

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 District FRANKLIN SQUARE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-17-02-0000 Superintendent THOMAS DOLAN Telephone (516) 505-6975 Grades K-6, UE

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	274	252	272
Grade 1	278	259	260
Grade 2	234	267	254
Grade 3	286	227	268
Grade 4	241	288	240
Grade 5	247	245	298
Grade 6	290	258	243
Ungraded Elementary	77	82	78
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1927	1878	1913

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

July 15, 2008

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006–07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	125	6%	85	5%	103	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	85	4%	90	5%	66	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	62	3%	56	3%	47	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	23	1%	16	1%	26	1%
Hispanic or Latino	153	8%	168	9%	152	8%
Asian or Native	83	4%	96	5%	120	6%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1668	87%	1597	85%	1569	82%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	2%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	135	135	127
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	11%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	32%	30%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	560	174
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	319	312	306
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	1%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	14%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	14%	13%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	13	12	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	28	28	29
Assistant Principals	1	2	2
Principals	3	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

District in Good Standing

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

(Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for

Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that that does not make AYP on the accountability measure does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was for which it was identified is considered a District in Need identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, (Year 5 and above) for the following year. if it continues to receive Title I funds.

the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District FRANKLIN SQUARE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007–08)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ling			
	2005-	-06	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	 	 Image: A start of the start of					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	-	—		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	v	`		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
White	~	V	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••		
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	V						
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1					



District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (1099:1080)	v	· ·	100%	~	190	119			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino (92:88)	<	✓	99%	 	184	111	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (71:65)	~	✓	97%	~	186	110	••••	••••	
White (925:916)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	192	118		••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••••••••	••••		••••		••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (132:129)	 	~	99%	V	159	113			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (11:8)	-	_	_	_	-	_	••••	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

X

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (1106:1084)	~	V	100%	V	197	83			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (13:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (97:89)	✓	~	100%	 	198	75			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (72:68)	✓	✓	100%	~	199	74			
White (924:916)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	197	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••		••••						
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (133:129)	 	~	100%	~	185	77			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (14:11)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

X

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (258:250)		Qualified		100%	~	200	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (19:16)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:14)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (221:219)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	200	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (36:34)		_	-	_	~	200	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ	et	followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th participati	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005– on rates over the	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o6 and 2006–07 e ose two years.	ed tested stude nedical reason: during the test n rate of a grou nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested dents are not requiri	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec rent in 2006–c is the weighte	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me 97, the enrol ed average c	y calculation et Iment of the
 Did Not Make AYP Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status 		and 2006– ⁴ If the coun	07 were combin	ed to determine co s is equal to or gre	ounts and perfo	lled tested students ormance indices. rmer LEP students a			5-06

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Fe	deral Title I Status	New York State Status
$\mathbf{\wedge}$	Good Standing	Good Standing
	2 schools identified 67% of total	1 school identified 33% of total
	POLK STREET SCHOOL WASHINGTON STREET SCHOOL	JOHN STREET SCHOOL

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		entage of d at or a		Total Tested		
English Language Arts	C)%	50%	100	%	
Grade 3	92%				272	
Grade 4	95%				248	
Grade 5	89%				306	
Grade 6	89%				255	
Mathematics						
Grade 3	98%				276	
Grade 4	99%				255	
Grade 5	97%				308	
Grade 6	95%				257	
Science						
Grade 4	99%				255	

District ID 28-02-17-02-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 695	Range:	616-780	650-	780 7	30-780					
2006 Mean Score: 696	100%	99% 98%	92% ₈	8%		91% 92%	67% 69	%		
2006-072005-06				2	1% 19%	н.		10'	% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		268 237	251 2	215 5	57 47					
Posults by	2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	0	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		272	99%	92 %	21%	243	98 %	88%	19%	
Female		138	99%	94%	21%	119	98%	91%	20%	
Male		134	98%	90%	21%	124	97%	86%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		3				1				
Hispanic or Latino		21	100%	90%	14%	20	95%	85%	15%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ier	16	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	
White		232	99%	92%	22%	210	98%	89%	20%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		19	95%	95%	11%	13	100%	92%	8%	
General-Education Students		248	100%	95%	22%	218	100%	96%	22%	
Students with Disabilities		24	88%	67%	8%	25	80%	24%	0%	
English Proficient		271	-	_	-	240	_	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-			3	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged						36	100%	83%	11%	
Net Diseducente e ed	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.00/	0.20/	210/	207	070/		210/	

Migrant Not Migrant 272 99% 92% 21% 243 98% 88% 19%

92%

21%

207

97%

89%

21%

99%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

272

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in a cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 710	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770				
2006 Mean Score: 700	100%	99% 98%	98% 9		9%	96% 94%	85% 81	.%	
2006-07 2005-06					46%	н.		29	[%] 25%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	273 239	270 2	233 1	63 112				
Pocults by		2006-07 S o	hool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		276	99%	98 %	59%	243	98 %	96%	46 %
Female		137	100%	99%	55%	118	99%	96%	42%
Male		139	98%	97%	63%	125	98%	96%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		3	-			1	—		
Hispanic or Latino		24	96%	92%	50%	20	100%	95%	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	٢	17	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
White	•••••	232	99%	98%	60%	209	98%	96%	46%
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	20	100%	100%	55%	14	100%	93%	43%
General-Education Students		252	100%	99%	63%	218	100%	99%	51%
Students with Disabilities		24	88%	83%	17%	25	84%	72%	4%
English Proficient		274	-	_	-	239	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged						36	100%	92%	31%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	276	99%	98%	59%	207	98%	97%	49%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		276	99%	98%	59%	243	98%	96%	46%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year		2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	_	-	_	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 694	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775				
2006 Mean Score: 697	100%	99% 100%	95% 9	8%		92% 91%	68% 69	%	
2006-07									
2005-06				2:	3% 21%			8%	6 9%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	246 291	236 2	286 5	57 61				
Doculto by		2006-07 S a	hool Yea	r		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		248	99%	95%	23%	292	100%	98%	21%
Female		124	100%	98%	27%	155	100%	98%	25%
Male		124	98%	93%	19%	137	99%	98%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American	ve	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2	······		
Hispanic or Latino	••••		100%		22%		96%		27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	13	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White		216		96%	23%	249	100%	99%	20%
Multiracial	••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals			100%	100%	21%	17	100%	94%	18%
General-Education Students		217	100%	98%	26%	263	100%	98%	23%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31	94%	74%	0%	29	97%	97%	0%
English Proficient		245	-	-	-	291	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged						22	100%	91%	14%
Not Disadvantaged		248	99%	95%	23%	270	100%	99%	21%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		248	99%	95%	23%	292	100%	98%	21%

NOTES The - syn symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot b	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 709	Range:	622-800	650-	800 7	02-800				
2006 Mean Score: 708	100%	100%100%	99% 9		0% 57%	94% 93%	80% 78	3%	
2006-07 2005-06						н.		289	% 26%
Number of Tested Students:	·	254 295	252	294 1	.52 169				
Results by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Grou	0	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	le scoring a 3−4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	le scoring at 3−4	t level(s): 4
All Students	-	255	100%	99%	60%	296	100%	99%	57%
Female		128	100%	100%	56%	158	99%	99%	49%
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	127	99%	98%	63%	138	100%	100%	66%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative								
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		19	100%	95%	42%	27	96%	96%	41%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ier	16	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	218	100%	99%	61%	250	100%	100%	60%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••••••••••				
Small Group Totals		18	100%	100%	56%	19	100%	100%	47%
General-Education Students		223	100%	99%	63%	266	100%	100%	61%
Students with Disabilities		32	97%	97%	38%	30	97%	93%	27%
English Proficient		249	100%	100%	61%	292	_	_	
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	67%	0%	4	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged						23	100%	100%	30%
Not Disadvantaged		255	100%	99%	60%	273	100%	99%	59%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		255	100%	99%	60%	296	100%	99%	57%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so compared.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 94	Range:	45-100	65-1	8 00	35-100				
2006 Mean Score: 94	100%	100%100%	99% 1	00% <u>c</u>	96% 96%	97% 97%	85% 86		
2006-07 2005-06								499	% 49%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	255 298	253	297 2	244 287				
Results by		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	ar	
		Total Tested	Percentag	le scoring a	it level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	p		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students		255	100%	99%	96%	298	100%	100%	96%
Female		130	100%	99%	96%	160	100%	99%	94%
Male		125	100%	99%	95%	138	100%	100%	99%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		2		<u>-</u>		2			
Hispanic or Latino			100%	95%	84%	28	100%	96%	86%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther	16	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
White		218	100%	100%	97%	250	100%	100%	98%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals		18	100%	94%	94%	20	100%	100%	90%
General-Education Students		222	100%	99%	98%	268	100%	100%	97%
Students with Disabilities	••••••	33	100%	100%	79%	30	100%	97%	93%
English Proficient		249	100%	100%	97%	293	100%	100%	97%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	6	100%	67%	50%	5	100%	80%	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	1					24	100%	100%	83%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	255	100%	99%	96%	274	100%	100%	97%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%	99%	96%	298	100%	100%	
				2070					

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 681	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795				
2006 Mean Score: 689	100%	99% 100%	89% ⁹	5%		95% 94%	68% 67	%	
2006-072005-06				1	27% 0%			79	₆ 12%
Number of Tested Students:		303 258	272 2	46 3	82 69				
Results by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		306	99%	89%	10%	258	100%	95%	27%
Female		165	98%	88%	11%	131	100%	98%	32%
Male		141	100%	90%	10%	127	100%	93%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative								
Black or African American		5	100%	40%	0%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		25	100%	84%	12%	27	100%	96%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner	22	100%	77%	5%	16	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	254	99%	91%	11%	214	100%	95%	28%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••			••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		••••••		•••••	•••••	17	100%	94%	35%
•		075	1000/		100/	010	1000/	0.00/	000/

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

275

31

303

306

306

3

100%

90%

99%

99%

92%

58%

89%

89%

12%

0%

10%

10%

218

40

258

30

228

258

98%

83%

95%

83%

97%

95%

29%

15%

27%

13%

29%

27%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 20			2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant Not Migrant

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 695	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99–780				
2006 Mean Score: 697	100%	99% 100%	97% 9	7%		94% 90%	76% 68	%	
2006-07 2005-06				4	_{0%} 44%			229	% 19%
Number of Tested Students:		305 257	298 2	249 1	23 113				
Poculto by		2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		308	99%	97%	40 %	257	100%	97%	44%
Female		165	98%	95%	32%	130	100%	98%	39%
Male		143	100%	99%	50%	127	100%	95%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		6	100%	83%	0%	1	—		
Hispanic or Latino		26	100%	92%	38%	27	100%	96%	37%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		22	100%	95%	59%	16	-	-	-
White		254	99%	98%	39%	213	100%	97%	44%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						17	100%	94%	53%
General-Education Students		276	100%	98%	42%	218	100%	97%	48%
Students with Disabilities		32	91%	88%	22%	39	100%	95%	21%
English Proficient		304	-	-	-	257	100%	97%	44%
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged						29	100%	97%	28%
Not Disadvantaged		308	99%	97%	40%	228	100%	97%	46%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		308	99%	97%	40%	257	100%	97%	44%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year:			2005–06 School Year			
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so e compared.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 682	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 7	05-785				
2006 Mean Score: 684	100%	100% 99%	89% 9	0%		98% 93%	<u>63%</u> 60	%	
2006-07									
2005-06				18	3%			9%	6 12%
Number of Tested Students:	·	255 266	226 2	43 4	5 73				
Pocults by	2006–07 School Year 2005–06 School Yea						r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		255	100%	89%	18%	269	99%	90%	27%
Female		125	100%	90%	19%	130	98%	92%	30%
Male		130	100%	88%	16%	139	99%	89%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		26	100%	77%	15%	30	97%	90%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		17	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	210	100%	90%	17%	223	99%	90%	28%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	19	100%	84%	26%	16	100%	94%	25%
General-Education Students		220	100%	94%	20%	231	100%	96%	30%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	35	100%	54%	3%	38	92%	55%	8%
English Proficient		254	-	-	-	269	99%	90%	27%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	-		•••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged						30	97%	87%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	255	100%	89%	18%	239	99%	91%	29%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	255	100%	89%	18%	269	99%	90%	27%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

269

100%

94%

31%

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 699	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	596-780				
2006 Mean Score: 686	100%	100%100%	95% g			91% 87%	71% 60	%	
2006-07 2005-06				4	9% 31%	н.		20	[%] 13%
Number of Tested Students:	1	257 268	245 2	252 1	.27 84				
Results by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		257	100%	95%	49 %	269	100%	94%	31%
Female		127	100%	94%	50%	129	100%	95%	27%
Male		130	100%	96%	49%	140	99%	93%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		2				1			
Hispanic or Latino		28	100%	93%	50%	31	100%	94%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	17	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White		210	100%	95%	49%	222	100%	94%	31%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		19	100%	100%	58%	16	100%	94%	44%
General-Education Students		222	100%	96%	54%	231	100%	95%	35%
Students with Disabilities		35	100%	89%	20%	38	97%	84%	11%
English Proficient		255	-	-	-	269	100%	94%	31%
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged						30	100%	93%	23%
Not Disadvantaged		257	100%	95%	49%	239	100%	94%	32%

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

257

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

95%

49%