



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**
District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**
Superintendent **RAYMOND FASHANO**
Telephone **(716) 483-4420**
Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	86	81	151
Kindergarten	413	407	394
Grade 1	403	394	395
Grade 2	381	394	373
Grade 3	372	357	379
Grade 4	386	358	358
Grade 5	399	377	346
Grade 6	385	363	355
Ungraded Elementary	98	68	50
Grade 7	397	363	355
Grade 8	385	353	358
Grade 9	381	430	400
Grade 10	362	372	352
Grade 11	355	356	324
Grade 12	351	339	302
Ungraded Secondary	116	84	59
Total K-12	5184	5015	4800

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	18	18	17
Grade 8			
English	19	18	17
Mathematics	19	18	18
Science	19	18	18
Social Studies	19	18	18
Grade 10			
English	17	17	17
Mathematics	19	17	15
Science	19	20	21
Social Studies	19	19	20

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2501	48%	2357	47%	2436	51%
Reduced-Price Lunch	474	9%	557	11%	510	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	166	3%	114	2%	143	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	65	1%	71	1%	66	1%
Black or African American	393	8%	390	8%	381	8%
Hispanic or Latino	479	9%	500	10%	462	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	1%	20	0%	22	0%
White	4214	81%	4034	80%	3837	80%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	32	1%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	398	8%	408	8%	356	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	459	455	372
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	5%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	7%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1728	1142
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	2%	2%
Total Number of Classes	1773	1703	1713
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	2%	3%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	20%	22%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	11%	12%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	87	85	38
Total Paraprofessionals*	134	145	190
Assistant Principals	6	6	6
Principals	10	10	10

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH		—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	6 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	3 of 3	3 of 3	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08	
All Students (2231:2149)			100%		145	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (30:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Black or African American (210:202)			100%		131	114		
Hispanic or Latino (282:250)			99%		120	115		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
White (1697:1656)			100%		151	119		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (352:326)			98%		67	116	67 80	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (88:59)			99%		68	109	109 81	
Economically Disadvantaged (1478:1406)			100%		130	119		
Final AYP Determination		6 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2222:2146)			100%		154	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (29:28)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (207:197)			100%		144	78	
Hispanic or Latino (283:266)			100%		125	79	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1691:1643)			100%		161	83	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (353:328)			100%		75	80	77 88
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (86:75)			100%		81	75	
Economically Disadvantaged (1470:1405)			100%		141	83	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (732:700)		Qualified		99%		179	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (73:68)		Qualified		99%		176	100	
Hispanic or Latino (78:72)		Qualified		96%		154	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (571:550)		Qualified		99%		183	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (115:103)		Qualified		96%		128	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (20:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (461:436)		Qualified		98%		171	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status











Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (301:298)			100%		179	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (15:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (18:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (265:261)			100%		185	152	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (21:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (99:103)			100%		159	149	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 3						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status











Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (301:298)			100%		188	146	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (15:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (18:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (265:261)			100%		192	145	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (21:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (99:103)			100%		178	142	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 3						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status






Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (294)			89%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (8)	–	–	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino (15)	–	–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)	–	–	–	–	
White (267)			91%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (25)	–	–	–	–	
Limited English Proficient ³ (5)	–	–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (87)			78%	55%	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

8 schools identified 80% of total

CARLYLE C RING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
CLINTON V BUSH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
JEFFERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL
LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
PERSELL MIDDLE SCHOOL
ROVILLUS R ROGERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SAMUEL G LOVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

1 school identified 10% of total

MILTON J FLETCHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 10% of total

JAMESTOWN HIGH SCHOOL

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	63%			365
Grade 4	58%			347
Grade 5	52%			360
Grade 6	48%			367
Grade 7	54%			365
Grade 8	48%			382
Mathematics				
Grade 3	78%			367
Grade 4	75%			346
Grade 5	49%			365
Grade 6	60%			369
Grade 7	64%			373
Grade 8	61%			387
Science				
Grade 4	86%			343
Grade 8	76%			325

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	68%			382
Mathematics	75%			382

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	365	87%	63%	7%	347	87%	61%	3%
Female	190	88%	67%	6%	166	89%	62%	5%
Male	175	85%	58%	7%	181	86%	60%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	78%	49%	2%	41	85%	63%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	50	82%	50%	2%	26	92%	54%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	267	90%	69%	9%	273	87%	62%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	43%	29%	0%	7	86%	29%	0%
General-Education Students	321	91%	70%	8%	306	95%	68%	4%
Students with Disabilities	44	55%	11%	0%	41	29%	10%	0%
English Proficient	351	88%	65%	7%	346	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	14	57%	7%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	257	83%	55%	4%	230	82%	50%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	108	95%	81%	15%	117	97%	83%	6%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	364	-	-	-	347	87%	61%	3%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	367	93%	78%	18%	356	90%	78%	19%
Female	190	92%	79%	18%	166	89%	78%	17%
Male	177	93%	77%	18%	190	92%	79%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	42	88%	64%	10%	42	83%	76%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	55	89%	73%	11%	36	83%	61%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	263	94%	83%	22%	271	92%	82%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	43%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	322	96%	84%	20%	313	95%	84%	21%
Students with Disabilities	45	69%	33%	2%	43	58%	37%	0%
English Proficient	348	94%	80%	19%	346	92%	80%	19%
Limited English Proficient	19	74%	37%	0%	10	50%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	258	90%	71%	12%	238	86%	71%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	109	98%	94%	33%	118	99%	93%	30%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	366	-	-	-	356	90%	78%	19%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	347	90%	58%	6%	353	85%	52%	8%
Female	161	91%	60%	7%	174	86%	54%	10%
Male	186	89%	56%	5%	179	85%	51%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Black or African American	45	91%	56%	4%	31	87%	52%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	37	-	-	-	35	86%	46%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	261	91%	60%	7%	275	87%	55%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	41	83%	49%	0%	12	50%	17%	8%
General-Education Students	303	96%	65%	7%	301	92%	61%	10%
Students with Disabilities	44	50%	7%	0%	52	48%	4%	0%
English Proficient	339	91%	59%	6%	352	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	38%	13%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	239	86%	47%	2%	241	81%	43%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	108	98%	82%	16%	112	95%	73%	19%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	347	90%	58%	6%	352	-	-	-


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	346	90%	75%	18%	365	88%	66%	16%
Female	161	88%	73%	13%	179	90%	63%	15%
Male	185	91%	77%	22%	186	87%	70%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	89%	75%	23%	30	90%	63%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	41	-	-	-	47	83%	47%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	257	91%	78%	19%	276	90%	71%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	45	82%	62%	9%	12	67%	42%	17%
General-Education Students	303	95%	82%	20%	310	96%	74%	19%
Students with Disabilities	43	51%	33%	0%	55	45%	24%	0%
English Proficient	335	91%	77%	19%	352	89%	68%	17%
Limited English Proficient	11	45%	36%	0%	13	77%	31%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	240	86%	68%	12%	253	86%	58%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	106	97%	92%	32%	112	94%	84%	36%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	346	90%	75%	18%	364	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	97%	86%	45%	361	96%	83%	42%
Female	159	96%	84%	38%	178	96%	84%	40%
Male	184	97%	88%	51%	183	96%	81%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	95%	82%	34%	31	97%	77%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	40	-	-	-	45	96%	67%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	255	96%	89%	48%	273	96%	86%	48%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	44	100%	68%	34%	12	92%	67%	25%
General-Education Students	298	99%	90%	49%	312	98%	87%	48%
Students with Disabilities	45	82%	56%	18%	49	84%	57%	2%
English Proficient	333	97%	87%	46%	349	96%	84%	43%
Limited English Proficient	10	100%	30%	10%	12	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	95%	81%	38%	250	94%	78%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	107	100%	97%	59%	111	100%	93%	64%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	343	97%	86%	45%	360	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	360	90%	52%	4%	365	85%	49%	8%
Female	177	91%	54%	6%	191	85%	45%	7%
Male	183	89%	49%	2%	174	84%	53%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Black or African American	36	89%	47%	0%	34	68%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	48	85%	38%	0%	30	80%	27%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	265	91%	55%	5%	288	86%	52%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	82%	36%	0%	13	100%	46%	0%
General-Education Students	302	97%	61%	5%	311	93%	56%	9%
Students with Disabilities	58	53%	5%	0%	54	35%	6%	0%
English Proficient	344	91%	53%	4%	364	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	16	75%	19%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	258	87%	43%	2%	227	78%	34%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	102	97%	74%	10%	138	96%	72%	17%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	358	-	-	-	364	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	365	85%	49%	5%	379	76%	44%	8%
Female	178	87%	48%	6%	196	73%	40%	8%
Male	187	83%	49%	4%	183	78%	48%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Black or African American	36	75%	44%	3%	35	63%	26%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	50	76%	24%	2%	39	62%	23%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	268	87%	55%	6%	292	79%	49%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	91%	18%	0%	13	85%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	304	93%	56%	6%	322	84%	51%	9%
Students with Disabilities	61	44%	13%	0%	57	32%	5%	0%
English Proficient	348	86%	51%	5%	370	76%	44%	8%
Limited English Proficient	17	59%	6%	0%	9	44%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	263	82%	40%	2%	241	68%	28%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	102	91%	72%	12%	138	88%	71%	17%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	363	-	-	-	377	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	367	94%	48%	6%	368	85%	49%	7%
Female	187	98%	53%	6%	182	88%	52%	10%
Male	180	91%	43%	6%	186	83%	45%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	31	87%	32%	0%	29	83%	34%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	41	95%	17%	0%	45	76%	22%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	288	95%	54%	8%	287	87%	54%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	0%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	306	99%	57%	7%	308	94%	57%	9%
Students with Disabilities	61	69%	5%	0%	60	40%	3%	0%
English Proficient	357	95%	50%	6%	363	86%	49%	7%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	0%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	92%	35%	3%	222	78%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	131	99%	73%	11%	146	96%	74%	16%
Migrant					5	100%	40%	0%
Not Migrant	367	94%	48%	6%	363	85%	49%	7%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	369	86%	60%	15%	369	80%	50%	10%
Female	186	89%	61%	16%	182	82%	51%	11%
Male	183	83%	59%	13%	187	79%	50%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	79%	41%	7%	28	82%	39%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	42	76%	38%	5%	48	63%	25%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	292	88%	65%	16%	286	83%	55%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	33%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	307	93%	69%	17%	309	90%	59%	12%
Students with Disabilities	62	50%	18%	3%	60	33%	5%	2%
English Proficient	358	87%	61%	15%	359	82%	51%	11%
Limited English Proficient	11	64%	18%	0%	10	40%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	238	81%	49%	5%	225	72%	36%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	131	95%	80%	31%	144	93%	73%	21%
Migrant					4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	369	86%	60%	15%	365	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	365	91%	54%	5%	381	85%	52%	6%
Female	180	92%	64%	6%	176	88%	49%	4%
Male	185	90%	45%	4%	205	82%	54%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	26	96%	38%	8%	29	76%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	45	78%	24%	0%	30	70%	27%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	288	93%	60%	6%	313	88%	56%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	0%	9	67%	22%	0%
General-Education Students	306	97%	64%	6%	326	93%	60%	7%
Students with Disabilities	59	59%	3%	0%	55	40%	5%	0%
English Proficient	354	93%	56%	5%	378	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	11	45%	0%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	229	87%	41%	3%	212	77%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	136	98%	77%	9%	169	95%	72%	11%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	364	-	-	-	378	-	-	-


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	373	92%	64%	17%	377	86%	55%	9%
Female	181	94%	66%	16%	175	88%	53%	6%
Male	192	91%	61%	17%	202	85%	57%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	88%	64%	16%	29	90%	41%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	53	83%	36%	4%	30	73%	27%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	289	94%	69%	19%	310	88%	60%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%	8	75%	38%	13%
General-Education Students	311	97%	74%	20%	324	94%	63%	10%
Students with Disabilities	62	66%	11%	0%	53	38%	11%	0%
English Proficient	356	93%	66%	17%	372	88%	56%	9%
Limited English Proficient	17	71%	12%	0%	5	0%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	89%	51%	8%	209	78%	43%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	137	97%	86%	31%	168	96%	71%	18%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	372	-	-	-	375	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	382	88%	48%	4%	359	87%	47%	4%
Female	168	92%	56%	5%	180	92%	57%	4%
Male	214	85%	42%	3%	179	83%	37%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	83%	23%	0%	23	87%	48%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	31	84%	13%	0%	35	80%	23%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	315	89%	54%	5%	296	88%	51%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	17%	5	100%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	319	95%	57%	5%	315	95%	54%	5%
Students with Disabilities	63	52%	2%	0%	44	34%	2%	0%
English Proficient	378	-	-	-	355	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	221	81%	32%	0%	191	79%	28%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	161	97%	71%	9%	168	96%	70%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	381	-	-	-	358	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	387	86%	61%	12%	369	87%	56%	14%
Female	167	92%	67%	10%	189	89%	62%	15%
Male	220	82%	57%	13%	180	84%	51%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	87%	53%	0%	23	87%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	37	68%	22%	3%	38	89%	26%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	314	89%	67%	13%	302	86%	61%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	33%	6	100%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	322	96%	72%	14%	324	94%	63%	15%
Students with Disabilities	65	37%	11%	0%	45	36%	9%	0%
English Proficient	377	87%	63%	12%	362	87%	57%	14%
Limited English Proficient	10	50%	0%	0%	7	86%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	222	81%	47%	3%	200	80%	37%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	165	93%	81%	23%	169	95%	80%	28%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	386	-	-	-	367	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	325	94%	76%	36%	304	96%	74%	20%
Female	149	95%	82%	40%	152	99%	74%	17%
Male	176	93%	70%	32%	152	93%	74%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	27	-	-	-	19	100%	63%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	33	88%	42%	6%	36	92%	56%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	261	95%	81%	41%	242	96%	78%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	31	90%	65%	23%	7	86%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	265	98%	85%	43%	262	97%	79%	22%
Students with Disabilities	60	77%	37%	5%	42	86%	40%	5%
English Proficient	316	94%	78%	37%	299	96%	75%	20%
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	0%	0%	5	100%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	209	92%	69%	26%	190	94%	66%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	116	97%	87%	53%	114	99%	87%	32%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	324	-	-	-	303	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	49	49	49	38	0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	382	76%	68%	25%	367	76%	65%	23%
Female	200	77%	69%	29%	183	79%	70%	31%
Male	182	75%	66%	20%	184	72%	60%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	22	-	-	-	13	69%	54%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	28	50%	36%	0%	23	61%	26%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	328	79%	72%	28%	325	77%	68%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	62%	50%	15%	6	67%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	327	84%	76%	29%	313	86%	76%	27%
Students with Disabilities	55	25%	20%	0%	54	15%	4%	0%
English Proficient	377	76%	68%	25%	361	76%	66%	24%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	148	65%	51%	8%	117	66%	46%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	234	83%	78%	35%	250	80%	74%	31%
Migrant					6	50%	33%	0%
Not Migrant					361	76%	66%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	382	80%	75%	32%	367	78%	75%	23%
Female	200	81%	75%	31%	183	81%	79%	23%
Male	182	79%	75%	33%	184	75%	70%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Black or African American	22	–	–	–	13	69%	69%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	28	54%	39%	11%	23	57%	43%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
White	328	82%	79%	35%	325	80%	77%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	77%	65%	12%	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	327	87%	83%	36%	313	88%	85%	27%
Students with Disabilities	55	35%	27%	4%	54	22%	15%	0%
English Proficient	377	80%	75%	32%	361	78%	75%	24%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%	6	83%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	148	73%	64%	18%	117	70%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	234	84%	82%	41%	250	82%	80%	30%
Migrant					6	50%	50%	0%
Not Migrant					361	79%	75%	24%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.