



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**
District ID **28-04-01-03-0000**
Superintendent **CONSTANCE CLARK-SNEAD**
Telephone **(516) 876-5016**
Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-01-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	197	229	230
Kindergarten	325	351	370
Grade 1	344	332	320
Grade 2	285	304	321
Grade 3	307	283	299
Grade 4	292	286	275
Grade 5	312	296	277
Grade 6	271	310	301
Ungraded Elementary	40	0	0
Grade 7	303	265	297
Grade 8	285	274	254
Grade 9	275	280	284
Grade 10	309	285	293
Grade 11	271	290	271
Grade 12	197	274	271
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3816	3830	3833

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	21	20	20
Grade 8			
English	18	18	16
Mathematics	20	17	18
Science	18	18	17
Social Studies	19	18	16
Grade 10			
English	16	19	23
Mathematics		16	23
Science	21	21	20
Social Studies	21	21	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-01-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2542	67%	2417	63%	2481	65%
Reduced-Price Lunch	484	13%	558	15%	542	14%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	1297	34%	1117	29%	1220	32%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	1	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	1762	46%	1700	44%	1589	41%
Hispanic or Latino	1937	51%	2018	53%	2068	54%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	56	1%	53	1%	65	2%
White	56	1%	58	2%	102	3%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		93%		95%
Student Suspensions	95	2%	98	3%	124	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-01-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	320	325	326
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	6%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	45%	47%	52%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1088	797
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	2%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1054	1078	1148
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	3%	1%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	18%	4%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	8%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	48	56	57
Total Paraprofessionals*	113	117	132
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White				—	—	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities				SH	SH	
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 5	5 of 5	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1730:1631)			99%		166	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (705:665)			99%		173	118	
Hispanic or Latino (951:902)			100%		160	118	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:32)		—	—		181	105	
White (38:31)		—	—		168	105	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (238:226)			98%		119	115	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (526:564)			100%		142	117	
Economically Disadvantaged (1285:1215)			99%		165	119	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1721:1641)			99%		177	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (700:665)			99%		179	82	
Hispanic or Latino (948:910)			99%		176	82	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:32)		—	—		194	69	
White (37:32)		—	—		184	69	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (235:224)			98%		165	79	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (521:585)			99%		164	81	
Economically Disadvantaged (1283:1225)			99%		178	83	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (545:500)		Qualified		97%		172	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (242:220)		Qualified		98%		172	100	
Hispanic or Latino (280:260)		Qualified		97%		170	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (10:8)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (73:68)		Qualified		96%		175	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (159:163)		Qualified		97%		162	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (397:369)		Qualified		98%		174	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (262:253)			97%		169	152	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (170:163)			97%		171	151	
Hispanic or Latino (80:76)			98%		167	148	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (9:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (27:30)		—	—		100	142	20 110
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (17:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (147:143)			99%		167	150	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 5					

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 - ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹								
All Students (262:253)			96%		172	145		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (170:163)			96%		174	144		
Hispanic or Latino (80:76)			96%		168	141		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
White (9:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (27:30)		—	—		87	135	20 98	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (17:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (147:143)			98%		177	143		
Final AYP Determination		5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives State Standard	Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion			2006–07	2007–08
All Students (257)			84%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (157)			89%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (93)			75%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)	–		–	–		
White (6)	–		–	–		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (27)	–		–	–		
Limited English Proficient ³ (30)			33%	55%	1%	34%
Economically Disadvantaged (59)			92%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-01-03-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

DREXEL AVENUE SCHOOL

PARK AVENUE SCHOOL

POWELLS LANE SCHOOL

WESTBURY HIGH SCHOOL

WESTBURY MIDDLE SCHOOL

District WESTBURY UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-01-03-0000

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	66%			282
Grade 4	70%			269
Grade 5	62%			266
Grade 6	77%			299
Grade 7	75%			284
Grade 8	77%			249
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			286
Grade 4	88%			277
Grade 5	79%			277
Grade 6	83%			301
Grade 7	78%			290
Grade 8	70%			251
Science				
Grade 4	94%			278
Grade 8	46%			199

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	72%			291
Mathematics	74%			291

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	282	90%	66%	5%	176	98%	76%	3%
Female	151	95%	71%	6%	90	100%	87%	4%
Male	131	85%	61%	4%	86	95%	65%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	91	89%	71%	7%	102	99%	73%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	181	91%	63%	4%	64	98%	83%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	2	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	80%	80%	0%	10	80%	70%	0%
General-Education Students	242	96%	75%	5%	159	100%	82%	4%
Students with Disabilities	40	53%	15%	3%	17	76%	24%	0%
English Proficient	154	92%	81%	9%	166	98%	75%	4%
Limited English Proficient	128	88%	49%	0%	10	100%	100%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	239	90%	64%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	43	91%	77%	12%	176	98%	76%	3%
Migrant	5	60%	60%	0%				
Not Migrant	277	91%	66%	5%	176	98%	76%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	286	98%	91%	26%	273	95%	86%	22%
Female	152	99%	93%	30%	143	97%	88%	16%
Male	134	96%	88%	21%	130	92%	83%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	92	98%	89%	29%	112	94%	90%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	183	98%	91%	24%	149	95%	82%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	27%	12	92%	92%	33%
General-Education Students	246	99%	96%	29%	238	97%	90%	25%
Students with Disabilities	40	90%	58%	5%	35	77%	57%	0%
English Proficient	153	99%	93%	35%	164	98%	93%	28%
Limited English Proficient	133	97%	87%	16%	109	90%	74%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	241	98%	91%	23%				
Not Disadvantaged	45	96%	89%	40%	273	95%	86%	22%
Migrant	5	100%	80%	0%				
Not Migrant	281	98%	91%	26%	273	95%	86%	22%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	269	94%	70%	5%	216	94%	77%	6%
Female	145	98%	75%	6%	111	96%	80%	8%
Male	124	90%	65%	4%	105	90%	74%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	117	96%	79%	10%	117	97%	74%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	142	92%	62%	1%	88	91%	82%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	6	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	0%	11	82%	73%	0%
General-Education Students	235	99%	77%	6%	182	99%	86%	7%
Students with Disabilities	34	59%	21%	0%	34	62%	32%	0%
English Proficient	169	98%	86%	8%	203	93%	78%	6%
Limited English Proficient	100	88%	43%	0%	13	100%	69%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	225	94%	69%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	44	93%	77%	14%	216	94%	77%	6%
Migrant	5	80%	40%	0%				
Not Migrant	264	94%	71%	5%	216	94%	77%	6%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	99%	88%	26%	291	96%	82%	24%
Female	147	99%	92%	21%	151	95%	77%	20%
Male	130	98%	83%	32%	140	96%	87%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	119	98%	88%	33%	123	95%	83%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	147	99%	87%	20%	155	97%	81%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	7	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	36%	13	85%	85%	23%
General-Education Students	242	99%	91%	30%	249	98%	86%	27%
Students with Disabilities	35	97%	66%	3%	42	86%	62%	10%
English Proficient	167	100%	93%	34%	203	96%	87%	30%
Limited English Proficient	110	97%	80%	15%	88	95%	70%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	230	99%	88%	24%				
Not Disadvantaged	47	98%	85%	36%	291	96%	82%	24%
Migrant	5	100%	100%	60%				
Not Migrant	272	99%	88%	26%	291	96%	82%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	99%	94%	46%	283	100%	92%	50%
Female	146	99%	94%	44%	146	100%	91%	45%
Male	132	99%	94%	48%	137	100%	93%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	121	98%	95%	54%	115	100%	93%	52%
Hispanic or Latino	146	99%	92%	38%	155	100%	91%	46%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	7	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	64%	13	100%	92%	77%
General-Education Students	243	99%	95%	49%	250	100%	93%	52%
Students with Disabilities	35	97%	83%	26%	33	100%	85%	36%
English Proficient	168	100%	98%	61%	194	100%	94%	58%
Limited English Proficient	110	97%	87%	24%	89	100%	87%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	227	99%	93%	46%				
Not Disadvantaged	51	98%	96%	45%	283	100%	92%	50%
Migrant	5	100%	100%	40%				
Not Migrant	273	99%	94%	46%	283	100%	92%	50%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 659	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2006 Mean Score: 662						
Number of Tested Students:	254	246	164	179	11	17

Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	266	95%	62%	4%	254	97%	70%	7%
Female	136	96%	59%	4%	140	98%	75%	6%
Male	130	95%	65%	4%	114	96%	65%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	109	95%	70%	6%	113	97%	73%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	146	96%	56%	2%	127	96%	69%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	8	100%	75%	0%
White	9	-	-	-	6	100%	50%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	91%	55%	9%				
General-Education Students	232	97%	67%	5%	228	99%	75%	7%
Students with Disabilities	34	82%	26%	0%	26	81%	35%	4%
English Proficient	198	97%	71%	6%	212	97%	73%	8%
Limited English Proficient	68	90%	34%	0%	42	98%	60%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	188	96%	62%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	78	94%	62%	10%	254	97%	70%	7%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	265	-	-	-	254	97%	70%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	99%	79%	18%	301	91%	76%	14%
Female	138	100%	75%	15%	157	92%	80%	13%
Male	139	97%	84%	22%	144	90%	73%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	113	99%	79%	19%	123	92%	81%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	154	98%	80%	17%	163	91%	72%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	50%
White	8	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	30%	7	86%	57%	43%
General-Education Students	243	98%	81%	21%	267	94%	79%	15%
Students with Disabilities	34	100%	71%	3%	34	68%	56%	6%
English Proficient	200	100%	85%	22%	205	96%	83%	20%
Limited English Proficient	77	95%	66%	10%	96	82%	63%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	198	98%	82%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged	79	100%	72%	19%	301	91%	76%	14%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	276	-	-	-	301	91%	76%	14%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	299	99%	77%	9%	252	86%	42%	3%
Female	151	100%	80%	11%	122	87%	44%	2%
Male	148	97%	74%	7%	130	85%	40%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	121	99%	79%	10%	109	85%	49%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	163	98%	74%	7%	137	87%	36%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	33%	4	-	-	-
White	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	262	100%	79%	9%	232	90%	45%	3%
Students with Disabilities	37	92%	62%	8%	20	45%	5%	0%
English Proficient	207	98%	84%	12%	245	87%	43%	3%
Limited English Proficient	92	100%	61%	3%	7	71%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	237	99%	76%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	62	97%	81%	13%	252	86%	42%	3%
Migrant	8	100%	75%	0%				
Not Migrant	291	99%	77%	9%	252	86%	42%	3%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	4	2	0	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	301	90%	83%	25%	284	76%	41%	3%
Female	151	95%	84%	25%	137	81%	44%	2%
Male	150	86%	81%	25%	147	71%	39%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	119	92%	83%	27%	115	77%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	166	89%	81%	22%	161	76%	35%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	56%	4	-	-	-
White	6	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	8	63%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	266	91%	83%	26%	262	79%	43%	3%
Students with Disabilities	35	86%	80%	23%	22	41%	23%	0%
English Proficient	205	96%	92%	31%	245	81%	46%	4%
Limited English Proficient	96	78%	63%	13%	39	44%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	239	90%	81%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	62	92%	89%	47%	284	76%	41%	3%
Migrant	8	100%	88%	0%				
Not Migrant	293	90%	83%	26%	284	76%	41%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	1	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 662	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2006 Mean Score: 643						
Number of Tested Students:	267	214	212	102	15	9

Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	94%	75%	5%	236	91%	43%	4%
Female	140	96%	80%	6%	116	93%	48%	4%
Male	144	92%	69%	5%	120	88%	38%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	124	96%	77%	6%	114	93%	46%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	151	93%	72%	5%	113	88%	39%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	0%	9	100%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	248	96%	79%	6%	211	98%	47%	4%
Students with Disabilities	36	81%	47%	3%	25	32%	8%	0%
English Proficient	239	97%	82%	6%	227	90%	44%	4%
Limited English Proficient	45	80%	38%	2%	9	100%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	191	93%	74%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	93	97%	75%	3%	236	91%	43%	4%
Migrant	4	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	280	-	-	-	236	91%	43%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	3	0	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	290	93%	78%	26%	262	79%	39%	2%
Female	144	94%	81%	27%	137	77%	39%	1%
Male	146	92%	76%	25%	125	82%	40%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	127	95%	80%	26%	118	83%	39%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	154	90%	76%	26%	132	74%	39%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	33%	12	92%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	255	93%	81%	29%	236	82%	42%	3%
Students with Disabilities	35	91%	57%	9%	26	54%	19%	0%
English Proficient	236	99%	87%	31%	224	84%	44%	3%
Limited English Proficient	54	67%	39%	6%	38	47%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	196	90%	75%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged	94	98%	85%	29%	262	79%	39%	2%
Migrant	4	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	286	-	-	-	262	79%	39%	2%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	3	1	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 664	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 654						
Number of Tested Students:	238	227	192	138	11	8

Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	249	96%	77%	4%	245	93%	56%	3%
Female	129	95%	78%	4%	117	95%	60%	3%
Male	120	97%	77%	5%	128	91%	53%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	118	99%	81%	6%	133	93%	58%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	121	92%	73%	3%	106	92%	54%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	218	95%	81%	5%	199	94%	59%	4%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	52%	0%	46	87%	46%	0%
English Proficient	205	99%	85%	5%	243	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	44	80%	39%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	162	95%	79%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	87	97%	74%	2%	245	93%	56%	3%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	247	-	-	-	245	93%	56%	3%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	88%	70%	5%	284	86%	50%	6%
Female	128	89%	72%	5%	137	85%	49%	7%
Male	123	88%	68%	5%	147	88%	52%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	117	91%	74%	6%	141	87%	52%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	124	85%	65%	5%	137	85%	47%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	220	88%	68%	5%	237	86%	50%	7%
Students with Disabilities	31	90%	84%	3%	47	85%	51%	4%
English Proficient	205	93%	76%	6%	249	90%	56%	7%
Limited English Proficient	46	70%	43%	2%	35	57%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	89%	74%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	87	87%	63%	3%	284	86%	50%	6%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	249	-	-	-	284	86%	50%	6%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	199	91%	46%	7%	208	92%	53%	9%
Female	100	90%	38%	3%	105	93%	54%	5%
Male	99	93%	54%	10%	103	90%	52%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	91	95%	46%	7%	101	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	102	88%	43%	7%	103	87%	49%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	105	96%	58%	6%
General-Education Students	172	90%	43%	4%	172	91%	48%	4%
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	63%	22%	36	94%	81%	31%
English Proficient	154	97%	54%	6%	182	96%	60%	10%
Limited English Proficient	45	73%	18%	7%	26	65%	4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	126	91%	46%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	73	92%	45%	4%	208	92%	53%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	198	-	-	-	208	92%	53%	9%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	45	41	39	12	50	50	47	18

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	81%	72%	8%	285	73%	62%	7%
Female	153	86%	77%	10%	142	77%	68%	8%
Male	138	77%	66%	6%	143	69%	57%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	174	88%	78%	8%	161	80%	71%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	102	71%	61%	6%	117	62%	49%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	11	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	80%	80%	27%	7	100%	100%	57%
General-Education Students	260	85%	77%	9%	260	77%	66%	8%
Students with Disabilities	31	55%	32%	0%	25	28%	24%	4%
English Proficient	260	86%	76%	9%	240	83%	71%	9%
Limited English Proficient	31	45%	35%	0%	45	22%	16%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	154	84%	75%	6%	72	75%	63%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	137	79%	69%	10%	213	72%	62%	8%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant					282	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				3	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	83%	74%	14%	285	81%	72%	13%
Female	153	88%	79%	18%	142	84%	74%	15%
Male	138	78%	67%	9%	143	78%	69%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	174	89%	79%	14%	161	89%	81%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	102	74%	63%	12%	117	69%	57%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	11	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	80%	80%	20%	7	100%	100%	43%
General-Education Students	260	88%	80%	15%	260	85%	75%	14%
Students with Disabilities	31	42%	23%	3%	25	44%	36%	4%
English Proficient	260	84%	74%	15%	240	87%	77%	15%
Limited English Proficient	31	74%	68%	3%	45	51%	42%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	154	87%	80%	14%	72	76%	69%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	137	79%	66%	14%	213	83%	72%	13%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant					282	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				3	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.