

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School FUTURE LEADERS INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL School ID 31-03-00-86-0881 Principal GIANNA CASSETTA Telephone (212) 678-2868 Grades K-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K		0	0
Kindergarten		38	39
Grade 1		21	41
Grade 2		40	21
Grade 3		42	59
Grade 4		27	36
Grade 5		44	23
Grade 6		24	28
Ungraded Elementary		0	0
Grade 7		29	23
Grade 8		34	24
Grade 9		0	0
Grade 10		0	0
Grade 11		0	0
Grade 12		0	0
Ungraded Secondary		0	0
Total K-12		299	294

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch		23	24
Grade 8			
English		25	
Mathematics		25	
Science		25	
Social Studies		25	
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2004	1-05	200	2005-06		6-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch			155	52%	174	59%
Reduced-Price Lunch			55	18%	40	14%
Student Stability*				0%		94%
Limited English Proficient			0	0%	8	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native			0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American			291	97%	281	96%
Hispanic or Latino			7	2%	8	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			1	0%	0	0%
White			0	0%	0	0%
Multiracial**			N/A	N/A	5	2%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2003-04		4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate				0%		
Student Suspensions			0	N/A	39	13%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers		23	25
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate		9%	16%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification		13%	24%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience		13%	20%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate		26%	20%
Total Number of Core Classes*			48
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			42%
Total Number of Classes		63	69
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification		21%	33%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience			20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers			35%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff		9	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals		0	1
Principals		1	1
¥			

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005-06 PL + (200 – the 2005–06 PL + 0.10

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

rederal Title I Status	New York State Status
Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
 School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been ider	ntified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for
Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a	School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year,	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not
accountability measure for which it was identified is considered	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
 School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. 	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School FUTURE LEADERS INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL School ID 31-03-00-86-0881

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Seconda	ry Level		
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA			
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math			
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduatio	n Rate		
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fun	ding		
	2005-0	56	2006-07	2007-08		
			NO	YES		

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	V	 			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	~	~	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			••••••••••••••••	
White	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³ Performance Objectives		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage rion Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
		Citterion	lesteu	Citterion	inuex		2006-07	2007-08
All Students (193:185)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	100%	V	151	114		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (179:173)	v	v	100%	~	150	114		
Hispanic or Latino (13:11)	-	_	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)								
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(24:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	••••
(6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (154:148)	~	~	100%	~	149	113		••• •••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (194:185)	Status		100%		151	78	2000 07	2007 00	
Ethnicity	-					1			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (180:173)	~	~	100%	v	153	78			
Hispanic or Latino (13:11)	-	-	-		-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (0:0)									
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(25:24)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (154:148)	~	~	100%	~	145	77	••••••••••	••• •••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the could be the count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject	^	Good Standing
(2007–08)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target 2007-08
All Students (57:57)		Oualified		100%		163	100	2000 07	2007 00
Ethnicity		quantea							
American Indian or Alaska Native 0:0)									
3lack or African American 55:55)		Qualified	~	100%	~	164	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino (2:2) Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)		_	_		_	-	-		-
White (0:0)		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		••••			
1ultiracial (0:0)	••••••••		•••••	•••		•••		••••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities 12:12)		-	_	_	-	-	-		-
imited English Proficient ⁴ 2:2)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged 45:45)		Qualified	~	100%	~	158	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✓ Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particip shown is th participatio Groups wit For school	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005– on rates over tha h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o6 and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and illed tested stud enrolled tested	est administration p nts (used for Perfor are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requir students in 2006–0	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006-c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me 97, the enrol ed average c	y calculatio et Iment If the ce criterior

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	70%		61
Grade 4	60%		35
Grade 5	50%		24
Grade 6	59%		27
Grade 7	48%		23
Grade 8	17%	l	23
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		61
Grade 4	80%		35
Grade 5	52%		25
Grade 6	59%		27
Grade 7	30%		23
Grade 8	17%	l	23
Science			
Grade 4	100%		34
Grade 8	13%		23

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Charter Schools

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780					
2006 Mean Score: 656 2006–07 2005–06	100%	100%100%	70%		91% 92%	67% 69%	10% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		61 38	43 20	2% 0% 1 0					

2006-07	School Yea	r 2005–06 School Year							
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
61	100%	70%	2%	38	100%	53%	0%		
32	100%	78%	3%	22	100%	50%	0%		
29	100%	62%	0%	16	100%	56%	0%		
52	100%	69%	2%	37	-	-	-		
8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
		••••••		••••••		•••••••			
	_		_						
9	100%	78%	0%	38	100%	53%	0%		
58	-	-	-	38	100%	53%	0%		
3	-	-	-			••••••			
58	-	-	-	38	100%	53%	0%		
3	-	-	-			•••••••••			
46	100%	70%	0%	27	100%	56%	0%		
15	100%	73%	7%	11	100%	45%	0%		
61	100%	70%	2%	38	100%	53%	0%		
	Total Tested 61 32 29 52 8 1 1 9 58 3 9 58 3 58 3 58 3 46 15	Total Tested Percentage 2-4 61 100% 32 100% 29 100% 52 100% 8 - 1 - 9 100% 58 - 3 - 58 - 3 - 46 100% 15 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 61 100% 70% 32 100% 78% 29 100% 62% 52 100% 69% 8 - - 1 - - 9 100% 78% 58 - - 3 - - 3 - - 3 - - 46 100% 70% 15 100% 73%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 61 100% 70% 2% 32 100% 78% 3% 29 100% 62% 0% 52 100% 69% 2% 8 - - - 1 - - - 9 100% 78% 0% 58 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 46 100% 70% 0%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Total Tested 61 100% 70% 2% 38 32 100% 78% 3% 22 29 100% 62% 0% 16 52 100% 69% 2% 37 8 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 38 9 100% 78% 0% 38 58 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 3 - - - 38 <tr< td=""><td>Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 61 100% 70% 2% 38 100% 32 100% 78% 3% 22 100% 29 100% 62% 0% 16 100% 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - 1 - - - 1 - 9 100% 78% 0% 38 100% 3 - - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - - 38 100% 46 100% 70% 0% 27 100% 15 100% 73% 7% 11</td><td>Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 32 100% 78% 3% 22 100% 50% 29 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 9 100% 78% 0% 38 100% 53% 3 - - - 38 100% 53% 3 - <t< td=""></t<></td></tr<>	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 61 100% 70% 2% 38 100% 32 100% 78% 3% 22 100% 29 100% 62% 0% 16 100% 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - 1 - - - 1 - 9 100% 78% 0% 38 100% 3 - - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - 38 100% 3 - - - 38 100% 46 100% 70% 0% 27 100% 15 100% 73% 7% 11	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 32 100% 78% 3% 22 100% 50% 29 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 52 100% 69% 2% 37 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 9 100% 78% 0% 38 100% 53% 3 - - - 38 100% 53% 3 - <t< td=""></t<>		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 \$	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4	Tested New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

Percentage s 2–4 624–770 100%100%	coring at leve 3–4 650–7 ^{85%} 79	4 70 7	03-770	Percentage sc 2-4 96% 94%	3-4	(s): 4	
624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770			4	
			03-770	96% 94%	0504		
100%100%	^{85%} 79	9%		96% 94%	0504		
					85% ₈₁	%	
		13	3% 13%		н	299	⁶ 25%
61 38	52 3	30	8 5				
2006-07 S	chool Year			2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
61	100%	85%	13%	38	100%	79%	13%
32	100%	91%	16%	22	100%	86%	14%
29	100%	79%	10%	16	100%	69%	13%
52	100%	88%	12%	37	-	-	-
0	-	–	–	1	-	-	-
1	-	–	-				
			••••••			••••••	
9	100%	67%	22%	38	100%	79%	13%
	2006-07 S Total Tested 61 32 29 52 8 1	2006-07 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 61 100% 32 100% 29 100% 52 100% 8 - 1 -	61 38 52 30 30 2006-07 School Year Total Percentage scoring at 2-4 Total 2-4 3-4 61 100% 85% 32 100% 91% 29 100% 79% 52 100% 88% 8 - - 1 - -	2006-07 School Year Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 61 100% 85% 13% 32 100% 91% 16% 29 100% 79% 10% 52 100% 88% 12% 8 - - - 1 - - -	61 38 52 30 8 5 $2006-07$ School Year $2005-06$ $Total$ Total Percentage scoring at level(s): $Total$ $Total$ Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $Total$ 61 $100%$ $85%$ $13%$ 38 32 $100%$ $91%$ $16%$ 22 29 $100%$ $79%$ $10%$ 16 52 $100%$ $88%$ $12%$ 37 8 $ 1$ $ 1$ $ -$	61 38 52 30 8 5 2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Yea Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total Percentage 61 100% 85% 13% 38 100% 32 100% 91% 16% 22 100% 29 100% 79% 10% 16 100% 52 100% 88% 12% 37 - 1 - - - 1 - 1 - - - - 1 -	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

58

.....

58

3 46

15

. . . .

61

_

-

100%

100%

100%

. **. .** .

_

_

.

80%

100%

85%

_

-

.

9%

27%

13%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant

Not Migrant

13%

13%

11%

18%

.

13%

79%

79%

74%

91%

79%

38

38

27

11

38

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	scoring at le	evel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	612-775	650 [.]	-775	716-775						
2006 Mean Score: 651 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	94% 91%	60%	57%	0% 0%	92% 91%	68% 69		6 9% ■		
Number of Tested Students:		33 21	21	13	0 0						
Deculte hy		2006–07 S	ichool Ye	ar		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percenta	ige scoring	g at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		35	94%	60%	0 %	23	91%	57%	0%		
Female		20	95%	65%	6 0%	13	100%	77%	0%		
Male		15	93%	53%	6 0%	10	80%	30%	0%		
Amorican Indian or Alaska Nativ	0										

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	34	–	_	-	22	–	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	35	94%	60%	0%	23	91%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	29	100%	72%	0%	23	91%	57%	0%
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	35	94%	60%	0%	23	91%	57%	0%
Limited English Proficient			•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	50%	0%	19	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	11	82%	82%	0%	4	–		–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	35	94%	60%	0%	23	91%	57%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
A5585511181115	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	This School				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 672	Range:	622-800	650-8	800 7	02-800						
2006 Mean Score: 672	100%	100% _{96%}	80% ⁸	37%		94% 93%	80% 78	1%			
2006-07 2005-06				1	7% 13%			28	% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:		35 22	28	20	6 3						
Results by	2006-07 School Year						School Yea	r			
_		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		35	100%	80%	17%	23	96%	87%	13%		
Female		20	100%	80%	20%	13	100%	92%	15%		
Male		15	100%	80%	13%	10	90%	80%	10%		
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American		34				22					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-	1	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her										
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		35	100%	80%	17%	23	96%	87%	13%		
General-Education Students		29	100%	90%	21%	23	96%	87%	13%		
Students with Disabilities		6	100%	33%	0%						
English Proficient		35	100%	80%	17%	23	96%	87%	13%		
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		24	100%	79%	17%	19	-	-	-		
Not Disadvantaged		11	100%	82%	18%	4	-	-	-		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		35	100%	80%	17%	23	96%	87%	13%		

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School				NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-1	.00 8	5-100				
2006 Mean Score: 69	100%	100% _{96%}	100%	78%		97% 97%	85% 86		
2006-07 2005-06				3	2% 4%			49%	6 49%
Number of Tested Students:		34 22	34	18	11 1				
Posults by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	ar		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		34	100%	100%	32%	23	96%	78 %	4%
Female		19	100%	100%	32%	13	100%	92%	8%
Male		15	100%	100%	33%	10	90%	60%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		33				22			
Hispanic or Latino		1				1			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		34	100%	100%	32%	23	96%	78%	4%
General-Education Students		29	100%	100%	38%	23	96%	78%	4%
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	100%	0%				
English Proficient		34	100%	100%	32%	23	96%	78%	4%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		24	100%	100%	25%	19	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	50%	4	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		34	100%	100%	32%	23	96%	78%	4%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, sc 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compa				

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School				NY State Public				
		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	608-795	650-	-795	711-795					
2006 Mean Score: 656	100%	92% 100%	50%	68%		95% 94%	68% 67	'%		
2006-072005-06			50%		0% 5%			7%	12%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	22 40	12	27	0 2					
Results by		2006-07	School Yea	ar		2005-06	School Yea	r		
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		24	92%	50%	0%	40	100%	68%	5%	
Female		14	93%	64%	0%	21	100%	67%	0%	
Male		10	90%	30%	0%	19	100%	68%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		23				39				
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		_	1	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er									
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		24	92%	50%	0%	40	100%	68%	5%	
General-Education Students		22	-	-	-	40	100%	68%	5%	
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-					
English Proficient		24	92%	50%	0%	40	100%	68%	5%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	-	-	-	30	100%	67%	3%	

Migrant 92% Not Migrant 24 50% 0% 40 100% 68% 5%

10

100%

70%

10%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School				NY State Public				
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 69	99-780					
2006 Mean Score: 659	100%									
		92% 93%				94% 90%				
			7	3%			76% 68	%		
2000 07			52%							
 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06 								22%	19%	
2003-00				4	% 3%				1370	
Number of Tested Students:		23 37	13 2	29 1	L 1					
Deculte by	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 S	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		25	92%	52%	4%	40	93%	73%	3%	
Female		14	93%	57%	0%	21	90%	71%	0%	
Male		11	91%	45%	9%	19	95%	74%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		24	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her									
Pacific Islander										
White			•••••							
Multiracial			•••••							
Small Group Totals		25	92%	52%	4%	40	93%	73%	3%	
General-Education Students		22	-	-	-	40	93%	73%	3%	
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-					
English Proficient		25	92%	52%	4%	40	93%	73%	3%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		22	_			30	90%	70%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged		3	-		_	10	100%	80%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		25	92%	52%	4%	40	93%	73%	3%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4		2–4 were deve 2007 results	3-4 eloped in 2 s cannot be	4 007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
2006 Mean Score: 638	100%	100% 88%	59%			98% 93%	63% 60	%		
 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06 		27.44			0% 0%			9%	6 12%	
Number of Tested Students:		27 14	16	5	0 0					
Results by		2006-07 \$	School Year			2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		
	Total F		Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		27	100%	59%	0%	16	88%	31%	0%	

All Students	27	100%	59%	0%	16	88%	31%	0%
Female	16	100%	69%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%
Male	11	100%	45%	0%	9	78%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	27	100%	59%	0%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••					
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					16	88%	31%	0%
General-Education Students	25	-	_	-	16	88%	31%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-				
English Proficient	27	100%	59%	0%	16	88%	31%	0%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	67%	0%	12	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	44%	0%	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	27	100%	59%	0%	16	88%	31%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School				NY State Public				
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 91% 87% 71% 60% 20% 13% Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 17 82% 47% 0% 16 - - - 16 - - - 16 - - - 17 82% 47% 0% 17 82% 47% 0% 17 82% 47% 0%			
		2-4	3-4	2	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-7	780	696-780					
2006 Mean Score: 641	100%	96%	59%			91% _{87%}	71%	%		
 2006-07 2005-06 			4	.7%	0% 0%			20%	⁶ 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		26 14	16	8	0 0					
Posults by		2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	r		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	it level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		27	96%	59%	0%	17	82 %	47 %	0%	
Female		16	94%	56%	0%	8	88%	63%	0%	
Male		11	100%	64%	0%	9	78%	33%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive									
Black or African American		27	96%	59%	0%	16				
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r		•••••	•••••		1				
Pacific Islander White		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Multiracial Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		17	82%	47%	0%	
General-Education Students		25	-	-	-	17	82%	47%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-		-	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
English Proficient		27	96%	59%	0%	16	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		18	94%	61%	0%	13	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	56%	0%	4	-	_	-	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27	96%	59%	0%	17	82%	47%	0%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School	L		NY State P	NY State Public					
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4				
2007 Mean Score: 651	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790							
2006 Mean Score: 638	100%	96% 100%			94% 92%	5 9% 50%					
2006-072005-06			48% 30%	4% 0%	н.	58% 56%	6% 8%	6			
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	22 20	11 6	1 0							
Poculte by		2006–07 Sc	hool Year		2005-06 S	School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage s	scoring at level	(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
		23	96% 48	% 4%	20	100%	30% 0	%			

	23	3070	+0 /0	- 70	20	100/0	30/0	0,0
Female	13	92%	62%	8%	7	100%	43%	0%
Male	10	100%	30%	0%	13	100%	23%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	96%	48%	4%	20	100%	30%	0%
General-Education Students	19	-	-	-	20	100%	30%	0%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	22	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	48%	4%	13	100%	31%	0%
Not Disadvantaged					7	100%	29%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	96%	48%	4%	20	100%	30%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0		<u> </u>		New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	2007, so	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			NY State P	ublic				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	a t level(s): 3–4 4 67% 56% 18% 1 Pool Year ercentage scoring at lev 2–4 3–4			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 637	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800						
2006 Mean Score: 629	100%										
		78%				93% 87%					
		78% 70%					67% 56	5%			
2006-07			30%								
2005-06			2	:0%	·% 0%			189	⁶ 12%		
					070						
Number of Tested Students:		18 14	7	4	1 0						
Results by	2006-07 S			r		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		23	78%	30%	4%	20	70%	20%	0%		
Female		13	77%	31%	8%	7	57%	29%	0%		
Male		10	80%	30%	0%	13	77%	15%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		21	-	-	-	18	–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••								
Pacific Islander											
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		23	78%	30%	4%	20	70%	20%	0%		
General-Education Students		19	-	-	-	20	70%	20%	0%		
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-						
English Proficient		22	-	_	_	18	_	_	_		

English Proncient	22	_	_		10	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	–	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	23	78%	30%	4%	13	69%	23%	0%
Not Disadvantaged			•••••		7	71%	14%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	78%	30%	4%	20	70%	20%	0%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			NY State Public					
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 631	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790						
2006 Mean Score: 646 2006–07 2005–06	100%	91% 100%	42%	0% 0%	94% 91%	57% 49%	6% 5%			
Number of Tested Students:		21 24	4 10	0 0						
Pocults by		2006–07 Scł	nool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year				
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3 ⁻	ng at level(s): –4 4	Total Tested	Percentage so 2–4	coring at level(s): 3–4 4			

All Students	23	91%	17%	0%	24	100%	42%	0%
Female	6	100%	50%	0%	14	100%	43%	0%
Male	17	88%	6%	0%	10	100%	40%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	91%	17%	0%	24	100%	42%	0%
General-Education Students	16	94%	19%	0%	24	100%	42%	0%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	14%	0%		••••••••••••		
English Proficient	21	-	-	-	24	100%	42%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	–	–	••••••	•••••••••••••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	21	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	–	-	4	-	–	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	91%	17%	0%	24	100%	42%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
ASSESSIIIEIILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School	This School				NY State Public				
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 88% 85% 59% 54% 12% 10% 59% 54% 12% 10% 10% Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 20 - - 4 20 - - - 10 90% 40% 0% 10 90% 40% 0% 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 21 92% 46%				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 621	Range:	616-775	650-7	775 7	01-775						
2006 Mean Score: 648	100%	92%				88% _{85%}	59% -				
 2006-07 2005-06 		52%	4	6% 0	% 0%	н.	55,76 54		6 10%		
Number of Tested Students:		12 22	4	11 (0 0						
Posults by		2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	r		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):		e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		23	52%	17%	0%	24	92%	46 %	0%		
Female		6	67%	33%	0%	14	93%	50%	0%		
Male		17	47%	12%	0%	10	90%	40%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive		••••••								
Black or African American		22	_			20					
Hispanic or Latino		1				4					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er										
White Multiracial			•••••	••••••							
Small Group Totals		23	 52%				92%		0%		
General-Education Students		16	63%	25%	0%						
Students with Disabilities		7	29%				•••••	•••••••			
English Proficient		21	-	-	-	24	92%	46%	0%		
Limited English Proficient		2	_	-	–						
Economically Disadvantaged		21	-	-	-	20	-	-	-		
Not Disadvantaged		2	-	-	-	4	-	_	_		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		23	52%	17%	0%	24	92%	46%	0%		

NOTES The - sy

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			NY State Public				
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	96% 96%				91% 91%				
						68% 66	07		
		4	8%			00	70		
2006-07							28%	⁶ 23%	
2005-06		13%	04	4%				2370	
Number of Tested Students:	22 22	3	11 (70					
Number of Tested Students.	22 22	3							
Results by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	-	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	23	96%	13%	0%	23	96%	48 %	4%	
Female	6	83%	17%	0%	14	93%	43%	7%	
Male	17	100%	12%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	22	-	_	-	19	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White			•••••						
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	23	96%	13%	0%	23	96%	48%	4%	
General-Education Students		94%	19%	0%	23	96%	48%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	0%	0%					
English Proficient	21	_		_	23	96%	48%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	_			19				
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	4	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	23	96%	13%	0%	23	96%	48%	4%	
NOTES									

Regents Science

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Year Other Total Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment

Number scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 0 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared. (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent 0 0