

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School IS 246 WALT WHITMAN District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #17 School ID 33-17-00-01-0246 Principal BENTLY WARRINGTON Telephone (718) 282-5230 Grades 6-10

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	381	330	206
Ungraded Elementary	41	24	34
Grade 7	390	324	295
Grade 8	405	398	350
Grade 9	0	0	1
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	54	77	84
Total K-12	1271	1153	970

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	28	28	
Grade 8			
English	29	28	28
Mathematics	28	27	28
Science	29	28	28
Social Studies	29	28	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	4-05	200	2005-06		6-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	945	74%	970	84%	970	100%
Reduced-Price Lunch	105	8%	92	8%	91	9%
Student Stability*		89%		89%		88%
Limited English Proficient	150	12%	134	12%	110	11%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	7	1%	7	1%
Black or African American	1098	86%	985	85%	826	85%
Hispanic or Latino	146	11%	133	12%	113	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	1%	15	1%	8	1%
White	11	1%	13	1%	16	2%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		89%		88%		88%
Student Suspensions	179	15%	88	7%	127	11%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	101	91	90
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	12%	9%	8%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	32%	15%	16%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	17%	13%	11%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	28%	27%	26%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	265	188
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	18%	19%
Total Number of Classes	256	239	233
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	31%	16%	20%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	17%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	18%	24%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	8	7	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	3	4
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

ederal Title I Status	New York State Status				
Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been id Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as	dentified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for s a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year,	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
accountability measure for which it was identified is considered	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is				
considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year,	considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for				
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.					

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

School Accountability 2


School IS 246 WALT WHITMAN School ID 33-17-00-01-0246

Summary

Overall Accountability	🔥 Re	Restructuring (Year 4)					
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 4)	ELA				
	Math	• Restructuring (Year 3)	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received Titl	e I Part A Funding				
	2005-0	2006	6-07	2007–08			
	YES	YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	X	 Image: A set of the set of the	~			
Ethnicity					·	
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-				
Black or African American	~	~	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	SH	~	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••	••••••	••••••	
White	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓SH		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	X	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 2 of 6	🗙 5 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Restructuring (Year 4)
Accountability Measures	2 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 5) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 4) in 2008-09. [109]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³ Pe		Performa	es	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (871:790)	X	 ✓ 	99%	X	116	118	118	124
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (760:688)	✓	~	99%	~	118	118	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (89:80)	✓ SH	~	100%	√ SH	104	111	102	114
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
White (9:9) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (179:77)	X	~	95%	x	66	111	70	79
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (119:108)	×	~	99%	X	65	112	103	79
Economically Disadvantaged (871:790)	×	~	99%	X	116	118	118‡	124
Final AYP Determination	🗙 2 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Restructuring (Year 3)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 4) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 3) in 2008-09. [109]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		on ² Test Perfor		rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (877:796)	V	V	99%	V	98	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (761:690)	~	~	99%	~	96	82			
Hispanic or Latino (93:84)	<	<	98%	~	110	75	•••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (10:9)	_	_	_	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••• ••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(177:76)	X	X	94%	V SH	37	75	35	53	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(123:123)	✓ SH	V	99%	V SH	73	77	59	86	
Economically Disadvantaged (877:796)	V	~	99%	~	98	82			
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were ensured from testing for medical research are not included in the enrollment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-0
All Students (366:337)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	98%	V	90	100	87	91
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (322:297)		Qualified	~	98%	~	91	100	89	92
Hispanic or Latino (37:33)		_	_	-	<	79	100	69	80
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••			••••		••••	•••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (38:37)		_	_	_	~	43	100	36	44
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (53:56)		Qualified	✓	98%	~	70	100	49	71
Economically Disadvantaged (366:337)	••••••••	Did not qualify	~	98%	×	90	100	92	91
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
		NOTES							

students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

in the performance calculations.

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

2

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	33%		182
Grade 7	26%		296
Grade 8	22%	l	356
Mathematics			
Grade 6	35%		193
Grade 7	30%		310
Grade 8	17%		362
Science			
Grade 8	20%		358

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #17

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 20

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 641	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 634	100%	96% 88%			97% _{91%}	48% 49%			
2006-07 2005-06			33% 35%	1% 1%			4% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		174 261	60 104	2 4					

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	182	96%	33%	1%	298	88%	35%	1%
Female	76	97%	43%	0%	131	89%	35%	2%
Male	106	94%	25%	2%	167	86%	35%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	164	96%	34%	1%	256	87%	35%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	31	90%	35%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	1	-		-	5	100%	40%	0%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••			••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	18	89%	28%	6%	6	83%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	163	98%	37%	1%	274	90%	37%	1%
Students with Disabilities	19	79%	0%	0%	24	63%	13%	0%
English Proficient	166	97%	36%	1%	290	89%	36%	1%
Limited English Proficient	16	81%	0%	0%	8	50%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	182	96%	33%	1%	104	88%	30%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••		•••••		194	87%	38%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	182	96%	33%	1%	298	88%	35%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 635	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2006 Mean Score: 625	100%				2024				
		75% 64%			89% 84%	64%			
2006-07			35% 26%			54%			
2005-06			20%	3% 1%			15% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		145 200	67 81	6 4					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	193	75%	35%	3%	314	64%	26%	1%
Female	85	80%	36%	2%	143	60%	26%	1%
Male	108	71%	33%	4%	171	67%	26%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	174	74%	33%	2%	267	63%	25%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	34	65%	32%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	–	5	80%	60%	0%
Multiracial	••••••	••••	•••••			••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	19	89%	53%	11%	8	63%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	174	79%	39%	3%	290	67%	28%	1%
Students with Disabilities	19	42%	0%	0%	24	21%	0%	0%
English Proficient	167	78%	37%	4%	288	68%	28%	1%
Limited English Proficient	26	54%	23%	0%	26	15%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	193	75%	35%	3%	102	63%	24%	3%
Not Disadvantaged					212	64%	27%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	193	75%	35%	3%	314	64%	26%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 631	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 633	100%	89% 87%			93% 90%				
2006-07 2005-06			26% 33%	1% 1%		46% 45%	3% 4%		
Number of Tested Students:		263 261	77 99	2 3					
			1.37			1 1.57			

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	296	89 %	26 %	1%	299	87 %	33%	1%
Female	141	87%	27%	1%	139	91%	29%	2%
Male	155	90%	25%	0%	160	84%	37%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	247	91%	25%	1%	269	88%	34%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	37	78%	32%	0%	25	76%	20%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	5	80%	20%	0%	3	-	-	-
Multiracial			••••••			••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	71%	29%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	269	91%	28%	1%	269	91%	35%	1%
Students with Disabilities	27	67%	4%	0%	30	53%	13%	0%
English Proficient	265	95%	29%	1%	286	88%	34%	1%
Limited English Proficient	31	39%	0%	0%	13	77%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	296	89%	26%	1%	86	91%	28%	0%
Not Disadvantaged					213	86%	35%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	296	89%	26%	1%	299	87%	33%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 \$	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 5 cannot b	2007, so be compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 633	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2006 Mean Score: 616	100%	81%			91% 83%	58%	
 2006-07 2005-06 			30%	1% 0%		45%	13% _{7%}
Number of Tested Students:		251 204	94 58	4 0			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	310	81%	30%	1%	330	62%	18%	0%	
Female	144	81%	31%	1%	156	60%	12%	0%	
Male	166	81%	30%	1%	174	64%	22%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-					
Black or African American	258	81%	28%	1%	285	65%	18%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	39	74%	41%	5%	38	45%	18%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	6	100%	50%	0%	3	-	–	-	
Multiracial	••••••		••••••				••••••		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	29%	0%	7	29%	14%	0%	
General-Education Students	283	84%	33%	1%	296	67%	20%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	27	52%	7%	0%	34	21%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	267	86%	34%	1%	285	68%	20%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	43	49%	5%	0%	45	24%	4%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	310	81%	30%	1%	90	64%	23%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged					240	61%	15%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	310	81%	30%	1%	330	62%	18%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 S	chool Yeaı	r	
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 628	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 621	100%						
 2006-07 2005-06 		85% 75%	^{22%} 16%	0% 1%	92% 87%	41% 35%	2% 2%
Number of Tested Students:		304 262	80 55	0% 1%			270 270
Results by		2006–07 S	chool Year			School Year	
Student Groun		Total	Percentage scor	5	Total	-	oring at level(s):
Student Groun		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4

	Totat	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	356	85%	22%	0%	349	75%	16%	1%
Female	165	87%	23%	0%	160	76%	18%	1%
Male	191	84%	22%	0%	189	74%	14%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	319	88%	23%	0%	314	76%	15%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	30	63%	17%	0%	31	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	3		-	-				
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••			• •••••	•••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%	35	69%	20%	3%
General-Education Students	320	89%	25%	0%	317	80%	17%	1%
Students with Disabilities	36	53%	3%	0%	32	28%	0%	0%
English Proficient	311	90%	26%	0%	336	77%	16%	1%
Limited English Proficient	45	51%	0%	0%	13	23%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	356	85%	22%	0%	81	80%	20%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••	268	74%	15%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	356	85%	22%	0%	349	75%	16%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4	
2007 Mean Score: 620	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 612	100%						
 2006–07 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: 		59% 54% 212 213	17% 11% 61 44	0% 0% 0 1	84% 77%	48% 40% 9%	7%
Boculto by		2006–07 Sch o	ool Year		2005–06 Sc	hool Year	
Results by Student Group)	Total Pe Tested	ercentage scorir 2–4 3–	5	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at 2–4 3–4	level(s): 4

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	362	59 %	17%	0%	398	54%	11%	0%
Female	169	52%	14%	0%	181	50%	11%	1%
Male	193	64%	20%	0%	217	57%	11%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	319	59%	17%	0%	343	55%	11%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	36	56%	22%	0%	46	41%	7%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	-				•••••
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••					•••••
Small Group Totals	7	57%	0%	0%	9	67%	22%	11%
General-Education Students	327	63%	19%	0%	370	56%	12%	0%
Students with Disabilities	35	20%	0%	0%	28	14%	0%	0%
English Proficient	309	60%	17%	0%	339	55%	11%	0%
Limited English Proficient	53	49%	13%	0%	59	47%	12%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	362	59%	17%	0%	90	54%	12%	0%
Not Disadvantaged					308	53%	11%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	362	59%	17%	0%	398	54%	11%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 S o	chool Year		
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	2-4		4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools					
	This School Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 69% 65% 0% 1% 69% 65% 0% 1% 247 248 70 77 0 3 2006-07 SCHOOL Vercentage scoring at level(s): 3 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 4 4 358 69% 20% 0% 166 67% 14% 0% 192 71% 24% 0% 314 70% 20% 0% 314 70% 20% 0% 314 70% 20% 0% 33 - - - 3 - - -				Percentage sc	coring at leve	l(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
					85%					
	69% 65%									
- 2006 07						45%				
2006–07 2005–06		20% 2	0%							
2003 00				% 1%			9%	ò		
Number of Tested Students:	247 248	70	77 0	3						
Posults by	2006–07 S o	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	–o6 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	9% 6 School Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 65% 20% 66% 15% 65% 25% 66% 21% 66% 13% 63% 38%				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	358	69%	20%	0%	379	65%	20%	1%		
Female	166	67%	14%	0%	172	66%	15%	1%		
Male	192	71%	24%	0%	207	65%	25%	1%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	314	70%	20%	0%	324	68%	21%	1%		
Hispanic or Latino	37	59%	14%	0%	47	49%	13%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	Л	_	_	_	7	_	_	_		
Pacific Islander										
White	3									
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%	8			13%		
General-Education Students	320	72%	22%	0%	352	68%	22%	1%		
Students with Disabilities	38	42%	3%	0%	27	33%	4%	0%		
English Proficient	306	71%	22%	0%	322	70%	22%	1%		
Limited English Proficient	52	56%	8%	0%	57	39%	9%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	358	69%	20%	0%	81	69%	21%	1%		
Not Disadvantaged					298	64%	20%	1%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	358	69%	20%	0%	379	65%	20%	1%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compare				
Regents Science	0				0				

3 Overview of School Performance

School **IS 246 WALT WHITMAN** School ID **33-17-00-01-0246**

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
2003 Cohort								
2002 Cohort								

Results by Student Group	2003 Coho	rt		2002 Cohort**				
	Number	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
	of Students	Percentage 2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male				•	1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		•	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	–	-	–
Hispanic or Latino					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	•••••			•••••••	1	-	-	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant					1	-	-	-
NOTES								

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	ť			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **IS 246 WALT WHITMAN** School ID **33-17-00-01-0246**

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools				
					Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
2003 Cohort									
2002 Cohort									

Results by Student Group	2003 Cohoi	rt		2002 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	•	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••	• ••••••	•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
NOTES								

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.