

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07

### This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

**Review an Overview** 

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **School Profile**

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

**School Profile** 

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	232	210	246
Grade 4	249	228	253
Grade 5	256	261	279
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	737	699	778

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	23	21	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **School Profile**

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

**Demographic Factors** 

	2004-05		200	2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	87	12%	67	10%	73	9%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	57	8%	60	9%	60	8%	
Student Stability*		95%		92%		97%	
Limited English Proficient	4	1%	3	0%	4	1%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	21	3%	27	4%	32	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	10	1%	16	2%	17	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	2%	14	2%	15	2%	
White	691	94%	639	91%	712	92%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%	

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		97%
Student Suspensions	3	0%	15	2%	1	0%

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **School Profile**

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	51	53	52
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	11%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	9%	12%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	185	78
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	3%	1%
Total Number of Classes	79	84	95
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	14%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	16%	13%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	2
Principals	1	1	1

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## **Teacher Turnover Rate Information**

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16)

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) <math>\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose Pl was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)

#### School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

### School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

### ★ School Restructuring (Year 2 and above)

A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Summary

Ary/Middle Level  Good Standing	<b>Secondary Le</b> ELA	vel	
♠ Good Standing	ELA		
♠ Good Standing	Math		
<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Graduation Ra	te	
he School Received 1	Title I Part A Funding		
6 20	006-07	2007-08	
YE	S	YES	
	6 20	he School Received Title I Part A Funding	he School Received Title I Part A Funding 6 2006-07 2007-08

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_				
White	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓SH	V				
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1			

### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### Federal State Good Standing 🔨 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 2) 🔨 Corrective Action 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Planning for Restructuring A Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above) 🔨

Restructuring (Year 1) 🔨

Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

School ID **53-05-15-06-0005** 

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	ion²	Test Performance <sup>3</sup> Performance Objectives			es	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (699:687)	✓ ✓	<u>✓</u>	99%	<u> </u>	164	118	2000 07	2007 00
Ethnicity							1	
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (23:23)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
						_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:22)	_	_	-	_	-	_	••••••	_
White (639:627)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	164	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (99:95)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	108	112	89	117
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
(7:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (117:116)	~	~	99%		144	112		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- † This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Did Not Make AYP



 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Ctatus	Met Criterion	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (702:685)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	177	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_	
Black or African American (23:23)	-	-	-	_	_	_	•••••••••	<u> </u>	
Hispanic or Latino (15:14)					-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:22)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
White (641:625)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	177	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (98:94)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	~	128	76			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(7:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Economically Disadvantaged (114:113)	<b>V</b>	<i>V</i>	99%		161	76			
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4								

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included
- This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2007–08)		
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]


### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	<b>Performance Objectives</b>		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	
All Students (217:210)		Qualified	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	195	100		
Ethnicity		'							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (12:12)		_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		_	_	-		_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (190:184)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	196	100		••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••		••••	••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (36:34)		_	-	-	~	185	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (2:2)		_	_	-	_	_	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Economically Disadvantaged (42:42)		Qualified	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	193	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1							

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **AYP Status**





**Insufficient Number of Students** 

to Determine AYP Status

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

# Summary of 2006-07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	9	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3						
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%					
Grade 3	74%		236					
Grade 4	67%		213					
Grade 5	67%		239					
Mathematics								
Grade 3	90%		240					
Grade 4	75%		214					
Grade 5	78%		238					
Science								
Grade 4	95%		213					

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

**Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.**Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.


### This School's Similar Schools Group: 13

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Posults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 <b>S</b>	2005-06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	236	94%	74%	10%	205	88%	61%	6%		
Female	110	91%	70%	9%	105	89%	64%	10%		
Male	126	98%	78%	10%	100	88%	58%	3%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1		_	-		
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	13	92%	62%	8%		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	8	_	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	10%	3	-	-	-		
White	221	94%	73%	10%	180	88%	62%	7%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	12	92%	42%	0%		
General-Education Students	204	99%	77%	11%	173	95%	70%	8%		
Students with Disabilities	32	69%	56%	3%	32	50%	13%	0%		
English Proficient	235	-	_	_	205	88%	61%	6%		
Limited English Proficient	1	-		-						
Economically Disadvantaged	42	93%	62%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged	194	95%	77%	10%	205	88%	61%	6%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	236	94%	74%	10%	205	88%	61%	6%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> c	2006-07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
0 01101	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	240	98%	90%	30%	209	96%	80%	17%
Female	112	97%	88%	30%	105	96%	80%	12%
Male	128	99%	91%	30%	104	95%	80%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	3	-	_	_	12	92%	92%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-		-	10	70%	60%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1000/	1000/	F00/	4	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	50%	4	_	_	_
White	224	98%	89%	29%	182	97%	81%	18%
Multiracial								•••••
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	5	100%	40%	40%
General-Education Students	208	100%	93%	33%	175	98%	85%	19%
Students with Disabilities	32	91%	66%	13%	34	85%	53%	9%
English Proficient	239	-	_	-	209	96%	80%	17%
Limited English Proficient	1	-		- -	••••••		••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	41	95%	85%	32%				
Not Disadvantaged	199	99%	90%	30%	209	96%	80%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	240	98%	90%	30%	209	96%	80%	17%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2005-06 School Year				
_	Total	rtamber seering at tevet(s).			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, s 2006 and 2007 results cannot be com				

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Posults by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
<b>Student Group</b>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	213	93%	67%	6%	228	90%	69%	10%	
Female	107	96%	70%	7%	104	93%	76%	15%	
Male	106	90%	64%	4%	124	88%	63%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_					
Black or African American	12	92%	67%	0%	8	88%	63%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	73%	55%	0%	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	_	_	6	-	_	-	
White	185	94%	68%	6%	212	91%	70%	10%	
Multiracial			•				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%	8	75%	50%	13%	
General-Education Students	181	98%	73%	7%	203	96%	75%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	32	66%	31%	0%	25	48%	16%	0%	
English Proficient	209	_	_	_	228	90%	69%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	86%	61%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	169	95%	69%	7%	228	90%	69%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	213	93%	67%	6%	228	90%	69%	10%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> C	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	214	92%	75%	19%	234	92%	76%	21%
Female	108	93%	72%	14%	106	94%	79%	21%
Male	106	92%	77%	25%	128	90%	74%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	12	92%	92%	8%	8	100%	88%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	11	73%	64%	9%	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	_	_	7	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	4						 	 
White	186	94%	75%	20%	217	92%	76%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	20%	9	89%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	182	96%	81%	22%	206	97%	83%	23%
Students with Disabilities	32	72%	41%	3%	28	54%	29%	4%
English Proficient	210	-	_	-	234	92%	76%	21%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	•••••		•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	44	89%	66%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	170	93%	77%	21%	234	92%	76%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	214	92%	75%	19%	234	92%	76%	21%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	her 2006-07 Sc				2005-06 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	_	_	New NYSAA were developed in 20 2006 and 2007 results cannot be			

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	213	100%	95%	60%	234	100%	93%	64%
Female	106	100%	95%	58%	106	100%	97%	68%
Male	107	100%	95%	61%	128	100%	90%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	12	100%	83%	58%	8	100%	88%	63%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	_	-	2	_	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3				7	_		
Pacific Islander	<b></b>		_	_ 	,	_	_ 	_
White	186	100%	96%	60%	217	100%	94%	65%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	53%	9	100%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	181	100%	97%	64%	205	100%	96%	70%
Students with Disabilities	32	100%	84%	34%	29	100%	76%	17%
English Proficient	211	-	_	-	234	100%	93%	64%
Limited English Proficient	2	-				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	93%	52%				
Not Disadvantaged	171	100%	96%	61%	234	100%	93%	64%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	213	100%	95%	60%	234	100%	93%	64%

#### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005-06 School Year			
_	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	rumber scorning at tever(s).		(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	007, so e compared.	

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	239	94%	67%	2%	255	94%	70%	5%
Female	109	95%	73%	3%	127	93%	69%	3%
Male	130	93%	62%	2%	128	95%	70%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	8	_	_	-	10	90%	30%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	4	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	75%	0%	4	_	_	-
White	222	94%	67%	2%	236	94%	72%	6%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••		••••••	••••••	••••••••••	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	213	98%	73%	2%	221	98%	79%	6%
Students with Disabilities	26	62%	19%	0%	34	65%	12%	0%
English Proficient	237	-	-	-	255	94%	70%	5%
Limited English Proficient	2	<del>-</del>		_	••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	30	80%	43%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	209	96%	70%	2%	255	94%	70%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	239	94%	67%	2%	255	94%	70%	5%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> C	hool Year			r				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	ivaliber scoring at ter		/el(s):	
Assessillelles	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	238	95%	78%	17%	255	93%	68%	15%
Female	109	95%	81%	19%	126	92%	66%	14%
Male	129	94%	75%	15%	129	94%	70%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	8	-	_	-	10	100%	70%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	-	4	_	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	8	100%	88%	50%	4		_	_
Pacific Islander		100%	88%	50%	4		_ 	
White	221	94%	78%	16%	236	92%	68%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%	9	100%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	213	98%	83%	18%	220	98%	76%	17%
Students with Disabilities	25	64%	32%	4%	35	60%	17%	0%
English Proficient	236	-	_	_	255	93%	68%	15%
Limited English Proficient	2	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	28	86%	57%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	210	96%	80%	18%	255	93%	68%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	238	95%	78%	17%	255	93%	68%	15%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	School Year 2005-06 School Year					r	
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 4	J 4	4	New NYSA 2006 and 2	A were deve	eloped in 2	•

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		Similar So	chools		
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s	·):	Percentage	scoring at level(	s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Rar	nge:		,				
100							
■ 2006-07							
2005-06							
Number of Tested Students:							
Posults by	2006-07	School Year		2005-06	School Year		
Results by	Total	Percentage so	coring at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Year	4					
All Students							
Female							
Male							
American Indian or Alaska Native			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Black or African American				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							
Pacific Islander							
White				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Multiracial			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Small Group Totals							
General-Education Students			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Students with Disabilities							
English Proficient				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Not Disadvantaged							
Migrant							

#### NOTES

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	ool Year 2005-06 Se				hool Year			
-	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	el(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot b	e compared.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Res	ults in	Grade 6	Mathem	natics			
	This Sch	ool		Similar So	chools		
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s	):	Percentage	scoring at level(s	):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ge:						
100%							
2006-07 2005-06							
Number of Tested Students:							
Results by		School Year			School Year		
_	Total Tested		oring at level(s):	Total	Percentage s		
Student Group	rested	2-4	3-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students							
Female	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Male							
American Indian or Alaska Native	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							
Pacific Islander							
White	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Multiracial							
Small Group Totals							
General-Education Students						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Students with Disabilities							
English Proficient Limited English Proficient				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged							
Not Disadvantaged							
Migrant							
Not Migrant							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
0 01101	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so compared.

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	This Sch	ool			Similar Sc	hools		
	Percentage	e scoring at level(	s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s	s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
R	ange:							
10	00%							
■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06								
Number of Tested Students:								
Results by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Year		
	Total	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male				•				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Http://www.to.com/Lotters								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Multiracial Small Group Totals								

Migrant Not Migrant

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient **Economically Disadvantaged** 

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> c	ichool Year 2005-0				School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	el(s):	Total	ivaliber scoring at tevet(s)		el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so be compared.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

**Assessments** 

(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent

New York State Alternate Assessment

### **Overview of School Performance**

School PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-15-06-0005

District ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This School  Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools  Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4 4		2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	qe:						
1009							
2006-07							
2005–06							
Number of Tested Students:							
					C. b. al W. au		
Results by	2006-07 S Total	2006-07 School Year			Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	Percentage scoring at le 2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4 4	
All Students							
emale							
1ale			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Pacific Islander							
Vhite							
Multiracial							
mall Group Totals			•			••••••	
General-Education Students							
itudents with Disabilities	***************************************	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
English Proficient							
imited English Proficient	•••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged							
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
NOTES  The – symbol indicates that data for a group of studiata for that group and the next smallest group(s)							
Other	2006-07 \$	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year		
Δεςassmants	Total	Number scoring at level	(s):	Total	Number scorir	ng at level(s):	

July 15, 2008 Page 23

Tested

3-4

New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so

2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.

Tested

1