

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000 Superintendent KIMBERLY MUELLER Telephone (716) 686-2251 Grades K-12, UE, US

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### **District Profile**

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	149	149	153
Grade 1	168	148	146
Grade 2	145	162	148
Grade 3	145	154	163
Grade 4	170	146	150
Grade 5	184	175	147
Grade 6	174	189	171
Ungraded Elementary	18	15	15
Grade 7	192	174	182
Grade 8	205	194	170
Grade 9	197	204	196
Grade 10	196	192	210
Grade 11	191	197	189
Grade 12	171	189	190
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2305	2288	2230

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	18	20	19
Grade 8	-		
English	19	18	17
Mathematics	19	18	16
Science	21	19	17
Social Studies	20	19	16
Grade 10			
English	21	22	21
Mathematics	23	19	18
Science	20	19	22
Social Studies	24	20	22

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Demographic Factors** 

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	463	20%	455	20%	489	22%
Reduced-Price Lunch	274	12%	284	12%	270	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	14	1%	23	1%	12	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	8	0%	7	0%
Black or African American	46	2%	42	2%	54	2%
Hispanic or Latino	10	0%	16	1%	17	1%
Asian or Native	19	1%	34	1%	30	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2225	97%	2188	96%	2122	95%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2003-04		<b>-05</b>	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	80	3%	119	5%	132	6%

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

# **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **District Profile**

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Teacher Qualifications** 

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	194	189	179
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	14%	16%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	14%	14%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	734	538
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	724	760	739
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	1%	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	6%	10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	16%	5%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	33	34	32
Total Paraprofessionals*	13	15	15
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	3	3	3

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

# **Teacher Turnover Rate Information**

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.


District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Summary**

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007-08)	ELA	♠ Good Standing		Science	♠ Good Standing		
	Math	♠ Good Standing		Graduation Rate	<b>♦</b> Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2005-	06	2006-07		2007-08		
	YES		YES		YES		

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	<b>Elementary</b> /	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	V
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_	
Black or African American		<u> </u>	••••••	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•••••••	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_ _	••••	••••••		••••••
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••
Multiracial	•••••		•••••••	•••••••		••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>✓</b>		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••	••••••		•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••••		_	•••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1

# Accountability Status Levels Federal State AYP Status Good Standing Good Standing Made AYP Made AYP Made AYP Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status Good Standing
Improvement (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4)
Improvement (Year 4)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (1009:983)	V	<u> </u>	99%	✓ Criterion	171	119	2000-07	2007-06
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (26:22)	_	_	-	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)					_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:13)	-	_	-	_	-	_	••••••••••	-
White (963:942)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	172	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)		•••••						
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (143:135)	<b>✓</b> SH	V	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	108	113	103	117
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (3:2)	-	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••••	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged (331:318)	~	<b>V</b>	99%	~	164	116	••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							'

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

Page 9

- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- † This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

July 15, 2008

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup> Test Perfor		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1000:977)	Jiaius /	✓ Criterion	100%	<u>Criterion</u>	175	82	2000-07	2007-06
Ethnicity			10070		113			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	_	-	-		_
Black or African American (26:22)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)					_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:13)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	•••••••••	-
White (954:936)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	176	82	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (144:136)	V	<b>v</b>	99%	V	104	77		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (3:2)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (331:318)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	169	80	•••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
•	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (322:315)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	
	V Status	Qualified	- Criterion	100%	✓ Criterion	191	100	2000-07	2007-08
Ethnicity			1						'
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (8:7)		-	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)		_	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>	• •• • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		_	_	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (308:302)	• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	191	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (41:40)		Qualified	~	100%	~	155	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (1:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	-	-	_	-	<u> </u>	••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (97:91)	•	Qualified	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>'</b>	188	100		••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 0	f 1	1						

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	articipation <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup> Performance			nce Objectiv	es	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (176:185)	/	<b>/</b>	98%	<b>/</b>	186	151		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American	•••••••	•••••••		••••	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(3:4)	_ 	_	_	_	_	_		_ 
Hispanic or Latino (1:2)								-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (171:178)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	<b>/</b>	187	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:22)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	•••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (27:28)	_	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2	2						

### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		— Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (176:185)	/	<b>✓</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	187	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American	•••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	••••
(3:4)	_ 	_	_	_	_	_		<del>-</del>
Hispanic or Latino (1:2)								-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (171:178)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	188	144		•••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••••	••••	•••••••••••	••••••	•••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:22)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	•••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••••	••••••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (27:28)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2	2						

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (189)	~	<b>~</b>	88%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		_	-	-			
Black or African American (3)		_	- . <u></u>	-			
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		_	-	_			
White (181)		<b>V</b>	88%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (20)		_	-	-			
Limited English Proficient³ (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (38)		<b>✓</b>	82%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1					

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

# Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### 2007-08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status N	ew York State Status
--------------------------	----------------------

♠ Good Standing	Good Standing
1 school identified 33% of total	2 schools identified 67% of total
CAYUGA HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	DEPEW HIGH SCHOOL
	DEPEW MIDDLE SCHOOL

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2006-07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	85%	·	170
Grade 4	87%		153
Grade 5	84%		149
Grade 6	74%		171
Grade 7	55%		187
Grade 8	60%		166
Mathematics			
Grade 3	89%		<b>1</b> 69
Grade 4	89%		<b>■</b> 151
Grade 5	87%		149
Grade 6	80%		171
Grade 7	70%		185
Grade 8	61%		167
Science			
Grade 4	95%		152
Grade 8	88%		166
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	87%		199
Mathematics	88%		199

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# **Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.**Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:


#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Posults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a	t level(s):
All Students	170	96%	85%	9%	156	98%	89%	13%
Female	89	98%	88%	12%	81	98%	90%	17%
Male	81	94%	81%	5%	75	99%	88%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	-	_	_	4	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino					3	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	_	-	2	-	_	_
White	158	97%	87%	9%	147	98%	90%	14%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	12	75%	50%	0%	9	100%	78%	0%
General-Education Students	147	99%	93%	10%	141	100%	92%	15%
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	35%	0%	15	80%	60%	0%
English Proficient	169	_	_	_	156	98%	89%	13%
Limited English Proficient	1	_		-	•••••		•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	61	93%	82%	7%	51	98%	86%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	109	97%	86%	10%	105	98%	90%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	170	96%	85%	9%	156	98%	89%	13%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2005-06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	otal Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4 4 Tested		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	169	97%	89%	28%	159	97%	91%	21%
Female	88	97%	89%	28%	85	95%	91%	21%
Male	81	98%	89%	27%	74	100%	91%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9			<u> </u>	5	80%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		•••••	•••••	3	_	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	157	97%	90%	29%	149	98%	92%	22%
Multiracial	•••••••	•••••••	•••••	••••••		•••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	12	100%	67%	8%	5	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	146	100%	97%	32%	145	99%	94%	22%
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	39%	4%	14	79%	57%	7%
English Proficient	168	_	_	_	158	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	<u> </u>	1	_	<del></del>	_
Economically Disadvantaged	62	97%	85%	15%	52	96%	85%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	107	97%	91%	36%	107	98%	93%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	169	97%	89%	28%	159	97%	91%	21%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so compared.	

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Deculte by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	153	97%	87%	14%	156	94%	83%	13%
Female	81	96%	90%	17%	74	95%	92%	18%
Male	72	97%	83%	10%	82	93%	74%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	20%	5	-	- -	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	- -			•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	3	-	_	_
White	143	97%	87%	14%	147	94%	84%	14%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••••		•••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	9	89%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	134	100%	93%	15%	136	100%	92%	15%
Students with Disabilities	19	74%	47%	5%	20	50%	20%	0%
English Proficient	152	-	-	-	156	94%	83%	13%
Limited English Proficient	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del></del>	••••••		•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	47	98%	89%	2%	48	90%	67%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	106	96%	86%	19%	108	95%	90%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	153	97%	87%	14%	156	94%	83%	13%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2005-06 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so se compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	151	97%	89%	34%	157	96%	86%	38%
Female	80	95%	86%	28%	75	96%	83%	37%
Male	71	99%	92%	41%	82	95%	89%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	20%	6		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Hispanic or Latino	3		_	<u> </u>	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	<u> </u>	3	_	_	_
White	141	97%	91%	35%	147	96%	88%	39%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	10	90%	60%	30%
General-Education Students	133	100%	93%	36%	137	99%	93%	43%
Students with Disabilities	18	72%	56%	17%	20	70%	40%	5%
English Proficient	150	-	-	_	157	96%	86%	38%
Limited English Proficient	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	. <del>.</del>	***************************************	•••••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	47	98%	89%	34%	48	94%	77%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	104	96%	88%	34%	109	96%	90%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	151	97%	89%	34%	157	96%	86%	38%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005-06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scori	ng at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 cannot be	007, so compared.	

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Deculte by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	152	99%	95%	68%	156	98%	97%	68%
Female	80	99%	95%	65%	74	99%	99%	72%
Male	72	99%	96%	72%	82	98%	95%	65%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	40%	6	-	-	<del></del>
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	-	_	•••••		•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2		•••••	••••••	3		••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander	۷	_		_ 	3	_	_	_ 
White	142	99%	96%	71%	146	98%	97%	71%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	10	100%	90%	20%
General-Education Students	134	100%	99%	72%	136	100%	99%	74%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	72%	44%	20	85%	80%	30%
English Proficient	151	_	-	-	156	98%	97%	68%
Limited English Proficient	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	<del></del>	••••••		••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	48	100%	98%	63%	48	100%	98%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	104	98%	94%	71%	108	97%	96%	76%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	152	99%	95%	68%	156	98%	97%	68%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> c	chool Year		2005-06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	ivumber scoring at tevet(s).			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4	4	Tested 	2-4 3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0			New NYSAA were developed in 2007, s 2006 and 2007 results cannot be com				

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Doculto by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06	ted 2-4 3-4 4  173 97% 77% 12%  87 98% 83% 17%  86 97% 71% 6%  2 2 1			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	149	96%	84%	7%	173	97%	77%	12%	
Female	72	96%	88%	10%	87	98%	83%	17%	
Male	77	96%	81%	4%	86	97%	71%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1			_					
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	-	_	_	
White	142	96%	85%	7%	168	97%	77%	12%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%	
General-Education Students	130	100%	92%	8%	154	100%	84%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	19	68%	26%	0%	19	74%	16%	0%	
English Proficient	149	96%	84%	7%	173	97%	77%	12%	
Limited English Proficient			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	100%	78%	2%	65	97%	66%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	103	94%	86%	9%	108	97%	83%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	149	96%	84%	7%	173	97%	77%	12%	

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> C	hool Year			2005-06 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	149	94%	87%	30%	177	93%	79%	20%
Female	71	96%	90%	27%	88	94%	76%	24%
Male	78	92%	83%	32%	89	92%	81%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	4	_	_	-	3	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	3	-	_	_
White	142	95%	87%	31%	169	93%	80%	21%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	7	71%	71%	0%	8	88%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	129	99%	96%	33%	158	99%	87%	23%
Students with Disabilities	20	60%	25%	5%	19	47%	5%	0%
English Proficient	149	94%	87%	30%	177	93%	79%	20%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	46	98%	85%	28%	68	90%	68%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	103	92%	87%	30%	109	95%	85%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	149	94%	87%	30%	177	93%	79%	20%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2005-06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	NYSAA were developed in 2007, s and 2007 results cannot be comp			

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Posults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring a	t level(s):
All Students	171	98%	74%	9%	189	97%	63%	10%
Female	88	98%	75%	15%	92	98%	62%	16%
Male	83	99%	72%	4%	97	96%	65%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	_	2	-	_	_
White	166	98%	74%	10%	185	_	·····	_
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	189	97%	63%	10%
General-Education Students	151	100%	81%	11%	159	100%	74%	11%
Students with Disabilities	20	85%	20%	0%	30	80%	10%	0%
English Proficient	170	-	-	_	189	97%	63%	10%
Limited English Proficient	1			<u> </u>			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	63	100%	65%	2%	71	94%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	108	97%	79%	14%	118	98%	69%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	171	98%	74%	9%	189	97%	63%	10%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005-06 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so se compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06	2005-06 School Year           Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4           192         92%         58%         7%           93         90%         53%         6%           99         93%         64%         7%           1         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           3         -         -         -		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested			
All Students	171	95%	80%	26%	192	92%	58%	7%
Female	87	92%	78%	28%	93	90%	53%	6%
Male	84	98%	82%	25%	99	93%	64%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	2	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	3	-	_	_
White	166	95%	80%	26%	186	92%	59%	7%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	6	83%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	151	100%	89%	30%	161	96%	65%	8%
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	15%	0%	31	71%	26%	0%
English Proficient	170	_	_	_	192	92%	58%	7%
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	64	94%	70%	19%	73	86%	47%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	107	95%	86%	31%	119	95%	66%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	171	95%	80%	26%	192	92%	58%	7%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005-06 <b>S</b> e	2005-06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 1007 results	eloped in 2 cannot be	007, so compared.	

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Deculte by	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	187	98%	55%	3%	173	96%	61%	5%
Female	91	99%	59%	4%	87	97%	71%	8%
Male	96	97%	50%	1%	86	95%	51%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	_	-	4	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	-	_	_
White	180	98%	56%	3%	168	96%	62%	5%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••		••••••	•••••••	•••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	29%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	153	99%	65%	3%	154	99%	68%	5%
Students with Disabilities	34	91%	9%	0%	19	68%	11%	0%
English Proficient	187	98%	55%	3%	173	96%	61%	5%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	64	97%	42%	0%	52	96%	44%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	123	98%	61%	4%	121	96%	69%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	187	98%	55%	3%	173	96%	61%	5%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> c	chool Year			2005-06 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so se compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	185	95%	70%	14%	172	89%	53%	9%
Female	91	96%	68%	14%	86	90%	64%	13%
Male	94	95%	72%	14%	86	88%	43%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4			<del>-</del>	4	-	-	- -
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	<del>-</del>				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	177	95%	72%	15%	167	89%	54%	9%
Multiracial	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••		•••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%	5	80%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	150	99%	80%	17%	155	94%	59%	10%
Students with Disabilities	35	77%	29%	0%	17	47%	6%	0%
English Proficient	185	95%	70%	14%	172	89%	53%	9%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••		•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	62	94%	60%	8%	51	90%	49%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	123	96%	76%	17%	121	88%	55%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	185	95%	70%	14%	172	89%	53%	9%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005-06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	NYSAA were developed in 2007, s and 2007 results cannot be com			

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Deculte by	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	166	96%	60%	4%	193	96%	59%	4%
Female	84	98%	71%	7%	102	96%	68%	4%
Male	82	95%	49%	0%	91	96%	49%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1		_	-
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	_	-	-
White	162	-	·····	-	188	97%	60%	4%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••				••••••	
Small Group Totals	166	96%	60%	4%	5	60%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	147	99%	67%	4%	165	99%	68%	4%
Students with Disabilities	19	74%	5%	0%	28	75%	7%	0%
English Proficient	166	96%	60%	4%	193	96%	59%	4%
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	48	94%	50%	6%	55	95%	51%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	118	97%	64%	3%	138	96%	62%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	166	96%	60%	4%	193	96%	59%	4%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year		
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Dogulto by	2006-07	chool Yea	r		2005-06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	167	92%	61%	14%	197	93%	65%	7%	
Female	83	94%	70%	19%	104	93%	67%	10%	
Male	84	90%	52%	10%	93	92%	62%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_	
Black or African American	3			<del>-</del>	2	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	•••••	2	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
White	163	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	191	94%	66%	7%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals	167	92%	61%	14%	6	50%	17%	0%	
General-Education Students	147	96%	67%	16%	169	97%	73%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	20	65%	15%	0%	28	68%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	167	92%	61%	14%	197	93%	65%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••		••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	92%	49%	12%	57	88%	44%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	118	92%	66%	15%	140	95%	74%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	167	92%	61%	14%	197	93%	65%	7%	

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005–06 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compare				

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Posults by	2006-07	chool Yea	2005-06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	166	99%	88%	52%	195	99%	90%	44%
Female	82	99%	89%	56%	103	99%	89%	40%
Male	84	100%	87%	48%	92	100%	90%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	3	-	_	_	2	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino					2	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	_
White	162	-	_	<del></del>	189	100%	90%	45%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	166	99%	88%	52%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	146	100%	93%	57%	167	99%	95%	51%
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	50%	15%	28	100%	61%	4%
English Proficient	166	99%	88%	52%	195	99%	90%	44%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••••		•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	48	100%	77%	44%	55	98%	84%	31%
Not Disadvantaged	118	99%	92%	55%	140	100%	92%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	166	99%	88%	52%	195	99%	90%	44%


**NOTES**The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2005-06 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	_	_	_	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared					
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					2006 and 2	oor results				
Regents Science	0				0					

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Poculte by	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort**			
Results by	Number	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	199	88%	87%	23%	202	86%	82%	20%
Female	106	93%	93%	34%	103	92%	88%	28%
Male	93	82%	81%	11%	99	79%	76%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_			2	_		
Black or African American	4	_	_	-	5	40%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	_	-
White	192	88%	88%	24%	192	86%	83%	21%
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	174	93%	93%	26%	180	90%	87%	23%
Students with Disabilities	25	52%	52%	0%	22	50%	41%	0%
English Proficient	199	88%	87%	23%	202	86%	82%	20%
_imited English Proficient	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	35	80%	77%	14%	42	86%	81%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	164	90%	90%	25%	160	86%	83%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	***************************************	•••••	•••••	•••••	202	86%	82%	20%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohoi	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


<sup>\*\* 2002</sup> cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{***}}$  The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

District DEPEW UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-07-07-03-0000

# This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Poculte by	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort**			
Results by	Number	Percentage	scoring at	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	199	90%	88%	21%	202	87%	85%	20%
Female	106	94%	92%	26%	103	93%	92%	19%
Male	93	85%	84%	14%	99	81%	78%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_		-	2	_		_
Black or African American	4	_	_	-	5	80%	80%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	_	-
White	192	90%	89%	21%	192	87%	85%	20%
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	14%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	174	95%	95%	24%	180	92%	91%	22%
Students with Disabilities	25	52%	40%	0%	22	50%	41%	5%
English Proficient	199	90%	88%	21%	202	87%	85%	20%
_imited English Proficient	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	35	86%	83%	14%	42	83%	83%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	164	91%	90%	22%	160	88%	86%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	202	87%	85%	20%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohoi	rt			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

<sup>\*\* 2002</sup> cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{***}}$  The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.