

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School IS 237 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #25 School ID 34-25-00-01-0237 Principal JOSEPH CANTARA Telephone (718) 353-6464 Grades 7-9, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	395	405	361
Grade 8	376	396	395
Grade 9	252	205	152
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	126	121	98
Total K–12	1149	1127	1006

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	30	28	21
Mathematics	30	26	26
Science	30	27	28
Social Studies	30	27	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	2004-05		2005-06		6-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	788	69%	840	75%	708	70%
Reduced-Price Lunch	202	18%	130	12%	128	13%
Student Stability*		100%		100%		92%
Limited English Proficient	205	18%	188	17%	159	16%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	5	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	154	13%	148	13%	107	11%
Hispanic or Latino	281	24%	285	25%	264	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	640	56%	622	55%	578	57%
White	69	6%	67	6%	56	6%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	152	12%	56	5%	66	6%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	82	80	78
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	4%	6%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	18%	20%	18%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	8%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	40%	41%	47%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	253	247
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	15%	11%
Total Number of Classes	251	314	297
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	19%	18%	20%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	10%	38%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	21%	11%	11%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	13	9	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	5	5
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 0.5 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 0.5 PL + 0.10)

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I <b>l Title I Status</b> to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	<b>ool in Good Standing</b> hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool in Corrective Action</b> chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	<b>ool Planning for Restructuring</b> shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 1)</b> thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

#### **School Accountability** 2

School IS 237 School ID 34-25-00-01-0237

### Summary

Overall Accountability	<ul> <li>Corrective Action</li> </ul>						
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary L	evel			
	ELA	Corrective Action	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Corrective Action		Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation R	ate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receive	d Title I Part A Fundiı	ng			
	2005-0	56	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	~	~	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓		••••••	•••••		
White	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	••••	
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	X					
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 7 of 8	🗙 7 of 8	🖌 1 of 1				



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain In Corrective Action in 2008-09. [106]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (811:754)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	150	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (79:73)	✓	~	97%	~	136	111		
Hispanic or Latino (205:193)	<	<	99%	~	140	114	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (483:448)	✓	~	100%	~	154	117		
White (43:39)	<	<	100%	~	169	106	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (109:99)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	96%	~	114	112		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (148:162)	×	·····	100%	X	93	114	114	104
Economically Disadvantaged (693:638)	V	·	99%	Ŷ	148	114	±±+	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 7 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain In Corrective Action in 2008-09. [106]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (810:760)	Status		98%		169	82	2000 07	2007 00
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (182:66)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	95%	~	132	74		
Hispanic or Latino (206:191)	<	<	98%	<b>~</b>	151	78	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (482:463)	✓	~	100%	~	182	81	••••	
White (43:39)	<	✓	95%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	167	70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••••	••••		••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (240:92)	x	x	92%	~	130	76		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (152:182)	✓	✓	99%	~	156	78		
Economically Disadvantaged (691:647)		~	98%	~	168	82		···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the court
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

2

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	
All Students (427:401)	~	Qualified	· ·	97%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	145	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (41:37)		Qualified	~	98%	~	111	100		
Hispanic or Latino (107:98)		Qualified	<	95%	<	134	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (255:244)		Qualified	~	99%	~	154	100		
White (24:22)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••		•••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (53:47)		Qualified	~	91%	~	104	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (78:90)		Qualified	~	95%	~	80	100	53	81
Economically Disadvantaged (359:337)		Qualified	~	97%	~	141	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>/</b> 1 c	of 1							

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

### Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 7	61%		358
Grade 8	53%		400
Mathematics			
Grade 7	77%		364
Grade 8	67%		415
Science			
Grade 8	57%		409

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #25

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 20

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
	100%							
2006-07								
2005-06								

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Yea	r –	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4 3-4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant				••••••				
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		Similar Schools				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
	2	2-4	3-4	4	New NYSAA	2–4 were deve 007 results	3-4 eloped in 2 s cannot be	4 2007, so e compared.	

School IS 237 School ID 34-25-00-01-0237

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 653	100%	92% 95%	61% 55%		93% 90%	46% 45%			
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>				8% 6%		46% 45%	3% 4%		
Number of Tested Students:		331 336	218 194	27 23					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students	358	92%	61%	8%	355	95%	55%	<b>6</b> %
Female	137	96%	68%	12%	169	97%	58%	9%
Male	221	90%	57%	5%	186	92%	52%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	36	94%	47%	3%	50	92%	30%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	93	94%	53%	5%	93	90%	41%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	209	91%	66%	9%	188	98%	66%	12%
White	19	-	-	–	24	92%	67%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	100%	70%	10%				
General-Education Students	312	93%	67%	8%	309	98%	61%	7%
Students with Disabilities	46	87%	22%	2%	46	72%	13%	0%
English Proficient	307	98%	68%	9%	341	96%	56%	7%
Limited English Proficient	51	61%	18%	0%	14	50%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	310	92%	60%	7%	266	97%	58%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	48	98%	67%	10%	89	87%	45%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	358	92%	61%	8%	355	95%	55%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 673	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2006 Mean Score: 659	100%	96% 92%	77% 57%		91% <sub>83%</sub>	58% 45%	
2005-06				<sup>24%</sup> 16%			13% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		349 382	282 239	89 65			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	364	96%	77%	24%	417	92%	57%	16%
Female	143	97%	79%	29%	201	91%	58%	17%
Male	221	95%	76%	22%	216	93%	57%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	32	94%	44%	3%	48	83%	33%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	92	95%	68%	8%	104	94%	40%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	220	97%	86%	36%	240	93%	70%	23%
White	19	-	-		25	88%	56%	0%
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	20	95%	80%	5%				
General-Education Students	320	98%	81%	28%	368	94%	62%	17%
Students with Disabilities	44	84%	52%	2%	49	76%	20%	2%
English Proficient	298	97%	81%	26%	342	95%	63%	18%
Limited English Proficient	66	92%	61%	17%	75	76%	29%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	317	96%	77%	24%	322	93%	59%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	47	98%	81%	30%	95	87%	52%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	364	96%	77%	24%	417	92%	57%	16%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 651	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 649 2006-07 2005-06	100%	90% 89%	<sup>53%</sup> 46%	5% 7%	92% <sub>87%</sub>	41% 35%	2% 2%
Number of Tested Students:		360 321	211 164	19 24			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	400	90%	53%	5%	359	89%	46%	7%
Female	196	93%	61%	5%	177	92%	54%	9%
Male	204	87%	45%	4%	182	87%	37%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-		-
Black or African American	39	92%	28%	0%	54	87%	9%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	102	89%	42%	2%	90	80%	34%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	238	89%	61%	7%	194	95%	61%	10%
White	21	100%	57%	0%	19	-	-	-
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals					21	81%	43%	10%
General-Education Students	356	90%	58%	5%	307	96%	52%	7%
Students with Disabilities	44	86%	9%	0%	52	48%	8%	2%
English Proficient	347	98%	61%	5%	345	92%	47%	7%
Limited English Proficient	53	40%	0%	0%	14	29%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	333	89%	51%	4%	254	96%	51%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	67	96%	61%	7%	105	74%	32%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	400	90%	53%	5%	359	89%	46%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	5	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 659 2006-07 2005-06	100%	92% 85%	67% 60%	20% 19%	84% 77%	48% 40%	9% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		380 353	279 248	81 81			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	415	92%	67%	20%	416	85%	60%	19%
Female	205	92%	69%	22%	203	89%	68%	24%
Male	210	91%	66%	17%	213	81%	51%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	38	76%	37%	3%	51	61%	18%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	105	82%	48%	4%	98	76%	39%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	252	97%	81%	30%	245	94%	78%	29%
White	20	100%	50%	0%	20	-	-	–
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		••••••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••		•••••	22	77%	50%	9%
General-Education Students	375	94%	71%	22%	361	93%	68%	22%
Students with Disabilities	40	70%	28%	0%	55	35%	5%	2%
English Proficient	345	94%	70%	23%	343	87%	64%	22%
Limited English Proficient	70	81%	53%	4%	73	73%	41%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	347	91%	67%	19%	305	93%	68%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	68	93%	69%	22%	111	63%	38%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	415	92%	67%	20%	416	85%	60%	19%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	L			Similar Schools					
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
	85% 84%				85%					
		57% 5	7%							
2006-07						45%				
2005-06			19	9% 15%			9%	6		
Number of Tested Students:	349 338	233 2	28 7	8 62						
Posults by	2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	5 School Year				
Results by		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	-		:level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	409	85%	57%	<b>19</b> %	401	84%	57%	15%		
emale	202	89%	56%	18%	201	86%	59%	15%		
1ale	207	82%	57%	20%	200	83%	55%	16%		
merican Indian or Alaska Native					1					
Black or African American	38	82%	24%	3%	46	85%	24%	0%		
lispanic or Latino	101	83%	47%	8%	94	78%	49%	9%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	249	86%	65%	27%	240	86%	67%	23%		
Vhite	21	95%	67%	5%	20	-	-	-		
1ultiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••••••••••••		••••••		
mall Group Totals	••••••		•••••	•••••	21	90%	52%	0%		
General-Education Students	368	87%	61%	21%	351	89%	64%	18%		
tudents with Disabilities	41	68%	17%	2%	50	52%	6%	0%		
nglish Proficient	341	95%	67%	23%	331	94%	68%	19%		
imited English Proficient	68	38%	7%	1%	70	40%	6%	0%		
conomically Disadvantaged	344	84%	53%	17%	296	89%	63%	16%		
lot Disadvantaged	65	91%	75%	29%	105	72%	40%	13%		
- 1igrant										
lot Migrant	409	85%	57%	19%	401	84%	57%	15%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	New NYSA 2006 and 2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compare			
Regents Science	0				0				

#### **Overview of School Performance** 3

School IS 237 School ID 34-25-00-01-0237

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level **English after Four Years of Instruction**

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
<ul> <li>2003 Cohort</li> <li>2002 Cohort</li> </ul>								

Results by Student Group	2003 Cohoi	t		2002 <b>Coho</b>	2002 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female					1	-	-	-	
Male									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••	•••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged					1	-	-	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	••••••••••••••••••	••••••		•••••••	1	-	–	–	
NOTES									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

#### **Overview of School Performance** 3

#### District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #25

### School ID 34-25-00-01-0237 This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
2003 Cohort								
2002 Cohort								

Results by Student Group	2003 Coho	t		2002 <b>Coho</b>	2002 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female					1	-	-	-	
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
NOTES									

School IS 237

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 <b>Cohor</b>	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.