

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School FRAZER SCHOOL K-8 District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 42-18-00-01-0022 Principal ROBERT DIFLORIO Telephone (315) 435-4555 Grades K-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	68	61	75
Grade 1	72	75	67
Grade 2	83	82	77
Grade 3	84	70	76
Grade 4	85	79	64
Grade 5	91	74	66
Grade 6	98	99	80
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	33
Grade 7	165	151	127
Grade 8	142	146	132
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	11
Total K–12	888	837	808

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	21	21	23
Grade 8			
English	24	20	22
Mathematics	24	22	22
Science		21	20
Social Studies	23	25	21
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	4-05	200	2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	779	88%	611	73%	650	80%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	97	12%	62	8%	
Student Stability*		93%		97%		93%	
Limited English Proficient	176	20%	136	16%	143	18%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	2%	16	2%	11	1%	
Black or African American	268	30%	270	32%	271	34%	
Hispanic or Latino	102	11%	95	11%	84	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	184	21%	178	21%	187	23%	
White	318	36%	278	33%	255	32%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%	

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		93%
Student Suspensions	109	12%	129	15%	124	15%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	66	67	65
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	9%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	6%	7%	9%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	12%	14%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	8%	13%	17%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	213	128
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	6%	20%
Total Number of Classes	153	140	145
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	10%	9%	18%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	18%	50%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	11%	12%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	5	5	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005-06 PL + (200 – the 2005–06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School FRAZER SCHOOL K-8 School ID 42-18-00-01-0022

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I fund	 New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Pro	not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for gress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accounta measure for two consecutive years while receiving Tit is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year following year.	e I funds measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does no AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does no AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide considered a School in Corrective Action for the follow if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified is make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP accountability measure for which it was identified is c a School Planning for Restructuring for the following continues to receive Title I funds.	onsidered make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not mai AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the foll if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified is make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does n AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and abov following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

School Accountability 2

School FRAZER SCHOOL K-8 School ID 42-18-00-01-0022

Summary

Overall Accountability	Planning for Restructuring				
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level		
	ELA	Planning for Restructuring	ELA		
	Math	Planning for Restructuring	Math		
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate		
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	the School Received Title	I Part A Funding		
	2005-0	2006–	•07	2007–08	
	YES	YES		YES	

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	 			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-				
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	~	V	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	✓			•••••••••••••••••••	
White	<	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 7 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Planning for Restructuring
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 1) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain Planning for Restructuring in 2008-09. [107]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (574:532)	~	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	131	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:8)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (198:181)	✓	~	100%	~	122	114	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (61:59)	<	~	100%	~	119	109	••••	••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (117:109)	✓	~	100%	~	146	112	••••	•••••
White (190:175) Multiracial (0:0)	~	 	99%	`	135	114	••••	•••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (137:130)	✓ SH	~	99%	SH	96	113	92	106
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (80:72)	X	✓	100%	X	106	111	111	115
Economically Disadvantaged (498:462)	/	~	100%	~	129	117	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Planning for Restructuring
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Restructuring (Year 1) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [113]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08
All Students (559:529)	Status		100%		133	81		2007 00
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:8)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (198:183)	 	 	100%	~	121	78		
Hispanic or Latino (60:59)	<	✓	100%	~	132	73	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (115:111)	✓	~	100%	~	168	76	••••	
White (178:168) Multiracial (0:0)	~	~	99%	/	124	78	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (133:126)	~	~	99%	~	123	77		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (76:80)	✓	✓	100%	~	136	75	••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (493:467)	~	~	100%	~	134	81	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2007–08)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

2

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	Target 2007–08
All Students (200:187)	~	Qualified	~	98%	~	136	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (75:70)		Qualified	~	99%	~	124	100		
Hispanic or Latino (15:14)		_	-	-	–	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (39:38)		-	-	-	~	147	100		
White (69:63)		Qualified	~	96%	 	149	100		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (48:46)		Qualified	~	98%	~	122	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (28:25)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (171:160)		Qualified	~	98%	~	136	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage c scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	49%		80
Grade 4	45%		65
Grade 5	55%		67
Grade 6	39%		77
Grade 7	27%		117
Grade 8	34%		125
Mathematics	84%		80
Grade 3 Grade 4	67%		63
Grade 5	45%		69
Grade 6	56%		80
Grade 7	30%		119
Grade 8	24%		127
Science			
Grade 4	67%		63
Grade 8	37%		124

District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 5

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780					
2006 Mean Score: 642	100%								
		^{84%} 77%			80% 81%				
2006-072005-06			49% 45%	<u>4%</u> 0%		41% 43%	<u>2%</u> 2%		
Number of Tested Students:		67 51	39 30	3 0					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	80	84%	49 %	4%	66	77%	45%	0%
Female	41	85%	41%	2%	30	83%	60%	0%
Male	39	82%	56%	5%	36	72%	33%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	76%	45%	0%	28	64%	25%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	42%	0%	13	100%	77%	0%
White	18	78%	56%	17%	20	80%	55%	0%
Multiracial			••••••	•••••			••••••	
Small Group Totals	14	86%	57%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	58	97%	60%	5%	44	91%	59%	0%
Students with Disabilities	22	50%	18%	0%	22	50%	18%	0%
English Proficient	60	80%	53%	5%	59	75%	44%	0%
Limited English Proficient	20	95%	35%	0%	7	100%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	84%	51%	4%	64	_	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	7	86%	29%	0%	2	-		-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	84%	49%	4%	66	77%	45%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 679	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2006 Mean Score: 660	100%	94% 93%	84% 66%		84% 80%	56% 51%		
2006-072005-06				20% <u>3%</u>			7% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		75 69	67 49	16 2				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	80	94%	84%	20%	74	93%	66%	3%
Female	40	95%	83%	10%	37	92%	65%	3%
Male	40	93%	85%	30%	37	95%	68%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	90%	83%	23%	28	96%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	94%	22%	17	94%	71%	12%
White	18	94%	72%	11%	22	91%	68%	0%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	21%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	58	98%	88%	21%	51	92%	69%	4%
Students with Disabilities	22	82%	73%	18%	23	96%	61%	0%
English Proficient	59	92%	81%	24%	58	95%	72%	3%
Limited English Proficient	21	100%	90%	10%	16	88%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	95%	86%	22%	70	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	7	86%	57%	0%	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	94%	84%	20%	74	93%	66%	3%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared			

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 643	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2006 Mean Score: 637	100%							
		78%			83% 79%			
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06		67%	45% 36%	3% 6%		45% 47%	2% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		51 43	29 23	2 4				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	65	78%	45%	3%	64	67%	36%	6%
Female	36	86%	50%	3%	30	63%	43%	10%
Male	29	69%	38%	3%	34	71%	29%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	68%	32%	4%	23	61%	30%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	11	73%	27%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	20	85%	45%	0%	20	55%	40%	10%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••				••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	20	85%	60%	5%	10	100%	50%	10%
General-Education Students	47	91%	57%	4%	43	74%	42%	9%
Students with Disabilities	18	44%	11%	0%	21	52%	24%	0%
English Proficient	51	80%	47%	4%	60	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	14	71%	36%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	80%	43%	3%	57	67%	35%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	5	60%	60%	0%	7	71%	43%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	65	78%	45%	3%	64	67%	36%	6%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 662	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2006 Mean Score: 642	100%	87% 69%	67%		81% 81%	52% 56%			
2006-072005-06			40%	10% 10%		52%	7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		55 53	42 31	6 8					

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	63	87%	67%	10%	77	69%	40%	10%
Female	35	83%	66%	11%	38	68%	34%	8%
Male	28	93%	68%	7%	39	69%	46%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	84%	52%	8%	23	70%	39%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	89%	6%	15	87%	73%	20%
White	17	-	-		24	58%	29%	17%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Small Group Totals	20	85%	65%	15%	15	67%	27%	0%
General-Education Students	47	91%	74%	13%	52	81%	54%	15%
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	44%	0%	25	44%	12%	0%
English Proficient	48	85%	65%	13%	60	73%	43%	12%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	73%	0%	17	53%	29%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	-	-	-	69	67%	36%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	8	88%	75%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	63	87%	67%	10%	77	69%	40%	10%

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 69	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2006 Mean Score: 66	100%	97% 87%	67% 54%		94% 96%	71% 78%		
2 006-07 2 005-06				10% 18%			24% 30%	
Number of Tested Students:		61 66	42 41	6 14				

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	63	97%	67%	10%	76	87%	54%	18%
Female	35	100%	66%	14%	38	82%	45%	16%
Male	28	93%	68%	4%	38	92%	63%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	96%	64%	8%	23	91%	57%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	95%	68%	11%	15	87%	53%	27%
White	17	-	-		23	87%	52%	26%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••		•••••		
Small Group Totals	19	100%	68%	11%	15	80%	53%	7%
General-Education Students	47	98%	70%	11%	52	92%	58%	25%
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	56%	6%	24	75%	46%	4%
English Proficient	48	98%	69%	10%	59	92%	58%	24%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	60%	7%	17	71%	41%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	-	-	-	67	87%	52%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-		9	89%	67%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	63	97%	67%	10%	76	87%	54%	18%

Other	2006–07 S	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2006 Mean Score: 640	100%	87% 81%	55%		89% 85%			
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06			40%	10% 6%		44% 43%	1% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		58 54	37 27	7 4				

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	67	87%	55%	10%	67	81%	40%	6%
Female	32	88%	59%	16%	34	88%	38%	9%
Male	35	86%	51%	6%	33	73%	42%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	22	91%	68%	9%	22	86%	41%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	86%	57%	7%	12	83%	50%	0%
White	18	89%	50%	11%	24	83%	38%	8%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••		•	•••••••	
Small Group Totals	13	77%	38%	15%	9	56%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	47	91%	68%	15%	51	90%	51%	8%
Students with Disabilities	20	75%	25%	0%	16	50%	6%	0%
English Proficient	60	92%	62%	12%	60	82%	45%	7%
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	0%	0%	7	71%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	85%	52%	8%	61	82%	41%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	5	100%	100%	40%	6	67%	33%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	67	87%	55%	10%	67	81%	40%	6%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number Scoring at tevet(5).			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 644	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2006 Mean Score: 639	100%							
		78% 76%			81%			
2006-07			^{45%} 38%			44% 37%		
2005-06				10% 1%			4% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:		54 54	31 27	7 1				

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 S	2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	69	78 %	45%	10%	71	76%	38%	1%	
Female	32	81%	38%	9%	36	72%	31%	3%	
Male	37	76%	51%	11%	35	80%	46%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	23	78%	30%	13%	23	65%	43%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	73%	13%	18	89%	44%	0%	
White	18	72%	50%	11%	21	86%	29%	5%	
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	•••••			••••••		
Small Group Totals	13	69%	31%	0%	9	56%	33%	0%	
General-Education Students	49	80%	51%	14%	57	77%	35%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	20	75%	30%	0%	14	71%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	61	84%	49%	11%	59	76%	44%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	8	38%	13%	0%	12	75%	8%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	64	77%	44%	8%	64	77%	36%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	5	100%	60%	40%	7	71%	57%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	69	78%	45%	10%	71	76%	38%	1%	

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

School FRAZER SCHOOL K-8 School ID 42-18-00-01-0022

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 645	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785				
2006 Mean Score: 634	100%	94% 87%			95% 87%			
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06			39% 29%	0% 0%		39% 40%	2% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		72 72	30 24	0 0				

Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	77	94%	39%	0%	83	87%	29%	0%
Female	39	97%	51%	0%	42	93%	33%	0%
Male	38	89%	26%	0%	41	80%	24%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	27	96%	33%	0%	23	96%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	88%	56%	0%	26	85%	31%	0%
White	22	95%	36%	0%	26	85%	19%	0%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	92%	33%	0%	8	75%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	59	95%	49%	0%	70	90%	31%	0%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	6%	0%	13	69%	15%	0%
English Proficient	71	96%	42%	0%	80	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	0%	0%	3	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	71	93%	38%	0%	67	87%	27%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	16	88%	38%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	77	94%	39%	0%	83	87%	29%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 649	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2006 Mean Score: 641	100%	86% 83%	56%		79% 72%				
2006-072005-06			35%	3% 2%		40% 35%	3% 4%		
Number of Tested Students:		69 68	45 29	2 2					

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	80	86%	56%	3%	82	83%	35%	2%
Female	40	90%	58%	5%	44	84%	34%	2%
Male	40	83%	55%	0%	38	82%	37%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	72%	41%	0%	24	83%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	75%	0%	26	92%	46%	8%
White	23	91%	57%	9%	25	80%	36%	0%
Multiracial		••••	••••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	0%	7	57%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	62	92%	66%	3%	71	86%	35%	3%
Students with Disabilities	18	67%	22%	0%	11	64%	36%	0%
English Proficient	72	86%	57%	3%	79	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	50%	0%	3	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	74	85%	55%	3%	65	82%	31%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	6	100%	67%	0%	17	88%	53%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	86%	56%	3%	82	83%	35%	2%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	l Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

School FRAZER SCHOOL K-8 School ID 42-18-00-01-0022

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 637	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790				
2006 Mean Score: 629	100%	94%			84% 83%			
2006-07 2005-06			27% 32%	2% 0%		26% 29%	1% 1%	
Number of Tested Students:		110 104	32 42	2 0				

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	117	94%	27%	2%	130	80%	32%	0%
Female	61	93%	36%	3%	60	82%	37%	0%
Male	56	95%	18%	0%	70	79%	29%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	32	91%	22%	0%	44	77%	20%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-	15	80%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	50%	8%	18	89%	39%	0%
White	50	94%	24%	0%	53	79%	40%	0%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••				••••••	
Small Group Totals	11	91%	9%	0%			••••••	
General-Education Students	102	94%	30%	2%	106	85%	39%	0%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	7%	0%	24	58%	4%	0%
English Proficient	114	-	-	-	128	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	–	–	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	96	93%	27%	2%	109	79%	30%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	29%	0%	21	86%	43%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	117	94%	27%	2%	130	80%	32%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1		_	_	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in 2	2007, so
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 634	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 615	100%							
		82% 61%			79% 70%			
2006-07 2005-06			30% 14%	1% 0%		30% 22%	<u>2%</u> 1%	
Number of Tested Students:		97 81	36 18	1 0				

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students	119	82%	30%	1%	133	61%	14%	0%	
Female	61	79%	25%	2%	64	61%	19%	0%	
Male	58	84%	36%	0%	69	61%	9%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	34	74%	15%	0%	42	52%	10%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-	19	53%	16%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	96%	61%	4%	20	65%	40%	0%	
White	46	78%	20%	0%	52	69%	6%	0%	
Multiracial	••••••		••••••			••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	11	82%	45%	0%		•••••••••••			
General-Education Students	105	80%	30%	1%	110	65%	16%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	36%	0%	23	39%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	108	86%	31%	1%	123	64%	15%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	11	36%	18%	0%	10	20%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	102	79%	32%	1%	113	61%	12%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	17	94%	18%	0%	20	60%	20%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	119	82%	30%	1%	133	61%	14%	0%	

Other	2006–07 S o	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 635	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 633	100%	90% 83%			91%		
2006-07 2005-06			34% 33%	0% 3%		36% 26%	1% 1%
Number of Tested Students:		113 110	42 44	0 4			

Pocults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	125	90%	34%	0%	132	83%	33%	3%
Female	66	92%	36%	0%	68	90%	40%	4%
Male	59	88%	31%	0%	64	77%	27%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	5	100%	20%	0%
Black or African American	44	89%	23%	0%	38	74%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	13	-	-	-	9	67%	11%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	95%	47%	0%	21	95%	43%	0%
White	48	92%	44%	0%	59	86%	36%	7%
Multiracial			••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals	14	86%	14%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
General-Education Students	101	94%	41%	0%	114	88%	38%	4%
Students with Disabilities	24	75%	4%	0%	18	56%	6%	0%
English Proficient	117	93%	36%	0%	128	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	50%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	95	93%	27%	0%	103	82%	31%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	30	83%	53%	0%	29	90%	41%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	125	90%	34%	0%	132	83%	33%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a scannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 630	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2006 Mean Score: 631	100%	76% 78%			77% 68%				
 2006-07 2005-06 			24% 26%	0% 1%		28% 19%	<u>1%</u> 0%		
Number of Tested Students:		97 103	31 34	0 1					

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	127	76%	24%	0%	132	78%	26%	1%	
Female	68	78%	21%	0%	68	78%	24%	1%	
Male	59	75%	29%	0%	64	78%	28%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	80%	20%	0%	
Black or African American	47	74%	19%	0%	37	73%	11%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	13	-	-	-	12	67%	17%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	90%	45%	0%	22	86%	45%	0%	
White	46	74%	20%	0%	56	80%	30%	2%	
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••••			••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	14	71%	29%	0%					
General-Education Students	104	78%	25%	0%	114	81%	27%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	22%	0%	18	61%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	114	80%	27%	0%	122	79%	25%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	13	46%	0%	0%	10	70%	30%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	101	77%	24%	0%	103	76%	24%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	26	73%	27%	0%	29	86%	31%	3%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	127	76%	24%	0%	132	78%	26%	1%	

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	w NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 06 and 2007 results cannot be compar				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06 	100%	91%	37% 44%	5% 8%	88% 88%	48% 43%	8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		96 111	46 54	6 10					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	2005–06 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	124	77%	37%	5%	122	91%	44%	8%
Female	67	79%	36%	3%	63	94%	40%	11%
Male	57	75%	39%	7%	59	88%	49%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	6	100%	50%	0%
Black or African American	45	69%	27%	0%	32	91%	34%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	12	92%	17%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	90%	45%	10%	22	91%	36%	9%
White	44	82%	45%	9%	50	90%	60%	16%
Multiracial		••••	••••••		•••••			•••••
Small Group Totals	15	73%	33%	0%	••••••			
General-Education Students	102	83%	41%	6%	108	91%	45%	9%
Students with Disabilities	22	50%	18%	0%	14	93%	36%	0%
English Proficient	111	82%	41%	5%	113	91%	44%	8%
Limited English Proficient	13	38%	0%	0%	9	89%	44%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	77%	34%	3%	96	90%	40%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	25	80%	48%	12%	26	96%	62%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	77%	37%	5%	122	91%	44%	8%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				
Regents Science	0				0				