

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 District **CORTLAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **11-02-00-01-0000** Superintendent **LAURENCE SPRING** Telephone **(607) 758-4100** Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	238	226	239
Grade 1	215	225	216
Grade 2	197	203	202
Grade 3	205	202	198
Grade 4	194	202	206
Grade 5	213	186	198
Grade 6	196	207	195
Ungraded Elementary	31	31	23
Grade 7	234	234	243
Grade 8	243	220	227
Grade 9	279	270	238
Grade 10	220	219	230
Grade 11	194	189	199
Grade 12	174	196	184
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2833	2810	2798

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	19	20	19
Grade 8			
English	20	19	18
Mathematics	21	13	21
Science	22	19	21
Social Studies	23	19	20
Grade 10			
English	21	15	17
Mathematics	19	20	15
Science	22	17	20
Social Studies	16	20	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006–07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	742	26%	765	27%	739	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	197	7%	179	6%	197	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	27	1%	22	1%	11	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0%	2	0%	5	0%
Black or African American	122	4%	135	5%	153	5%
Hispanic or Latino	46	2%	44	2%	57	2%
Asian or Native	21	1%	22	1%	16	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2640	93%	2607	93%	2565	92%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	160	6%	129	5%	159	6%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	239	234	214
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	5%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	14%	12%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	880	591
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	890	879	863
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	1%	1%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	12%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	11%	10%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	23	37	30
Total Paraprofessionals*	83	84	100
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	10	9	9

 * Not available at the school level.

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement the following year. (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive

 Title I funds.
 District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District CORTLAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2007–08)	ELA A Good Standing		Scien	ice	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Grad	uation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A	Funding				
	2005-	06	2006–07		2007–08			
	YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	Secondary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	 	v	~	 ✓ 	v	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	~	~		_	_	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	V	••••	–	-	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–		-	-		
White	~	V	••••	~	 	••••	
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	••••	✓SH	 ✓ 	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006-07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (1275:1252)			99%	 Image: A start of the start of	165	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (86:84)	~	~	100%	~	139	111	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (39:37)	 ✓ 	_	-	 ✓ 	154	106	•••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (1142:1125)	 ✓ 	~	100%	 ✓ 	167	119	••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••				••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (244:235)	✓ SH	~	98%	√ SH	103	115	101	113
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (11:9)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (585:570)	•	~	100%	<	145	117	••• ••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (1265:1233)	~		99%		170	83		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (83:82)	 	~	100%	~	143	75	••• •••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (39:37)	 ✓ 	_	-	 ✓ 	176	70	••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (1135:1108)	✓	~	99%	 ✓ 	172	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (241:227)	~	~	96%	~	114	79		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (11:10)	_	_	-	_	_	-	••••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (576:553)	<	~	99%	~	153	81	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (430:418)		Qualified	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (23:23)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (15:15)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (390:378)		Qualified	 	99%	~	185	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (71:69)		Qualified	~	97%	~	133	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (179:170)		Qualified	~	99%	~	168	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	followed b students w Groups wit the partici shown is th participati Groups wit criterion. F and 2006-	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005- on rates over tha h fewer than 30 or districts with 07 were combin	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 06 and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro fewer than 30 cor ed to determine co	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stuc ntinuously enro bunts and perfo	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require lled tested students rmance indices. rmer LEP students a	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–0 is the weighte ed to meet the s in 2006–07, o	ccountability ent count. juired to me 7, the enrol ed average c e performan data for 200	y calculatior et Iment f the ce


District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (179:192)	~	~	97%	 ✓ 	168	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••
(7:7)	-	-	-	_	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	_	-	-		-
White (169:182)	v	v	98%	v	170	151		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:21)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (33:40)	SH	-	-	SH	130	144	107	137
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (179:192)	 Image: A second s	v	97%	 Image: A set of the set of the	177	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
(7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)						-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (2:2)	_ 	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (169:182)	v	v	97%	V	179	144		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••	••••				••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:21)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	•••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (33:40)	~	-	-	~	148	137	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 3 of 3							



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08		
All Students (186)	~	 	87%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (4)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		-	_	-			
White (177)	• • • • • • • • • • •	✓	86%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (24)		_	-	-			
Limited English Proficient ³ (1)		_	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged (28)		_	-	_			
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1	of 1					

NOTES

- ¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.
- ³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2007-08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	Good Standing
4 schools identified 67% of total	2 schools identified 33% of total
ALTON B PARKER SCHOOL	CORTLAND JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
F E SMITH SCHOOL	VIRGIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
FRANKLYN S BARRY SCHOOL	
RANDALL SCHOOL	

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	5	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	69%		196
Grade 4	76%		206
Grade 5	83%		201
Grade 6	63%		193
Grade 7	58%		240
Grade 8	68%		225
Mathematics			
Grade 3	83%		193
Grade 4	83%		204
Grade 5	88%		199
Grade 6	67%		191
Grade 7	60%		237
Grade 8	71%		221
Science			
Grade 4	91%		203
Grade 8	81%		223
	-	of students that above Level 3	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

.....

70%

78%

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

223

223

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 668	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780				
2006 Mean Score: 668	100%	93% 94%	69% 74%		91% 92%	67% 69%		
2005-06				8% 6%			10% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		183 193	136 151	15 13				
Deculte hy		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005–06 S	chool Year		

2006-07	School rea	2005-06 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
196	93%	69%	8%	205	94%	74%	6%
85	95%	72%	9%	97	95%	77%	9%
111	92%	68%	6%	108	94%	70%	4%
14	79%	43%	0%	11	82%	55%	0%
8	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
173	94%	71%	8%	184	95%	75%	6%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••		••••	••••••	•••••
9	100%	78%	22%	10	100%	70%	20%
166	99%	78%	9%	176	99%	82%	7%
30	63%	23%	0%	29	66%	21%	3%
193	-	-	-	204	-	-	-
3	-	-	–	1	-	–	-
104	88%	57%	3%	88	91%	60%	1%
92	99%	84%	13%	117	97%	84%	10%
				3	-	-	-
196	93%	69%	8%	202	-		
	Total Tested 196 85 111 14 8 1 14 8 1 173 9 166 30 193 3 104 92	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 196 93% 85 95% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 92% 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 111 - 1113 - 1113 - 1113 - 1114 - 1153 - 1163	Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 196 93% 69% 85 95% 72% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 68% 111 92% 71% 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 111 - - 1173 94% 71% 1166 99% 78%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 196 93% 69% 8% 85 95% 72% 9% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 68% 6% 111 92% 71% 8% 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 - - - 111 -	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total Tested19693%69%8%2058595%72%9%9711192%68%6%1081479%43%0%1189191117394%71%8%1849100%78%22%1016699%78%9%1763063%23%0%29193110488%57%3%889299%84%13%117333	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage $2-4$ 19693%69%8%20594%8595%72%9%9795%11192%68%6%10894%1479%43%0%1182%89-19-11-17394%71%8%18495%9100%78%22%10100%16699%78%9%17699%3063%23%0%2966%1931-3110488%57%3%8891%9299%84%13%11797%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring 2-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 20			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

203

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	l.	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 674	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770					
2006 Mean Score: 674	100%	96% 95%	83% 8	2%		96% 94%	85% 81	%		
2006-07 2005-06				1	3% 19%			29	[%] 25%	
Number of Tested Students:	L	186 196	161 1	.68 :	26 39					
Poculto by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	-	193	96%	83%	13%	206	95%	82 %	19 %	
Female		84	96%	83%	15%	97	95%	80%	22%	
Male		109	96%	83%	12%	109	95%	83%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative									
Black or African American		13	85%	69%	8%	11	82%	36%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		7				9				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
White		172	97%	84%	12%	185	96%		19%	
Multiracial			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Small Group Totals		8	100%	100%	50%	10	100%	90%	30%	
General-Education Students		165	99%	90%	16%	177	99%	87%	22%	
Students with Disabilities		28	79%	43%	0%	29	72%	48%	0%	
English Proficient		190	_			205	-			
imited English Proficient		3	-	_	-	1	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	101	93%	72%	9%	89	92%	72%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged		92	100%	96%	18%	117	97%	89%	26%	
Migrant						3	-		_	
		400	0.00/	020/	1 2 0 /					

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

193

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 20 cannot be	007, so compared.

83%

13%

96%

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 667	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2006 Mean Score: 669 2006-07 2005-06	100%	95% 94%	76% 75%	7% 5%	92% 91%	68% 69%	8% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		196 197	156 158	15 10					
Results by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005–06 S	chool Year			

Results by	2000-07	School rea	r					
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	206	95%	76%	7%	210	94%	75%	5%
Female	95	97%	82%	8%	120	94%	73%	8%
Male	111	94%	70%	6%	90	93%	79%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	92%	38%	0%	11	91%	73%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	67%	11%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					⊥ 			
White	184	95%	79%	8%	194	94%	75%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	100%	100%	20%
General-Education Students	176	99%	82%	9%	177	99%	83%	6%
Students with Disabilities	30	70%	37%	0%	33	67%	33%	0%
English Proficient	206	95%	76%	7%	209	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	94	94%	65%	3%	88	88%	64%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	112	96%	85%	11%	122	98%	84%	7%
Migrant					6	100%	67%	0%
Not Migrant	206	95%	76%	7%	204	94%	75%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				New NYSAA			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent		-	-	-	2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot b	e compared
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4								

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 675	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2006 Mean Score: 673	100%	94% 95%	83% 7	8%		94% 93%	80% 78	3%			
 2006-07 2005-06 				1:	8% 18%			289	% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	1	191 197	170 1	.62 3	37 37						
Deculte by		2006–07 S o	hool Yea	٢		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		204	94%	83%	18%	208	95%	78%	18%		
Female		94	95%	83%	16%	119	93%	73%	17%		
Male		110	93%	84%	20%	89	97%	84%	19%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e					1	-	-	-		
Black or African American		11	91%	64%	0%	11	91%	82%	9%		
Hispanic or Latino		10	100%	90%	20%	3	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						1	-	-	-		
White		183	93%	84%	19%	192	95%	77%	17%		
Multiracial	••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		••••	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	5	100%	100%	60%		
General-Education Students		173	98%	89%	21%	176	99%	84%	20%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31	71%	52%	3%	32	69%	47%	3%		
English Proficient		204	94%	83%	18%	207	-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		92	90%	76%	7%	86	92%	64%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	112	96%	89%	28%	122	97%	88%	23%		
Migrant						6	100%	67%	0%		
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	204	94%	83%	18%	202	95%	78%	18%		

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	New NYSAA were developed in 200 2006 and 2007 results cannot be co		

200

100%

94%

51%

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 81	Range:	45-100	65-10	30 OC	5-100					
2006 Mean Score: 82	100%	99% 100%	91% 9			97% 97%	85% 86			
2006-072005-06				5	0% 50%	н.		49	% 49%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	201 205	185 1	.93 1	02 102					
Poculto by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	ır		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	0	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		203	99%	91%	50%	206	100%	94%	50%	
Female		94	99%	91%	47%	117	99%	92%	47%	
Male		109	99%	91%	53%	89	100%	96%	53%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American		11	100%	82%	18%	11	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		10	100%	80%	40%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er			•••••						
White		182	99%	92%	53%	191	99%	94%	52%	
Multiracial		•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	15	100%	93%	20%	
General-Education Students		172	100%	96%	55%	174	100%	97%	53%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	31	94%	65%	23%	32	97%	75%	31%	
English Proficient		203	99%	91%	50%	205	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		90	99%	83%	37%	84	100%	89%	32%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	113	99%	97%	61%	122	99%	97%	61%	
Migrant						6	100%	100%	17%	
Not Migrapt		 າດາ	0.00/	010/	EO0/	200	1000/	0.40/	E 1 0/	

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

203

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S c	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	-	_	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 20 cannot be	007, so compared.

91%

50%

99%

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 673	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2006 Mean Score: 662 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	96% 95%	83% 68%	11% 10%	95% 94%	68% 67%	7% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		193 183	167 130	22 20					
		2006-07 Sch	ool Voar		2005-06 S	chool Voar			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	201	96%	83%	11%	192	95%	68%	10%
Female	116	96%	82%	10%	101	97%	67%	11%
Male	85	96%	85%	12%	91	93%	68%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	12	83%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	10	90%	70%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White		96%	83%		170	96%	70%	12%
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••		••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	15	93%	80%	7%		••••	•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	162	100%	90%	13%	153	97%	75%	13%
Students with Disabilities	39	79%	54%	3%	39	87%	41%	0%
English Proficient	199	-	-	-	189	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	85	92%	68%	7%	91	91%	53%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	116	99%	94%	14%	101	99%	81%	14%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	201	96%	83%	11%	189	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

3

189

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 678	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 (599-780					
2006 Mean Score: 659	100%	94% 91%	88%	4%		94% 90%	76% 68	%		
2006-07 2005-06				2	3% 12%	н.	н	22	% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		188 175	176 1	23	45 23					
Results by		2006–07 S e	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
		Total	r ercentage scoring at tevet(s).			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		199	94%	88%	23%	192	91%	64%	12%	
Female		116	96%	86%	16%	102	86%	57%	8%	
Male		83	93%	92%	31%	90	97%	72%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	1			_					
Black or African American		11			_	12	75%	33%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		3			_	10	80%	60%	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ner									
White		184	95%	89%	22%	170	93%	66%	13%	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••••••••••••			
Small Group Totals		15	87%	87%	27%		••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students		161	99%	95%	25%	154	95%	70%	14%	
Students with Disabilities		38	74%	61%	13%	38	74%	39%	3%	
English Proficient		197	-	-	-	189	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		83	90%	78%	17%	90	87%	50%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged		116	97%	96%	27%	102	95%	76%	16%	

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

199

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 20 s cannot be	007, so compared.

88%

23%

94%

_

_

208

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 658	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70	05-785				
2006 Mean Score: 655	100%	97% _{92%}	63% ₅	9%		98% _{93%}	63% 60	%	
2006-07 2005-06				4	<mark>%</mark> 11%			9%	6 12%
Number of Tested Students:		187 194	122 1	24 7	23				
Results by		2006-07 S	chool Yea	ſ		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	193	97%	63%	4%	211	92%	59%	11%
Female		104	99%	66%	5%	103	89%	58%	12%
Male		89	94%	60%	2%	108	94%	59%	10%
American Indian or Alaska N Black or African American	lative	15	100%	47%	0%	18	83%	28%	6%
Hispanic or Latino		8	-		-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White Multiracial		169	96%	63%	4%	186	93%	61%	11%
Small Group Totals	•••••		100%		11%	7		71%	14%
General-Education Students		151	100%	72%	5%	155	99%	75%	15%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	42	86%	31%	0%	56	71%	13%	0%
English Proficient		190	-	-	_	210	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	3	–	-	_	1	–	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		99	96%	47%	3%	94	86%	44%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	94	98%	80%	4%	117	97%	71%	15%
Migrant						3			

Not Migrant

NOTES The - syn symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

193

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

63%

4%

97%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 660	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2006 Mean Score: 652	100%	94% 88%	67% 5	5%		91% _{87%}	71%	1%	
2006-07									
2005-06				8	3% 10%			20	[%] 13%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	179 189	128 1	.18 1	15 21				
Posults by		2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		191	94%	67%	8 %	216	88%	55%	10%
Female		102	94%	68%	12%	105	86%	54%	10%
Male		89	93%	66%	3%	111	89%	55%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		15	93%	53%	7%	19	63%	42%	5%
Hispanic or Latino		8	88%	63%	0%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						1	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	168	94%	68%	8%	190	91%	57%	11%
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••				••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	7	71%	29%	0%
General-Education Students		149	99%	75%	10%	159	97%	67%	13%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	42	76%	38%	0%	57	61%	19%	0%
English Proficient		188	_	_	-	215	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		98	91%	56%	4%	98	81%	38%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	93	97%	78%	12%	118	93%	69%	14%
Migrant						4	-	-	-
Not Migrant		191	94%	67%	8%	212	-	-	_

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 20 s cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	12-790						
2006 Mean Score: 655	100%	94% 88%	_{58%} 6	3%		94% 92%	58% 56	6%			
2006-07 2005-06				ţ	5% 9%			6%	6 8 %		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	225 208	139 1	.48	13 22						
Deculte by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
= All Students		240	94%	58%	5%	236	88%	63%	9%		
Female		117	93%	64%	9%	114	91%	68%	13%		
Male		123	94%	52%	2%	122	85%	57%	6%		

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 \$	2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	240	94%	58 %	5%	236	88%	63%	9 %		
Female	117	93%	64%	9%	114	91%	68%	13%		
Male	123	94%	52%	2%	122	85%	57%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	21	86%	33%	5%	12	100%	58%	8%		
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				1					
Pacific Islander	-				T			-		
White	213	95%	61%	6%	218	89%	63%	10%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	0%	6	50%	50%	0%		
General-Education Students	187	99%	72%	7%	188	96%	78%	12%		
Students with Disabilities	53	74%	9%	0%	48	58%	4%	0%		
English Proficient	239	-	-	-	236	88%	63%	9%		
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–		••••	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	110	89%	39%	2%	91	71%	40%	4%		
Not Disadvantaged	130	98%	74%	8%	145	99%	77%	12%		
Migrant					3	-	-	-		
Not Migrant	240	94%	58%	5%	233	-	-			

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2006 Mean Score: 650 2006–07 2005–06	100%	92% 84%	60% 61%	8% 11%	93% 87%	67% 56%	^{18%} 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		218 199	143 143	18 26					
Results by Student Group		2006–07 Sch Total Tested	Percentage scorii	ng at level(s): -4 4	2005–06 Sc Total Tested	School Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	92%	60%	8%	236	84%	61%	11%
Female	119	94%	56%	6%	115	85%	63%	12%
Male	118	90%	64%	9%	121	83%	59%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-		
Black or African American	21	76%	29%	5%	12	83%	50%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	-	_	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	209	93%	63%	8%	218	85%	62%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	186	98%	72%	10%	188	96%	72%	14%
Students with Disabilities	51	69%	20%	0%	48	40%	15%	0%
English Proficient	235	-	-	-	236	84%	61%	11%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	107	86%	40%	4%	91	68%	38%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	130	97%	77%	11%	145	94%	74%	14%
Migrant					3	-	-	_
Not Migrant	237	92%	60%	8%	233	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):			scoring at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so e compared.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 664	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 652 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	94% 89%	68% 50%	11% 6%	94% 91%	^{57%} 49%	6% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		211 202	153 113	25 13			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	225	94%	68%	11%	226	89%	50%	6%
Female	106	97%	75%	15%	110	92%	57%	6%
Male	119	91%	62%	8%	116	87%	43%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-		-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	0%	9	89%	33%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	206	94%	69%	12%	210	90%	51%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	71%	29%	0%	7	86%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	186	99%	81%	13%	182	97%	61%	7%
Students with Disabilities	39	69%	8%	0%	44	57%	5%	0%
English Proficient	225	94%	68%	11%	225	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient				••••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	88	85%	48%	8%	71	75%	28%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	137	99%	81%	13%	155	96%	60%	8%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	225	94%	68%	11%	225	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	06–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at leve			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	blic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 664	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 654 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	95% 92%	^{71%} 60%	13%	88% 85%	59% 54%	12% 10%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	209 207	158 135	28 10			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	221	95%	71%	13%	226	92%	60%	4%
Female	105	97%	76%	20%	111	94%	61%	5%
Male	116	92%	67%	6%	115	90%	58%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-		-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	8%	9	89%	44%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	202	95%	72%	13%	210	92%	61%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	14%	7	86%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	186	99%	81%	15%	183	99%	72%	5%
Students with Disabilities	35	71%	20%	0%	43	60%	7%	0%
English Proficient	221	95%	71%	13%	225	-	_	-
_imited English Proficient				••••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	85	88%	51%	5%	71	83%	38%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	136	99%	85%	18%	155	95%	70%	6%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	221	95%	71%	13%	225	-	-	–

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 20 s cannot be	007, so compared.	

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	96% 97%	81% 8	204		91% 91%			
		81% 0	2 70			68% 66	5%	
			4	1% 39%				
 ■ 2006-07 2005-06 				270 39%			28	[%] 23%
2005-06								
Number of Tested Students:	214 216	180 1	.82 9	2 86	_			
Results by	2006–07 S o	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	ichool Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	223	96%	81 %	41%	223	97%	82%	39 %
Female	107	98%	79%	38%	111	98%	78%	38%
Male	116	94%	82%	44%	112	96%	85%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1				1	-		
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	33%	9	89%	67%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_		2			
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-			۷۲			
White	204	97%	82%	43%	207	98%	84%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	71%	57%	0%	7	86%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	185	99%	91%	49%	183	100%	91%	45%
Students with Disabilities	38	82%	32%	5%	40	83%	40%	8%
English Proficient	223	96%	81%	41%	222	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	86	91%	62%	21%	69	91%	68%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	137	99%	93%	54%	154	99%	88%	47%
Migrant					1	-	-	_
Not Migrant	223	96%	81%	41%	222	_	-	_

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level **English after Four Years of Instruction**

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	75% 70%	^{70%} 64%	35% 28%	79% 76%	73% 69%	30% 28%	

Posults by	2003 Cohoi	t			2002 Coho	r t **		
Results by	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	223	75%	70%	35%	237	70%	64%	28%
Female	104	82%	77%	41%	116	69%	60%	28%
Male	119	70%	65%	29%	121	72%	67%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	50%	40%	10%	6	67%	67%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	2	–	-	-	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	208	77%	73%	36%	224	71%	63%	27%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	40%	40%	20%	7	71%	71%	57%
General-Education Students	185	84%	80%	42%	199	78%	73%	33%
Students with Disabilities	38	32%	24%	0%	38	29%	16%	3%
English Proficient	223	75%	70%	35%	231	70%	64%	28%
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••	6	83%	67%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	51%	47%	20%	41	44%	24%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	172	83%	77%	39%	196	76%	72%	32%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant			••••	•••••	236	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	-	-	-	

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This District		NY State Public				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	79% 76%	78% 74%	24% 19%	81% 78%	74% 71%	26% 23%	

Boculto by	2003 Cohor	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
Results by	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	223	79%	78%	24%	237	76%	74%	19%	
Female	104	81%	79%	22%	116	73%	72%	21%	
Male	119	78%	76%	25%	121	78%	76%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	40%	30%	10%	6	67%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-			4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	208	81%	80%	24%	224	75%	74%	20%	
Multiracial		•••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%	7	86%	86%	29%	
General-Education Students	185	91%	89%	29%	199	84%	83%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	38	24%	24%	0%	38	29%	24%	3%	
English Proficient	223	79%	78%	24%	231	75%	74%	19%	
Limited English Proficient		•••••	•••••	•••••	6	83%	83%	33%	
Economically Disadvantaged	51	61%	59%	14%	41	54%	54%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	172	85%	83%	27%	196	80%	78%	23%	
Migrant					1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant		•••••	•••••	•••••	236	_	-	-	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	-	_	-	

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.