

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School PS 20-GEORGE J WERDAN III SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #10 School ID 32-10-00-01-0020 Principal CAROL CARLSEN Telephone (718) 584-5510 Grades K-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	16
Kindergarten	134	115	125
Grade 1	123	116	112
Grade 2	125	98	114
Grade 3	133	107	96
Grade 4	152	123	97
Grade 5	152	151	124
Grade 6	157	163	163
Ungraded Elementary	69	90	83
Grade 7	142	150	145
Grade 8	87	147	150
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	53	54	56
Total K–12	1327	1314	1265

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	27	28	30
Grade 8			
English	30	30	30
Mathematics		30	30
Science	30	30	
Social Studies	26	26	30
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	2004-05		5-06	2006-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1136	86%	1125	86%	995	79%
Reduced-Price Lunch	11	1%	11	1%	117	9%
Student Stability*		92%		86%		91%
Limited English Proficient	309	23%	302	23%	287	23%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	7	1%	8	1%
Black or African American	181	14%	174	13%	173	14%
Hispanic or Latino	942	71%	923	70%	873	69%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	112	8%	117	9%	122	10%
White	89	7%	93	7%	89	7%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2003-04		4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		91%		91%
Student Suspensions	7	1%	6	0%	17	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	94	95	98
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	7%	2%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	18%	8%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	20%	22%	24%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	24%	26%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	300	91
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	10%	0%
Total Number of Classes	135	173	98
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	26%	14%	0%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	17%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	18%	17%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	19	17	18
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	3	4
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I l Title I Status to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	ool in Good Standing hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool in Corrective Action chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	ool Planning for Restructuring shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool Restructuring (Year 1) thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School PS 20-GEORGE J WERDAN III SCHOOL School ID 32-10-00-01-0020

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007–08)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary	Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation	Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundi	ing			
	2005-0	06	2006-07	2007–08			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	 				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	~	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	✓			•••••••••••••••••••		
White	<	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 					
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (879:837)	Status	Criterion	100%		140	118	2000 07	2007 00
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (123:118)	~	✓	100%	~	137	112	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (600:574)	<	✓	100%	~	137	117	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (89:85)	~	✓	100%	~	162	111	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (58:51)	<	✓	98%	 ✓ 	157	109	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (3:3)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (201:184)	~	~	99%	x	95	114	114	106
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (189:206)	 	✓	100%	~	118	114		
Economically Disadvantaged (781:745)	~	V	100%	~	137	118	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics					
	<	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]					

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (877:834)			99%		138	82	2006-07	2007-08
Ethnicity			9970		130	02		
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (123:115)	✓	✓	99%	~	130	76	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (597:572)	<	✓	99%	 ✓ 	133	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (90:84)	✓	~	99%	~	168	75	••••	
White (58:54)	<	~	98%	~	169	73	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	_	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (199:180)	 Image: A second s	~	96%	~	83	78		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (188:212)	✓	✓	99%	~	127	78		
Economically Disadvantaged (777:744)	V	~	99%	~	137	82	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at data table account a students are accounted for the count of the
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		tives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	Target 2007-08
All Students (292:275)	~	Qualified	· ·	98%	 ✓ 	124	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (48:45)		Qualified	~	98%	~	113	100		
Hispanic or Latino (200:189)		Qualified	~	99%	~	123	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:22)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (18:16)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (1:1)		–	_	-	-	-	–		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (78:71)		Qualified	~	96%	~	103	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (51:57)		Qualified	~	96%	~	125	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (252:239)		Qualified	~	98%	~	125	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
		NOTES							

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

NOTES

1	These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
	students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count. Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet
	the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

AYP Status

~ Made AYP

✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	50%		100
Grade 4	34%		128
Grade 5	50%		123
Grade 6	53%		173
Grade 7	44%		162
Grade 8	49%		158
Mathematics			
Grade 3	70%		102
Grade 4	50%		126
Grade 5	58%		124
Grade 6	44%		175
Grade 7	54%		164
Grade 8	37%		161
Science			
Grade 4	45%		119
Grade 8	23%	l	161

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #10

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 3

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 647	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780					
2006 Mean Score: 649	100%	81% 82%			83% 85%				
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06			50% 48%	3% 3%		47% 52%	<u>3%</u> <u>3%</u>		
Number of Tested Students:		81 95	50 56	3 4					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	100	81%	50%	3%	116	82%	48%	3%
Female	45	91%	67%	4%	55	82%	49%	4%
Male	55	73%	36%	2%	61	82%	48%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	83%	25%	0%	23	74%	30%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	66	76%	48%	5%	72	83%	51%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	94%	63%	0%	9	-	-	-
White	6	100%	83%	0%	10	90%	80%	10%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••••••		11	82%	36%	9%
General-Education Students	75	96%	64%	4%	80	95%	63%	5%
Students with Disabilities	25	36%	8%	0%	36	53%	17%	0%
English Proficient	63	90%	60%	5%	100	83%	53%	4%
Limited English Proficient	37	65%	32%	0%	16	75%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	81%	49%	3%	83	93%	60%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	5	80%	60%	0%	33	55%	18%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	100	81%	50%	3%	116	82%	48%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 666	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770					
2006 Mean Score: 662 ■ 2006-07	100%	88% 85%	70% 65%		93% 88%	78% 68%			
2005-06				14% 17%			^{22%} 16%		
Number of Tested Students:		90 105	71 80	14 21					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	88%	70%	14%	123	85%	65%	17%
Female	46	93%	80%	13%	59	78%	64%	20%
Male	56	84%	61%	14%	64	92%	66%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	69%	46%	15%	23	74%	39%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	66	88%	65%	12%	78	85%	72%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	94%	25%	9	-	-	-
White	7	100%	100%	0%	11	100%	82%	55%
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	11	100%	55%	9%
General-Education Students	79	96%	84%	16%	85	95%	79%	24%
Students with Disabilities	23	61%	22%	4%	38	63%	34%	3%
English Proficient	64	95%	83%	17%	101	86%	63%	18%
Limited English Proficient	38	76%	47%	8%	22	82%	73%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	88%	68%	12%	88	92%	76%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	5	100%	100%	40%	35	69%	37%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	88%	70%	14%	123	85%	65%	17%

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.				

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 639	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
2006 Mean Score: 648	100%						
		80%			85% 85%		
			49%			46% 49%	
2006-07			34%				
2005-06							
				1% 1%			2% 3%
Number of Tested Students:		103 101	43 56	1 1			

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	128	80%	34%	1%	114	89%	49 %	1%
Female	65	83%	40%	2%	61	87%	52%	0%
Male	63	78%	27%	0%	53	91%	45%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	23	65%	35%	0%	19	100%	42%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	83	83%	34%	0%	76	83%	49%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	30%	0%	10	100%	70%	0%
White	9	-	-	-	9	100%	44%	0%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-			•••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	75%	33%	8%		•••••••••••	•••••••••	
General-Education Students	83	95%	45%	1%	110	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	45	53%	13%	0%	4	-	–	-
English Proficient	105	84%	40%	1%	91	93%	56%	1%
Limited English Proficient	23	65%	4%	0%	23	70%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	80%	33%	1%	108	91%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	12	83%	42%	0%	6	50%	17%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	128	80%	34%	1%	114	89%	49%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 652	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
2006 Mean Score: 654	100%	79%	50% ^{55%}		90% 87%	67% _{63%}	
2006-07 2005-06				11% 4%			16% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		99 108	63 68	14 5			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students	126	79 %	50%	11%	123	88%	55%	4%
Female	65	77%	51%	14%	63	89%	56%	0%
Male	61	80%	49%	8%	60	87%	55%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	59%	36%	9%	20	90%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	82	82%	51%	7%	81	85%	56%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	90%	50%	30%	13	92%	54%	8%
White	9	-	-	-	9	100%	44%	0%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-			••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	83%	67%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	81	91%	65%	16%	119	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	45	56%	22%	2%	4	-	–	-
English Proficient	103	77%	52%	12%	93	95%	65%	4%
Limited English Proficient	23	87%	39%	9%	30	67%	27%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	80%	50%	11%	117	88%	57%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	13	69%	46%	15%	6	83%	17%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	126	79%	50%	11%	123	88%	55%	4%

Other	2006-07 \$	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 65	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2006 Mean Score: 71	100%	93% 93%	73%		92% 93%	65% 66%	
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06			45%	8% 11%	н.		21% 20%
Number of Tested Students:		111 114	54 89	9 13			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	119	93%	45%	8 %	122	93%	73%	11%
Female	61	90%	48%	7%	63	94%	67%	6%
Male	58	97%	43%	9%	59	93%	80%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	91%	23%	5%	21	100%	71%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	77	94%	53%	5%	78	92%	72%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	33%	22%	13	85%	77%	0%
White	8	-	-	-	10	100%	80%	10%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	45%	18%				
General-Education Students	75	99%	63%	11%	118	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	44	84%	16%	2%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	98	95%	47%	9%	93	100%	81%	14%
Limited English Proficient	21	86%	38%	0%	29	72%	48%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	93%	46%	8%	117	95%	75%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	13	92%	38%	8%	5	60%	20%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	119	93%	45%	8%	122	93%	73%	11%

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 647	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2006 Mean Score: 644 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	93% 90%	50% 48%	0% 3%	91% 89%	46% 47%	2% 4%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	114 139	62 75	0 4			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	123	93%	50%	0%	155	90%	48 %	3%
Female	59	93%	54%	0%	86	90%	44%	2%
Male	64	92%	47%	0%	69	90%	54%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	100%	56%	0%	20	95%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	84	92%	48%	0%	106	87%	44%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	85%	62%	0%	17	94%	53%	12%
White	8	-	-	-	11	-	–	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	113	95%	54%	0%	132	93%	53%	3%
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	10%	0%	23	70%	22%	0%
English Proficient	88	98%	64%	0%	134	93%	51%	2%
Limited English Proficient	35	80%	17%	0%	21	67%	29%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	92%	48%	0%	130	94%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	0%	25	68%	20%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	123	93%	50%	0%	155	90%	48%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 659	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2006 Mean Score: 653	100%	90% 89%			91% 84%	65%	
2006-07 2005-06			58% _{53%}	13% 13%		52%	14% 10%
Number of Tested Students:		112 142	72 85	16 21			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	124	90%	58%	13%	160	89%	53%	13%
Female	61	89%	54%	11%	90	89%	46%	9%
Male	63	92%	62%	14%	70	89%	63%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	94%	69%	13%	20	85%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	84	89%	55%	12%	110	89%	48%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	69%	31%	18	83%	67%	33%
White	9	-	-	-	11	-	–	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	55%	0%	12	100%	83%	25%
General-Education Students	115	91%	61%	14%	138	91%	57%	14%
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	22%	0%	22	73%	32%	5%
English Proficient	88	94%	63%	17%	136	90%	56%	13%
Limited English Proficient	36	81%	47%	3%	24	83%	38%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	114	90%	60%	12%	136	92%	57%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	10	90%	40%	20%	24	71%	29%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	90%	58%	13%	160	89%	53%	13%

Other	2006–07 S o	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at le			(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, s 2006 and 2007 results cannot be comp			

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 653	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785				
2006 Mean Score: 647	100%	98% 91%	53% 51%	3% 5%	96% 88%	41% 39%	2% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		170 157	92 88	5 8				

Poculte by	2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	173	98%	53%	3%	173	91 %	51%	5%
Female	92	98%	51%	3%	94	94%	56%	6%
Male	81	99%	56%	2%	79	87%	44%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	100%	53%	0%	25	88%	60%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	121	98%	48%	2%	120	90%	46%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	78%	6%	15	100%	67%	13%
White	12	-	-	-	12	-	–	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	15	100%	67%	13%	13	92%	62%	8%
General-Education Students	133	99%	62%	4%	140	96%	59%	6%
Students with Disabilities	40	95%	25%	0%	33	70%	18%	0%
English Proficient	146	99%	58%	3%	152	93%	57%	5%
Limited English Proficient	27	96%	26%	0%	21	71%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	156	98%	53%	3%	133	97%	62%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	17	100%	59%	0%	40	70%	15%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	173	98%	53%	3%	173	91%	51%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3–4 4 Tes		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 646	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2006 Mean Score: 636	100%						
		81% 74%			88% 81%	60%	
2006-07			^{44%} 39%			45%	
2005-06				11% 4%			11% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		141 132	77 69	20 8			

Posults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	175	81%	44%	11%	178	74%	39%	4%
Female	91	77%	38%	9%	98	80%	39%	4%
Male	84	85%	50%	14%	80	68%	39%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	79%	37%	0%	24	79%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	123	78%	38%	7%	123	72%	34%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	89%	67%	44%	16	75%	56%	25%
White	12	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-			•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	15	93%	73%	20%	15	80%	60%	13%
General-Education Students	135	90%	53%	15%	144	83%	45%	6%
Students with Disabilities	40	50%	15%	0%	34	35%	12%	0%
English Proficient	145	83%	48%	14%	150	78%	43%	5%
Limited English Proficient	30	70%	27%	0%	28	54%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	159	81%	43%	11%	138	86%	47%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	16	81%	50%	13%	40	35%	10%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	175	81%	44%	11%	178	74%	39%	4%

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	4	3	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 646	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2006 Mean Score: 648	100%	93% 96%	44% 47%		91% 90%		
2006-07 2005-06			44% 41%	4% 4%		37% 38%	1% 2%
Number of Tested Students:		151 151	71 74	77			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	162	93%	44%	4%	158	96%	47%	4%
Female	92	96%	50%	5%	77	96%	53%	4%
Male	70	90%	36%	3%	81	95%	41%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-		-				
Black or African American	24	92%	54%	4%	28	96%	54%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	111	93%	38%	4%	111	95%	41%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	69%	13%	12	100%	67%	8%
White	10	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	14%
Multiracial			•••••					
Small Group Totals	11	91%	45%	0%				
General-Education Students	129	98%	53%	5%	125	99%	55%	6%
Students with Disabilities	33	73%	6%	0%	33	82%	15%	0%
English Proficient	141	95%	48%	5%	141	98%	49%	5%
Limited English Proficient	21	81%	14%	0%	17	76%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	92%	39%	1%	124	99%	56%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	68%	20%	34	82%	15%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	162	93%	44%	4%	158	96%	47%	4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	2007, so	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools			
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2006 Mean Score: 646	100%	88% 90%	54%		89% _{82%}	50%			
2006-07 2005-06			43 /0	5% 7%		38%	6% 4%		
Number of Tested Students:		145 147	89 70	8 12					

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	164	88%	54%	5%	163	90%	43%	7%
Female	92	92%	59%	3%	82	91%	38%	9%
Male	72	83%	49%	7%	81	89%	48%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	25	92%	60%	0%	27	93%	26%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	111	86%	50%	2%	116	88%	41%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	75%	31%	13	100%	77%	23%
White	11	-	-		7	100%	86%	29%
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	12	92%	50%	8%				•••••
General-Education Students	131	97%	66%	6%	130	98%	50%	9%
Students with Disabilities	33	55%	6%	0%	33	58%	15%	0%
English Proficient	140	91%	61%	6%	137	93%	45%	8%
Limited English Proficient	24	71%	13%	0%	26	77%	31%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	88%	50%	5%	129	98%	50%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	27	89%	74%	4%	34	59%	15%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	164	88%	54%	5%	163	90%	43%	7%

Other	2006–07 S o	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	1		3-4	4		2-4 were deve 007 results	3-4 eloped in 2 s cannot be	4 2007, so e compared.
(NTSAA). Orade T Equivalent								

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 649	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 636	100%	96% 90%			^{92%} 87%				
2 006-07 2 005-06			49% 33%	0% 1%		36% 30%	1% 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		152 138	78 50	0 2					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	158	96%	49 %	0%	153	90%	33%	1%	
Female	84	95%	51%	0%	73	92%	36%	0%	
Male	74	97%	47%	0%	80	89%	30%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	23	96%	43%	0%	16	94%	25%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	115	97%	46%	0%	115	89%	31%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	77%	0%	9	-	-	-	
White	7	100%	71%	0%	11	91%	18%	0%	
Multiracial	••••••						•••••••		
Small Group Totals	••••••				11	100%	73%	9%	
General-Education Students	131	99%	56%	0%	132	95%	38%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	27	81%	19%	0%	21	57%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	137	99%	54%	0%	127	94%	39%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	21	76%	19%	0%	26	73%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	133	95%	46%	0%	129	96%	39%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	68%	0%	24	58%	0%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	158	96%	49%	0%	153	90%	33%	1%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 643	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 645	100%						
		84% 82%			^{82%} 76%		
2006-07			37% 42%			<u>40%</u> 34%	
2005-06				4% 6%			3% 4%
Number of Tested Students:		135 127	59 65	7 10			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	161	84%	37%	4%	154	82%	42%	6 %
Female	84	77%	33%	5%	75	83%	43%	7%
Male	77	91%	40%	4%	79	82%	42%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	_
Black or African American	24	75%	25%	4%	15	87%	33%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	116	84%	34%	2%	116	82%	41%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	69%	31%	10	-	-	-
White	8	100%	63%	0%	11	73%	36%	0%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••		••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	12	92%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	136	89%	42%	5%	133	86%	47%	8%
Students with Disabilities	25	56%	8%	0%	21	62%	14%	0%
English Proficient	136	89%	42%	5%	127	85%	47%	8%
Limited English Proficient	25	56%	8%	0%	27	70%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	83%	38%	5%	130	87%	47%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	27	89%	30%	0%	24	58%	17%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	161	84%	37%	4%	154	82%	42%	6%

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	l		Similar Schools						
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100% 2006-07 2005-06	85% 91%	23%	13%	% 3%	81% 78%	33% 27	% 3%	5 2%		
Number of Tested Students:	137 139	37	66 3	5						
Results by	2006–07 S e	2006–07 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	161	85%	23%	2%	152	91%	43%	3%		
emale	85	76%	20%	0%	73	93%	42%	1%		
1ale	76	95%	26%	4%	79	90%	44%	5%		
merican Indian or Alaska Native					2	_				
Black or African American	23	87%	17%	0%	15	93%	47%	0%		
ispanic or Latino	117	83%	20%	2%	114	92%	45%	2%		
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	46%	8%	10	-	_	-		
Vhite	8	100%	50%	0%	11	82%	18%	0%		
1ultiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••		•••••	•••••		
mall Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				12	92%	50%	25%		
eneral-Education Students	136	88%	26%	1%	131	95%	49%	4%		
tudents with Disabilities	25	68%	8%	4%	21	67%	10%	0%		
nglish Proficient	136	88%	26%	2%	125	94%	47%	4%		
imited English Proficient	25	68%	4%	0%	27	81%	26%	0%		
conomically Disadvantaged	134	84%	23%	2%	129	95%	49%	4%		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

Not Disadvantaged Migrant . 152 Not Migrant 161 85% 23% 2% 91% 43% 3%

22%

0%

23

70%

13%

89%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

27

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	New NYS 2006 and	AA were deve 2007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
Regents Science	0				0				

0%