

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 District INDIAN RIVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 22-03-01-06-0000 Superintendent JAMES KETTRICK Telephone (315) 642-3481 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	336	370	346
Grade 1	294	316	338
Grade 2	304	292	311
Grade 3	321	297	271
Grade 4	311	290	277
Grade 5	269	304	261
Grade 6	267	276	282
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	21
Grade 7	269	291	278
Grade 8	257	249	272
Grade 9	252	272	258
Grade 10	242	217	237
Grade 11	193	225	172
Grade 12	167	191	200
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	33
Total K–12	3482	3590	3557

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	20	21	20
Grade 8			
English	13	20	14
Mathematics	13	20	13
Science	13	21	14
Social Studies	14	20	14
Grade 10			
English	15	16	17
Mathematics	16	14	17
Science	21	23	22
Social Studies	18	20	19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		200	2005-06		6-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	850	24%	887	25%	807	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	900	26%	670	19%	638	18%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	155	4%	114 3%		108	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	1%	21	1%	3	0%
Black or African American	479	14%	494	14%	451	13%
Hispanic or Latino	288	8%	291	8%	266	7%
Asian or Native	65	2%	83	2%	77	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2626	75%	2701	75%	2756	77%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	233	7%	174	5%	228	6%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	294	267	252
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	3%	1%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	15%	15%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1027	650
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	3%
Total Number of Classes	970	834	936
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	0%	4%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	23%	27%	13%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	18%	9%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	34	29	30
Total Paraprofessionals*	153	130	114
Assistant Principals	1	3	5
Principals	8	7	8

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District INDIAN RIVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2007–08)	ELA A Good Standing		Science		▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Gradua	ation Rate	▲ Good Standing	•••••		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A F	Funding]			
	2005-	06	2006-07		2007-08			
	YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	 	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	v	v		_	_	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	v	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	_	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	~		-	-		
White	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	V	••••	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~		✓ SH	К≥н		
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	~	 ✓ 	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (1636:1551)	~		100%	 	163	119			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (209:193)	~	~	100%	~	150	114		••••	
Hispanic or Latino (107:96)	~	✓	100%	 	165	112			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:44)	~	~	100%	~	159	107		••••	
White (1274:1217)	<	✓	99%	 ✓ 	165	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (324:310)	~	~	99%	x	115	116	116	124	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (23:17)	_	_	_	-	-	-		_	
Economically Disadvantaged (745:696)	<	~	99%	~	154	118		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7								

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested 100%	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (1607:1494)					166	83	2000-07	2007-08
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (197:177)	 	~	100%	~	157	78		
Hispanic or Latino (107:90)	<	✓	99%	 	170	76		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:42)	✓	~	100%	~	171	71		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (1258:1184)	~	✓	100%	 ✓ 	167	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		••••					
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (314:295)	~	~	99%	~	114	80		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (23:18)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (727:665)	<	~	99%	~	159	82		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (534:471)	 	Qualified	 ✓ 	97%	~	186	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)		_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (68:56)		Qualified	~	99%	~	175	100		
Hispanic or Latino (37:28)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (19:17)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (409:370)		Qualified	<	97%	 	188	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••	•••••••	••••••	•••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (108:94)		Qualified	~	95%	~	159	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		–
Economically Disadvantaged (242:207)		Qualified	~	95%	~	179	100		
Final AYP Determination	/ 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particij shown is th participatie Groups wit criterion. F and 2006-	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005– on rates over tha h fewer than 30 or districts with 07 were combin	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o6 and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro fewer than 30 con ed to determine co	ed tested stude medical reason: during the test n rate of a group nrollments and olled tested stud ntinuously enro ounts and perfo		mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–0 is the weighte ed to meet the s in 2006–07, 6	ccountability ent count. juired to me 7, the enrol ed average c e performan data for 200	y calculation et Iment f the ce
 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status 		⁴ If the coun		s is equal to or gre		rmer LEP students a	are also incluo	led	

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (201:191)	~	~	100%	 Image: A set of the set of the	176	151			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American	_	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		_		••••••••••••••••		
(21:15)		-	-	-	-	-		_	
/1 / 1 / 1 / 1		-		-	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	•••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Islander (3:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_	
White (163:161)	v	v	100%	v	176	151			
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••				••••	•••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (22:32)	✓ SH	_	_	✓ SH	106	142	20	115	
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
(2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (51:52)	~	~	100%	~	158	146		•••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (201:191)	~	 ✓ 	100%	 Image: A set of the set of the	181	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	
(21:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (14:13)	-	-	-	-	_	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••
Islander (3:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (163:161)	 	v	100%	v	180	144		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••	••••				•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (22:32)	✓ SH	_	_	✓ SH	116	135	20	124
Limited English Proficient ⁴	•••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (51:52)	~	~	100%	~	171	139		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4	1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State		ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (170)	~	~	86%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (19)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (8)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		-	-	-			
White (140)	• • • • • • • • • • •	✓	86%	55%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (19)		_	-	_			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (42)		~	83%	55%			
Final AYP							
Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	Good Standing
7 schools identified 88% of total	1 school identified 13% of total
ANTWERP PRIMARY SCHOOL	INDIAN RIVER HIGH SCHOOL
CALCIUM PRIMARY SCHOOL	
EVANS MILLS PRIMARY SCHOOL	
INDIAN RIVER INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	
INDIAN RIVER MIDDLE SCHOOL	
PHILADELPHIA PRIMARY SCHOOL	
THERESA PRIMARY SCHOOL	

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	64%		273
Grade 4	69%		271
Grade 5	73%		264
Grade 6	71%		271
Grade 7	54%		270
Grade 8	59%		256
Mathematics			
Grade 3	82%		272
Grade 4	79%		265
Grade 5	66%		260
Grade 6	71%		266
Grade 7	57%		269
Grade 8	68%		251
Science			
Grade 4	94%		267
Grade 8	77%		222
	-	of students that above Level 3	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

77%

84%

District ID 22-03-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

217

217

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780				
2006 Mean Score: 667	100%	93% 93%	64% 66%		91% 92%	67% 69%		
2006-07 2005-06				5% 6%			10% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		254 275	174 194	15 18				

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	er		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	273	93%	64%	5%	295	93%	66%	6%	
Female	139	93%	65%	7%	143	95%	70%	6%	
Male	134	93%	62%	4%	152	91%	62%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	43	88%	53%	5%	43	93%	63%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	71%	0%	26	92%	77%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	43%	0%	9	-	-	-	
White	209	93%	66%	6%	215	93%	65%	7%	
Multiracial	••••••••	••••				••••			
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	••••••		11	91%	73%	0%	
General-Education Students	223	97%	73%	7%	236	98%	77%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	50	76%	24%	0%	59	75%	20%	2%	
English Proficient	268	93%	64%	6%	293	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	139	92%	57%	3%	147	90%	59%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	134	94%	71%	8%	148	97%	72%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	273	93%	64%	5%	295	93%	66%	6%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	Number scoring at level(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-		-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	loped in 2	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Pu	blic		
		98% 98%				Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 674	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770				
2006 Mean Score: 673	100%	98% 98%	82% 8	3%		96% 94%	85% 81	%	
2006-07								200	
2005-06				1	5% 16%			29	[%] 25%
Number of Tested Students:		267 290	224 2	246 4	2 48				
Results by		2006-07 S a	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		272	98 %	82 %	15%	295	98%	83%	16 %
Female		138	98%	83%	14%	147	98%	82%	14%
Male		134	99%	82%	17%	148	99%	85%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e					2	-	-	-
Black or African American			95%	74%	9%	43	98%	74%	16%
Hispanic or Latino		18	94%	72%	6%	29	93%	79%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		6	100%	83%	33%	8	-	-	-
White		205	99%	85%	17%	213	99%	85%	16%
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••

Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					10	100%	90%	20%
General-Education Students	227	99%	87%	19%	238	99%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	45	93%	60%	0%	57	95%	65%	2%
English Proficient	265	98%	83%	15%	287	99%	84%	17%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	57%	14%	8	88%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	98%	75%	9%	154	97%	78%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	134	99%	90%	22%	141	99%	89%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	272	98%	82%	15%	295	98%	83%	16%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s).			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

Percentage sco 2–4 nge: 612–775 %	oring at level(s): 3–4 650–775	4 716-775	Percentage sc 2-4	oring at level(s): 3–4	4
nge: 612–775			2-4	3-4	4
%	650-775	716-775			
	69% 67%		92% 91%	68% 69%	
		6% 6%			8% 9%
258 249	186 174	16 15			
Total	Percentage scori	-	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s): 3–4 4
•	2006-07 Scl	258 249 186 174 2006-07 School Year Total Percentage scori Tested	258 249 186 174 16 15 2006–07 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Dested	258 249 186 174 16 15 2006-07 School Year 2005-06 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total	258 249 186 174 16 15 2006-07 School Year Z005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s):

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	271	95%	69%	6 %	261	95%	67%	6%
Female	135	98%	72%	7%	131	98%	73%	8%
Male	136	93%	65%	4%	130	93%	61%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	34	100%	59%	9%	30	90%	67%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	82%	5%	20	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	14%	3	-	-	–
White	208	94%	69%	5%	208	96%	66%	5%
Multiracial				•••••				
Small Group Totals				•••••	23	100%	74%	13%
General-Education Students	224	99%	79%	7%	215	97%	75%	7%
Students with Disabilities	47	77%	19%	0%	46	87%	26%	2%
English Proficient	268	-	-	-	261	95%	67%	6%
_imited English Proficient	3	-	-	-		•••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	129	94%	63%	3%	109	92%	60%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	142	96%	74%	8%	152	98%	72%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	95%	69%	6%	261	95%	67%	6%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
A33E33IIIEIIIS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 676	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800				
2006 Mean Score: 670	100%								
		97% 94%	700/ -			94% 93%	80% 78	07	
			79% 7	(%			070 78	%	
2006-07									
2005-06				18	3% 15%			28	% 26%
					1370				
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	256 247	210 2	.04 4	40				
	I	2006–07 S o	hool Yea	r		2005-06 S	school Yea	r	
Results by	Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		265	97%	79%	18%	264	94%	77%	15%
Female		133	98%	80%	16%	130	95%	79%	15%
Male		132	95%	78%	20%	134	92%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		32	94%	66%	25%	30	97%	63%	3%
Hispanic or Latino		18	100%	78%	6%	18	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	8	100%	75%	25%	4	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					2J70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		207	97%	82%	18%	212	93%	79%	16%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals			1000/			22	91%	82%	27%
General-Education Students		217	100%	86%	22%	218	96%	84%	17%
Students with Disabilities		48	81%	48%	2%	46	83%	46%	4%
English Proficient		262				259	94%	78%	15%
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		125	98%	76%	12%	117	88%	71%	9%
Not Disadvantaged		140	95%	82%	24%	147	98%	82%	20%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		265	97%	79%	18%	264	94%	77%	15%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 20 5 cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District	t		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2006 Mean Score: 82 ■ 2006–07 2005–06	100%	99% 99%	94% 92%	54% 53%	97% 97%	85% 86%	49% 49%
Number of Tested Students:		265 257	252 239	143 137			
Results by		2006–07 Scl	nool Year		2005-06 \$	School Year	

Doculto by	2000-07	School rea	1						
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	267	99%	94%	54%	260	99%	92%	53%	
Female	135	100%	94%	50%	130	100%	94%	51%	
Male	132	98%	95%	57%	130	98%	90%	55%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	35	100%	89%	31%	30	100%	83%	37%	
Hispanic or Latino	20	95%	90%	50%	17	-	-	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	7	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					4				
White	204	100%	96%	57%	209	99%	93%	54%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	63%	21	95%	90%	67%	
General-Education Students	218	100%	97%	60%	214	99%	93%	57%	
Students with Disabilities	49	96%	82%	27%	46	98%	89%	33%	
English Proficient	263	-	-	-	255	99%	93%	53%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	5	100%	60%	20%	
Economically Disadvantaged	126	99%	92%	44%	114	98%	89%	43%	
Not Disadvantaged	141	99%	96%	62%	146	99%	95%	60%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	267	99%	94%	54%	260	99%	92%	53%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 20 5 cannot be	007, so compared.	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 667	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2006 Mean Score: 666 2006-07 2005-06	100%	97% 97%	73% 72%	6% ^{10%}	95% 94%	68% 67%	7% ^{12%}
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	256 271	194 202	15 27			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	264	97%	73%	6%	279	97%	72%	10%
Female	142	99%	80%	8%	129	96%	78%	10%
Male	122	95%	66%	3%	150	98%	67%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	33	97%	48%	0%	33	97%	70%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	85%	23%	19	100%	79%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	211	97%	77%	5%	220	97%	72%	12%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	29%	7	100%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	214	100%	84%	7%	235	98%	79%	11%
Students with Disabilities	50	86%	30%	0%	44	93%	36%	5%
English Proficient	261	-	-	-	277	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	104	96%	63%	3%	127	96%	67%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	160	98%	80%	8%	152	98%	77%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	264	97%	73%	6%	279	97%	72%	10%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	7	6	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a cannot b	2007, so e compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 662	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2006 Mean Score: 662	100%	93% 93%	66% 64%		94% 90%	^{76%} 68%		
 2006-07 2005-06 				12% 12%			22% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		241 262	171 180	31 34				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	260	93%	66%	12%	283	93%	64%	12%
Female	139	95%	68%	13%	132	93%	64%	10%
Male	121	90%	63%	11%	151	92%	64%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	31	87%	61%	6%	34	88%	44%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	69%	23%	20	100%	85%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	209	94%	66%	11%	218	93%	65%	12%
Multiracial	•••••••	••••		•••••••		•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	43%	11	91%	55%	9%
General-Education Students	212	97%	73%	15%	239	95%	72%	14%
Students with Disabilities	48	73%	35%	0%	44	77%	20%	2%
English Proficient	255	93%	66%	12%	275	93%	64%	12%
_imited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%	8	88%	38%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	92%	60%	9%	134	89%	53%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	158	93%	70%	14%	149	96%	73%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	260	93%	66%	12%	283	93%	64%	12%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	New NYSA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District	:		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	t oring at level(s): 3-4 650-785 71% 62% 192 170		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 664	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
2006 Mean Score: 659 2006–07 2005–06	100%	100% _{96%}	^{71%} 62%	6% 11%	98% 93%	63% 60%	9% 12%
Number of Tested Students:		270 264	192 170	16 31			
		2006–07 Sc	nool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year	

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	271	100%	71%	6 %	274	96%	62 %	11%
Female	126	100%	76%	9%	143	98%	66%	15%
Male	145	99%	66%	3%	131	95%	58%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	27	96%	56%	0%	42	95%	71%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	78%	0%	19	89%	63%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	40%	0%	5	-	-	-
White	211	100%	73%	8%	205	97%	60%	12%
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••					••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••		••••••		8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	226	100%	81%	7%	224	99%	73%	14%
Students with Disabilities	45	100%	20%	0%	50	86%	14%	0%
English Proficient	267	-	-	-	274	96%	62%	11%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	115	99%	62%	4%	141	95%	53%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	156	100%	78%	7%	133	98%	71%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	100%	71%	6%	274	96%	62%	11%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	_	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 20			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2006 Mean Score: 650 2006–07 2005–06	100%	94% 91%	71%	16%	91% 87%	71% 60%	^{20%} 13%
Number of Tested Students:		251 253	188 135	42 21			1370
		2006-07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year	

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	266	94%	71%	16%	278	91 %	49 %	8 %
Female	125	91%	66%	15%	141	91%	52%	9%
Male	141	97%	74%	16%	137	91%	45%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	96%	48%	4%	42	98%	50%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	86%	27%	21	90%	67%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	89%	56%	22%	5	-	-	-
White	210	94%	72%	16%	207	90%	46%	9%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••		••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	8	88%	63%	13%
General-Education Students	222	98%	80%	18%	225	96%	56%	9%
Students with Disabilities	44	75%	23%	5%	53	72%	19%	0%
English Proficient	263	-	-	-	274	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	90%	60%	11%	146	89%	45%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	154	97%	79%	19%	132	93%	52%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	266	94%	71%	16%	278	91%	49%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005-06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 600-790 650-790 712-790				coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	600-790	650-7	'90	712-790				
2006 Mean Score: 654	100%	99% 95%	54% ⁵	9%		94% 92%	58% 56	%	
2005-06					4% 8%			6%	8%
Number of Tested Students:		267 253	147 1	.56	12 21				
Boculte by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	it level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		270	99%	54%	4%	266	95%	59 %	8%
Female		138	100%	56%	6%	146	97%	60%	9%
Male		132	98%	53%	3%	120	93%	58%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		35	100%	37%	3%	37	97%	49%	3%

Black or African American	35	100%	37%	3%	37	97%	49%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	18	-	-	-	15	100%	47%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	_	_	12	92%	58%	0%
Pacific Islander	4			_	12	9270	J070	U 70
White	213	99%	58%	5%	202	95%	61%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	45%	5%				
General-Education Students	213	100%	64%	6%	225	97%	65%	9%
Students with Disabilities	57	96%	19%	0%	41	83%	24%	2%
English Proficient	267	-	_	_	265	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	127	100%	46%	4%	109	94%	51%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	143	98%	62%	5%	157	96%	64%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	270	99%	54%	4%	266	95%	59%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year	ol Year 2005–06 School Year					
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				New NYSAA			
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		_	-	-	2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot b	e compared.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 93% 87% 67% 56% 18% 12% 67% 56% 18% 12% 12% 2005-06 School Year 18% 12% Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 262 88% 50% 50% 5%			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 652	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800					
2006 Mean Score: 647	100%	92% _{88%}	57% 5	0%		93% 87%	67%	5%		
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06			5		% 5%			189	[%] 12%	
Number of Tested Students:		247 230	154 1	.31 1	.1 14					
Results by	2006–07 S e	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	r			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students		269	92%	57%	4%	262	88%	50%	5%	
Female		137	93%	59%	6%	139	88%	50%	1%	
Male		132	90%	55%	2%	123	88%	50%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е									
Black or African American		33	91%	55%	3%	36	86%	33%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		19	-	-	-	17	94%	29%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		4	-	-	-	11	100%	64%	18%	
White		213	92%	57%	4%	198	87%	54%	6%	
Multiracial	•••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••••		•••••	
Small Group Totals		23	96%	65%	4%		•••••••••			
General-Education Students		211	99%	68%	5%	221	91%	57%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		67%	19%		41	71%		0%	

Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	125	89%	50%	3%	113	80%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	144	94%	63%	5%	149	94%	62%
Migrant							
Not Migrant	269	92%	57%	4%	262	88%	50%
NOTES							

_

_

_

.....

260

_

_

_

_

6%

5%

5%

265

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

English Proficient

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 660	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 660 2006-07 2005-06	100%	96% 95%	59% 58%	7% 7%	94% 91%	57% 49%	6% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:	1	247 224	152 136	18 16					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	256	96%	59%	7%	236	95%	58%	7%	
Female	142	99%	66%	8%	120	98%	63%	9%	
Male	114	94%	51%	6%	116	91%	52%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	34	100%	56%	3%	34	94%	59%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	47%	0%	16	94%	44%	13%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	91%	73%	18%	5	-	-	-	
White	196	96%	60%	8%	179	95%	59%	8%	
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••			••••••		••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••		•••••	•••••	7	100%	57%	0%	
General-Education Students	204	100%	70%	8%	193	99%	63%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	52	85%	19%	2%	43	77%	33%	2%	
English Proficient	256	96%	59%	7%	233	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	108	94%	48%	3%	101	94%	50%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	148	98%	68%	10%	135	96%	63%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	256	96%	59%	7%	236	95%	58%	7%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				New NYSAA			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		-	-	-	2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot b	e compared
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8								

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District	t		NY State Pu	e Public			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 659	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2006 Mean Score: 654 2006-07 2005-06	100%	92% 95%	68% 57%	7% 3%	88% 85%	59% _{54%}	12% 10%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	230 225	170 136	18 8					
Deculte by		2006–07 Sci	hool Year		2005-06 Se	chool Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	-	3-4 4		
All Students		251	92% 689	% 7%	237	95% 5	7% 3%		

Female	141	94%	70%	4%	122	98%	60%	3%
Male	110	88%	65%	12%	115	91%	55%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	31	100%	61%	0%	31	90%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	16	88%	50%	0%	16	100%	63%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	91%	82%	18%	5	-	-	-
White	193	91%	69%	8%	183	95%	61%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	100%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	201	100%	79%	9%	195	99%	64%	4%
Students with Disabilities	50	60%	22%	0%	42	76%	29%	0%
English Proficient	251	92%	68%	7%	232	95%	58%	3%
Limited English Proficient					5	100%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	90%	56%	4%	101	95%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	146	93%	76%	10%	136	95%	62%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	92%	68%	7%	237	95%	57%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2006-07 2005-06	96% 99%	79% 8		^{0%} 25%	91% 91%	68% 66		[%] 23%	
Number of Tested Students:	237 226	196 1	.98 7	4 57					
De sulte ha	2006-07 S	2006-07 School Year				ichool Yea	r		
Results by	Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total		e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	222	95%	77%	26%	207	99%	86%	22%	
Female	122	98%	74%	24%	104	99%	84%	16%	
Male	100	93%	81%	28%	103	99%	87%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	_			
Black or African American	30	100%	60%	7%	30	97%	80%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	15	87%	67%	13%	14	100%	86%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	71%	43%	6	-	-	-	
White	170	96%	81%	29%	155	99%	86%	26%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				•••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				8	100%	88%	13%	
General-Education Students	174	99%	85%	30%	170	99%	89%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	48	81%	48%	8%	37	97%	68%	5%	
English Proficient	222	95%	77%	26%	203	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••	•••••	4	-	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	94	94%	67%	19%	91	99%	82%	16%	
Not Disadvantaged	128	97%	84%	30%	116	99%	88%	26%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	222	95%		26%	207				
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			New NYSAA 2006 and 20	were deve	loped in 2	007, so	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷ ۲			-	2006 and 20	JO7 results	cannot be	e compared.	
Regents Science	25	25	25	17	21	21	21	12	

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level **English after Four Years of Instruction**

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	87% 72%	77% 64%	22% 18%	79% 76%	73% 69%	30% 28%		

Pocults by	2003 Cohor	ť		2002 Cohort**				
Results by	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	217	87%	77%	22%	239	72%	64%	18 %
Female	113	92%	80%	27%	108	80%	73%	20%
Male	104	82%	75%	16%	131	65%	56%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	86%	68%	5%	28	82%	71%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	54%	46%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	0%
White	179	86%	78%	25%	193	71%	64%	19%
Multiracial	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	16	100%	88%	13%				
General-Education Students	174	95%	88%	27%	205	77%	70%	20%
Students with Disabilities	43	53%	35%	0%	34	38%	26%	0%
English Proficient	215	-	-	-	237	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	83%	70%	13%	59	68%	53%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	157	89%	80%	25%	180	73%	67%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••	•••••		•••••	239	72%	64%	18%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	88% 75%	84% 68%	16% 19%	81% 78%	74% 71%	26% 23%		

Pocults by	2003 Cohor	t		2002 Cohort**				
Results by	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	217	88%	84%	16%	239	75%	68%	19%
Female	113	96%	92%	13%	108	86%	80%	17%
Male	104	80%	75%	18%	131	66%	58%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	95%	82%	5%	28	75%	68%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	62%	46%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	20%
White	179	87%	83%	17%	193	76%	69%	21%
Multiracial		••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	16	94%	94%	19%	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
General-Education Students	174	97%	93%	19%	205	80%	73%	21%
Students with Disabilities	43	53%	49%	2%	34	47%	38%	6%
English Proficient	215	-	-	-	237	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	–	-	-	2	–	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	85%	82%	10%	59	68%	61%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	157	90%	85%	18%	180	78%	70%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	239	75%	68%	19%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.