



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **44-04-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **WILLIAM BASSETT**

Telephone **(845) 744-2031**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-04-01-06-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	68	68	71
Kindergarten	418	406	405
Grade 1	435	437	393
Grade 2	418	446	433
Grade 3	441	420	439
Grade 4	473	442	417
Grade 5	472	479	452
Grade 6	467	429	503
Ungraded Elementary	51	108	48
Grade 7	477	428	480
Grade 8	462	472	484
Grade 9	613	549	580
Grade 10	550	540	507
Grade 11	426	486	500
Grade 12	376	405	462
Ungraded Secondary	73	127	12
Total K-12	6152	6174	6115

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	24	26	23
Mathematics	23	26	22
Science	23	26	23
Social Studies	23	26	23
Grade 10			
English	24	22	19
Mathematics	25	22	22
Science	25	27	26
Social Studies	27	24	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	659	11%	781	13%	965	16%
Reduced-Price Lunch	416	7%	480	8%	471	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	110	2%	89	1%	86	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	0%	8	0%	24	0%
Black or African American	561	9%	597	10%	616	10%
Hispanic or Latino	534	9%	580	9%	675	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	122	2%	181	3%	102	2%
White	4923	80%	4808	78%	4670	76%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	429	7%	533	9%	448	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	443	448	441
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	7%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	11%	13%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1712	1165
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1589	1659	1669
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	2%	1%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	10%	12%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	10%	11%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	48	49	48
Total Paraprofessionals*	162	155	162
Assistant Principals	8	8	8
Principals	7	7	8

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American				—	—	
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH				SH	
Limited English Proficient	—	—		—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	4 of 5	5 of 5	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2883:2808)			99%		164	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (314:300)			99%		156	116	
Hispanic or Latino (294:279)			99%		156	115	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (60:54)			95%		169	109	
White (2210:2170)			99%		166	120	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (480:456)			98%		99	117	97 109
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (25:23)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (705:686)			100%		147	118	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2875:2779)			99%		170	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (315:296)			99%		164	80	
Hispanic or Latino (294:274)			98%		164	79	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (59:55)			98%		187	73	
White (2202:2149)			99%		171	84	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (479:448)			97%		105	81	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (25:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (701:672)			99%		156	82	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (933:890)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (109:101)		Qualified		98%		183	100	
Hispanic or Latino (90:82)		Qualified		100%		179	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (714:689)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (155:141)		Qualified		95%		154	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (217:201)		Qualified		97%		176	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.


³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹								
All Students (476:463)			100%		185	154		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (32:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Hispanic or Latino (43:40)			100%		180	144		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
White (395:389)			100%		186	153		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (60:75)			98%		129	148	137 136	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (69:74)			100%		176	148		
Final AYP Determination		4 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (476:463)			99%		185	147	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (32:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (43:40)			100%		180	137	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (395:389)			99%		186	146	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60:75)			98%		129	141	124 136
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (69:74)			100%		178	141	
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 5						

NOTES


- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 - ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2006–07	2007–08
All Students (445)			85%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (40)			83%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (20)	–	–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12)	–	–	–	–		
White (373)			85%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (72)			58%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (2)	–	–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (62)			76%	55%		
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **44-04-01-06-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 43% of total

CIRCLEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

CIRCLEVILLE MIDDLE SCHOOL

PAKANASINK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 57% of total

CRISPELL MIDDLE SCHOOL

E J RUSSELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL







PINE BUSH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL







PINE BUSH SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL



District **PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-04-01-06-0000**



Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	74%			453
Grade 4	75%			436
Grade 5	73%			469
Grade 6	68%			500
Grade 7	60%			489
Grade 8	57%			494

Mathematics				
Grade 3	89%			452
Grade 4	86%			432
Grade 5	70%			465
Grade 6	69%			502
Grade 7	68%			483
Grade 8	71%			491

Science				
Grade 4	96%			428
Grade 8	75%			484

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	86%			493
Mathematics	86%			493

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	453	94%	74%	10%	429	94%	70%	5%
Female	225	97%	81%	12%	200	98%	77%	8%
Male	228	90%	67%	7%	229	91%	64%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	38	97%	66%	5%	45	96%	73%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	54	94%	76%	11%	37	92%	65%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	17%	7	100%	71%	29%
White	349	93%	74%	10%	340	94%	70%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	402	98%	81%	11%	388	99%	76%	6%
Students with Disabilities	51	65%	22%	2%	41	49%	20%	0%
English Proficient	447	94%	74%	10%	425	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	108	89%	64%	7%	87	90%	59%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	345	95%	77%	11%	342	95%	73%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	453	94%	74%	10%	429	94%	70%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	6	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	452	98%	89%	21%	431	98%	83%	21%
Female	224	99%	89%	21%	202	98%	83%	23%
Male	228	98%	89%	21%	229	98%	83%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	37	100%	84%	11%	45	100%	84%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	54	100%	93%	20%	43	93%	79%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	43%	6	100%	83%	50%
White	347	98%	88%	22%	337	99%	83%	20%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	402	100%	92%	23%	392	99%	87%	22%
Students with Disabilities	50	90%	60%	8%	39	90%	41%	3%
English Proficient	446	98%	89%	21%	423	98%	83%	21%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	17%	8	88%	63%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	98%	83%	16%	93	95%	76%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	345	99%	90%	23%	338	99%	85%	23%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	452	98%	89%	21%	431	98%	83%	21%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	6	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	436	94%	75%	4%	454	92%	69%	10%
Female	207	99%	79%	5%	222	96%	73%	13%
Male	229	90%	72%	3%	232	88%	65%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	54	94%	72%	6%	49	90%	53%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	46	93%	70%	0%	39	87%	62%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	33%	12	100%	83%	25%
White	330	95%	77%	4%	354	92%	72%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	383	99%	82%	5%	397	97%	76%	11%
Students with Disabilities	53	58%	26%	0%	57	56%	23%	2%
English Proficient	429	95%	76%	4%	450	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	14%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	105	93%	60%	1%	126	87%	50%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	331	95%	80%	5%	328	94%	77%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	436	94%	75%	4%	454	92%	69%	10%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	432	97%	86%	28%	459	95%	80%	24%
Female	207	99%	85%	26%	225	96%	79%	21%
Male	225	96%	86%	29%	234	94%	81%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	54	96%	81%	20%	51	98%	71%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	45	98%	80%	24%	41	88%	73%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	50%	14	100%	93%	57%
White	327	97%	87%	29%	353	95%	82%	25%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	383	100%	91%	31%	400	98%	85%	26%
Students with Disabilities	49	78%	45%	6%	59	75%	44%	7%
English Proficient	425	97%	85%	28%	453	95%	80%	24%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	29%	6	100%	83%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	98%	79%	15%	128	93%	70%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	330	97%	88%	32%	331	96%	84%	30%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	432	97%	86%	28%	459	95%	80%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	428	100%	96%	65%	457	99%	94%	56%
Female	206	100%	96%	66%	225	100%	96%	55%
Male	222	99%	96%	65%	232	98%	91%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	54	98%	93%	57%	48	100%	92%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	45	100%	96%	62%	42	98%	86%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	67%	14	100%	100%	86%
White	323	100%	96%	67%	353	99%	95%	59%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	378	100%	98%	70%	401	100%	97%	60%
Students with Disabilities	50	98%	82%	26%	56	95%	75%	23%
English Proficient	421	100%	96%	65%	451	99%	94%	55%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	57%	6	100%	100%	83%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	99%	94%	50%	124	98%	90%	40%
Not Disadvantaged	327	100%	96%	70%	333	99%	95%	61%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	428	100%	96%	65%	457	99%	94%	56%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	469	96%	73%	7%	501	93%	69%	8%
Female	237	98%	77%	8%	228	95%	75%	9%
Male	232	95%	69%	5%	273	92%	64%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	52	94%	60%	0%	48	100%	67%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	47	89%	66%	4%	45	87%	64%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	57%	7%	7	-	-	-
White	356	97%	76%	8%	400	93%	69%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					8	100%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	407	99%	80%	8%	419	98%	77%	9%
Students with Disabilities	62	77%	24%	0%	82	68%	28%	2%
English Proficient	465	-	-	-	496	94%	69%	8%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	80%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	94%	60%	1%	113	91%	57%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	330	98%	78%	9%	388	94%	72%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	469	96%	73%	7%	501	93%	69%	8%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	465	95%	70%	14%	499	92%	66%	9%
Female	234	97%	67%	12%	228	91%	61%	9%
Male	231	92%	73%	17%	271	92%	69%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	53	92%	55%	9%	48	98%	69%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	46	96%	57%	11%	46	89%	50%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	69%	8%	7	-	-	-
White	353	95%	74%	16%	397	91%	66%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	404	97%	76%	16%	416	97%	73%	11%
Students with Disabilities	61	79%	28%	2%	83	65%	27%	1%
English Proficient	461	-	-	-	494	92%	66%	9%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	91%	60%	2%	113	88%	54%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	328	96%	74%	20%	386	93%	69%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	465	95%	70%	14%	499	92%	66%	9%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	500	98%	68%	7%	477	94%	61%	11%
Female	228	98%	74%	10%	221	96%	64%	13%
Male	272	98%	63%	5%	256	92%	58%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	52	98%	56%	2%	54	85%	50%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	51	96%	57%	6%	40	93%	55%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	389	98%	71%	8%	375	95%	62%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	8	100%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	408	100%	79%	9%	398	97%	69%	13%
Students with Disabilities	92	89%	20%	0%	79	75%	19%	0%
English Proficient	497	-	-	-	473	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	121	98%	53%	2%	103	88%	43%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	379	98%	73%	9%	374	95%	66%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	500	98%	68%	7%	477	94%	61%	11%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	502	90%	69%	9%	482	85%	53%	7%
Female	230	92%	70%	10%	227	85%	49%	6%
Male	272	88%	68%	7%	255	85%	56%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	52	90%	65%	8%	53	77%	45%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	52	88%	58%	6%	44	82%	48%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	390	90%	71%	9%	376	87%	54%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	9	89%	78%	11%
General-Education Students	408	97%	80%	11%	403	92%	60%	8%
Students with Disabilities	94	57%	23%	0%	79	51%	16%	1%
English Proficient	498	-	-	-	478	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	122	84%	56%	3%	108	76%	30%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	380	92%	74%	10%	374	88%	60%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	502	90%	69%	9%	482	85%	53%	7%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	4	4	2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	489	96%	60%	6%	493	94%	55%	6%
Female	236	97%	61%	7%	239	96%	61%	6%
Male	253	95%	58%	4%	254	92%	49%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	60	95%	55%	5%	53	92%	55%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	49	92%	53%	2%	35	97%	46%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
White	371	97%	61%	6%	390	94%	56%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%	15	93%	53%	7%
General-Education Students	395	99%	71%	7%	408	98%	64%	8%
Students with Disabilities	94	83%	11%	0%	85	73%	11%	0%
English Proficient	487	-	-	-	490	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	92%	47%	1%	110	89%	37%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	374	97%	63%	7%	383	95%	60%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	489	96%	60%	6%	493	94%	55%	6%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	483	92%	68%	11%	488	92%	62%	7%
Female	234	94%	68%	11%	239	94%	64%	4%
Male	249	89%	68%	12%	249	91%	59%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	59	93%	58%	7%	52	88%	46%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	47	85%	57%	11%	38	84%	47%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
White	368	92%	70%	12%	382	94%	65%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	11%	16	88%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	390	98%	79%	14%	404	98%	71%	8%
Students with Disabilities	93	63%	20%	1%	84	68%	18%	0%
English Proficient	481	-	-	-	484	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	111	85%	50%	4%	108	87%	49%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	372	94%	73%	14%	380	94%	65%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	483	92%	68%	11%	488	92%	62%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 655	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 647						
Number of Tested Students:	476	484	280	231	11	20

Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	494	96%	57%	2%	524	92%	44%	4%
Female	241	98%	66%	4%	266	96%	49%	4%
Male	253	95%	48%	1%	258	88%	39%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	54	96%	52%	0%	55	96%	31%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	42	95%	48%	0%	45	91%	44%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	386	97%	58%	3%	416	92%	46%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	0%	8	100%	38%	25%
General-Education Students	395	100%	69%	3%	451	98%	50%	4%
Students with Disabilities	99	83%	8%	0%	73	56%	7%	0%
English Proficient	493	-	-	-	518	93%	45%	4%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	6	67%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	94%	32%	0%	115	86%	23%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	381	97%	64%	3%	409	94%	50%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	494	96%	57%	2%	524	92%	44%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	491	92%	71%	10%	523	93%	67%	7%
Female	238	95%	75%	9%	265	95%	69%	6%
Male	253	90%	68%	11%	258	91%	66%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	55	93%	69%	7%	53	98%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	43	86%	65%	5%	50	92%	62%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	381	93%	72%	11%	412	92%	69%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	92%	83%	17%	8	100%	75%	25%
General-Education Students	393	99%	80%	13%	452	98%	75%	8%
Students with Disabilities	98	65%	36%	0%	71	58%	21%	1%
English Proficient	489	-	-	-	515	93%	68%	7%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	8	75%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	88%	62%	3%	117	88%	49%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	380	93%	74%	12%	406	94%	73%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	491	92%	71%	10%	523	93%	67%	7%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	484	97%	75%	27%	515	98%	76%	20%
Female	237	97%	69%	22%	259	99%	74%	17%
Male	247	98%	80%	32%	256	98%	78%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	52	96%	71%	21%	54	98%	69%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	45	91%	67%	24%	50	94%	64%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	50%
White	374	98%	76%	27%	405	99%	78%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	92%	85%	38%				
General-Education Students	391	98%	81%	32%	444	100%	82%	23%
Students with Disabilities	93	92%	47%	5%	71	92%	38%	1%
English Proficient	482	-	-	-	507	99%	77%	21%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	8	88%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	94%	64%	14%	114	96%	56%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	375	98%	78%	30%	401	99%	81%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	484	97%	75%	27%	515	98%	76%	20%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	493	89%	86%	61%	483	81%	77%	50%
Female	232	91%	90%	63%	234	85%	82%	63%
Male	261	86%	83%	59%	249	77%	73%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	30	90%	83%	47%	45	78%	73%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	42	86%	86%	52%	24	71%	67%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	75%	75%	63%	10	90%	90%	80%
White	413	89%	87%	63%	404	82%	78%	53%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	410	96%	95%	72%	419	84%	83%	57%
Students with Disabilities	83	53%	43%	6%	64	59%	42%	2%
English Proficient	488	89%	86%	62%	480	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	78	86%	82%	49%	52	81%	75%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	415	89%	87%	63%	431	81%	78%	52%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					483	81%	77%	50%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	493	91%	86%	32%	483	68%	62%	13%
Female	232	94%	88%	33%	234	71%	65%	17%
Male	261	89%	84%	31%	249	66%	60%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	30	90%	87%	20%	45	64%	60%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	42	93%	81%	24%	24	54%	38%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	88%	50%	10	80%	80%	40%
White	413	91%	86%	33%	404	69%	63%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	410	97%	95%	38%	419	73%	67%	15%
Students with Disabilities	83	63%	42%	2%	64	41%	28%	2%
English Proficient	488	91%	86%	32%	480	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	78	92%	81%	22%	52	63%	54%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	415	91%	87%	34%	431	69%	63%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					483	68%	62%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.