



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2006 – 07**

District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **LAVAL WILSON**

Telephone **(845) 451-4950**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)

## Use this report to:

### **1** Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

### **4** Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	173	0	0
Kindergarten	421	401	420
Grade 1	399	402	379
Grade 2	388	384	406
Grade 3	383	383	375
Grade 4	351	354	375
Grade 5	338	343	354
Grade 6	379	354	355
Ungraded Elementary	42	32	0
Grade 7	397	347	366
Grade 8	369	358	352
Grade 9	408	481	356
Grade 10	325	344	396
Grade 11	281	202	296
Grade 12	176	221	230
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>4657</b>	<b>4606</b>	<b>4660</b>

### Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
<b>Common Branch</b>	21	19	20
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	19	20	20
Mathematics	19	20	19
Science	23	21	21
Social Studies	20	20	23
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	18	20	22
Mathematics	20	16	25
Science	17	23	23
Social Studies	26	24	25

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	3055	66%	3052	66%	3154	68%
Reduced-Price Lunch	658	14%	569	12%	587	13%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	362	8%	384	8%	330	7%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0%	3	0%	6	0%
Black or African American	2924	63%	2924	63%	2942	63%
Hispanic or Latino	775	17%	767	17%	848	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	78	2%	65	1%	66	1%
White	872	19%	847	18%	798	17%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	91%		92%		91%	
Student Suspensions	903	19%	881	19%	630	14%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	362	351	307
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	6%	5%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	6%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	15%	16%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes*</b>	N/A	1307	773
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	5%	5%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	1147	1048	1056
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	6%	5%	4%

\* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	20%	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	14%	14%

## Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	37	47	39
Total Paraprofessionals*	128	111	98
Assistant Principals	7	6	6
Principals	9	9	9

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.



## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)

#### Improvement (Year 4)

ELA	Improvement (Year 4)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005–06	2006–07	2007–08
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓		✗	✓	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✓		✗	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	
Limited English Proficient	✗	✓		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✗	✓	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 2 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts























**Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)**  Improvement (Year 4)

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2007-08, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2008-09. [209]




### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
<b>All Students</b> (2164:2057)			99%		134	120	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (1374:1322)			99%		128	119	
Hispanic or Latino (395:357)			98%		136	116	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (372:357)			98%		151	116	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (502:484)	 SH		99%	 SH	83	117	81 95
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (119:92)			96%		95	112	112 106
Economically Disadvantaged (1809:1718)			99%		130	119	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 7						

#### NOTES


- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
  - For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
  - If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007–08)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08	
<b>All Students</b> (2152:2044)			99%		129	84		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1370:1303)			100%		121	83		
Hispanic or Latino (391:366)			100%		140	80		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—		
White (369:354)			99%		145	80		
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (498:480)			99%		73	81	80	86
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (117:105)			100%		109	76		
Economically Disadvantaged (1792:1704)			99%		125	83		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007-08)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
<b>All Students</b> (735:656)		Qualified		95%		158	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (464:417)		Qualified		96%		157	100	
Hispanic or Latino (131:117)		Qualified		98%		154	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (131:114)		Qualified		89%		163	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (164:153)		Qualified		97%		122	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (47:41)		Qualified		100%		137	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (606:547)		Qualified		97%		154	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

















**Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)**  Improvement (Year 4)

**Accountability Measures** 2 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2007-08, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2008-09. [209]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07    2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students (231:239)</b>			97%		152	152	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (148:158)			97%		143	151	151    149
Hispanic or Latino (26:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (51:52)			96%		175	146	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (87:53)			83%		100	146	85    110
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (112:127)			98%		143	150	145    149
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 2 of 5						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.


<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007–08)**

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]




### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07    2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (231:239)			98%		159	145	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (148:158)			99%		154	144	
Hispanic or Latino (26:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (51:52)			96%		165	139	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (45:53)			98%		115	139	102    124
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (112:127)			100%		150	143	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 5						


#### NOTES


- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
  - <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
  - <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
  - <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2007–08)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	State Standard	2006–07	2007–08
<b>All Students</b> (237)			66%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (135)			61%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (22)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5)		–	–	–		
White (74)			78%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (45)			31%	55%	11%	32%
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (7)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (139)			54%	55%	1%	55%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

## 2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

6 schools identified 67% of total

COLUMBUS SCHOOL  
G W KRIEGER SCHOOL  
GOV GEORGE CLINTON SCHOOL  
MORSE YOUNG CHILD MAGNET SCHOOL  
W W SMITH SCHOOL  
WARRING MAGNET ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

#### Improvement (Year 1)

1 school identified 11% of total

CIRCLE OF COURAGE LEARNING CENTER

#### Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

1 school identified 11% of total

POUGHKEEPSIE HIGH SCHOOL

#### Restructuring (Year 2)

1 school identified 11% of total

POUGHKEEPSIE MIDDLE SCHOOL



District **POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **13-15-00-01-0000**

## Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	50%			365
Grade 4	49%			375
Grade 5	54%			338
Grade 6	43%			344
Grade 7	34%			343
Grade 8	29%			345

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	64%			371
Grade 4	53%			377
Grade 5	61%			337
Grade 6	41%			344
Grade 7	36%			348
Grade 8	24%			350

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	82%			378
Grade 8	40%			296

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	51%			344
Mathematics	52%			344

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 650	616-780	650-780	730-780			
2006 Mean Score: 643						
Number of Tested Students:	316	184	10			

### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	179	93%	55%	3%	185	84%	44%	1%
Male	186	80%	46%	2%	189	78%	37%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	235	86%	48%	3%	240	83%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	74	88%	51%	1%	67	76%	40%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	10	80%	40%	0%
White	52	-	-	-	57	81%	49%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	56	88%	59%	4%				
General-Education Students	279	95%	59%	3%	292	91%	49%	1%
Students with Disabilities	86	58%	21%	2%	82	46%	10%	0%
English Proficient	341	87%	52%	3%	328	82%	41%	1%
Limited English Proficient	24	79%	33%	0%	46	78%	39%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	319	86%	49%	2%	317	81%	37%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	46	91%	63%	9%	57	81%	58%	2%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	364	-	-	-	373	-	-	-

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	186	92%	69%	4%	183	86%	56%	7%
Male	185	89%	59%	8%	190	84%	61%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	236	89%	60%	5%	238	87%	55%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	78	91%	71%	8%	70	79%	57%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	9	89%	89%	33%
White	53	-	-	-	56	86%	71%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	57	96%	72%	5%				
General-Education Students	285	95%	75%	7%	293	92%	66%	11%
Students with Disabilities	86	76%	29%	2%	80	61%	33%	0%
English Proficient	342	91%	65%	6%	319	85%	58%	9%
Limited English Proficient	29	79%	52%	0%	54	87%	65%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	324	89%	63%	5%	315	84%	56%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	47	98%	74%	9%	58	90%	72%	24%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	370	-	-	-	372	-	-	-

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	190	89%	52%	3%	164	87%	55%	5%
Male	185	84%	45%	2%	180	78%	48%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	230	87%	43%	1%	203	77%	45%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	70	89%	53%	1%	68	91%	53%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	86%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
White	68	82%	59%	9%	68	87%	71%	7%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	294	95%	59%	3%	273	91%	61%	7%
Students with Disabilities	81	56%	11%	0%	71	49%	17%	0%
English Proficient	349	88%	50%	2%	317	82%	53%	6%
Limited English Proficient	26	69%	31%	4%	27	85%	37%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	321	85%	47%	1%	281	81%	48%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	54	96%	59%	9%	63	89%	67%	11%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	375	87%	49%	2%	344	82%	52%	5%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	191	84%	52%	7%	166	86%	58%	5%
Male	186	84%	53%	11%	187	84%	60%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	228	85%	48%	6%	205	81%	50%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	75	84%	53%	5%	74	93%	68%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	75%	75%	50%	5	100%	80%	0%
White	66	82%	65%	17%	69	87%	77%	17%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	298	93%	61%	11%	276	93%	68%	11%
Students with Disabilities	79	52%	20%	0%	77	56%	29%	0%
English Proficient	345	85%	54%	10%	319	84%	59%	9%
Limited English Proficient	32	75%	41%	0%	34	94%	65%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	323	82%	50%	7%	289	84%	57%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	54	94%	67%	19%	64	91%	72%	13%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	377	84%	53%	9%	353	85%	59%	8%

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>43%</b>
Female	193	98%	82%	35%	168	99%	89%	41%
Male	185	98%	81%	34%	184	99%	88%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	229	99%	82%	31%	204	100%	87%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	76	97%	79%	30%	75	100%	88%	39%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	75%	63%	5	100%	80%	60%
White	65	98%	85%	48%	68	99%	94%	63%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	299	99%	87%	40%	276	100%	91%	49%
Students with Disabilities	79	96%	61%	15%	76	97%	78%	21%
English Proficient	346	99%	84%	37%	317	99%	88%	44%
Limited English Proficient	32	94%	63%	13%	35	100%	89%	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	324	98%	80%	32%	289	100%	88%	40%
Not Disadvantaged	54	98%	91%	52%	63	97%	90%	59%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	378	98%	82%	35%	352	99%	88%	43%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	155	95%	55%	3%	154	89%	47%	1%
Male	183	93%	52%	3%	190	86%	43%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	197	94%	48%	2%	231	85%	43%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	70	93%	59%	1%	45	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	20%	3	-	-	-
White	66	95%	67%	6%	65	91%	54%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					48	94%	42%	2%
General-Education Students	267	99%	64%	4%	246	96%	58%	4%
Students with Disabilities	71	76%	15%	0%	98	65%	12%	0%
English Proficient	324	94%	55%	3%	326	87%	46%	3%
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	21%	0%	18	94%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	276	94%	52%	4%	286	85%	40%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	62	95%	63%	0%	58	98%	67%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	337	-	-	-	344	88%	45%	3%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	154	90%	65%	9%	159	78%	52%	5%
Male	183	87%	58%	7%	194	76%	48%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	194	87%	55%	8%	232	75%	46%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	72	94%	67%	4%	52	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	20%	3	-	-	-
White	66	86%	71%	12%	66	83%	59%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					55	76%	55%	4%
General-Education Students	267	96%	70%	10%	256	88%	61%	7%
Students with Disabilities	70	60%	27%	0%	97	49%	22%	0%
English Proficient	320	89%	63%	8%	330	78%	50%	6%
Limited English Proficient	17	76%	35%	0%	23	70%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	276	88%	59%	7%	293	75%	46%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	61	92%	70%	11%	60	85%	67%	8%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	336	-	-	-	353	77%	50%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	3	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 647	598-785	650-785	705-785			
2006 Mean Score: 637						
Number of Tested Students:	333	285	148	127	8	18

### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	157	97%	50%	4%	168	89%	41%	7%
Male	187	97%	37%	1%	163	83%	36%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	223	97%	37%	1%	238	83%	31%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	54	-	-	-	43	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	66	95%	58%	8%	46	96%	70%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	55	96%	49%	2%	47	91%	45%	0%
General-Education Students	253	99%	53%	3%	265	94%	46%	7%
Students with Disabilities	91	90%	14%	0%	66	55%	9%	0%
English Proficient	335	97%	44%	2%	321	86%	39%	6%
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	22%	0%	10	90%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	290	96%	40%	2%	275	85%	35%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	54	100%	57%	4%	56	91%	54%	13%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	344	97%	43%	2%	330	-	-	-

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	156	75%	44%	6%	173	79%	35%	3%
Male	188	78%	38%	4%	169	70%	37%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	223	72%	35%	4%	240	73%	30%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	54	-	-	-	51	73%	35%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	66	86%	52%	12%	47	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	55	82%	51%	2%	51	88%	63%	16%
General-Education Students	255	82%	51%	7%	272	88%	43%	5%
Students with Disabilities	89	60%	13%	0%	70	26%	10%	0%
English Proficient	333	77%	41%	5%	321	76%	37%	4%
Limited English Proficient	11	45%	27%	0%	21	62%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	287	75%	39%	4%	286	72%	31%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	57	82%	53%	12%	56	88%	59%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	343	-	-	-	340	-	-	-

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	170	96%	39%	1%	152	84%	31%	3%
Male	173	86%	28%	1%	179	79%	30%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	241	90%	27%	1%	232	80%	29%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	53	89%	26%	0%	36	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	46	-	-	-	62	85%	37%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	49	98%	71%	2%	37	81%	27%	3%
General-Education Students	269	97%	41%	1%	248	92%	39%	4%
Students with Disabilities	74	70%	7%	0%	83	48%	4%	0%
English Proficient	331	92%	35%	1%	322	82%	30%	3%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	0%	0%	9	56%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	286	90%	31%	1%	255	78%	23%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	57	96%	46%	2%	76	93%	55%	11%
Migrant	3	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	340	-	-	-	331	81%	30%	3%


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	0	0	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	176	88%	36%	5%	152	74%	30%	2%
Male	172	81%	36%	3%	184	74%	30%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	242	81%	30%	3%	230	72%	23%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	56	91%	41%	0%	44	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	47	-	-	-	61	80%	54%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	92%	60%	14%	45	78%	36%	0%
General-Education Students	274	93%	43%	5%	257	87%	38%	3%
Students with Disabilities	74	53%	11%	0%	79	34%	5%	0%
English Proficient	334	84%	37%	4%	317	75%	31%	3%
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	14%	0%	19	68%	26%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	289	84%	34%	2%	262	71%	24%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	59	85%	47%	12%	74	88%	54%	8%
Migrant	3	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	345	-	-	-	336	74%	30%	2%

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 633	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 638						
Number of Tested Students:	303	293	99	120	4	14

### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	157	92%	29%	1%	185	88%	38%	5%
Male	188	85%	29%	1%	162	81%	30%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	232	88%	23%	0%	225	84%	29%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	49	-	-	-	44	75%	41%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	8	100%	50%	0%
White	63	89%	46%	5%	70	89%	47%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	86%	34%	0%				
General-Education Students	265	95%	35%	2%	267	93%	44%	5%
Students with Disabilities	80	63%	8%	0%	80	58%	3%	0%
English Proficient	336	89%	29%	1%	336	85%	35%	4%
Limited English Proficient	9	56%	0%	0%	11	64%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	274	85%	24%	0%	259	83%	29%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	71	97%	48%	4%	88	90%	50%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	344	-	-	-	347	84%	35%	4%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	163	65%	26%	3%	195	75%	32%	3%
Male	187	64%	23%	4%	165	73%	27%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	233	61%	18%	2%	228	75%	25%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	53	-	-	-	49	78%	29%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	8	100%	50%	13%
White	63	73%	48%	13%	75	69%	43%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	54	67%	24%	2%				
General-Education Students	268	76%	30%	5%	281	82%	37%	4%
Students with Disabilities	82	26%	5%	0%	79	48%	4%	0%
English Proficient	336	65%	25%	4%	342	75%	30%	4%
Limited English Proficient	14	50%	7%	0%	18	56%	28%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	275	59%	19%	1%	272	71%	24%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	75	85%	45%	13%	88	85%	49%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	349	-	-	-	358	-	-	-


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			



## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	138	88%	33%	3%	157	89%	34%	1%
Male	158	87%	45%	5%	138	89%	41%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	204	89%	40%	3%	200	89%	38%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	52	87%	38%	2%	43	88%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
White	39	-	-	-	47	89%	34%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	83%	40%	10%				
General-Education Students	218	94%	47%	6%	220	93%	43%	1%
Students with Disabilities	78	68%	19%	0%	75	77%	21%	0%
English Proficient	281	88%	40%	4%	277	90%	38%	1%
Limited English Proficient	15	73%	27%	0%	18	83%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	249	86%	36%	4%	233	89%	39%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	47	96%	57%	2%	62	90%	32%	3%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	295	-	-	-	293	-	-	-


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	23	23	23	6	55	55	55	28

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	149	70%	62%	17%	142	72%	61%	21%
Male	195	51%	42%	9%	147	59%	51%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	225	57%	47%	9%	164	63%	52%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	45	56%	47%	11%	31	48%	42%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	6	-	-	-
White	69	67%	61%	22%	87	74%	67%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	71%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	256	68%	59%	17%	229	74%	66%	25%
Students with Disabilities	88	34%	25%	0%	60	30%	15%	2%
English Proficient	339	59%	51%	13%	277	68%	58%	21%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	12	8%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	177	59%	50%	7%	141	67%	53%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	167	60%	51%	19%	148	63%	58%	29%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant					287	-	-	-

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	-	-	-

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Female	149	72%	60%	11%	142	75%	62%	13%
Male	195	52%	46%	8%	147	62%	53%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	225	60%	50%	4%	164	65%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	45	58%	49%	9%	31	65%	42%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	6	-	-	-
White	69	64%	58%	20%	87	75%	74%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	256	71%	63%	12%	229	80%	68%	17%
Students with Disabilities	88	32%	23%	1%	60	25%	17%	2%
English Proficient	339	61%	53%	9%	277	70%	59%	14%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%	12	42%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	177	60%	50%	5%	141	71%	54%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	167	62%	54%	14%	148	66%	61%	22%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant					287	-	-	-

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	-	-	-

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.