



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **TIMOTHY REHM**

Telephone **(845) 534-8009**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	180	167	176
Grade 1	200	207	216
Grade 2	238	217	215
Grade 3	231	235	224
Grade 4	230	243	247
Grade 5	272	244	260
Grade 6	241	269	251
Ungraded Elementary	39	41	45
Grade 7	265	252	268
Grade 8	274	271	259
Grade 9	303	299	307
Grade 10	279	292	277
Grade 11	237	239	270
Grade 12	225	255	240
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K-12	3214	3231	3256

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	26	25	24
Mathematics	24	26	25
Science	27	27	25
Social Studies	26	27	25
Grade 10			
English	24	25	27
Mathematics	23	20	20
Science	25	21	19
Social Studies	24	25	25

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	115	4%	139	4%	103	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	116	4%	133	4%	167	5%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	18	1%	21	1%	21	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	4	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	101	3%	119	4%	149	5%
Hispanic or Latino	256	8%	267	8%	330	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	79	2%	109	3%	125	4%
White	2777	86%	2732	85%	2649	81%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		93%		95%	
Student Suspensions	85	3%	139	4%	97	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	213	212	214
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	5%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	19%	20%	18%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	757	634
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	1%	2%
Total Number of Classes	692	779	829
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	1%	1%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	17%	10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	12%	14%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	24	21	23
Total Paraprofessionals*	58	60	64
Assistant Principals	3	4	3
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)

▲ Good Standing

ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing
Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005–06	2006–07	2007–08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American	✓	✓		—	—	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		—	—	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		—	—	
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		—	—	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007-08)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

7 of 7

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1577:1535)	✓	✓	99%	✓	180	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (82:75)	✓	✓	100%	✓	152	111	
Hispanic or Latino (151:143)	✓	✓	98%	✓	172	113	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (67:63)	✓	✓	100%	✓	187	110	
White (1276:1253)	✓	✓	99%	✓	182	119	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (195:183)	✓	✓	98%	✓	119	114	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (181:165)	✓	✓	97%	✓	158	114	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007-08)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

7 of 7

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1578:1532)	✓	✓	99%	✓	185	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (82:74)	✓	✓	100%	✓	165	75	
Hispanic or Latino (152:139)	✓	✓	98%	✓	176	77	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (68:63)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	74	
White (1275:1255)	✓	✓	99%	✓	186	83	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (195:181)	✓	✓	98%	✓	133	78	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (179:163)	✓	✓	98%	✓	161	78	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (523:510)		Qualified		99%		187	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (30:29)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (53:49)		Qualified		96%		182	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:10)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (429:422)		Qualified		99%		189	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (63:59)		Qualified		97%		156	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (52:49)		Qualified		98%		169	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

2 of 2

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (231:232)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	152	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (12:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (202:205)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (15:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (27:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

2 of 2

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (231:232)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	145	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (12:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (202:205)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	144	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (15:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (27:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status





Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (248)			93%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (6)		–	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino (27)		–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8)		–	–	–	
White (207)			92%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (22)		–	–	–	
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)					
Economically Disadvantaged (15)		–	–	–	
Final AYP Determination  1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 80% of total

CORNWALL CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

CORNWALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

CORNWALL-ON-HUDSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WILLOW AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing







1 school identified 20% of total

CORNWALL MIDDLE SCHOOL







District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	89%			237
Grade 4	87%			260
Grade 5	81%			268
Grade 6	81%			254
Grade 7	74%			275
Grade 8	77%			257

Mathematics

Grade 3	94%		236
Grade 4	93%		260
Grade 5	85%		270
Grade 6	88%		258
Grade 7	83%		274
Grade 8	76%		257

Science

Grade 4	94%		258
Grade 8	79%		200

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	95%			246
Mathematics	93%			246

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	97%	89%	22%	243	97%	85%	14%
Female	124	98%	90%	29%	122	98%	91%	18%
Male	113	96%	88%	13%	121	95%	79%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	93%	79%	0%	14	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	74%	4%	23	91%	70%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	20%	4	—	—	—
White	190	98%	91%	25%	202	97%	87%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	83%	6%
General-Education Students	203	100%	95%	24%	221	99%	89%	15%
Students with Disabilities	34	82%	53%	6%	22	73%	45%	0%
English Proficient	235	—	—	—	239	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	30	100%	87%	0%	21	95%	62%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	207	97%	89%	25%	222	97%	87%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	97%	89%	22%	243	97%	85%	14%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	236	99%	94%	40%	246	100%	93%	41%
Female	125	99%	92%	38%	122	100%	95%	42%
Male	111	99%	96%	43%	124	99%	90%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	69%	23%	14	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	24	96%	75%	25%	25	100%	84%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	40%	4	—	—	—
White	189	100%	98%	43%	203	100%	94%	43%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	94%	28%
General-Education Students	203	100%	98%	44%	224	100%	96%	44%
Students with Disabilities	33	94%	73%	18%	22	95%	59%	9%
English Proficient	234	—	—	—	242	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	93%	21%	24	100%	83%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	208	99%	94%	43%	222	100%	94%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	236	99%	94%	40%	246	100%	93%	41%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	260	98%	87%	20%	259	96%	88%	15%
Female	128	99%	91%	26%	141	98%	90%	17%
Male	132	96%	82%	15%	118	94%	85%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	13	100%	62%	0%	11	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	30	93%	80%	10%	26	96%	88%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	67%	17	100%	82%	18%
White	211	98%	89%	22%	204	96%	88%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					12	100%	92%	0%
General-Education Students	224	100%	94%	24%	231	99%	94%	16%
Students with Disabilities	36	83%	42%	0%	28	75%	36%	4%
English Proficient	255	98%	87%	21%	259	96%	88%	15%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	66%	3%	26	85%	73%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	231	98%	89%	23%	233	97%	89%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	260	98%	87%	20%	259	96%	88%	15%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	260	99%	93%	41%	262	97%	90%	39%
Female	128	100%	95%	36%	141	97%	91%	38%
Male	132	98%	92%	45%	121	96%	89%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	13	100%	100%	15%	11	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	30	100%	90%	17%	27	96%	85%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	83%	18	94%	94%	44%
White	211	99%	93%	45%	205	97%	91%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					12	100%	75%	8%
General-Education Students	225	100%	97%	47%	234	99%	95%	42%
Students with Disabilities	35	91%	69%	3%	28	75%	50%	7%
English Proficient	255	99%	94%	41%	260	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	89%	32%	28	82%	61%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	232	99%	94%	42%	234	98%	94%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	260	99%	93%	41%	262	97%	90%	39%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	99%	94%	54%	262	100%	96%	69%
Female	127	99%	92%	55%	140	99%	96%	71%
Male	131	99%	95%	53%	122	100%	96%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	13	100%	100%	23%	11	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	29	100%	90%	28%	26	100%	100%	58%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	100%	18	100%	89%	61%
White	210	99%	94%	58%	206	100%	97%	73%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					12	100%	92%	42%
General-Education Students	223	100%	96%	60%	233	100%	98%	76%
Students with Disabilities	35	94%	80%	17%	29	97%	83%	21%
English Proficient	254	—	—	—	260	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	4	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	27	96%	78%	41%	28	100%	86%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	231	100%	96%	55%	234	100%	97%	74%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	99%	94%	54%	262	100%	96%	69%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	268	99%	81%	9%	247	98%	80%	19%
Female	141	100%	87%	9%	106	96%	82%	22%
Male	127	97%	74%	10%	141	99%	79%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	11	100%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	79%	0%	14	93%	57%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	95%	79%	5%	9	100%	89%	33%
White	209	99%	83%	11%	213	98%	83%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	58%	0%				
General-Education Students	237	100%	90%	11%	224	100%	86%	21%
Students with Disabilities	31	87%	13%	0%	23	78%	22%	0%
English Proficient	266	-	-	-	246	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	56%	3%	29	93%	62%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	232	99%	85%	10%	218	98%	83%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	268	99%	81%	9%	247	98%	80%	19%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	270	97%	85%	26%	248	94%	79%	19%
Female	143	98%	89%	25%	107	92%	73%	17%
Male	127	96%	81%	28%	141	96%	84%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—				
Black or African American	11	—	—	—	11	91%	45%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	28	93%	75%	7%	14	93%	71%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	95%	90%	30%	10	90%	90%	40%
White	210	98%	87%	30%	213	95%	81%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	75%	0%				
General-Education Students	239	100%	92%	29%	225	98%	84%	20%
Students with Disabilities	31	74%	35%	3%	23	57%	35%	9%
English Proficient	268	—	—	—	246	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	36	86%	61%	14%	29	83%	66%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	234	99%	89%	28%	219	96%	81%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	270	97%	85%	26%	248	94%	79%	19%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	254	99%	81%	19%	271	96%	77%	20%
Female	108	100%	83%	24%	140	96%	81%	25%
Male	146	98%	79%	15%	131	96%	73%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	46%	0%	9	100%	67%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	18	100%	78%	0%	20	90%	70%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	70%	17	100%	82%	29%
White	213	99%	83%	19%	225	96%	78%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	225	100%	88%	21%	250	100%	82%	21%
Students with Disabilities	29	90%	24%	0%	21	52%	14%	0%
English Proficient	254	99%	81%	19%	269	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient					2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	35	97%	69%	6%	21	86%	71%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	219	99%	83%	21%	250	97%	78%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	254	99%	81%	19%	271	96%	77%	20%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	95%	88%	31%	271	96%	78%	15%
Female	111	95%	84%	24%	139	97%	78%	13%
Male	147	95%	92%	36%	132	94%	78%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	79%	64%	14%	9	100%	89%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	67%	11%	20	100%	70%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	70%	17	100%	88%	24%
White	216	96%	92%	32%	225	95%	78%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	227	99%	94%	34%	251	99%	82%	16%
Students with Disabilities	31	65%	45%	6%	20	55%	25%	5%
English Proficient	258	95%	88%	31%	269	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	37	84%	70%	19%	20	95%	65%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	221	97%	91%	33%	251	96%	79%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	95%	88%	31%	271	96%	78%	15%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	275	98%	74%	10%	251	94%	73%	7%
Female	138	98%	79%	16%	116	97%	80%	9%
Male	137	99%	69%	4%	135	93%	67%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	94%	50%	0%	12	100%	75%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	22	91%	59%	9%	21	90%	62%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	94%	12%	5	80%	80%	0%
White	220	99%	75%	11%	213	95%	74%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	251	100%	79%	11%	228	100%	80%	7%
Students with Disabilities	24	83%	17%	0%	23	43%	4%	0%
English Proficient	274	—	—	—	251	94%	73%	7%
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	23	91%	43%	0%	19	79%	37%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	252	99%	77%	11%	232	96%	76%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	275	98%	74%	10%	251	94%	73%	7%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	98%	83%	21%	249	90%	57%	13%
Female	138	99%	84%	22%	116	94%	66%	17%
Male	136	97%	82%	20%	133	86%	49%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	94%	56%	0%	12	100%	50%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	78%	17%	21	81%	24%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	29%	5	80%	60%	20%
White	218	99%	84%	22%	211	91%	60%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	251	100%	89%	23%	226	96%	62%	14%
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	17%	0%	23	35%	9%	4%
English Proficient	273	—	—	—	249	90%	57%	13%
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	23	87%	57%	4%	19	68%	26%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	251	99%	86%	23%	230	92%	59%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	98%	83%	21%	249	90%	57%	13%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	—	—	—	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	257	96%	77%	12%	267	98%	63%	5%
Female	114	97%	87%	13%	111	99%	76%	9%
Male	143	96%	69%	10%	156	97%	54%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	100%	31%	6%	11	100%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	92%	71%	8%	22	100%	32%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
White	212	97%	81%	13%	229	97%	66%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	235	100%	83%	13%	246	99%	68%	6%
Students with Disabilities	22	64%	5%	0%	21	81%	5%	0%
English Proficient	257	96%	77%	12%	267	98%	63%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	21	86%	43%	0%	23	96%	43%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	236	97%	80%	13%	244	98%	65%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	96%	77%	12%	267	98%	63%	5%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	257	95%	76%	19%	269	92%	67%	14%
Female	113	97%	87%	24%	113	92%	69%	19%
Male	144	92%	67%	15%	156	92%	65%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	81%	50%	13%	11	91%	45%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	61%	4%	22	95%	55%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	40%	6	83%	67%	17%
White	213	95%	79%	20%	230	92%	69%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	234	97%	82%	21%	247	95%	70%	15%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	9%	0%	22	64%	27%	0%
English Proficient	257	95%	76%	19%	268	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient					1	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	22	77%	32%	0%	26	85%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	235	96%	80%	20%	243	93%	70%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	95%	76%	19%	269	92%	67%	14%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	200	97%	79%	14%	204	99%	82%	15%
Female	80	98%	79%	8%	77	99%	77%	6%
Male	120	97%	79%	18%	127	98%	85%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	–	–	–	11	100%	82%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	21	95%	71%	5%	20	95%	70%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	5	80%	60%	0%
White	160	98%	83%	16%	168	99%	84%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	95%	53%	0%				
General-Education Students	177	99%	85%	14%	183	99%	86%	16%
Students with Disabilities	23	83%	35%	9%	21	95%	43%	0%
English Proficient	200	97%	79%	14%	203	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient					1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	65%	4%	25	96%	68%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	177	97%	81%	15%	179	99%	84%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	200	97%	79%	14%	204	99%	82%	15%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	56	56	56	43	63	63	62	54

District **CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-03-01-06-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

Results by Student Group	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
All Students	246	97%	95%	54%	267	91%	88%	45%
Female	123	96%	95%	63%	136	94%	90%	52%
Male	123	98%	94%	45%	131	87%	85%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	100%	31%	8	88%	63%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	82%	9%	31	84%	81%	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	83%	9	100%	100%	33%
White	216	97%	95%	56%	219	91%	89%	47%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	229	97%	97%	57%	243	93%	91%	49%
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	71%	12%	24	67%	54%	4%
English Proficient	245	–	–	–	267	91%	88%	45%
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	96%	36%	19	79%	79%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	218	97%	94%	56%	248	92%	88%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					267	91%	88%	45%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


Other Assessments	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

Results by Student Group	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
All Students	246	96%	93%	28%	267	88%	86%	28%
Female	123	95%	93%	30%	136	92%	90%	32%
Male	123	98%	94%	27%	131	84%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	92%	15%	8	75%	63%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	91%	36%	31	81%	77%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	33%	9	100%	89%	33%
White	216	96%	94%	29%	219	89%	88%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	229	97%	95%	30%	243	92%	89%	30%
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	76%	6%	24	50%	50%	13%
English Proficient	245	–	–	–	267	88%	86%	28%
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	89%	14%	19	74%	63%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	218	96%	94%	30%	248	89%	88%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					267	88%	86%	28%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.