



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

Superintendent **JAMES MAPES**

Telephone **(516) 377-9271**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	370	330	305
Grade 1	357	383	347
Grade 2	390	381	387
Grade 3	411	390	399
Grade 4	408	410	396
Grade 5	408	429	401
Grade 6	407	401	437
Ungraded Elementary	95	115	114
Grade 7	404	408	418
Grade 8	437	424	422
Grade 9	432	415	408
Grade 10	406	437	430
Grade 11	454	447	516
Grade 12	401	375	346
Ungraded Secondary	102	88	27
Total K-12	5482	5433	5353

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	23	25	23
Mathematics	23	24	23
Science	23	23	23
Social Studies	23	22	23
Grade 10			
English	20	21	20
Mathematics	21	20	22
Science	21	22	22
Social Studies	23	21	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	217	4%	221	4%	223	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	2	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	2092	38%	2119	39%	2132	40%
Hispanic or Latino	826	15%	921	17%	965	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	266	5%	256	5%	247	5%
White	2297	42%	2135	39%	1970	37%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	1%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	228	4%	264	5%	360	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	443	445	417
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	7%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	37%	40%	45%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1676	1149
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1644	1655	1671
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	1%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	20%	13%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	13%	11%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	54	45	39
Total Paraprofessionals*	78	96	73
Assistant Principals	7	6	7
Principals	9	9	9

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged				—	—	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 5	5 of 5	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2575:2484)			100%		176	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (1077:1042)			100%		174	119	
Hispanic or Latino (434:402)			100%		164	117	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (105:101)			100%		184	112	
White (959:939)			100%		184	118	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (372:364)			99%		129	116	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (83:93)			99%		115	112	
Economically Disadvantaged (50:45)			98%		136	108	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2581:2488)			100%		180	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (1087:1041)			100%		177	83	
Hispanic or Latino (428:410)			100%		172	81	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (106:101)			100%		193	76	
White (960:936)			100%		186	82	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (372:365)			100%		143	80	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (77:102)			99%		134	76	
Economically Disadvantaged (57:46)			96%		150	72	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (855:811)		Qualified		98%		191	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (372:350)		Qualified		98%		191	100	
Hispanic or Latino (131:124)		Qualified		99%		181	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (31:31)		—	—	—		194	100	
White (321:306)		Qualified		98%		194	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (118:114)		Qualified		98%		169	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (27:24)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (20:16)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (336:358)			100%		186	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (123:127)			100%		187	150	
Hispanic or Latino (42:49)			100%		167	145	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:22)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (147:160)			100%		188	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (28:35)		—	—		146	143	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (336:358)			100%		187	146	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (123:127)			100%		189	143	
Hispanic or Latino (42:49)			100%		165	138	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:22)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (147:160)			100%		190	144	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (28:35)		—	—		146	136	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (404)			91%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (149)			89%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (62)			81%	55%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18)	–		–	–	
White (175)			96%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (37)			68%	55%	
Limited English Proficient ³ (1)	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (4)	–		–	–	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

7 schools identified 78% of total

BROOKSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
LENOX ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
MEADOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
MILBURN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
PLAZA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SHUBERT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
STEELE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 22% of total

BALDWIN MIDDLE SCHOOL
BALDWIN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District **BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-10-03-0000**

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	78%			405
Grade 4	82%			409
Grade 5	82%			421
Grade 6	72%			430
Grade 7	78%			427
Grade 8	80%			423

Mathematics				
Grade 3	94%			407
Grade 4	86%			414
Grade 5	87%			423
Grade 6	77%			436
Grade 7	76%			432
Grade 8	74%			428

Science				
Grade 4	94%			409
Grade 8	85%			307

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	87%			391
Mathematics	86%			391

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	405	96%	78%	13%	384	95%	84%	12%
Female	200	98%	85%	14%	178	96%	90%	18%
Male	205	95%	72%	12%	206	95%	79%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	180	96%	79%	12%	157	94%	80%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	70	93%	60%	7%	53	92%	85%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	18%	15	-	-	-
White	138	98%	86%	17%	158	97%	87%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					16	100%	94%	19%
General-Education Students	360	98%	82%	14%	331	98%	90%	14%
Students with Disabilities	45	84%	47%	2%	53	77%	47%	4%
English Proficient	397	96%	79%	13%	383	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	50%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%	33%	0%	13	69%	54%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	399	96%	79%	13%	371	96%	85%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	405	96%	78%	13%	384	95%	84%	12%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	407	99%	94%	36%	406	97%	91%	41%
Female	200	99%	95%	36%	197	96%	88%	43%
Male	207	98%	93%	36%	209	97%	94%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	180	99%	94%	34%	159	98%	91%	34%
Hispanic or Latino	70	97%	90%	21%	66	92%	83%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	50%	17	-	-	-
White	139	99%	95%	43%	163	98%	96%	50%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	94%	83%	50%
General-Education Students	363	99%	96%	39%	350	98%	94%	45%
Students with Disabilities	44	93%	75%	7%	56	89%	77%	14%
English Proficient	400	99%	94%	36%	391	98%	94%	42%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	86%	29%	15	67%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	71%	57%	0%	13	92%	85%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	400	99%	95%	36%	393	97%	92%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	407	99%	94%	36%	406	97%	91%	41%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	409	95%	82%	18%	408	96%	82%	17%
Female	194	95%	82%	19%	206	97%	84%	22%
Male	215	95%	81%	18%	202	95%	80%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	180	94%	85%	18%	169	96%	78%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	64	94%	64%	8%	68	96%	87%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	78%	17%	17	-	-	-
White	147	97%	86%	23%	153	95%	84%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	89%	33%
General-Education Students	345	98%	88%	21%	357	99%	87%	20%
Students with Disabilities	64	78%	45%	2%	51	73%	49%	0%
English Proficient	395	96%	85%	19%	406	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	14	79%	0%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	60%	0%	9	89%	67%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	394	96%	82%	19%	399	96%	82%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	409	95%	82%	18%	408	96%	82%	17%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	414	97%	86%	30%	424	95%	84%	33%
Female	200	96%	85%	29%	213	95%	85%	30%
Male	214	98%	88%	32%	211	95%	83%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	182	96%	86%	28%	170	96%	85%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	68	96%	72%	21%	82	88%	77%	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	94%	33%	18	-	-	-
White	146	99%	92%	38%	153	97%	86%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	100%	95%	58%
General-Education Students	349	99%	91%	35%	372	97%	88%	36%
Students with Disabilities	65	85%	60%	6%	52	83%	58%	6%
English Proficient	398	97%	88%	32%	406	96%	87%	34%
Limited English Proficient	16	81%	44%	0%	18	61%	28%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	60%	0%	10	80%	50%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	399	97%	87%	32%	414	95%	85%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	414	97%	86%	30%	424	95%	84%	33%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	409	98%	94%	65%	420	100%	95%	70%
Female	196	98%	95%	64%	211	100%	96%	73%
Male	213	98%	94%	66%	209	100%	95%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	181	98%	93%	56%	167	100%	95%	66%
Hispanic or Latino	66	97%	91%	50%	80	99%	90%	65%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	94%	78%	18	-	-	-
White	144	100%	98%	81%	154	100%	98%	77%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	100%	100%	74%
General-Education Students	345	99%	97%	71%	370	100%	96%	72%
Students with Disabilities	64	94%	83%	33%	50	100%	92%	54%
English Proficient	394	98%	95%	66%	403	100%	97%	72%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	73%	20%	17	100%	65%	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	79%	21%	10	100%	100%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	395	98%	95%	66%	410	100%	95%	71%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	409	98%	94%	65%	420	100%	95%	70%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	421	96%	82%	14%	435	96%	77%	17%
Female	210	96%	87%	13%	222	95%	80%	17%
Male	211	96%	76%	15%	213	97%	74%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	173	95%	79%	10%	197	96%	69%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	78	92%	79%	9%	64	92%	69%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	81%	24%	11	100%	100%	18%
White	149	99%	86%	21%	163	98%	88%	20%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	359	99%	88%	16%	380	98%	84%	19%
Students with Disabilities	62	82%	47%	2%	55	85%	29%	0%
English Proficient	406	97%	84%	15%	430	96%	77%	17%
Limited English Proficient	15	67%	20%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	56%	0%	10	90%	70%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	412	96%	82%	15%	425	96%	77%	17%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	421	96%	82%	14%	435	96%	77%	17%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	5	4	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	423	97%	87%	35%	445	95%	77%	27%
Female	210	97%	89%	37%	226	96%	74%	27%
Male	213	97%	86%	33%	219	94%	79%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	174	98%	84%	30%	197	95%	71%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	78	94%	87%	27%	73	92%	62%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	90%	62%	12	92%	92%	58%
White	150	97%	91%	40%	163	96%	90%	41%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	360	99%	93%	39%	391	96%	79%	30%
Students with Disabilities	63	84%	59%	10%	54	87%	57%	6%
English Proficient	407	98%	89%	36%	427	96%	79%	29%
Limited English Proficient	16	69%	38%	0%	18	72%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	64%	0%	11	91%	55%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	412	97%	88%	36%	434	95%	77%	28%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	423	97%	87%	35%	445	95%	77%	27%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	430	99%	72%	14%	402	97%	74%	15%
Female	218	100%	74%	17%	173	97%	73%	20%
Male	212	99%	70%	10%	229	96%	74%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	180	100%	64%	11%	141	95%	70%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	76	99%	64%	11%	59	97%	63%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	91%	91%	45%	23	100%	87%	17%
White	163	99%	83%	16%	179	97%	79%	20%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	368	100%	80%	16%	347	99%	82%	18%
Students with Disabilities	62	97%	26%	0%	55	78%	25%	0%
English Proficient	415	100%	74%	14%	397	97%	75%	16%
Limited English Proficient	15	87%	27%	0%	5	80%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	50%	13%	15	87%	60%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	422	99%	73%	14%	387	97%	74%	16%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	430	99%	72%	14%	402	97%	74%	15%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	436	96%	77%	21%	413	92%	62%	7%
Female	221	97%	78%	22%	175	92%	57%	5%
Male	215	95%	75%	20%	238	92%	65%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	184	97%	70%	16%	140	94%	56%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	77	94%	73%	18%	66	80%	50%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	45%	24	100%	88%	8%
White	164	96%	85%	26%	183	95%	67%	10%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	374	98%	82%	24%	355	94%	66%	7%
Students with Disabilities	62	87%	44%	5%	58	79%	34%	7%
English Proficient	420	97%	78%	22%	398	93%	63%	7%
Limited English Proficient	16	75%	38%	0%	15	60%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	50%	10%	15	80%	27%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	426	96%	77%	21%	398	93%	63%	7%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	436	96%	77%	21%	413	92%	62%	7%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	427	98%	78%	12%	415	98%	76%	14%
Female	183	99%	80%	17%	193	97%	79%	13%
Male	244	98%	76%	8%	222	98%	73%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	159	97%	75%	13%	182	97%	77%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	69	100%	62%	4%	49	96%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	84%	12%	16	100%	88%	13%
White	174	99%	86%	14%	168	99%	76%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	366	100%	84%	14%	374	100%	82%	16%
Students with Disabilities	61	90%	43%	0%	41	78%	22%	0%
English Proficient	420	98%	79%	12%	413	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	29%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	424	-	-	-	408	98%	75%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	427	98%	78%	12%	415	98%	76%	14%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	8	6	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	432	98%	76%	21%	420	95%	62%	9%
Female	186	99%	78%	22%	194	95%	62%	11%
Male	246	96%	74%	21%	226	96%	62%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	164	96%	67%	16%	183	95%	56%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	69	97%	77%	10%	53	89%	43%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	92%	44%	16	100%	75%	25%
White	174	99%	82%	28%	168	98%	74%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	370	99%	80%	25%	379	97%	65%	9%
Students with Disabilities	62	90%	53%	2%	41	83%	39%	2%
English Proficient	421	98%	77%	22%	412	96%	63%	9%
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	55%	9%	8	75%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	8	100%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	428	-	-	-	412	95%	63%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	432	98%	76%	21%	420	95%	62%	9%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	8	6	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	423	97%	80%	10%	419	97%	65%	7%
Female	194	98%	88%	14%	206	98%	73%	9%
Male	229	97%	73%	6%	213	96%	57%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	187	98%	78%	6%	160	97%	57%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	54	93%	76%	4%	56	93%	59%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	23%	17	100%	82%	6%
White	169	98%	82%	15%	186	97%	73%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	381	100%	86%	11%	384	99%	71%	8%
Students with Disabilities	42	76%	21%	0%	35	69%	6%	0%
English Proficient	419	-	-	-	417	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	10	80%	60%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	419	-	-	-	409	97%	65%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	423	97%	80%	10%	419	97%	65%	7%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	428	94%	74%	11%	431	94%	63%	12%
Female	195	96%	78%	14%	213	96%	66%	11%
Male	233	93%	71%	9%	218	93%	60%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	186	94%	69%	8%	158	92%	56%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	60	88%	63%	2%	67	91%	51%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	23%	17	100%	88%	35%
White	169	97%	82%	17%	189	97%	70%	17%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	386	97%	79%	11%	396	95%	65%	13%
Students with Disabilities	42	69%	29%	7%	35	86%	43%	3%
English Proficient	418	95%	75%	11%	415	95%	64%	13%
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	30%	0%	16	69%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	60%	20%	0%	9	89%	56%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	423	95%	75%	11%	422	95%	63%	13%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	428	94%	74%	11%	431	94%	63%	12%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	307	96%	85%	36%	325	98%	89%	27%
Female	134	98%	86%	34%	153	99%	87%	23%
Male	173	95%	85%	38%	172	98%	91%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	131	98%	86%	34%	132	100%	91%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	61	89%	75%	26%	59	93%	75%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	60%	5	100%	100%	60%
White	110	98%	89%	43%	129	99%	93%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	267	97%	90%	39%	291	99%	91%	29%
Students with Disabilities	40	88%	58%	20%	34	94%	68%	12%
English Proficient	295	98%	87%	38%	308	100%	92%	28%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	33%	0%	17	76%	35%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	10	90%	70%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	303	-	-	-	315	99%	90%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	307	96%	85%	36%	325	98%	89%	27%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	112	112	110	73	100	100	100	84

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	391	89%	87%	44%	449	87%	84%	41%
Female	197	92%	91%	55%	211	89%	86%	47%
Male	194	85%	83%	32%	238	85%	82%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	140	93%	90%	39%	168	89%	84%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	56	80%	77%	25%	77	74%	69%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	92%	92%	68%	17	88%	88%	59%
White	170	88%	87%	51%	187	91%	90%	53%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	352	94%	92%	48%	407	91%	88%	43%
Students with Disabilities	39	44%	38%	5%	42	48%	43%	17%
English Proficient	387	-	-	-	432	89%	86%	42%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	17	29%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%	20%	0%	4	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	386	90%	88%	45%	445	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant					449	87%	84%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	391	91%	86%	25%	449	90%	84%	27%
Female	197	91%	88%	30%	211	92%	88%	28%
Male	194	90%	84%	21%	238	89%	82%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	140	94%	88%	26%	168	91%	84%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	56	84%	77%	9%	77	81%	71%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	96%	32%	17	94%	94%	71%
White	170	89%	85%	29%	187	94%	89%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	352	95%	91%	28%	407	93%	89%	28%
Students with Disabilities	39	54%	33%	3%	42	64%	43%	10%
English Proficient	387	-	-	-	432	92%	86%	27%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	17	53%	47%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%	20%	0%	4	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	386	92%	87%	26%	445	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant					449	90%	84%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.