

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 District EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 52-06-01-08-0000 Superintendent RANDY TEETZ Telephone (518) 863-8412 Grades PK-6

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

## Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

## Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



## View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

## Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	13	12
Kindergarten	8	4	11
Grade 1	5	8	3
Grade 2	10	5	8
Grade 3	8	11	4
Grade 4	9	9	11
Grade 5	13	10	9
Grade 6	10	17	11
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	63	64	57

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	9	10	8
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2004-05		200	2005-06		2006–07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	6	10%	8	13%	11	19%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	15	24%	22	34%	11	19%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Asian or Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	63	100%	64	100%	56	98%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	2%	

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	10	10	10
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	30%	30%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	20%	10%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	39	10
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	14	14	13
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	14%	14%	15%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	0%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	20%	10%

## **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	0	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	3	1	3
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	0	0

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

#### **District in Good Standing** A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. ...... District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. ..... District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

## Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA		Science	Pending			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	/ed Title I Part A Funding				
	2005-	-06	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English		<u>.</u>	English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	<b>V</b>	-				
Ethnicity			·				
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American		•••••		•			
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	<b></b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>					
Multiracial							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities							
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	- 0 of 0				



Page 9

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006-07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (37:35)	~		_	~	171	106			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)					••••				
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (37:35)	<	_	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	171	106	••••	•••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••• ••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)							••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (8:8)	_		-	-	-	_		-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2							

#### NOTES

		2	These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participat followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountabili students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count. Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to me the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enro shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average	ty calculations, eet
AYP Status		3	of the participation rates over those two years. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006– data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more	e
✓ <sup>SH</sup>	Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Did Not Make AYP	4	continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points	95%
—	Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	5	added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities. If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.	
15. 200	8	‡	This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.	Page 9

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

Page 10

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met s Criterion	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status		Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (37:35)	V	-	-	<b>v</b>	183	70			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (37:35)				<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	183	70	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)					••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)					••••		••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (8:8)	_	_	-	-	-			-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2							

#### NOTES

		1	These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Particip	pation)
			followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountable	ility calculations,
		-	students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count	
		2	Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to	meet
			the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the en	rollment
			shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted averag	e
		2	of the participation rates over those two years.	
AYP S	Status	3	For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 200	
			data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or mo	ore
~	Made AYP		continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 3	30
✓SH	Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	4	continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.	
~		4	If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the	
^	Did Not Make AYP		participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 poin	its were
_	Insufficient Number of Students	5	added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.	
	to Determine AYP Status	5	If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.	
15, 200	8	‡	This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.	Page 10

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)		Pending
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in Science
	-	
Prospective Status		

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		on <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Sa	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status Qu	ualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2006-07	2007-08		
All Students (11:9)			_	-	-	-	-		-		
Ethnicity											
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)											
Black or African American (0:0)											
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			•••••				••••••				
White (11:9)	-		_	-	-	-	-		-		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••	•••••	••••	••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Other Groups											
Students with Disabilities (0:0)											
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)			•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged (1:4)	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		
Final AYP Determination	- 0 of 0										
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Tar X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	-	followed by students w Groups with the particip shown is th participatic Groups with criterion. Fo and 2006-0	y the count of co ho were excused h fewer than 40 bation criterion. e sum of 2005- on rates over tho h fewer than 30 or districts with b7 were combine	ontinuously enrolled d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation of and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro fewer than 30 cor ed to determine co	ed tested studen medical reasons during the test in rate of a group mrollments and lled tested stud ntinuously enrol punts and perfo	est administration p nts (used for Perfor are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested ents are not requir led tested students rmance indices.	mance). For a o the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–0 is the weighte ed to meet the s in 2006–07, o	ccountability ent count. juired to me 7, the enrol ed average c e performan data for 200	y calculation et ment f the ce		

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

# 2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

## Federal Title I Status

**New York State Status** 

Good Standing
 1 school identified 100% of total
 EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL

## Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	_		4
Grade 4	64%		11
Grade 5	73%		11
Grade 6	82%		<b>1</b> 1
Mathematics			
Grade 3	-		4
Grade 4	73%		11
Grade 5	64%		11
Grade 6	91%		11

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	616-780	650-78	0 730	)-780					
100%	100%								
	100%				92%				
		73%	6			69	%		
				001					
				9%				7%	
	- 11	- 8	-	1					
	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at				Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	4	-	-	-	11	100%	73%	9%	
	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
	3	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
/e									
	4	-			11	100%	73%	9%	
	4	-	-	-					
	4	-	-	-	9	-	_ 	_ 	
					2	-	-	-	
	4		-	_	11	100%	73%	9%	
	2	-	_	-	3	-			
	2	-	_	_	8	-	_		
	4	_	_	_	11	100%	73%	9%	
		Percentage s 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 100% 100% - 11 2006-07 Se Total Tested 4 1 3 re 4 4 4 4 2 2 2	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730         100%       100%       73%       73%         100%       73%       73%       73%         100%       73%       73%       73%         100%       73%       73%       73%         -       11       -       8       -         Total       Percentage scoring at lege       2-4       3-4         1       -       -       1       -         1       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         4       -       -       -       -         4       -       -       -       -         4       -       -       -       -         2       -       -       -       -         2       -       -       -       -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780         100%       100%       73%       9%         100%       73%       9%       9%         -       11       -       8       -       1         2006-07 School Year       9%       -       1         Zoo6-07 School Year       9%       -       1         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       9%       -       1         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       -       -       -         1       -       8       -       1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         1       - <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       <math>3-4</math>       4       2-4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780       92%         100%       100%       9%       9%       9%       9%         -       11       -       8       -       1         Z006-07 School Year       2005-06 S         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       -       11         1       -       -       -       11       -       11         1       -       -       -       8       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       -       2         4       -       -       -       9       2       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       2       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       -</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69           100%         100%         73%         92%         69         69           100%         73%         92%         69         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         -         8         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         1         00%         2-4         3-4         4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         -</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69%         69%           100%         73%         92%         69%         69%         69%         69%           100%         73%         92%         69%<!--</td--></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4       2-4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780       92%         100%       100%       9%       9%       9%       9%         -       11       -       8       -       1         Z006-07 School Year       2005-06 S         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       -       11         1       -       -       -       11       -       11         1       -       -       -       8       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       -       2         4       -       -       -       9       2       -       11         4       -       -       -       9       2       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       11       -       -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69           100%         100%         73%         92%         69         69           100%         73%         92%         69         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         69           -         11         -         8         -         1         -         8         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         1         00%         2-4         3-4         4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         3-4         -         -         1         100%         2-4         -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69%         69%           100%         73%         92%         69%         69%         69%         69%           100%         73%         92%         69% </td	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0		-		New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	:		NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(	s):	Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: –	Range:	624-770	650-77	0 703–770						
2006 Mean Score: 672	100%	100%	829	6	94%	81	%			
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>				9%				25%		
Number of Tested Students:		- 11	- 9	- 1				_		
Results by		2006–07 <b>Sc</b> l	nool Year		2005–06 School Year					
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage s 2–4	coring at level(s): 3–4 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4			
- All Students		4	_		11	100%	82%	9%		
Female		1			3					
Male		3	_		8	-	_	_		
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American	tive		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••		
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er		••••••				••••••	•••••		
White		4			11	100%	82%	9%		
Multiracial			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Small Group Totals		4	_					-		
General-Education Students		4	-		9	-				
Students with Disabilities					2	-	_	-		
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		4	-		11	100%	82%	9%		
Economically Disadvantaged		2	_		3	_	_	_		
Not Disadvantaged		2	_			-	-	_		
Migrant										
Not Migrant		4	_		11	100%	82%	9%		
NOTES										

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compare				

# This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 659	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 73	L6-775					
2006 Mean Score: 663	100%	91% 100%	8 64%	9%		92% 91%	68% 69	%		
2006-07 2005-06				0'	% 0%		н	8%	9%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	10 9	7	8 (	0 0					
Poculte by		2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total Percentage scoring at le			level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		11	<b>91</b> %	64%	0%	9	100%	<b>89</b> %	0%	
Female		3				4				
Male		8	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American Hispanic or Latino	/e			••••••				••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
White		11	91%	64%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%	
Multiracial Small Group Totals			•••••	•••••	•••••		••••••••••	•••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students		10	-	-	-	6	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	_	
English Proficient		11	91%	64%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%	
Limited English Proficient			•••••				••••••••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged		10	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		11	91%	64%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so e compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 669	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800					
2006 Mean Score: 665	100%	1000/1000/								
		100%100%				94% 93%	80% 78	07		
			<sup>73%</sup> 6	7%			1070 78	9%0		
2006-07										
2005-06					24			289	6 26%	
				9	<sup>%</sup> 0%					
Number of Tested Students:		11 9	8	6 :	L O					
Bocults by		2006–07 <b>S</b> o	hool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		11	100%	73%	9%	9	100%	67%	0%	
Female		3	-		_	4	-	_	_	
Male		8	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander					•••••				•••••	
White			100%	73%	9%	9	100%		0%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		10	-	-	-	6	-		-	
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	_	3	-	-	_	
English Proficient		11	100%	73%	9%	9	100%	67%	0%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		1	-		-	4	-		-	
Not Disadvantaged		10	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		11	100%	73%	9%	9	100%	67%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at level(s)	:	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2006 Mean Score: 78	100%	100%	89%		97%	86	%		
2006-07 2005-06				22%				49%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	9	8	2					
Results by		2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2005-06	School Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage sco 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring a 2–4 3–4		t level(s): 4	
 All Students					9	100%	89%	22%	
Female					4	-	_	-	
Male					5	-	_	-	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er								
Pacific Islander									
White					9	100%			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students					6	-		-	
Students with Disabilities					3	-	-	-	
English Proficient					9	100%			
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged					4				
Not Disadvantaged					5	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant					9	100%	89%	22%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				

# This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

2006–07 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by	2-4 608-795 100%100% 11 11	73% 8	4 795 7: 1% 0 10 (0	11-795 18% % 0 2	Percentage sc 2–4 95% 94% 95% 94% 2005–06 S Total Tested 11	3-4 68% 67 chool Yea	4 % 7% r e scoring at 3-4	
2006 Mean Score: 676 100% 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: <b>Results by</b> <b>Student Group</b> All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	608-795 100%100% 11 11 2006-07 \$ Total Tested 11	650-7 9 73% 8 8 5 6 6 6 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 9 7 8 8 8 8	795 7: 1% 00 10 0 r e scoring at 3-4	11-795 18% % 0 2 level(s): 4	95% 94%	68% 674 <b>chool Yea</b> Percentage 2-4	% 7% r e scoring at 3-4	level(s):
2006 Mean Score: 676 100% 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: <b>Results by</b> <b>Student Group</b> All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	100%100% 11 11 2006–07 S Total Tested 11	9 73% 8 <b>School Year</b> Percentage 2-4	1% 0 10 ( r 3-4	18% % 0 2 level(s): 4	<b>2005–06 S</b> Total Tested	<b>chool Year</b> Percentage 2-4	7% r e scoring at 3-4	level(s):
2006-07     2005-06 Number of Tested Students: <b>Results by</b> Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	11 11 2006–07 S Total Tested 11	73% 8 5 chool Year Percentage 2-4	0 10 ( r e scoring at 3-4	% 2 0 2 level(s): 4	<b>2005–06 S</b> Total Tested	<b>chool Year</b> Percentage 2-4	7% r e scoring at 3-4	level(s):
2005-06 Number of Tested Students:	<b>2006–07 S</b> Total Tested <b>11</b>	Percentage 2-4	10 ( r e scoring at 3-4	% 2 0 2 level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	r e scoring at 3-4	level(s):
Results by Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	<b>2006–07 S</b> Total Tested <b>11</b>	Percentage 2-4	r e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	
Results by Students Dy All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	Total Tested <b>11</b>	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	
Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	Tested 11	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	
All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	11							4
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students		<b>100%</b> –	<b>73%</b>	0%	11	100%	010/	
Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	3		-				91%	<b>18</b> %
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students					4			
Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	8	-	-	_	7	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students				•••••••••••			•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	•••••		••••••			•••••••••	•••••	•••••
White Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	•••••					•••••••••••••••••		•••••
Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students	••••••••							
Small Group Totals General-Education Students		100%	73%	0%	11	100%	91%	18%
General-Education Students	••••••••							
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								
Students with Disabilities	10	-	-	-	10		-	-
	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
English Proficient	11	100%	73%	0%	11	100%	91%	18%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged		-	_	_	2	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	9	_	–	-
Migrant	2 9				5			
Not Migrant	•••••				5			

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic	1	
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	619-780	650-	780	699–780				
2006 Mean Score: 686	100%	100%100%	9 64%	1%		94% 90%	<sup>76%</sup> 68	%	
2006-07 2005-06					36%			22'	% 19%
Number of Tested Students:		11 11	7	10	0 4				
Posults by		2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		11	100%	64%	0%	11	100%	<b>91</b> %	36%
Female		3				4			
Male		8	-	_	_	7	-	_	_
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American	ve		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r			•••••					
Pacific Islander									
White			100%	64%	0%	11	100%	91%	36%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		10	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		1			_	1		-	-
English Proficient		11	100%	64%	0%	11	100%	91%	36%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged		9	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		11	100%	64%	0%	11	100%	91%	36%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):		
A33e35ment3	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4 4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared					

# This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric				NY State P			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 690	Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	705-785				
2006 Mean Score: 650	100%	100%100%	82%	- 00/		98% 93%	63% 60	%	
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>				50% 4	5%			9%	6 12%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	11 18	9	9	5 1				
Results by		2006–07 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		11	100%	82%	45%	18	100%	<b>50%</b>	<b>6</b> %
Female		4				9	100%	44%	0%
Male		7	-	-	-	9	100%	56%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American	e								
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••		
Pacific Islander									
White	•••••		100%	82%	45%	18	100%	50%	6%
Multiracial		••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Small Group Totals		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					••••••
General-Education Students		10	-	-	-	18	100%	50%	6%
Students with Disabilities		1	-	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••
English Proficient		11	100%	82%	45%	18	100%	50%	6%
imited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		3	-	-	-	7	100%	43%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	8	-	-	-	11	100%	55%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		100%	82%	45%	18	100%		6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so e compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 693	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
2006 Mean Score: 658	100%	100%100%	91% 6	7%		91% <sub>87%</sub>	71%	%		
2006-07 2005-06				45	5% 6%			209	<sup>6</sup> 13%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	11 18	10 1	12	5 1					
Posults by		2006-07 <b>S</b> a	hool Year			2005-06 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		11	100%	91%	45%	18	100%	67%	6%	
Female		4				9	100%	56%	0%	
Male		7	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner									
Pacific Islander					••••••					
White		11	100%	91%	45%	18	100%	67%	6%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		10	-	_	-	18	100%	67%	6%	
Students with Disabilities		1								
English Proficient		11	100%	91%	45%	18	100%	67%	6%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		3	-	-	_	7	100%	57%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	8	-		-	11	100%	73%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		11	100%	91%	45%	18	100%	67%	6%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 \$	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				