

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School EAGLE HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 42-10-01-06-0005 Principal MAUREEN MCCRYSTAL Telephone (315) 692-1400 Grades 5-8, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	212	186	196
Grade 6	212	221	188
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	214	220	226
Grade 8	220	222	218
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K-12	858	849	829

Enrollment Information

DISTRICT

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	26	24	25
Grade 8			
English	22	22	22
Mathematics	22	22	22
Science	22	22	20
Social Studies	22	22	22
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	15	2%	16	2%	21	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	11	1%	3	0%	8	1%
Student Stability*		95%		94%		98%
Limited English Proficient	4	0%	9	1%	6	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	8	1%	10	1%	4	0%
Hispanic or Latino	4	0%	8	1%	14	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	5%	37	4%	47	6%
White	797	93%	793	93%	750	90%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	2%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		98%		98%
Student Suspensions	2	0%	10	1%	8	1%

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	59	60	58
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	22%	13%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	27%	32%	29%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	226	145
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	2%	0%
Total Number of Classes	212	208	199
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	17%	13%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	9%	12%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	3	3	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005-06 PL + (200 – the 2005–06 PL + 0.10

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I l Title I Status to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	ool in Good Standing hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool in Corrective Action chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	ool Planning for Restructuring shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool Restructuring (Year 1) thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School EAGLE HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 42-10-01-06-0005 District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondar	y Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduatio	n Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fun	ding			
	2005-0	06	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	 ✓ 	v				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	–	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	 			••••		
White	<	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~					
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1				



District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (832:826)	v	V	100%	V	189	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (18:18)	_	_	–		-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53:51)	✓	~	100%	~	198	109		
White (749:745)	V	V	100%	 ✓ 	189	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(82:80)	V	V	100%	~	139	111		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(4:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (34:33)	 	_	-	~	155	105	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (833:821)	~	V	100%	V	193	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (18:18)	–	-	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (52:50)	✓	~	100%	~	198	73	•••••	
White (751:741) Multiracial (0:0)	~	~	100%	~	193	82	••••	···· •·····
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (82:79)	~	~	100%	~	144	75		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	•••• ••••••	••••••	••••	••••
(3:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (33:31)	•	_	-	~	158	69		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target
All Students (220:213)		Qualified		99%		196	100	2000-07	2007-08
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Hispanic or Latino (8:8)		_	_	_	–	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:9)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (198:192)		Oualified	V	99%	 	196	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••		••••		•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (28:27)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (11:11)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
		followed b	y the count of co	ontinuously enrolle	ed tested stude	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir	mance). For a	countabilit	

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percen scored	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	ó 5	0%	100%
Grade 5	92%			196
Grade 6	90%			188
Grade 7	87%			227
Grade 8	89%			219
Mathematics				
Grade 5	95%			197
Grade 6	94%			190
Grade 7	96%			226
Grade 8	89%			218
Science				
Grade 8	95%			153

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 35

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
	100%							
2006-07								
2005-06								
Number of Tested Chudents								

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2006–07	School Year		2005-06 \$	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female									
Male	•••••				1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	•••••								
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-	-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	••••••				1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant				••••••	1	-	-		
NOTES									

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar So	Similar Schools			
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									
Number of Tested Students	_								

Number of Tested Students:

Doculto by	2006–07	School Year			2005-06	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female									
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	•••••								
Hispanic or Latino			••••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			••••••						
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-	-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged					1	-	–	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant					1	-	–	-	
NOTES									

Other Assessments	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 689	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2006 Mean Score: 687	100%	100% 99%	92% 89%		99% 98%	87% 85%		
2006-07				270/				
2005-06				18%			13%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	196 182	180 163	35 49	_			
		2006-07 Sch	a l Voar		2005 06 5	chool Voar		

Results by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	196	100%	92%	18%	184	99%	89%	27%
Female	101	100%	94%	22%	88	99%	88%	28%
Male	95	100%	89%	14%	96	99%	90%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	14	100%	100%	7%	9	100%	89%	67%
Pacific Islander White		100%	91%	19%	170		89%	25%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	20%	5	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	181	100%	96%	19%	165	100%	94%	29%
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	40%	0%	19	89%	42%	5%
English Proficient	195	-	-	-	183	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	186	100%	92%	19%	181	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	196	100%	92%	18%	184	99%	89%	27%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 705	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2006 Mean Score: 697	100%	100% 97%	95% _{91%}	56% 50%	98% 96%	91% 87%		
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06							37% 35%	
Number of Tested Students:		197 179	187 169	110 93				

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4
All Students	197	100%	95%	56%	185	97%	91%	50%
Female	103	100%	95%	54%	87	98%	91%	47%
Male	94	100%	95%	57%	98	96%	92%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	71%	10	90%	90%	90%
White	173	100%	95%	55%	170	97%	91%	49%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	40%	5	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	182	100%	98%	59%	165	100%	97%	56%
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	60%	13%	20	70%	45%	5%
English Proficient	196	-	-	-	183	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	89%	33%	3	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	188	100%	95%	57%	182	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	197	100%	95%	56%	185	97%	91%	50%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	ew NYSAA were developed in 200 06 and 2007 results cannot be o			

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scl	nools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 686	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
2006 Mean Score: 693 2006-07 2005-06	100%	98% 100%	90% 94%	28% 36%	99% 98%	84% 83%	19% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		185 216	169 202	53 77			
Bosults by		2006–07 Scl	nool Year		2005-06	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s).	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s).

Ι Ε Σ Ι Ι Σ Ε Σ Ε Ι Ε Ι Ε Ι Ε Ι Ε Ι Ε Ι								
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	98%	90%	28 %	216	100%	94%	36%
Female	88	99%	92%	33%	113	100%	94%	40%
Male	100	98%	88%	24%	103	100%	93%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_			3	-		_
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
White	175	98%	90%	27%	199	100%	94%	35%
Multiracial	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••		••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	13	100%	85%	46%	17	100%	88%	47%
General-Education Students	167	100%	96%	32%	202	100%	96%	38%
Students with Disabilities	21	86%	43%	0%	14	100%	64%	7%
English Proficient	188	98%	90%	28%	216	100%	94%	36%
imited English Proficient	•••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	86%	14%	5	100%	100%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	181	98%	90%	29%	211	100%	93%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	98%	90%	28%	216	100%	94%	36%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a scannot b	2007, so oe compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 700	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2006 Mean Score: 697	100%	97% 99%	94% 98%		98% 97%	88% 82%		
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06				47% 46%			35% 25%	
Number of Tested Students:		185 216	178 213	89 101				

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	: level(s): 4
All Students	190	97%	94%	47%	218	99%	98%	46 %
Female	90	99%	92%	43%	113	98%	96%	45%
Male	100	96%	95%	50%	105	100%	99%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	176	97%	94%	45%	199	99%	97%	44%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	64%	19	100%	100%	74%
General-Education Students	169	100%	98%	50%	204	100%	99%	49%
Students with Disabilities	21	76%	57%	19%	14	93%	86%	7%
English Proficient	189	-	-	-	216	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	71%	0%	5	100%	100%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	183	97%	95%	49%	213	99%	98%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	190	97%	94%	47%	218	99%	98%	46%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 681	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 684	100%	100% 99%	87% 87%		99% 98%	82% 81%			
2006-07 2005-06				15% 23%			12% 17%		
Number of Tested Students:		227 213	198 187	35 49					

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	227	100%	87%	15%	215	99%	87%	23%
Female	119	100%	95%	21%	99	100%	86%	31%
Male	108	100%	79%	9%	116	98%	88%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino					6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	12	100%	83%	67%
White	205	100%	86%	14%	193	99%	88%	21%
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••		••••••
Small Group Totals	22	100%	95%	32%	10	100%	70%	10%
General-Education Students	209	100%	90%	16%	190	99%	92%	26%
Students with Disabilities	18	100%	50%	6%	25	96%	52%	0%
English Proficient	227	100%	87%	15%	215	99%	87%	23%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	10	90%	40%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	221	100%	88%	16%	205	100%	89%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	227	100%	87%	15%	215	99%	87%	23%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 698	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2006 Mean Score: 690	100%	100% 98%	96% 91%	E0%	99% 97%	^{89%} 83%	
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06				50% 47%			^{36%} 28%
Number of Tested Students:		226 212	217 197	113 102			

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	
All Students	226	100%	96%	50%	216	98%	91%	47%	
Female	119	100%	96%	52%	99	98%	92%	49%	
Male	107	100%	96%	48%	117	98%	91%	45%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					6	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	13	100%	100%	69%	
White	204	100%	96%	49%	193	98%	93%	47%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••			••••••		
Small Group Totals	22	100%	95%	64%	10	90%	50%	20%	
General-Education Students	208	100%	99%	54%	191	99%	95%	52%	
Students with Disabilities	18	100%	67%	6%	25	88%	60%	8%	
English Proficient	226	100%	96%	50%	215	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••				1	-	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	80%	20%	10	80%	40%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	221	100%	96%	51%	206	99%	94%	50%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	226	100%	96%	50%	216	98%	91%	47%	

Other Assessments	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 692	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 689	100%	99% 98%	89% 83%		99% 98%	82% 75%	
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06				22% 22%			13% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		217 216	194 182	49 48			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	219	99%	89%	22%	220	98%	83%	22%
Female	99	99%	91%	31%	99	99%	95%	28%
Male	120	99%	87%	15%	121	98%	73%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	45%	12	-	-	-
White	196	99%	89%	21%	206	99%	84%	23%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••	•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	67%	25%	14	86%	57%	7%
General-Education Students	192	100%	95%	26%	195	100%	90%	25%
Students with Disabilities	27	93%	41%	0%	25	84%	28%	0%
English Proficient	217	-	-	-	219	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-		1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	18%	0%	5	80%	60%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	208	100%	92%	24%	215	99%	83%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	219	99%	89%	22%	220	98%	83%	22%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1		_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 692	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 686	100%	98% 97%	89% 90%	40%	98% 97%	84% 83%	
 2006-07 2005-06 				40% 33%			25% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		214 214	194 198	87 74			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	218	98%	89%	40%	221	97%	90%	33%
Female	99	99%	87%	47%	100	100%	96%	38%
Male	119	97%	91%	34%	121	94%	84%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	90%	12	-	-	-
White	196	98%	90%	39%	207	97%	90%	33%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	17%	14	93%	86%	36%
General-Education Students	191	99%	96%	46%	196	99%	95%	37%
Students with Disabilities	27	89%	37%	0%	25	76%	48%	4%
English Proficient	217	-	-	-	220	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	11	82%	27%	0%	5	60%	60%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	207	99%	92%	42%	216	98%	90%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	218	98%	89%	40%	221	97%	90%	33%

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools			
	Percentage so	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	100% 99%	97% g	5%		99% 99%	93% 92	%		
			7:	5% 65%					
							559	[%] 49%	
2006-07									
2005-06									
Number of Tested Students:	215 218	209 2	210 1	61 142					
Results by	2006-07 S e	chool Yea	r		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	r		
-		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	153	99%	95%	66%	157	99%	94%	52%	
Female	63	98%	94%	56%	67	100%	99%	51%	
Male	90	100%	97%	73%	90	98%	90%	52%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1								
Black or African American	2	-	-	_					
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	86%	71%	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	с. Э				8				
Pacific Islander		-	-	-	ð	-			
White	140	99%	96%	67%	147	99%	95%	53%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	-	100%	100%	33%	10	90%	70%	30%	
General-Education Students	126	99%	99%	75%	133	100%	98%	56%	
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	78%	22%	24	92%	67%	25%	
English Proficient	152	_	_	-	156	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	64%	18%	5	80%	60%	60%	
Not Disadvantaged	142	100%	98%	70%	152	99%	95%	51%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	153	99%			157	99%	94%	52%	

Other	2006-07 S e	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	loped in 2 cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
Regents Science	63	63	63	60	63	63	63	61	

³ Overview of School Performance

School EAGLE HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 42-10-01-06-0005 District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
2003 Cohort									
2002 Cohort									

Bocult e by	2003 Cohoi	t		2002 Cohort**					
Results by Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage 2–4	scoring at le 3–4	evel(s): 4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring a 2–4 3–4		at level(s): 4	
All Students					1	_	_	_	
Female									
Male	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-	
NOTES									

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part smallest group (a) are suppressed to part the part of the last students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Coho r	ť			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School EAGLE HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 42-10-01-06-0005 District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
2003 Cohort									
2002 Cohort									

Pocults by	2003 Cohoi	t		2002 Coho	2002 Cohort**				
Results by	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female									
Male					1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-	-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		••••••		•••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged					11	-	_	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant				••••••	1	_	–	-	
NOTES									

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Coho r	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.