

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School JHS 126 JOHN ERICSSON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14 School ID 33-14-00-01-0126 Principal SHELDON TOBACK Telephone (718) 782-2527 Grades 6-8, 12, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	224	221	180
Ungraded Elementary	31	17	12
Grade 7	209	232	232
Grade 8	189	227	232
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	29	41	48
Total K–12	682	738	704

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	28	25	25
Mathematics	28	27	25
Science	28	26	25
Social Studies	28	26	25
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	454	67%	537	73%	537	76%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	94	14%	23	3%	23	3%	
Student Stability*		90%		90%		89%	
Limited English Proficient	109	16%	93	13%	84	12%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	97	14%	129	17%	130	18%	
Hispanic or Latino	422	62%	469	64%	459	65%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	3%	16	2%	16	2%	
White	142	21%	123	17%	98	14%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%	

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		89%		89%
Student Suspensions	25	4%	29	4%	39	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	57	58	61
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	7%	5%	7%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	12%	12%	13%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	26%	21%	16%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	28%	28%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	182	55
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	14%	15%
Total Number of Classes	185	212	64
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	14%	15%	14%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	6%	24%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	19%	19%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	7	7	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 0.5 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 0.5 PL + 0.10)

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I l Title I Status to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	ool in Good Standing hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool in Corrective Action chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	ool Planning for Restructuring shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool Restructuring (Year 1) thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability

School JHS 126 JOHN ERICSSON SCHOOL School ID 33-14-00-01-0126

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Restructuring (Year 3)							
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l				
	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 3)	ELA					
	Math	Planning for Restructuring	Math					
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received Title	I Part A Funding					
	2005-0	2006–	07	2007–08				
	YES	YES		YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	V	 Image: A set of the set of the				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••		
White	 	 ✓ 	••••	• ••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	X					
Limited English Proficient	X	~	••••	• •••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 7	X 6 of 7	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Restructuring (Year 3)
Accountability Measures	5 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 4) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 3) in 2008-09. [109]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatua	Met	J	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (668:616)		V	99%	~	121	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (123:119)	~	~	99%	~	113	112	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino ^(431:404)	<	~	98%	 ✓ 	122	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (99:80)	✓	~	99%	 ✓ 	129	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••		•••• •••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (99:91)	x	~	95%	x	68	112	73	81
Limited English Proficient ⁵		·····	••••		••••	•••••	••••	••••
(92:96)	X	V	98%	X	97	112	112	107
Economically Disadvantaged (668:616)	~	~	99%	~	121	118		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Planning for Restructuring
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 1) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Planning for Restructuring in 2008-09. [107]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (666:620)			98%		115	82	2000 07	2007 00	
Ethnicity		-				-			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (125:117)	~	~	98%	~	97	76	••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino ^(427:399)	✓	~	98%	~	112	80	••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
White (99:89)	✓	~	98%	 ✓ 	148	75	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (197:85)	x	x	89%	x	55	75	60	70	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (94:110)	v	✓	97%	~	119	76			
Economically Disadvantaged (666:620)	/	~	98%	~	115	82	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006–07		
All Students (253:222)	v	Qualified	 ✓ 	94%	 ✓ 	114	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (39:32)		-	-	-	X	69	100	100	70	
Hispanic or Latino (156:140)		Qualified	<	95%	~	112	100			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (50:43)	•••••••	Qualified	<	94%	~	153	100	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••	• •••••	••••		••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (30:26)		_	_	_	-	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (39:44)		-	-	-	~	109	100			
Economically Disadvantaged (253:222)	•••••••	Qualified	~	94%	~	114	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1								
AVD Status		followed b students w	y the count of c ho were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested stude medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri	mance). For a	ccountabilit ent count.	y calculatio	

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage c scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	38%		180
Grade 7	27%		225
Grade 8	30%		234
Mathematics			
Grade 6	43%		185
Grade 7	31%		224
Grade 8	27%		243
Science			
Grade 8	34%		238

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 20

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 643	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 629 2006-07 2005-06	100%	96% 83%	^{38%} 28%	0% 1%	97% 91%	48% 49%	4% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		173 179	69 61	0 3					
Posults by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	ichool Year			

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	.evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	180	96%	38%	0%	215	83%	28%	1%
Female	88	98%	44%	0%	112	84%	28%	3%
Male	92	95%	33%	0%	103	83%	29%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	32	97%	31%	0%	52	85%	35%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	126	96%	40%	0%	146	82%	25%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4				2			
Pacific Islander	4		-		۷۲		-	-
White	18	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	95%	41%	0%	17	94%	35%	0%
General-Education Students	159	99%	43%	0%	172	90%	34%	2%
Students with Disabilities	21	71%	0%	0%	43	58%	5%	0%
English Proficient	161	96%	41%	0%	199	86%	30%	2%
Limited English Proficient	19	100%	16%	0%	16	44%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	180	96%	38%	0%	170	89%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••				45	62%	4%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	180	96%	38%	0%	215	83%	28%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School	•			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 643	Range:	616-780	650-	780	696-780				
2006 Mean Score: 634	100%								
		86% 80%				89% 84%			
							64%	0/	
2006-07			43%				54	%	
2005-06				27%				159	6 11%
					1% 3%				• 11%
Number of Tested Students:		160 181	79	61	2 6				
Results by		2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	ar		2005–06 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		185	86%	43%	1%	226	80%	27%	3%
Female		92	88%	40%	1%	118	81%	26%	3%
Male		93	85%	45%	1%	108	79%	28%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		32	75%	31%	0%	52	73%	21%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		127	87%	41%	1%	149	82%	27%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	4	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					-				
White		22	_			22			_
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		26	100%	65%	4%	25	84%	40%	12%
General-Education Students		163	91%	48%	1%	186	88%	32%	3%
Students with Disabilities		22	50%	5%	0%	40	45%	3%	0%
English Proficient		162	87%	42%	1%	200	82%	27%	3%
Limited English Proficient		23	83%	48%	0%	26	69%	27%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged		185	86%	43%	1%	181	87%	33%	3%
Not Disadvantaged						45	51%	4%	0%

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

185

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

1%

43%

226

.

86%

3%

27%

80%

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 632	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 72	L2-790				
2006 Mean Score: 632	100%								
		88% 85%				93% 90%			
2006-07			3	5%			46% 45	6%	
2005-06			27%						
				0'	% 1%			3%	4%
Number of Tested Students:		198 193	61	79 1	. 3				
Results by		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		225	88%	27%	0%	228	85%	35%	1%
Female		108	92%	32%	0%	105	88%	41%	2%
Male		117	85%	22%	1%	123	82%	29%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е								
Black or African American		54	87%	26%	0%	38	74%	16%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		143	87%	25%	1%	150	85%	35%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_	7	100%	29%	0%
Pacific Islander					-		100%	2970 	070
White		26	_		_	33	91%	58%	3%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		28	93%	39%	0%				
General-Education Students		180	91%	32%	1%	190	91%	39%	2%

Students with Disabilities	45	78%	9%	0%	38	53%	11%	0%
English Proficient	200	92%	30%	1%	214	87%	36%	1%
Limited English Proficient	25	60%	8%	0%	14	50%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	225	88%	27%	0%	190	91%	39%	2%
Not Disadvantaged					38	53%	11%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	225	88%	27%	0%	228	85%	35%	1%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 636	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2006 Mean Score: 626	100%								
2006-072005-06		85% 72%	31% 31%	3% 2%	91% 83%	58%	^{13%} 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		190 180	70 77	6 5					
		2006-07 S	ichool Year		2005-06	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	Total Percentage scoring at leve				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	224	85%	31%	3%	249	72%	31%	2%
Female	106	88%	30%	3%	116	74%	30%	1%
Male	118	82%	32%	3%	133	71%	32%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	55	80%	27%	0%	39	62%	8%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	139	86%	29%	2%	156	72%	31%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	8	88%	75%	0%
White	27	-	-	-	46	80%	41%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	30	87%	50%	10%				
General-Education Students	182	89%	37%	3%	212	80%	34%	2%
Students with Disabilities	42	67%	5%	0%	37	27%	11%	0%
English Proficient	193	88%	32%	3%	218	75%	32%	2%
Limited English Proficient	31	68%	29%	0%	31	55%	26%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	224	85%	31%	3%	210	81%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged					39	26%	10%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	224	85%	31%	3%	249	72%	31%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		Similar Sc	hools				
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 633	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 624	100%								
 2006-07 2005-06 		85% 80%	30%	2% 0%	92% 87%	41% 35%	<u>2%</u> 2%		
Number of Tested Students:		198 160	71 36	4 0					
De culto bu		chool Year		2005-06	School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		

Zacilite hv									
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	234	85%	30%	2%	201	80%	18%	0%	
Female	106	87%	42%	2%	107	86%	27%	0%	
Male	128	83%	21%	2%	94	72%	7%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	36	78%	22%	0%	33	79%	9%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	152	87%	32%	3%	126	81%	20%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	14%	0%	5	60%	0%	0%	
White	39	82%	36%	0%	37	78%	22%	0%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	205	91%	35%	2%	183	84%	20%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	29	38%	0%	0%	18	39%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	208	89%	34%	2%	192	80%	19%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	26	46%	0%	0%	9	67%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	234	85%	30%	2%	181	83%	20%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged					20	45%	0%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	234	85%	30%	2%	201	80%	18%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a solution of the so	2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 634	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2006 Mean Score: 618	100%							
		74%			84% 77%			
		56%				48%		
2006-07			27%			40%		
2005-06			16%	5% <u>1</u> %			9% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		180 119	66 33	12 3				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	243	74%	27%	5%	212	56%	16%	1%
Female	112	80%	29%	4%	121	55%	15%	2%
Male	131	69%	26%	6%	91	57%	16%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	36	61%	6%	0%	29	52%	17%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	151	69%	25%	6%	124	52%	13%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	50%	0%	7	71%	43%	14%
White	48	98%	46%	6%	52	67%	17%	2%
Multiracial	•••••							•••••
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••••••			••••••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	216	79%	30%	6%	203	57%	16%	1%
Students with Disabilities	27	33%	4%	0%	9	44%	0%	0%
English Proficient	206	76%	28%	6%	180	56%	15%	2%
Limited English Proficient	37	65%	24%	0%	32	56%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	74%	27%	5%	199	57%	17%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			13	46%	0%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	74%	27%	5%	212	56%	16%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Imber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage so	ol $3-4$ 4 $3-4$ 4 34% 26% 4% 2% 82 53 9 4% 2% 82 53 9 4% 2% 82 53 9 4 4% 2% $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 80% 34% 4% 80% 34% 4% 82% 29% 3% 78% 40% 5% 86% 33% 7% 86% 33% 5% 86% 38% 0% 86% 38% 4% 86% 38% 4% 85% 38% 4% 85% 38% 4% 86% 38% 4% 86% 38% 4% 86% 38% 4% 86% 38% 4% 86% <		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	2224 8204				85%			
	80% 82%				05%			
						45%		
2006-07		34% 2	6%					
2005-06			49	% 2%			9%	-
Number of Tested Students:	190 169	82	53 9	4				
Pocults by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
=	238	80%	34%	4%	205	82%	26%	2%
Female	112	82%	29%	3%	111	89%	25%	2%
Male	126	78%	40%	5%	94	74%	27%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	36	61%	8%	0%	28	79%	21%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	148	79%	34%	5%	122	82%	23%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	7	960/	120/	∩0⁄	6	67%	33%	0%
Pacific Islander		0070	4370	U 70	0	0170	JJ 70	
White	47	96%	55%	4%	49	88%	35%	4%
Yultiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	211	85%	38%	4%	194	85%	27%	2%
Students with Disabilities	27	41%	4%	0%	11	45%	0%	0%
English Proficient	202	82%	36%	4%	175	86%	29%	2%
imited English Proficient	36	67%	28%	0%	30	63%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	238	80%	34%	4%	190	85%	27%	2%
Not Disadvantaged					15	53%	7%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	238	80%	34%	4%	205	82%	26%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	.(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot be	007, so e compared.
Regents Science	0				0			

Overview of School Performance 3

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level **English after Four Years of Instruction**

-	This School		Similar Schools							
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):							
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4				
1009	6									
2003 Cohort										
2002 Cohort	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	ó	0%			
	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**					
Results by	Number		ing at level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	of Students	2-4 3	-4 4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
				6	0%	0%	0%			
Female				3	-	-	-			
Male				3	-	-	-			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American				3	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino				2	-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander										
White				1		-	-			
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals				6	0%	0%	0%			
General-Education Students				5	-	-	-			
Students with Disabilities				1	-	-	-			
English Proficient				5	-	-	-			
Limited English Proficient				1	_	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged				4	_	-	-			
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	_	-	_			
Migrant										
Not Migrant				6	0%	0%	0%			
NOTES										

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School JHS 126 JOHN ERICSSON SCHOOL School ID 33-14-00-01-0126

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School	Similar Schools							
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
100%	5								
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6	0%		
Results by		2003 Cohort			2002 Cohort**				
-		Percentage scori	-	Number	Percentage	-			
Student Group	of Students	2-4 3	-4 4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students				6	0%	0%	0%		
⁻ emale				3					
Male				3	-	-	-		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American				3	_				
Hispanic or Latino				2					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White				1					
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals				6	0%	0%	0%		
General-Education Students				5	-				
Students with Disabilities				1	-	-	-		
nglish Proficient				5	_				
imited English Proficient				1	-	-	-		
conomically Disadvantaged				4	–	_	_		
Not Disadvantaged				2	-				
ligrant									
Not Migrant				6	0%	0%	0%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual student.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.