



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **HEMPSTEAD UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-01-03-0000**

Superintendent **NATHANIEL CLAY**

Telephone **(516) 292-7001**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	274	275	284
Kindergarten	506	533	516
Grade 1	607	541	557
Grade 2	590	554	463
Grade 3	501	512	502
Grade 4	526	463	467
Grade 5	492	503	416
Grade 6	510	448	462
Ungraded Elementary	76	0	7
Grade 7	540	452	421
Grade 8	495	424	444
Grade 9	775	630	500
Grade 10	494	489	687
Grade 11	250	310	314
Grade 12	277	228	265
Ungraded Secondary	0	22	25
Total K-12	6639	6109	6046

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	23	21	20
Grade 8			
English	22	22	23
Mathematics	26	20	25
Science	26	22	27
Social Studies	25	24	27
Grade 10			
English	20	28	25
Mathematics	16	17	22
Science	24	25	23
Social Studies	23	23	18

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **HEMPSTEAD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-01-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	4270	64%	3812	62%	3909	65%
Reduced-Price Lunch	529	8%	569	9%	452	7%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	1170	18%	1538	25%	1927	32%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	10	0%	5	0%
Black or African American	3609	54%	3167	52%	3056	51%
Hispanic or Latino	2991	45%	2793	46%	2929	48%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	0%	127	2%	28	0%
White	17	0%	12	0%	17	0%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	90%		92%		95%	
Student Suspensions	623	9%	695	10%	772	13%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **HEMPSTEAD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-01-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	456	469	477
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	4%	5%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	3%	4%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	53%	54%	57%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1628	1204
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	3%	5%
Total Number of Classes	1351	1429	1471
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	7%	4%	5%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	19%	30%	11%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	24%	16%	14%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	148	94	104
Total Paraprofessionals*	190	181	142
Assistant Principals	16	15	17
Principals	10	8	8

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)

Improvement (Year 5)

ELA	Improvement (Year 5)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005–06	2006–07	2007–08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✓	✓	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓	—
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	—	✓ ^{SH}	✗	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ ^{SH}	✓	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 5 of 5	✗ 4 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing
- Improvement (Year 1)
- Improvement (Year 2)
- Improvement (Year 3)
- Improvement (Year 4)
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above)
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

State

- Good Standing
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)



Improvement (Year 5)

Accountability Measures

6 of 6

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 6) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2007-08, the district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [220]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2006–07	2007–08
All Students (2701:2496)	✓	✓	99%	✓	134	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Black or African American (1367:1305)	✓	✓	99%	✓	134	119		
Hispanic or Latino (1320:1180)	✓	✓	99%	✓	133	119		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
White (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (396:359)	✓ ^{SH}	✓	96%	✓ ^{SH}	95	116	85	106
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (619:716)	✓	✓	99%	✓	120	118		
Economically Disadvantaged (2235:2065)	✓	✓	99%	✓	135	120		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target





Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (2662:2479)			99%		136	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1341:1247)			98%		128	83	
Hispanic or Latino (1307:1220)			99%		144	83	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (386:345)			95%		97	80	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (610:760)			99%		141	82	
Economically Disadvantaged (2200:2062)			99%		139	84	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (856:791)		Qualified		97%		157	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (452:409)		Qualified		95%		155	100	
Hispanic or Latino (396:374)		Qualified		99%		160	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (0:0)								
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (114:93)		Qualified		87%		135	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (180:228)		Qualified		98%		161	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (679:630)		Qualified		97%		161	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES




¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)



Improvement (Year 5)

Accountability Measures

5 of 5

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 6) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2007-08, the district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [220]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	2007–08
All Students (248:317)	✓ SH	✓	98%	✓ SH	135	153	127	142
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (167:225)	✓ SH	✓	99%	✓ SH	137	152	133	143
Hispanic or Latino (76:86)	✓ SH	✓	97%	✓ SH	126	148	115	133
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (22:28)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (36:34)	✓ SH	—	—	✓ SH	109	142	78	118
Economically Disadvantaged (112:144)	✓ SH	✓	100%	✓ SH	144	150	138	150
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 5

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	2007–08
All Students (248:317)	✓ SH	✓	99%	✓ SH	144	146	141	150
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (167:225)	✓	✓	99%	✓	147	145	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (76:86)	✗	✓	99%	✗	134	141	136	141
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (22:28)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (36:34)	✓ SH	—	—	✓ SH	121	135	108	129
Economically Disadvantaged (112:144)	✓	✓	100%	✓	155	143	—	—
Final AYP Determination	✗ 4 of 5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP




Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target




Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (304)			63%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (199)			69%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (103)			53%	55%	42% 54%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)					
White (2)	–	–	–	–	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (27)	–	–	–	–	
Limited English Proficient ³ (45)			33%	55%	26% 34%
Economically Disadvantaged (82)			73%	55%	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **HEMPSTEAD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-01-03-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

6 schools identified 67% of total

FRANKLIN SCHOOL

HEMPSTEAD EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTER

JACKSON ANNEX SCHOOL

JACKSON MAIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

LUDLUM SCHOOL

MARSHALL SCHOOL

Improvement (Year 1)

1 school identified 11% of total

FULTON SCHOOL

Restructuring (Year 1)

1 school identified 11% of total

ALVERTA B GRAY SCHULTZ MIDDLE SCHOOL

Restructuring (Year 2)







1 school identified 11% of total

HEMPSTEAD HIGH SCHOOL







District **HEMPSTEAD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-01-03-0000**

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	58%			485
Grade 4	67%			417
Grade 5	56%			403
Grade 6	29%			414
Grade 7	28%			389
Grade 8	26%			400

Mathematics

Grade 3	82%		496
Grade 4	81%		417
Grade 5	57%		417
Grade 6	30%		418
Grade 7	30%		397
Grade 8	24%		408

Science

Grade 4	93%		415
Grade 8	35%		394

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%	
English	50%			373
Mathematics	55%			373

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	485	90%	58%	3%	328	93%	67%	3%
Female	215	93%	62%	3%	164	95%	71%	3%
Male	270	87%	54%	3%	164	92%	63%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	221	-	-	-	225	92%	63%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	263	90%	56%	3%	101	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	222	89%	59%	2%	103	97%	77%	4%
General-Education Students	434	92%	61%	3%	297	96%	70%	3%
Students with Disabilities	51	69%	27%	0%	31	71%	39%	0%
English Proficient	327	92%	65%	3%	319	93%	66%	3%
Limited English Proficient	158	85%	43%	2%	9	100%	89%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	443	90%	57%	3%	284	93%	65%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	42	86%	67%	5%	44	95%	77%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	485	90%	58%	3%	328	93%	67%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	4	1	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	496	95%	82%	22%	475	92%	75%	17%
Female	226	93%	83%	23%	224	92%	75%	20%
Male	270	96%	81%	21%	251	93%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	215	-	-	-	219	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	280	96%	82%	23%	254	91%	73%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	216	94%	81%	21%	221	94%	77%	20%
General-Education Students	443	97%	84%	23%	436	95%	78%	19%
Students with Disabilities	53	81%	60%	13%	39	62%	41%	3%
English Proficient	322	96%	85%	26%	314	95%	82%	22%
Limited English Proficient	174	94%	75%	14%	161	88%	61%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	457	95%	81%	21%	424	92%	74%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	39	92%	85%	31%	51	96%	82%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	496	95%	82%	22%	475	92%	75%	17%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	417	94%	67%	6%	329	90%	69%	9%
Female	186	96%	72%	10%	164	93%	71%	11%
Male	231	91%	63%	4%	165	87%	68%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	207	—	—	—	212	87%	63%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	208	93%	64%	4%	115	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	209	94%	69%	9%	117	97%	81%	10%
General-Education Students	376	95%	71%	7%	283	95%	78%	10%
Students with Disabilities	41	83%	29%	0%	46	59%	15%	0%
English Proficient	318	96%	74%	8%	319	91%	70%	8%
Limited English Proficient	99	86%	45%	0%	10	80%	60%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	379	94%	66%	5%	281	91%	67%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	38	92%	71%	18%	48	88%	81%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	417	94%	67%	6%	329	90%	69%	9%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	10	10	5	2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	417	96%	81%	25%	454	88%	68%	17%
Female	187	99%	82%	26%	221	89%	68%	16%
Male	230	94%	79%	24%	233	88%	68%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	203	—	—	—	212	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	212	96%	80%	25%	239	89%	67%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	205	97%	81%	25%	215	88%	69%	14%
General-Education Students	377	98%	82%	27%	394	91%	72%	19%
Students with Disabilities	40	83%	65%	5%	60	72%	43%	3%
English Proficient	314	97%	84%	29%	318	92%	76%	20%
Limited English Proficient	103	93%	69%	13%	136	81%	48%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	379	97%	80%	25%	397	88%	66%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	38	95%	84%	26%	57	93%	79%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	417	96%	81%	25%	454	88%	68%	17%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	10	10	10	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	415	99%	93%	60%	456	98%	89%	51%
Female	183	100%	96%	62%	221	98%	90%	54%
Male	232	98%	92%	58%	235	98%	88%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	201	—	—	—	214	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	211	98%	93%	59%	239	97%	89%	49%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	204	100%	94%	61%	217	98%	89%	53%
General-Education Students	377	99%	95%	61%	397	98%	90%	54%
Students with Disabilities	38	97%	79%	45%	59	98%	78%	29%
English Proficient	311	100%	95%	65%	318	99%	92%	58%
Limited English Proficient	104	96%	88%	44%	138	96%	81%	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	378	99%	94%	60%	400	98%	88%	49%
Not Disadvantaged	37	100%	92%	62%	56	100%	93%	64%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	415	99%	93%	60%	456	98%	89%	51%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	10	10	9	8	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	403	93%	56%	3%	391	89%	57%	7%
Female	197	94%	61%	4%	193	90%	60%	9%
Male	206	92%	51%	3%	198	88%	55%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	200	—	—	—	239	87%	52%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	201	92%	55%	3%	151	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—				
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	202	94%	56%	3%	152	93%	65%	9%
General-Education Students	341	95%	62%	4%	340	95%	63%	9%
Students with Disabilities	62	84%	23%	0%	51	51%	18%	0%
English Proficient	314	96%	63%	4%	375	90%	58%	8%
Limited English Proficient	89	82%	29%	0%	16	75%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	368	93%	55%	3%	321	89%	55%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	35	97%	66%	6%	70	90%	67%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	403	93%	56%	3%	391	89%	57%	7%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	14	14	14	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	417	90%	57%	12%	463	82%	50%	8%
Female	200	91%	61%	17%	236	83%	53%	8%
Male	217	89%	53%	9%	227	81%	48%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	195	—	—	—	239	78%	47%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	220	94%	59%	12%	223	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—				
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	197	85%	54%	13%	224	86%	54%	12%
General-Education Students	362	93%	61%	14%	405	89%	56%	9%
Students with Disabilities	55	71%	29%	5%	58	36%	10%	0%
English Proficient	309	91%	63%	14%	371	83%	54%	9%
Limited English Proficient	108	88%	39%	7%	92	77%	36%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	382	90%	55%	12%	387	82%	50%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	35	89%	69%	20%	76	83%	50%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	417	90%	57%	12%	463	82%	50%	8%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	14	14	13	9	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	414	93%	29%	1%	402	83%	34%	1%
Female	205	95%	33%	1%	180	85%	37%	1%
Male	209	91%	24%	1%	222	81%	31%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	210	93%	29%	1%	253	81%	31%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	204	94%	29%	2%	147	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander					1	—	—	—
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					149	86%	38%	1%
General-Education Students	356	97%	33%	2%	356	89%	38%	2%
Students with Disabilities	58	69%	2%	0%	46	33%	0%	0%
English Proficient	349	96%	34%	2%	390	85%	35%	2%
Limited English Proficient	65	80%	3%	0%	12	25%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	354	94%	29%	1%	313	82%	33%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	60	90%	27%	2%	89	85%	36%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	414	93%	29%	1%	402	83%	34%	1%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	16	16	16	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	418	69%	30%	3%	432	63%	25%	2%
Female	205	72%	32%	3%	199	63%	24%	2%
Male	213	67%	29%	2%	233	62%	27%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	198	64%	25%	2%	250	56%	21%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	220	74%	35%	4%	180	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander					1	—	—	—
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					182	71%	31%	4%
General-Education Students	364	75%	34%	3%	387	68%	28%	3%
Students with Disabilities	54	31%	2%	0%	45	18%	2%	0%
English Proficient	336	73%	33%	4%	385	65%	27%	3%
Limited English Proficient	82	56%	18%	0%	47	43%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	357	68%	29%	3%	344	63%	26%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	61	75%	39%	2%	88	59%	20%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	418	69%	30%	3%	432	63%	25%	2%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	16	15	13	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	389	83%	28%	1%	403	75%	24%	1%
Female	182	83%	32%	1%	203	80%	26%	1%
Male	207	83%	23%	0%	200	69%	22%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	230	85%	28%	1%	261	75%	23%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	157	—	—	—	139	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
White	1	—	—	—				
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	159	80%	27%	1%	142	75%	25%	1%
General-Education Students	341	87%	30%	1%	349	80%	26%	1%
Students with Disabilities	48	52%	8%	0%	54	43%	11%	0%
English Proficient	346	88%	31%	1%	383	76%	25%	1%
Limited English Proficient	43	42%	0%	0%	20	55%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	285	84%	25%	1%	272	74%	22%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	104	81%	34%	1%	131	77%	28%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	389	83%	28%	1%	403	75%	24%	1%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	15	15	13	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	397	80%	30%	3%	468	64%	22%	3%
Female	184	78%	29%	3%	241	71%	21%	3%
Male	213	83%	30%	2%	227	56%	23%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	226	79%	26%	1%	262	64%	20%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	169	—	—	—	202	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
White	1	—	—	—				
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	171	82%	35%	5%	206	63%	24%	5%
General-Education Students	349	83%	33%	3%	417	67%	24%	4%
Students with Disabilities	48	58%	6%	0%	51	35%	8%	0%
English Proficient	342	85%	32%	2%	381	69%	25%	4%
Limited English Proficient	55	51%	16%	4%	87	40%	7%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	295	81%	31%	2%	329	63%	22%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	102	77%	26%	3%	139	66%	22%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	397	80%	30%	3%	468	64%	22%	3%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	15	15	14	7	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	400	81%	26%	0%	363	74%	22%	1%
Female	211	81%	30%	0%	179	76%	30%	1%
Male	189	81%	21%	1%	184	71%	15%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	233	87%	26%	0%	226	71%	23%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	163	—	—	—	135	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	4	—	—	—				
White					2	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	167	74%	25%	1%	137	77%	22%	1%
General-Education Students	351	85%	28%	0%	326	80%	25%	1%
Students with Disabilities	49	55%	8%	0%	37	16%	0%	0%
English Proficient	340	89%	30%	0%	357	74%	23%	1%
Limited English Proficient	60	38%	0%	0%	6	33%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	280	79%	24%	0%	261	74%	22%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	120	88%	29%	0%	102	74%	23%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	400	81%	26%	0%	363	74%	22%	1%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	11	11	10	2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	408	65%	24%	2%	412	63%	17%	1%
Female	222	69%	24%	3%	204	64%	20%	1%
Male	186	60%	24%	2%	208	62%	15%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	227	60%	22%	1%	226	59%	15%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	176	70%	24%	4%	184	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	0%				
White					2	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					186	67%	20%	2%
General-Education Students	359	70%	27%	3%	374	68%	19%	1%
Students with Disabilities	49	27%	2%	0%	38	13%	0%	0%
English Proficient	335	67%	26%	3%	358	65%	18%	1%
Limited English Proficient	73	58%	15%	1%	54	50%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	288	64%	24%	2%	297	65%	17%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	120	67%	24%	3%	115	57%	17%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	408	65%	24%	2%	412	63%	17%	1%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	11	11	10	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	394	84%	35%	4%	337	83%	31%	1%
Female	216	86%	32%	3%	162	84%	27%	1%
Male	178	80%	38%	4%	175	82%	34%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	216	82%	34%	2%	184	86%	30%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	173	84%	34%	5%	152	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	20%				
White					1	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					153	78%	31%	0%
General-Education Students	354	87%	38%	4%	302	87%	33%	1%
Students with Disabilities	40	53%	10%	0%	35	46%	6%	0%
English Proficient	323	85%	38%	3%	282	86%	33%	1%
Limited English Proficient	71	75%	18%	4%	55	67%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	274	84%	34%	3%	239	82%	31%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	120	83%	38%	5%	98	84%	30%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	394	84%	35%	4%	337	83%	31%	1%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	11	11	11	9	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

Results by Student Group	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
All Students	373	67%	50%	6%	411	56%	38%	5%
Female	214	71%	54%	7%	190	67%	47%	6%
Male	159	62%	45%	4%	221	47%	30%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	249	70%	53%	7%	267	61%	39%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	116	60%	44%	4%	141	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–				
White	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	63%	63%	13%	144	48%	35%	4%
General-Education Students	338	72%	55%	7%	366	62%	42%	6%
Students with Disabilities	35	20%	9%	3%	45	13%	7%	0%
English Proficient	325	71%	54%	7%	344	61%	41%	5%
Limited English Proficient	48	42%	25%	0%	67	34%	21%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	162	75%	59%	8%	99	65%	44%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	211	61%	44%	5%	312	54%	36%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					411	56%	38%	5%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


Other Assessments	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	373	73%	55%	5%	411	62%	45%	1%
Female	214	75%	58%	5%	190	67%	49%	1%
Male	159	71%	50%	4%	221	57%	41%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	249	77%	57%	3%	267	64%	46%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	116	65%	48%	6%	141	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–				
White	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	75%	75%	25%	144	58%	41%	1%
General-Education Students	338	77%	59%	4%	366	67%	49%	1%
Students with Disabilities	35	40%	11%	6%	45	22%	9%	0%
English Proficient	325	76%	58%	5%	344	65%	48%	1%
Limited English Proficient	48	52%	35%	2%	67	48%	28%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	162	83%	63%	8%	99	73%	53%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	211	66%	48%	2%	312	59%	42%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					411	62%	45%	1%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.