

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School IS 180 DR DANIEL HALE WILLIAMS District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11 School ID 32-11-00-01-0180 Principal FRANK UZZO Telephone (718) 904-5650 Grades 5-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	203	209	175
Grade 6	250	226	214
Ungraded Elementary	95	82	64
Grade 7	244	256	212
Grade 8	259	254	248
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	105	110	117
Total K–12	1156	1137	1030

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	24	27	31
Grade 8			
English	28	31	27
Mathematics	28	28	29
Science	30	30	28
Social Studies	26	30	27
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	973	84%	958	84%	442	43%
Reduced-Price Lunch	97	8%	95	8%	162	16%
Student Stability*		95%		96%		91%
Limited English Proficient	24	2%	34	3%	30	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	1%	9	1%	7	1%
Black or African American	762	66%	738	65%	648	63%
Hispanic or Latino	307	27%	311	27%	311	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	4%	49	4%	39	4%
White	37	3%	30	3%	25	2%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	51	4%	27	2%	69	6%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	87	86	80
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	6%	10%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	20%	23%	23%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	21%	13%	20%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	39%	34%	33%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	250	205
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	30%	26%
Total Number of Classes	248	242	280
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	29%	30%	25%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	25%	21%	26%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	24%	20%	23%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	25	21	23
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	2	3
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I <b>l Title I Status</b> to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	<b>ool in Good Standing</b> hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool in Corrective Action</b> chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	<b>ool Planning for Restructuring</b> shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 1)</b> thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School IS 180 DR DANIEL HALE WILLIAMS School ID 32-11-00-01-0180

#### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)	Good Standing							
	Elementary/Mi	ddle Level	Secondary Level					
	ELA 📕 Go	ood Standing	ELA					
	Math 📕 Go	ood Standing	Math					
	Science 📕 Go	ood Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Years the Scl	nool Received Title I	Part A Funding					
	2005-06	2006-0	7	2007–08				
	NO	NO		NO				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				••••••	
White	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		•••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	–	–	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (1001:966)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
			99%		146	118	2006-07	2007-08
Ethnicity					2.10			
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (638:617)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	149	118		••••
Hispanic or Latino (300:287)	<	✓	99%	~	137	116	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (36:35)	~	_	-	~	174	106	••••	
White (21:21) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	•••• •••••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (219:208)	~	~	98%	x	98	114	106	108
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (29:28)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (644:619)	~	~	99%	~	143	118	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective		-
Status	Criterion	lested	Criterion	Index	АМО	2006-07	2007-08
<b>v</b>	V	99%	<b>V</b>	142	82		
_	-	-	-	-	-		_
~	~	99%	~	146	82	••••	
<	<b>~</b>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	131	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
✓	-	-	~	177	70	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
	_		_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	97%	~	82	78		
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••• •••••		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	136	82		
🖌 6 of 6							
	Status	Met       Status     Met       Criterion       Image: Construction of the second seco	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         •       99%         -       -         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       99%         •       97%         -       -         •       99%	Met Criterion     Percentage Tested     Met Criterion       ✓     99%       ✓     99%       ✓     99%       ✓     99%       ✓     99%       ✓     98%       ✓     98%       ✓     98%       ✓     97%       ✓     97%       ✓     99%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       99%       ✓       142         –       –       –       –         ✓       99%       ✓       146         ✓       99%       ✓       146         ✓       99%       ✓       131         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓       131         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       97%       ✓       82         –       –       –       –         ✓       99%       ✓       136	Met Criterion     Percentage Tested     Met Criterion     Performance Index     Effective AMO       V     99%     V     142     82       -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -       V     99%     V     142     82       -     -     -     -     -       V     99%     V     131     80       V     98%     V     131     80       V     -     -     -     -       V     98%     V     131     80       V     -     -     -     -       V     99%     V     131     80       V     97%     V     82     78       -     -     -     -     -       V     99%     V     136     82	Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO         Safe Harbo 2006-07           V         99%         142         82         -

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were ensured from testing for medical research are not included in the enrollment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006–07	
All Students (300:281)	~	Qualified	~	97%	~	132	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (187:176)		Qualified	~	96%	~	130	100		
Hispanic or Latino (95:87)		Qualified	<	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	129	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (6:6)	••••••••	_	-	-	-	-	-	••••••	-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••		••••		•••		• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (71:64)		Qualified	~	94%	~	102	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (8:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (186:176)		Qualified	~	97%	~	126	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AVD Status		followed b students w	y the count of c ho were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested studer medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri	mance). For a the enrollme	ccountabilit ent count.	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

### Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 5	57%		192
Grade 6	56%		237
Grade 7	47%		253
Grade 8	46%		282
Mathematics			
Grade 5	60%		191
Grade 6	57%		239
Grade 7	54%		254
Grade 8	45%		283
Science			
Grade 8	41%		276

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 19

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2006 Mean Score: 655 2006-07 2005-06	100%	94% 95%	57% 57%	2% 6%	98% 100%	91% 76%	19% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		180 206	110 123	4 12					
Results by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005–06 School Year				
Student Group	)	Total Tested	5	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2–4		Percentage sco 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4		

Student Group	lested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	192	94%	57%	2%	217	95%	57%	6%
Female	107	94%	63%	2%	106	96%	65%	7%
Male	85	93%	51%	2%	111	94%	49%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	120	96%	59%	2%	148	97%	57%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	59	88%	49%	0%	52	90%	52%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
White	9	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••					•••••
Small Group Totals	13	100%	77%	15%	17	94%	71%	12%
General-Education Students	161	99%	65%	2%	192	98%	63%	6%
Students with Disabilities	31	65%	16%	0%	25	72%	8%	0%
English Proficient	190	-	_	-	214	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	135	93%	50%	1%	190	98%	63%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	57	96%	75%	4%	27	74%	11%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	192	94%	57%	2%	217	95%	57%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	2007, so
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2006 Mean Score: 652	100%	92% 86%	60% <sub>55%</sub>		97% 100%	83%	28% <sup>34%</sup>		
2005-06				7% 8%					
Number of Tested Students:		176 193	114 124	14 17					

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
All Students	191	92%	60%	7%	224	86%	55%	8%
Female	107	93%	61%	6%	108	90%	62%	9%
Male	84	90%	58%	10%	116	83%	49%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	121	94%	59%	6%	153	86%	52%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	57	86%	54%	5%	54	87%	54%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
White	9	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	92%	31%	17	88%	88%	24%
General-Education Students	160	99%	69%	8%	199	90%	60%	9%
Students with Disabilities	31	58%	13%	3%	25	52%	16%	0%
English Proficient	189	-	-	-	220	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	132	90%	54%	5%	198	90%	60%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	59	97%	73%	12%	26	54%	19%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	191	92%	60%	7%	224	86%	55%	8%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared			

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 655	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 646 2006–07 2005–06	100%	97% 89%	56% 55%	5% 6%	99% 96%	72% 70%	12% 15%		
Number of Tested Students:		229 227	133 141	11 16					

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	97%	56%	5%	255	89%	55%	6%
Female	116	98%	65%	6%	138	97%	67%	9%
Male	121	95%	48%	3%	117	79%	42%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	158	97%	58%	4%	167	92%	60%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	61	97%	46%	5%	69	86%	41%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	77%	8%	11	73%	64%	18%
White	3	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	0%	8	75%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	195	100%	66%	6%	203	99%	66%	7%
Students with Disabilities	42	81%	12%	0%	52	50%	15%	2%
English Proficient	233	-	-	-	250	89%	56%	6%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	80%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	95%	54%	4%	201	99%	66%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	88	99%	59%	6%	54	52%	15%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	97%	56%	5%	255	89%	55%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ercentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 651	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2006 Mean Score: 652 2006-07 2005-06	100%	85% 86%	57% 60%	8% 9%	95% 92%	81% 74%	32% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		202 218	137 152	18 23			_		

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	239	85%	57%	8%	253	86%	60%	9%
Female	117	90%	67%	11%	137	94%	68%	9%
Male	122	80%	48%	4%	116	77%	51%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	158	90%	58%	6%	167	89%	63%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	63	73%	51%	10%	67	81%	52%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	85%	77%	8%	11	73%	64%	18%
White	3	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	60%	60%	20%	8	88%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	195	94%	67%	9%	200	97%	71%	11%
Students with Disabilities	44	43%	16%	0%	53	47%	21%	4%
English Proficient	234	85%	58%	8%	247	87%	61%	9%
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	0%	6	67%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	80%	51%	8%	198	96%	70%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	87	92%	69%	7%	55	49%	24%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	239	85%	57%	8%	253	86%	60%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 646	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790						
2006 Mean Score: 641	100%	93% 89%	470/		97% 95%	69% 66%				
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>			47% 43%	4% 4%			9% 10%			
Number of Tested Students:		235 253	118 121	10 12						

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	253	93%	47%	4%	283	89%	43%	4%
Female	131	98%	58%	6%	133	95%	50%	5%
Male	122	88%	34%	2%	150	85%	37%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	168	95%	54%	4%	182	90%	45%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	73	89%	29%	3%	88	86%	35%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	43%
White	2	-	-		4	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	12	83%	58%	17%	6	100%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	197	99%	58%	5%	223	95%	51%	5%
Students with Disabilities	56	71%	7%	0%	60	68%	13%	0%
English Proficient	245	93%	48%	4%	276	90%	43%	4%
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	13%	0%	7	71%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	157	92%	44%	2%	220	96%	51%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	96	94%	51%	7%	63	67%	14%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	253	93%	47%	4%	283	89%	43%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	New NYSAA 2006 and 20			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 651	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 636 2006–07 2005–06	100%	88% 81%	54% 39%	10% 1%	96% 91%	66%	30% 18%	
Number of Tested Students:		223 228	138 109	26 3				

Poculto by	2006-07 9	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	254	88%	54%	10%	282	81%	39%	1%
Female	133	95%	64%	13%	136	86%	45%	1%
Male	121	80%	44%	7%	146	76%	33%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	166	92%	58%	10%	182	81%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	76	79%	42%	8%	87	79%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	43%
White	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Multiracial				•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	83%	83%	33%	6	67%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	199	97%	64%	12%	223	90%	45%	1%
Students with Disabilities	55	55%	18%	4%	59	46%	14%	0%
English Proficient	245	88%	56%	11%	275	82%	40%	1%
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	22%	0%	7	43%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	156	85%	50%	10%	222	91%	45%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	98	92%	61%	10%	60	45%	13%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	254	88%	54%	10%	282	81%	39%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	3	3	New NYSA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 645	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 647 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	91% 89%	46% 47%	2% 4%	97% 93%	65% 57%	7% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		256 243	129 128	6 10					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students	282	91%	46%	2%	272	89%	47%	4%	
Female	139	94%	56%	4%	138	91%	58%	6%	
Male	143	87%	36%	1%	134	88%	36%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	179	90%	46%	2%	192	89%	48%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	87	91%	41%	2%	59	90%	39%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	13%	9	-	-	-	
White	6	-	-	–	9	100%	67%	11%	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••				•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	38%	0%	12	92%	50%	8%	
General-Education Students	225	97%	54%	3%	224	97%	56%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	57	65%	12%	0%	48	52%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	279	-	-	-	269	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	3	–	-	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	173	88%	42%	2%	223	97%	56%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	109	94%	51%	3%	49	53%	8%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	282	91%	46%	2%	272	89%	47%	4%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	14	14	14	14	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 646	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 643 2006-07 2005-06	100%	82% 79%	45% 43%	6% 8%	92% 88%	66% 59%	19% 16%
Number of Tested Students:		233 217	127 117	18 22			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 <b>S</b>	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students	283	82%	45%	6%	275	79%	43%	8%		
Female	141	89%	53%	6%	137	86%	51%	9%		
Male	142	76%	37%	7%	138	72%	34%	7%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
Black or African American	180	83%	49%	5%	193	77%	42%	5%		
Hispanic or Latino	87	80%	34%	5%	59	85%	39%	12%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	50%	10	-	-	-		
White	6	-	–	-	10	90%	70%	30%		
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	75%	25%	13%	13	69%	38%	15%		
General-Education Students	227	91%	53%	8%	227	88%	49%	9%		
Students with Disabilities	56	46%	13%	0%	48	38%	10%	2%		
English Proficient	279	-	_	-	271	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	173	79%	43%	5%	226	88%	49%	9%		
Not Disadvantaged	110	87%	48%	8%	49	39%	12%	2%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	283	82%	45%	6%	275	79%	43%	8%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	14	14	14	11	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools				
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s): $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $41\%$ $49\%$ $93\%$ $92\%$ $67\%$ $63\%$ $41\%$ $49\%$ $5\%$ $4\%$ $23$ $67\%$ $63\%$ $0$ $114$ $131$ $15$ $11$ $93\%$ $92\%$ $67\%$ $63\%$ $7$ School Year $205-06$ School Year $23$ $205-06$ School Year $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $205-06$ School Year $205-06$ School Year $7$ School Year $205-06$ School Year $75\%$								
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%		_								
<ul> <li>■ 2006-07</li> <li>■ 2005-06</li> </ul>	86% 86%	41% '		5% 4%	93% 92%	67% 63		<sup>%</sup> 16%		
Number of Tested Students:	237 230	114	131							
Poculto by	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	23%       16%         ol Year       16%         rcentage scoring at level(s):       2-4         2-4       3-4       4         86%       49%       4%         92%       55%       3%         80%       43%       5%			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students	276	86%	41%	5%	267	86%	<b>49</b> %	4%		
Female	138	89%	41%	7%	134	92%	55%	3%		
Male	138	83%	41%	4%	133	80%	43%	5%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	3	-	_	-		
Black or African American	174	86%	41%	5%	187	84%	49%	2%		
Hispanic or Latino	86	85%	35%	2%	59	92%	44%	5%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	50%	9	-	-	-		
White	6	-		-	9	100%	89%	44%		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	88%	50%	13%	12	83%	50%	8%		
General-Education Students	223	92%	48%	7%	221	93%	56%	5%		
Students with Disabilities	53	60%	11%	0%	46	54%	17%	2%		
English Proficient	272	-	_	-	263	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_		4	_	_			

Economically Disadvantaged 168 82% 108 92% Not Disadvantaged Migrant . . . . . Not Migrant 276 86%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 <b>S</b> o	2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	14	14	14	11	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				
Regents Science	0				0	0				

37%

48%

41%

5%

6%

. . . . .

5%

220

47

. . . . . .

267

93%

55%

86%

55%

19%

49%

5%

2%

4%

#### **Overview of School Performance** 3

School IS 180 DR DANIEL HALE WILLIAMS School ID 32-11-00-01-0180

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level **English after Four Years of Instruction**

		This Scho	This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage	scoring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<b>2002</b> Cabart									
<ul> <li>2003 Cohort</li> <li>2002 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Poculto by	2003 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2002 <b>Coho</b>	rt**			
Results by Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage 2-4	scoring at le 3–4	evel(s): 4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring a 2–4 3–4		at level(s): 4	
All Students					1	_	_	_	
Female					_				
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• •••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient				•••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	–	–	
					T	_	_		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	ť			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

#### **Overview of School Performance** 3

School IS 180 DR DANIEL HALE WILLIAMS School ID 32-11-00-01-0180

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This Schoo	This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:	Percentage	scoring at level(s	):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2003 Cohort</li> <li>2002 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Poculto by	2003 Cohor	t			2002 <b>Coho</b>	rt**			
Results by Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage 2–4	scoring at le 3–4	evel(s): 4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at le 2–4 3–4		evel(s): 4	
All Students					1	_	_	_	
Female									
Male	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American		••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino						• ••••••	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• ••••••	••••••		
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged			•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant		••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	–	-	
NOTES									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.