

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School JHS 127 CASTLE HILL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11 School ID 32-11-00-01-0127 Principal HARRY SHERMAN Telephone (718) 892-8600 Grades 5-8, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	171	159	166
Grade 6	197	156	192
Ungraded Elementary	30	40	38
Grade 7	263	214	181
Grade 8	293	244	203
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	65	56	53
Total K–12	1019	869	833

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch		30	
Grade 8			
English	26	26	32
Mathematics		31	32
Science	24	24	31
Social Studies	30	30	
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	4-05	2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	831	82%	683	79%	709	85%
Reduced-Price Lunch	103	10%	94	11%	89	11%
Student Stability*		90%		90%		90%
Limited English Proficient	130	13%	88	10%	84	10%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	422	41%	344	40%	336	40%
Hispanic or Latino	452	44%	395	45%	362	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	124	12%	112	13%	122	15%
White	18	2%	16	2%	11	1%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		90%		90%
Student Suspensions	108	9%	42	4%	126	14%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	72	64	60
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	8%	8%	17%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	21%	25%	20%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	14%	22%	13%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	32%	28%	23%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	91	99
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	22%	23%
Total Number of Classes	110	99	111
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	19%	23%	23%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	38%	27%	11%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	27%	25%	19%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	9	10	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	3	2
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

School JHS 127 CASTLE HILL School ID 32-11-00-01-0127

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

-	<b>deral Title I Status</b> plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)					
<ul> <li>School in Good Standing</li> <li>A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, P Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.</li> </ul>								
	<b>School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.					
	<b>School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.					
	<b>School in Corrective Action</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)</b> A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.					
	<b>School Planning for Restructuring</b> A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.					
	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	••••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.					
•	<b>School Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.							

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School **JHS 127 CASTLE HILL** School ID **32-11-00-01-0127** 

### Summary

Overall Accountability	Restructuring (Year 4)							
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 4)	ELA					
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding					
	2005-0	200	06–07	2007-08				
	YES	YES		YES				

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				••••••	
White	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		•••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	<b>√</b> SH	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1				



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Restructuring (Year 4)
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 5) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 4) in 2008-09. [109]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (813:734)	V	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	130	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (325:303)	✓	~	98%	~	126	116	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (353:314)	<	✓	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	121	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (121:106)	~	~	100%	~	163	112	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (13:10)	-	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (117:106)	X	~	97%	x	69	112	75	82
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••
(94:78)	SH	~	96%	<b>✓</b> SH	94	111	94	105
Economically Disadvantaged (813:734)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	130	118		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (824:743)	Status		99%		132	82	2000 07	2007 00	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-	
Black or African American (324:298)	~	~	98%	~	123	80	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (362:323)	<	✓	99%	~	125	80	••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (125:111)	~	~	99%	~	174	76	••••		
White (12:10)	-	-		–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	···· •·····	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (119:107)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	98%	x	55	76	76	70	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (103:100)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	98%	~	116	76			
Economically Disadvantaged (824:743)	<b>V</b>	~	99%	~	132	82	··· ···	····	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject	^	Good Standing
(2007–08)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	5 Target 2007–08
All Students (216:194)	~	Qualified	~	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	121	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (93:84)		Qualified	~	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	112	100		
Hispanic or Latino (83:71)		Qualified	~	99%	<	115	100	•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:36)		-	-	-	~	158	100		
White (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••••	••••		••••			•••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (27:24)		_	_	_	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (23:18)		-	-	_	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (216:194)		Qualified	~	99%	~	121	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 5 are not included ir administration peri 5 fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

Made AYP

SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 5	47%		173
Grade 6	38%		203
Grade 7	36%		190
Grade 8	32%		202
Mathematics			
Grade 5	57%		184
Grade 6	53%		217
Grade 7	45%		201
Grade 8	35%		212
Science			
Grade 8	35%		212

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 21

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2006 Mean Score: 645	100%	92% 89%			85% 85%				
<ul><li>■ 2006-07</li><li>■ 2005-06</li></ul>			47% 47%	2% 5%		32% 36%	0% 3%		
Number of Tested Students:		160 144	82 76	3 8					

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	173	92%	47%	2%	162	89%	47%	5%
Female	83	95%	49%	2%	73	96%	49%	5%
Male	90	90%	46%	1%	89	83%	45%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	65	94%	38%	2%	65	95%	46%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	75	91%	44%	0%	78	83%	41%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	93%	75%	7%	18	-	-	-
White	4	-	-	-	1	-	–	-
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••		••••••			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	19	89%	74%	11%
General-Education Students	150	96%	51%	2%	137	93%	53%	6%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	22%	0%	25	68%	16%	0%
English Proficient	167	93%	49%	2%	150	93%	51%	5%
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	0%	0%	12	42%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	173	92%	47%	2%	135	93%	53%	6%
Not Disadvantaged					27	67%	19%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	173	92%	47%	2%	162	89%	47%	5%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools           Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 658	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2006 Mean Score: 647	100%	90% 85%	57% 51%		85% 76%	45% 38%			
2006-07 2005-06				11% 6%		38%	6% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		165 150	104 89	20 10					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total Tested	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	90%	57%	11%	176	85%	51%	<b>6</b> %
Female	91	92%	55%	11%	80	88%	53%	6%
Male	93	87%	58%	11%	96	83%	49%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	67	90%	46%	6%	70	91%	56%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	81	88%	58%	4%	83	78%	39%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	94%	74%	42%	21	-	-	-
White	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial				•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	23	91%	78%	17%
General-Education Students	160	93%	62%	13%	152	89%	55%	7%
Students with Disabilities	24	67%	21%	0%	24	63%	21%	0%
English Proficient	169	92%	59%	12%	156	88%	54%	6%
Limited English Proficient	15	67%	33%	0%	20	65%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	184	90%	57%	11%	149	89%	56%	7%
Not Disadvantaged				•••••	27	63%	19%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	184	90%	57%	11%	176	85%	51%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 645	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 629	100%	95% 81%			94% 86%				
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>			38% 33%	1% 4%		32% 33%	1% 2%		
Number of Tested Students:		193 137	78 56	2 6					
Boculte by		2006–07 Scho	ool Year		2005–06 School Year				
Results by		Total P	ercentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	203	95%	38%	1%	169	81%	33%	4%
Female	93	99%	40%	0%	70	84%	40%	7%
Male	110	92%	37%	2%	99	79%	28%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	82	96%	35%	0%	75	84%	39%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	96	93%	33%	1%	73	74%	22%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	25	100%	68%	4%	21	95%	52%	10%
General-Education Students	168	98%	45%	1%	138	92%	39%	4%
Students with Disabilities	35	80%	9%	0%	31	32%	6%	0%
English Proficient	186	96%	41%	1%	160	82%	34%	4%
Limited English Proficient	17	82%	6%	0%	9	67%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	203	95%	38%	1%	135	92%	40%	4%
Not Disadvantaged					34	38%	6%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	203	95%	38%	1%	169	81%	33%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

School JHS 127 CASTLE HILL

School ID 32-11-00-01-0127

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2006 Mean Score: 641	100%							
		<sup>84%</sup> 78%	53% 45%		81% 74%	46%		
2006-07			45%			36%		
2005-06				8% 7%			7% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:		183 143	116 83	17 12				
		2006-07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	chool Year		

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	217	84%	53%	8%	183	78%	45%	7%
Female	102	93%	58%	5%	77	79%	45%	10%
Male	115	77%	50%	10%	106	77%	45%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	82	87%	54%	4%	77	81%	42%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	105	81%	47%	5%	83	72%	39%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
White	4			-	1	-		-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••••		••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	30	90%	77%	30%	23	91%	83%	22%
General-Education Students	182	93%	62%	9%	152	88%	54%	8%
Students with Disabilities	35	40%	9%	0%	31	32%	3%	0%
English Proficient	186	84%	56%	8%	162	80%	48%	7%
Limited English Proficient	31	84%	39%	6%	21	62%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	217	84%	53%	8%	149	87%	54%	8%
Not Disadvantaged					34	38%	9%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	217	84%	53%	8%	183	78%	45%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2-4	5-4	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	2–4 A were deve 2007 results	3-4 eloped in 2 s cannot be	4 007, so e compared.	

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

7 Mean Score: 630	: 4
7 Mean Score: 630	4
7 Mean Score: 630	
6 Mean Score: 639	
2006–07 2005–06	1% 1%
ber of Tested Students:	
esults by	coring at level(s): 3–4 4
	r e so

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	190	83%	36%	2%	213	89%	38%	3%
Female	84	89%	50%	4%	106	92%	43%	2%
Male	106	77%	25%	1%	107	86%	34%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	76	86%	33%	3%	101	93%	35%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	87	77%	30%	1%	79	82%	30%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	-	3	-	–	-
Multiracial			••••••					
Small Group Totals	27	93%	63%	4%	33	94%	70%	12%
General-Education Students	158	91%	42%	3%	187	94%	43%	3%
Students with Disabilities	32	41%	6%	0%	26	54%	4%	0%
English Proficient	170	85%	39%	2%	201	91%	40%	3%
Limited English Proficient	20	60%	10%	0%	12	58%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	190	83%	36%	2%	187	94%	43%	3%
Not Disadvantaged		••••••••••••••	•••••••••••		26	54%	4%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	190	83%	36%	2%	213	89%	38%	3%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
ASSESSIIIEIILS	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a scannot b	2007, so be compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 642	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 641	100%	80% 85%			85% 74%			
<ul><li>■ 2006-07</li><li>■ 2005-06</li></ul>			45% 46%	8% 6%	н.	40% 30%	<u>5%</u> 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		160 191	90 104	17 14				
Results by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	ichool Year		

Deculte by	2000-07	School lea	2005-00 School Teal					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	e scoring at	scoring at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	201	80%	45%	8%	225	85%	<b>46</b> %	<b>6</b> %
Female	90	80%	51%	7%	114	88%	44%	4%
Male	111	79%	40%	10%	111	82%	49%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	78	78%	41%	3%	102	84%	44%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	92	76%	41%	7%	83	80%	35%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	-	-	-	37	-	_	-
White	1			-	3	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	31	94%	65%	29%	40	98%	75%	28%
General-Education Students	169	86%	51%	10%	199	90%	51%	7%
Students with Disabilities	32	47%	9%	0%	26	46%	8%	0%
English Proficient	170	84%	50%	10%	199	86%	49%	7%
Limited English Proficient	31	55%	16%	0%	26	73%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	80%	45%	8%	198	90%	52%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	27	44%	7%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	201	80%	45%	8%	225	85%	46%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	007, so e compared.			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 636	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 630	100%								
		88% 87%			86% 81%				
<ul> <li>■ 2006-07</li> <li>2005-06</li> </ul>			<sup>32%</sup> 26%			27% 23%			
2003 00				0% 0%			<u>1%</u> 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		178 193	65 59	1 0					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	202	88%	32%	0%	223	87%	26%	0%
Female	102	89%	35%	0%	110	92%	36%	0%
Male	100	87%	29%	1%	113	81%	17%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	93	88%	29%	0%	82	85%	17%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	72	85%	25%	0%	104	85%	29%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	-	-	-	34	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Multiracial	••••••						•••••••	
Small Group Totals	37	95%	54%	3%	37	95%	41%	0%
General-Education Students	180	94%	36%	1%	192	92%	31%	0%
Students with Disabilities	22	36%	5%	0%	31	55%	0%	0%
English Proficient	189	90%	34%	1%	209	89%	28%	0%
Limited English Proficient	13	62%	0%	0%	14	57%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	202	88%	32%	0%	190	92%	31%	0%
Not Disadvantaged					33	55%	3%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	202	88%	32%	0%	223	87%	26%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 641	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2006 Mean Score: 637	100%								
		72% 78%			<sup>74%</sup> 68%				
2006-07			35% 36%			220/			
2005-06				7% 4%		<sup>32%</sup> 26%	3% 3%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	153 191	74 87	15 9	_				
		2006-07 Sch	ool Vear		2005-06 S	chool Year			

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	212	72%	35%	7%	245	78%	36%	4%
Female	109	72%	34%	5%	120	80%	39%	5%
Male	103	73%	36%	10%	125	76%	32%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	92	66%	26%	2%	81	69%	31%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	80	69%	25%	0%	119	80%	28%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	37		•••••		41			
Pacific Islander				_	41	_		-
White	3	-		-	4	-		-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	93%	75%	33%	45	89%	64%	11%
General-Education Students	187	78%	40%	8%	217	81%	39%	4%
Students with Disabilities	25	28%	0%	0%	28	57%	11%	0%
English Proficient	189	70%	34%	8%	208	78%	37%	4%
Limited English Proficient	23	87%	43%	0%	37	78%	30%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	212	72%	35%	7%	216	81%	39%	4%
Not Disadvantaged		••••		•••••	29	55%	10%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	212	72%	35%	7%	245	78%	36%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools				
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
	·								
100%									
	85% 87%								
					74% 75%				
2006-07		35% 3	7%						
2005-06		5570 5				26% 27	'%		
			79	<sup>%</sup> 2%			3%	2%	
Number of Tested Students:	181 208	75 8	38 1	4 5					
Pocults by	2006–07 <b>S</b> o	2005-06 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	212	85%	35%	7%	240	87%	37%	2%	
Female	108	86%	34%	5%	117	91%	40%	3%	
Male	104	85%	37%	9%	123	82%	33%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	91	84%	29%	3%	78	85%	29%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	81	85%	32%	1%	118	88%	36%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	37	_	_	_	40	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander	JI				40				
White	3				4	-			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	40	90%	58%	25%	44	86%	52%	5%	
General-Education Students	187	89%	39%	7%	214	89%	39%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	25	60%	12%	0%	26	65%	15%	0%	
English Proficient	189	86%	38%	7%	204	89%	39%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	23	78%	13%	0%	36	75%	25%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	212	85%	35%	7%	214	89%	39%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged					26	65%	15%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	212	85%	35%	7%	240	87%	37%	2%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot be	007, so e compared.	
Regents Science	0				0				