55%	0%	52	92%	48%	2%
English Proficient	292	100%	89%	14%	343	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	29%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	35	100%	74%	3%	58	95%	66%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	264	99%	89%	16%	286	99%	90%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	99%	87%	14%	344	99%	85%	20%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	301	99%	91%	31%	345	97%	84%	31%
Female	136	99%	93%	29%	167	95%	84%	32%
Male	165	100%	88%	32%	178	99%	83%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	11	82%	73%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	95%	52%	16	100%	100%	56%
White	267	100%	91%	30%	313	98%	84%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	92%	69%	8%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	262	100%	94%	34%	293	99%	89%	35%
Students with Disabilities	39	97%	69%	5%	52	87%	52%	10%
English Proficient	293	99%	91%	31%	344	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	75%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	83%	11%	58	91%	69%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	265	100%	92%	33%	287	98%	87%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	301	99%	91%	31%	345	97%	84%	31%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	358	99%	75%	8%	305	98%	79%	18%
Female	174	100%	79%	13%	157	99%	83%	19%
Male	184	98%	72%	3%	148	98%	74%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	85%	19%	17	100%	94%	35%
White	316	99%	74%	7%	276	98%	79%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	88%	13%	12	100%	58%	8%
General-Education Students	308	100%	82%	9%	269	100%	86%	20%
Students with Disabilities	50	92%	32%	0%	36	89%	25%	0%
English Proficient	357	-	-	-	302	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	50	94%	52%	2%	44	98%	59%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	308	100%	79%	9%	261	98%	82%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	358	99%	75%	8%	305	98%	79%	18%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	359	94%	76%	16%	317	94%	70%	14%
Female	174	95%	75%	20%	162	96%	67%	9%
Male	185	94%	77%	12%	155	92%	74%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	92%	38%	18	100%	78%	28%
White	316	93%	76%	14%	285	94%	72%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	65%	12%	14	71%	36%	0%
General-Education Students	309	98%	83%	18%	273	99%	78%	15%
Students with Disabilities	50	68%	34%	2%	44	64%	25%	2%
English Proficient	358	-	-	-	313	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	51	82%	53%	12%	48	88%	44%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	308	96%	80%	17%	269	95%	75%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	359	94%	76%	16%	317	94%	70%	14%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	317	98%	77%	11%	347	94%	67%	10%
Female	158	98%	85%	14%	165	98%	75%	13%
Male	159	98%	69%	8%	182	91%	60%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	10	100%	40%	0%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	9	100%	33%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	44%	13	100%	100%	46%
White	284	98%	78%	9%	317	95%	67%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	0%	8	63%	50%	13%
General-Education Students	272	99%	86%	13%	293	98%	74%	12%
Students with Disabilities	45	91%	24%	0%	54	72%	28%	0%
English Proficient	315	-	-	-	346	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	45	93%	56%	2%	42	76%	36%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	272	99%	81%	12%	305	97%	71%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	317	98%	77%	11%	347	94%	67%	10%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	319	97%	78%	22%	349	94%	67%	13%
Female	158	98%	80%	24%	164	96%	70%	16%
Male	161	95%	76%	20%	185	92%	64%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	10	90%	60%	10%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	9	100%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	90%	40%	14	93%	93%	50%
White	284	97%	79%	22%	318	94%	67%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	0%	8	88%	50%	13%
General-Education Students	274	100%	86%	26%	293	98%	75%	16%
Students with Disabilities	45	78%	29%	2%	56	77%	25%	2%
English Proficient	316	-	-	-	347	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	45	96%	62%	7%	43	88%	42%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	274	97%	81%	25%	306	95%	70%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	319	97%	78%	22%	349	94%	67%	13%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	338	98%	70%	9%	345	97%	66%	6%
Female	159	99%	76%	14%	169	98%	73%	5%
Male	179	97%	64%	5%	176	97%	60%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	12	100%	42%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	70%	0%	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	25%	16	100%	88%	13%
White	308	98%	70%	9%	311	97%	67%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	38%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	286	100%	79%	11%	298	100%	74%	6%
Students with Disabilities	52	90%	21%	0%	47	79%	19%	0%
English Proficient	338	98%	70%	9%	345	97%	66%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	40	95%	45%	5%	44	91%	55%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	298	99%	73%	10%	301	98%	68%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	338	98%	70%	9%	345	97%	66%	6%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	94%	73%	15%	348	96%	76%	22%
Female	160	96%	78%	18%	170	96%	78%	21%
Male	181	92%	69%	13%	178	96%	75%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	12	92%	75%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	60%	10%	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	67%	19	100%	95%	58%
White	311	94%	73%	14%	311	96%	75%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	63%	38%	0%	6	100%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	289	98%	82%	18%	301	99%	84%	25%
Students with Disabilities	52	69%	25%	0%	47	74%	30%	4%
English Proficient	341	94%	73%	15%	345	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	42	83%	45%	5%	43	91%	58%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	299	95%	77%	16%	305	97%	79%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	341	94%	73%	15%	348	96%	76%	22%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2005-06 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	338	100%	91%	50%	343	99%	94%	44%
Female	158	100%	89%	44%	167	100%	93%	41%
Male	180	99%	93%	54%	176	99%	95%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	20%	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	83%	19	100%	89%	74%
White	308	100%	91%	50%	307	99%	94%	44%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	38%	6	100%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	285	100%	94%	54%	298	100%	97%	50%
Students with Disabilities	53	98%	75%	26%	45	96%	73%	7%
English Proficient	338	100%	91%	50%	340	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	74%	26%	43	98%	86%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	296	100%	94%	53%	300	100%	95%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	338	100%	91%	50%	343	99%	94%	44%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2006-07 School Year


Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2005-06 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.
Regents Science	0				0

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	350	91%	88%	55%	393	90%	90%	61%
Female	166	94%	92%	59%	178	92%	90%	61%
Male	184	89%	85%	52%	215	89%	89%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	6	83%	83%	17%	6	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	2	–	–	–	8	75%	63%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	71%	26	96%	96%	81%
White	324	91%	88%	55%	352	90%	90%	60%
Multiracial	6	83%	83%	67%	7	86%	86%	57%
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	67%	7	86%	86%	57%
General-Education Students	291	96%	96%	65%	347	95%	94%	67%
Students with Disabilities	59	66%	53%	8%	46	59%	59%	13%
English Proficient	347	–	–	–	390	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	31	68%	61%	39%	25	76%	72%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	319	93%	91%	57%	368	91%	91%	63%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					393	90%	90%	61%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	350	92%	90%	44%	393	91%	89%	36%
Female	166	92%	91%	43%	178	90%	88%	34%
Male	184	91%	90%	45%	215	93%	90%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	6	83%	67%	17%	6	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	2	–	–	–	8	75%	75%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	57%	26	96%	96%	65%
White	324	92%	90%	44%	352	91%	89%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	33%	7	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	291	98%	97%	51%	347	94%	93%	39%
Students with Disabilities	59	63%	58%	8%	46	70%	59%	9%
English Proficient	347	–	–	–	390	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	31	68%	65%	35%	25	72%	64%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	319	94%	93%	45%	368	93%	90%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					393	91%	89%	36%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.