

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School SAMOSET MIDDLE SCHOOL District SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 58-02-05-06-0022 Principal MARY CAVANAUGH Telephone (631) 471-1700 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	261	269	274
Ungraded Elementary	20	0	0
Grade 7	239	269	292
Grade 8	265	227	288
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	46	77	0
Total K–12	831	842	854

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch		26	24
Grade 8			
English	22	20	21
Mathematics	21	21	20
Science	20	23	24
Social Studies	25	25	21
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	4-05	2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	54	6%	39	5%	48	6%
Reduced-Price Lunch	32	4%	29	3%	22	3%
Student Stability*		0%		99%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	15	2%	17	2%	17	2%
Hispanic or Latino	33	4%	47	6%	46	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	4%	29	3%	39	5%
White	750	90%	747	89%	750	88%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		0%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	0	N/A	26	3%	14	2%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	64	65	65
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	5%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	55%	55%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	260	259
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	2%
Total Number of Classes	337	304	313
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	2%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience		9%	22%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers		13%	13%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	5	5	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

-	deral Title I Status plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
	School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been iden Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a S		as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for ol Under Registration Review.
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
	School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
•	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	•••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
•	School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School SAMOSET MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 58-02-05-06-0022

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary	Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation F	Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundi	ng			
	2005-0	06	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Ground	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	V	 Image: A set of the set of the				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	–	_	••••••••••	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 			•••••••••••••••••••		
White	<	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
V	V	100%	V	174	118		
_	_	-	-	-	-		_
-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	_
✓	<	100%	~	163	108	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
✓	<	100%	~	182	106	••••••••••••••••	
<	~	100%	 ✓ 	174	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
		••••				··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
V	V	99%	~	121	112		
••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
~	V	100%	~	153	111		
🖌 6 of 6							
	Status	Status Met Criterion - - - - - - V V V V V V	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 100% – – – – – – – – ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 99% ✓ 100%	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 100% ✓ – – – – – – – – – ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓	Met StatusPercentage TestedMet CriterionPerformance Index✓100%✓174✓–––––––––––✓100%✓163✓✓100%✓✓100%✓182✓✓100%✓✓100%✓174✓✓100%✓✓✓100%✓✓✓153	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO V 100% V 174 118 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 108 108 106 - - - - - - - - - <td>Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2006-07 V 100% 174 118 </td>	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2006-07 V 100% 174 118

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
~	~	100%	V	188	82			
_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
~	✓	100%	~	186	71	••••••••	••••	
~	_	-	~	200	70			
V	✓	100%	 ✓ 	188	82	•••••••	••••	
		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
\checkmark	V	99%	~	138	76			
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••• ••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••	••••	
~	~	99%	~	171	75	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
🖌 6 of 6								
	Status	Status Met Criterion - - - - - - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 100% – – – – – – ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ – ✓ 99%	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 100% ✓ – – – – – – – – – ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 99% ✓	Met CriterionPercentage TestedMet CriterionPerformance index✓100%✓188––––––––––––––––✓100%✓186✓––✓✓100%✓188✓––✓✓99%✓138✓99%✓171	Met Status Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO V 100% V 188 82 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - V 100% V 186 71 V - - V 200 70 V 100% V 188 82 V 99% V 138 76 V 99% V 171 75	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2006-07 V 100% 188 82 -	

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	5 Target 2007–08
All Students (285:267)	~	Qualified	~	97%	~	194	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (14:11)		_	_	_	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (250:236)		Oualified	~	97%	~	193	100		
Multiracial (0:0)						••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (46:40)		Qualified	~	93%	~	160	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (38:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targo X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particij shown is th participatie Groups wit For school	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2005– on rates over tho h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o6 and 2006–07 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stud enrolled tested	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require students in 2006–0	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me o7, the enrol ed average o e performan	y calculation eet Iment of the ice criterion

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage c scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	75%		273
Grade 7	72%		289
Grade 8	77%		283
Mathematics			
Grade 6	94%		268
Grade 7	91%		290
Grade 8	83%		284
Science			
Grade 8	94%		198

District SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 31

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 612-775 650-775 716-775 Image: 612-775 Image: 100% Image: 100% Image: 100% Image: 100% Image: 100% Image: Image: 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1		chools	Similar S			This School		
Range: 612-775 650-775 716-775 100% 10% 10		scoring at level(s):	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
100%	4	3-4	2-4	4	3-4	2-4		
				716-775	650-775	612-775	Range:	
2006-07							100%	
■ 2006-07								
2006-07								
								2006-07
2005-06								2005-06

Number of Tested Students:

Decults by	2006–07	School Year			2005-06 9	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students					2	-	-	-		
Female					1	-	-	-		
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••				••••••			
Pacific Islander										
White					2	-				
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals					2	-	-	-		
General-Education Students										
Students with Disabilities					2	-	-	-		
English Proficient					2	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged					2	-	-	-		
Migrant										
Not Migrant					2	-	-	-		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 3 s cannot b	2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									
Number of Tested Chudent									

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					2	-	-	-
Female					1	-	-	-
Male	••••••		••••••		1	-	–	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••••		••••••		•••••		••••••	
Hispanic or Latino			••••••		••••••		••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••		•••••		••••••••••••••••••		••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					2	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					2	-	-	-
English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••		••••••		••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••				2	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••		2	-	-	-
NOTES								_

Other Assessments	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School	L		Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									

Number of Tested Students:

Decults by	2006–07	School Year			2005-06 \$	School Year	•		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					2	-	-	-	
Female					1	-	-	-	
Male					1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••••••								
Pacific Islander									
White					2	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					2	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					2	-	-	-	
English Proficient					2	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••				••••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••		•••••		2	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••••••		•••••		2	-	-	-	
NOTES									

Other	2006-07 S e	chool Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring at lev			vel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

All Students580%0%0%Female580%0%0%Male580%0%0%Male580%0%0%American Indian or Alaska Native888Black or African American888Hispanic or Latino888Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other580%0%Pacific Islander580%0%0%White580%0%0%Multiracial580%0%0%Students with Disabilities580%0%0%Equipsion Proficient580%0%0%Limited English Proficient2Kot Disadvantaged2Migrant3			This School			Similar Sch	ools			
Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 2006 Mean Score: 100% 80% 97% 78% 2005-07 2005-06 0% 0% 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 2005-06 School Year Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 5 80% 0%			Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	s):		
2006 Mean Score: 606 100% 80% 97% 78% 2005-06 0% 0% 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 15% Student Group 2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Year 2005-06 School Year 2005-06 School Year 15% Student Group Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 5 80% 0% 0% 0% All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Again or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% White 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Small Group Totals General-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% <			2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2006 real score of tested Students: 4 0 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 15% Student Group Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2005-06 SCHOU Year 2005-06 SCHOU Year 2005-06 SCHOU Year 15% All Students 2-4 3-4 4 0 0% 0% All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Male American Indian or Alaska Native 5 80% 0% 0% Black or African American 5 80% 0% 0% 0% White 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Small Group Totalis 5 80% 0% 0% Small Group Totalis 5 80% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% <td< td=""><td></td><td>Range:</td><td>608-795</td><td>650-795</td><td>711-795</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2006-07 0% 0% 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 15% Results by Student Group 2005-06 Year 2005-06 Year 15% Results by Student Group 2005-07 School Year 70% 0% 0% 0% All Students 2-4 3-4 4 70 all Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 78% 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 2-4 3-4 4 78% 0% 0% 0% Male 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% Multirecial 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0%	2006 Mean Score: 606	100%				97%				
2005-06 0% 0% 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 15% Results by Students by Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Students 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Female 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Male American Indian or Alaska Native 5 80% 0% 0% Black or African American 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Multiracial 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Small Group Totals 5 80% 0% 0% 0% General-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Students Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Consolically Disadvantaged 2 - - - - Not Disadvantaged 3 - - - - -			80%			5176	789	%		
2005-06 0% 0% 0% 15% Number of Tested Students: 4 0 0 15% Results by Students by Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Students 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Female 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Male American Indian or Alaska Native 5 80% 0% 0% Black or African American 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Multiracial 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Small Group Totals 5 80% 0% 0% 0% General-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Students Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Consolically Disadvantaged 2 - - - - Not Disadvantaged 3 - - - - -										
Number of Tested Students: 0%									4 = 0 /	
2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Female 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American 5 80% 0% 0% Mite 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 5 80% 0% 0% Pacific Islander 5 80% 0% 0% White 5 80% 0% 0% Small Group Totals 5 80% 0% 0% General-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% English Proficient 5 </td <td>2003-06</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>15%</td>	2003-06			0%	0%				15%	
Total Student Group Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Percentage scorin	Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	4	0	0					
Student Group Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Female 5 80% 0% 0% 0% Male 5 80% 0% 0% 0% American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Female 5 80% 0% 0% Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 5 80% 0% 0% 0% 0% Multiracial 5 80% 0% <td>Posults by</td> <td></td> <td>2006–07 Sch</td> <td>ool Year</td> <td></td> <td>2005-06 S</td> <td colspan="4">ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 % 78% 78% 06 School Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 5 80% 0% 0% 5 80% 0% 0%</td>	Posults by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 S	ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 % 78% 78% 06 School Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 5 80% 0% 0% 5 80% 0% 0%			
All Students580%0%0%Female580%0%0%Male580%0%0%American Indian or Alaska Native580%0%Black or African American580%0%Hispanic or Latino580%0%Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other580%0%Pacific Islander580%0%White580%0%0%Multiracial580%0%0%Small Group Totals580%0%0%General-Education Students580%0%0%Students with Disabilities580%0%0%Limited English Proficient580%0%0%Limited English Proficient2Not Disadvantaged3Migrant3				Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		3-4 4 % 78% % 15% -o6 School Year 15% Percentage scoring at level(s) 2-4 3 - 5 80% 0% 5 80% 0% 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09 5 80% 0% 09		level(s):	
Female 5 80% 0% 0% Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Image: State of the state	<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4 3	8-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 White 5 80% 0% White 5 80% 0% Small Group Totals Students Students Students Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% English Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% Limited English Proficient 2 - - - Not Disadvantaged 3 - - - Migrant 1 - - -	- All Students					5	80%	0%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native American Black or African American Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 White 5 80% 0% Multiracial 5 80% 0% 0% Small Group Totals 5 80% 0% 0% Edeneral-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% Limited English Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% Loop Disadvantaged 2 - - - Migrant 3 - - -	Female					5	80%	0%	0%	
Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White 5 Solution Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Mitrice Mitrice Mitrice General-Education Students Students with Disabilities Students of the Proficient Students Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged 3 - Migrant	Male									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White 580% 0% 0% Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities 580% 0% 0% English Proficient 580% 0% 0% Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged 2 Not Disadvantaged 3	••••••	ve					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Pacific IslanderSolutionWhite580%0%Multiracial580%0%Small Group Totals580%0%General-Education Students580%0%Students with Disabilities580%0%English Proficient580%0%Limited English Proficient2Economically Disadvantaged3Not Disadvantaged3Migrant	Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	•••••	
White580%0%0%MultiracialSmall Group Totals	Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••			
Multiracial Small Group Totals General-Education Students 5 Students with Disabilities 5 Students with Disabilities 5 English Proficient 5 Limited English Proficient 2 Economically Disadvantaged 3 Not Disadvantaged 3 Multiracial 3	Pacific Islander									
Small Group Totals General-Education Students General-Education Students 5 Students with Disabilities 5 English Proficient 5 Limited English Proficient 2 Economically Disadvantaged 2 Not Disadvantaged 3 Migrant -	White					5	80%	0%	0%	
General-Education Students 5 80% 0% 0% Students with Disabilities 5 80% 0% 0% English Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% Limited English Proficient 2 - - - Economically Disadvantaged 3 - - - Not Disadvantaged 3 - - -	Multiracial									
Students with Disabilities580%0%0%English Proficient580%0%0%Limited English Proficient2Economically Disadvantaged2Not Disadvantaged3Migrant	Small Group Totals									
English Proficient 5 80% 0% 0% Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged 2 Not Disadvantaged 3	General-Education Students									
Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged 2 Not Disadvantaged 3 Migrant	Students with Disabilities					5	80%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged 2 - - - Not Disadvantaged 3 - - - Migrant - - - -	English Proficient					5	80%	0%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged 3	Limited English Proficient									
Migrant	Economically Disadvantaged					2	_			
	Not Disadvantaged					3	-	-	-	
Not Migrant 5 80% 0% 0%	Migrant									
	Not Migrant					5	80%	0%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	her				2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 4	J 4	+	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in 2	2007, so	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] :	0	N/A	N/A	 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

Ran 2006 Mean Score: 599 1009 2006–07 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native						Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 94% 79% 21 22 22		
2006 Mean Score: 599 1009 2006–07 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male	Perc	entage sco	oring at level(s)	:	Percentage so	coring at level	s):	
2006 Mean Score: 599 1009 2006–07 2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male	2-4		3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2006-07 2005-06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male 	ge: 619	-780	650-780	699-780				
2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male	6							
2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male					94%	700	×	
2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male						795	%	
2005–06 Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male								
Number of Tested Students: Results by Student Group All Students Female Male		20%						21%
Results by Student Group All Students Female Male			0%	0%				
Student Group All Students Female Male		1	0	0				
Student Group All Students Female Male	200	6–07 Scl	hool Year		2005-06	School Yea	r	
All Students Female Male	Tota	l	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Total	3-4 4 79% 21% School Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 20% 0% 0% 20% 0% 0% 20% 0% 0% 20% 0% 0%		
All Students Female Male	Teste	ed	2-4	3-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
Male					5	20%	0%	0%
					5	20%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino			••••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••					
Pacific Islander								
White					5	20%	0%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					5	20%	0%	0%
English Proficient					5	20%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					2	_		
Not Disadvantaged					3	_		_
Migrant								
Not Migrant					5	20%	0%	0%

Other	2006-07 \$	7 School Year 2005–06 School Year							
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	level(s): Total Number scoring at			oring at level	t level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 598-785 650-785 705-785		Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 664	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785						
2006 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% 98%	75% 73%		99% 97%	79% 75%				
2006-07										
2005-06				4% 13%			13% 16%			
Number of Tested Students:		272 284	206 213	11 38						

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	273	100%	75%	4%	290	98%	73%	13%	
Female	134	99%	80%	5%	140	98%	80%	13%	
Male	139	100%	71%	3%	150	98%	67%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	65%	6%	19	95%	47%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	80%	13%	9	100%	89%	44%	
White	236	100%	76%	3%	257	98%	75%	13%	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••		••••••		•••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		5	100%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	249	100%	80%	4%	243	100%	84%	16%	
Students with Disabilities	24	96%	29%	0%	47	89%	19%	0%	
English Proficient	273	100%	75%	4%	290	98%	73%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	77%	5%	25	100%	48%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	251	100%	75%	4%	265	98%	76%	14%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	273	100%	75%	4%	290	98%	73%	13%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-		-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 690	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2006 Mean Score: 672	100%	100% 96%	94% 83%		96% 94%	82% 73%		
2006-07				33%				
2005-06				19%			24% 15%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	268 281	253 242	89 55				
						ah a al Maan		

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	268	100%	94%	33%	292	96%	83%	19 %
Female	132	100%	93%	32%	143	96%	87%	17%
Male	136	100%	96%	35%	149	97%	79%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	20%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	100%	19%	21	76%	57%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	100%	40%	10	100%	90%	30%
White	232	100%	94%	34%	256	98%	85%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	246	100%	96%	36%	245	100%	91%	22%
Students with Disabilities	22	100%	73%	5%	47	77%	38%	0%
English Proficient	268	100%	94%	33%	292	96%	83%	19%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	86%	32%	26	81%	54%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	246	100%	95%	33%	266	98%	86%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	268	100%	94%	33%	292	96%	83%	19%

Other	2006–07 Sc	School Year 2005-06 School Year							
Assessments	Total Tested	ostod			Total Number scoring at le		5	evel(s):	
	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	New NYSAA	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	_	2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot be	e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 665	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 665 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06	100%	98% 97%	72% 73%	9% 10%	98% 97%	74% 73%	9% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		283 270	209 203	25 27			_		

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 S	2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4		4	
All Students	289	98%	72%	9 %	278	97%	73%	10%	
Female	143	99%	79%	12%	136	98%	77%	11%	
Male	146	97%	66%	5%	142	96%	69%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	62%	0%	12	100%	58%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	82%	18%	14	100%	79%	0%	
White	251	98%	73%	9%	245	97%	74%	11%	
Multiracial			•••••				•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%	
General-Education Students	243	100%	81%	10%	236	99%	81%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	46	87%	28%	2%	42	86%	26%	0%	
English Proficient	289	98%	72%	9%	278	97%	73%	10%	
Limited English Proficient							•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	31	97%	45%	0%	28	96%	46%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	258	98%	76%	10%	250	97%	76%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	289	98%	72%	9%	278	97%	73%	10%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in 2	2007, so
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 684	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 681	100%	98% 97%	91% 83%		98% 95%	83%		
 2006-07 2005-06 				34% 36%			25% 17%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	283 270	263 230	98 100				

Deculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	290	98%	91%	34%	277	97%	83%	36%
Female	144	100%	92%	38%	135	98%	85%	36%
Male	146	95%	89%	30%	142	97%	81%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	6	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	86%	29%	12	92%	67%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	36%	14	100%	93%	64%
White	252	98%	91%	35%	244	98%	84%	37%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	17%	7	100%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	244	100%	98%	40%	235	100%	92%	42%
Students with Disabilities	46	85%	52%	0%	42	83%	33%	2%
English Proficient	290	98%	91%	34%	277	97%	83%	36%
imited English Proficient				•••••		••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	32	97%	81%	9%	28	93%	68%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	258	98%	92%	37%	249	98%	85%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	290	98%	91%	34%	277	97%	83%	36%

Other	2006–07 S o	06–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 672	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 659 2006–07 2005–06	100%	97% 94%	77% 59%	11% _{5%}	98% 97%	^{75%} 65%	8% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		275 235	218 147	32 13			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005-06 S	2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	283	97%	77%	11%	249	94%	59%	5%	
Female	143	97%	81%	13%	125	95%	63%	6%	
Male	140	97%	73%	9%	124	94%	55%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	62%	8%	13	100%	38%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	77%	31%	5	100%	100%	0%	
White	248	97%	78%	11%	226	94%	60%	6%	
Multiracial		••••	•••••	•••••			••••••		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	0%			••••••		
General-Education Students	237	100%	87%	14%	213	100%	68%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	46	85%	24%	0%	36	64%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	283	97%	77%	11%	249	94%	59%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	37	89%	49%	5%	30	87%	43%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	246	98%	81%	12%	219	95%	61%	5%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	283	97%	77%	11%	249	94%	59%	5%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year	2005–06 School Year					
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 684	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2006 Mean Score: 678	100%	95% 96%	83% 78%		96% 96%	77% 73%		
■ 2006-07■ 2005-06				^{33%} 26%			17% 15%	
Number of Tested Students:		270 243	236 197	93 65				

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	284	95%	83%	33%	252	96%	78%	26%
Female	143	96%	85%	34%	127	96%	77%	24%
Male	141	94%	82%	32%	125	97%	79%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_				
Black or African American	8	-	_	_	5	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	57%	7%	14	100%	21%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	58%	5	100%	100%	20%
White	249	95%	84%	34%	228	96%	81%	28%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%				
General-Education Students	238	100%	92%	39%	216	99%	86%	30%
Students with Disabilities	46	72%	35%	2%	36	81%	33%	0%
English Proficient	284	95%	83%	33%	252	96%	78%	26%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	37	76%	57%	16%	32	91%	53%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	247	98%	87%	35%	220	97%	82%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	284	95%	83%	33%	252	96%	78%	26%

Other	2006-07 \$	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007 2006 and 2007 results cannot be co			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):				Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
					Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
	97% 100%	⁶ 96% 88%			99% 99%	99% 99% 88%				
			5	^{1%} 44%			439	[%] 36%		
2006-07								36%		
2005-06										
Number of Tested Students:	269 250	264	220 1	42 110	_					
Results by	2006–07 School Year				2005-06 \$	2005-06 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	198	96%	94%	37%	174	100%	83%	29 %		
Female	104	96%	92%	38%	84	100%	79%	21%		
Male	94	97%	96%	37%	90	100%	87%	36%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1									
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	43%	5	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	100%	8%	13	100%	62%	23%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	5	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
Pacific Islander	ر 	-		-	۷					
White	172	96%	94%	40%	154	100%	84%	30%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	7	100%	100%	14%		
General-Education Students	155	100%	99%	43%	138	100%	91%	33%		
Students with Disabilities	43	84%	74%	16%	36	100%	50%	11%		
English Proficient	198	96%	94%	37%	174	100%	83%	29%		
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged	27	85%	74%	15%	27	100%	67%	19%		
Not Disadvantaged	171	98%	97%	41%	147	100%	86%	31%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	198	96%		37%	174	100%	83%	29%		

Other Assessments	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared						2007, so e compared.
Regents Science	78	78	78	68	76	76	76	60