



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **59-14-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **PATRICK MICHEL**

Telephone **(845) 794-7700**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 59-14-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	229	281	250
Grade 1	280	240	285
Grade 2	245	267	236
Grade 3	258	238	265
Grade 4	274	247	238
Grade 5	277	276	252
Grade 6	269	276	283
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	304	271	264
Grade 8	299	292	263
Grade 9	365	373	331
Grade 10	308	289	302
Grade 11	207	242	232
Grade 12	224	199	229
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3539	3491	3430

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	20	19	19
Grade 8			
English	20	17	16
Mathematics	20	20	18
Science	21	21	18
Social Studies	21	21	17
Grade 10			
English	25	22	20
Mathematics	19	24	16
Science	22		21
Social Studies	23	23	26

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **59-14-01-06-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1253	35%	1148	33%	1256	37%
Reduced-Price Lunch	368	10%	360	10%	336	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	212	6%	185	5%	174	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	8	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	779	22%	781	22%	798	23%
Hispanic or Latino	702	20%	702	20%	707	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	73	2%	64	2%	61	2%
White	1979	56%	1936	55%	1859	54%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	464	13%	485	14%	494	14%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 59-14-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	307	309	294
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	3%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	6%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	16%	16%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1099	798
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	3%	3%
Total Number of Classes	1099	1104	1131
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	3%	4%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	27%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	12%	10%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	39	15	24
Total Paraprofessionals*	110	107	110
Assistant Principals	5	6	6
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—		—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH			SH	SH	
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	5 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1621:1547)			99%		157	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (398:377)			99%		144	116	
Hispanic or Latino (353:327)			99%		146	116	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (28:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (836:813)			100%		166	118	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (291:269)	 SH		98%	 SH	102	115	86 112
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (66:73)			100%		126	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (872:819)			100%		144	118	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (1617:1532)			100%		168	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (396:371)			100%		157	80	
Hispanic or Latino (346:325)			100%		161	80	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (840:806)			100%		176	82	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (291:265)			99%		119	79	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (66:76)			100%		150	75	
Economically Disadvantaged (865:809)			100%		159	82	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (523:487)		Qualified		99%		177	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (137:127)		Qualified		98%		163	100	
Hispanic or Latino (113:102)		Qualified		98%		169	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (264:250)		Qualified		100%		187	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (105:94)		Qualified		95%		147	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (17:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (282:263)		Qualified		99%		167	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (232:243)			98%		170	152	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (38:44)		—	—		143	144	127† 149
Hispanic or Latino (40:41)			98%		163	144	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (149:153)			99%		178	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (36:38)		—	—		100	143	70 110
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (65:76)			100%		159	148	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


† This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (232:243)			97%		176	145	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (38:44)		—	—		159	137	
Hispanic or Latino (40:41)			98%		161	137	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (149:153)			98%		184	144	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (36:38)		—	—		118	136	76 126
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (65:76)			100%		166	141	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2006–07	2007–08
All Students (219)			68%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (47)			45%	55%	55%	46%
Hispanic or Latino (39)			44%	55%	55%	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10)	–		–	–		
White (123)			83%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (35)			20%	55%	17%	21%
Limited English Proficient ³ (2)	–		–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (65)			57%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **59-14-01-06-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 33% of total

CORNELIUS DUGGAN SCHOOL

GEORGE L COOKE SCHOOL

Improvement (Year 2)

1 school identified 17% of total

KENNETH L RUTHERFORD SCHOOL

Corrective Action

1 school identified 17% of total

MONTICELLO HIGH SCHOOL

Restructuring (Year 3)

1 school identified 17% of total

ROBERT J KAISER MIDDLE SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

1 school identified 17% of total















EMMA C CHASE SCHOOL



District MONTICELLO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 59-14-01-06-0000

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	67%			266
Grade 4	65%			240
Grade 5	73%			252
Grade 6	56%			284
Grade 7	55%			270
Grade 8	52%			267
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			269
Grade 4	87%			237
Grade 5	88%			253
Grade 6	63%			284
Grade 7	59%			275
Grade 8	52%			268
Science				
Grade 4	94%			239
Grade 8	64%			242

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	70%			293
Mathematics	76%			293

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 664	616-780	650-780	730-780			
2006 Mean Score: 659						
Number of Tested Students:	241	198	179	138	16	9

Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	266	91%	67%	6%	227	87%	61%	4%
Female	133	92%	71%	6%	97	89%	72%	5%
Male	133	89%	64%	6%	130	86%	52%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	70	89%	53%	0%	58	86%	52%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	53	-	-	-	44	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	140	92%	75%	10%	123	93%	65%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	56	89%	66%	4%	46	74%	61%	4%
General-Education Students	237	95%	74%	7%	198	93%	68%	5%
Students with Disabilities	29	59%	10%	0%	29	48%	14%	0%
English Proficient	255	91%	67%	6%	226	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	64%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	140	87%	56%	2%	121	85%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	126	94%	80%	10%	106	90%	69%	5%
Migrant	5	100%	100%	20%	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	261	90%	67%	6%	225	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	4	2	1	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	269	99%	91%	32%	240	95%	81%	18%
Female	134	99%	91%	27%	102	92%	75%	18%
Male	135	99%	90%	36%	138	97%	86%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	72	100%	86%	25%	60	95%	73%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	53	-	-	-	51	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	141	99%	94%	35%	125	98%	88%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	56	96%	89%	30%	55	87%	75%	18%
General-Education Students	239	99%	94%	34%	209	98%	84%	21%
Students with Disabilities	30	93%	67%	10%	31	77%	61%	0%
English Proficient	258	98%	91%	32%	230	95%	82%	18%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	91%	27%	10	90%	70%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	98%	87%	26%	130	94%	77%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	128	99%	95%	38%	110	96%	86%	24%
Migrant	5	100%	100%	20%	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	264	98%	91%	32%	237	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	2	2	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	240	94%	65%	5%	235	90%	60%	7%
Female	103	97%	71%	7%	118	90%	60%	8%
Male	137	91%	61%	4%	117	90%	61%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	61	90%	59%	2%	57	86%	51%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	59	-	-	-	39	87%	51%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	0%
White	117	96%	73%	9%	134	92%	66%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	62	94%	58%	2%				
General-Education Students	199	98%	75%	6%	204	97%	69%	8%
Students with Disabilities	41	71%	20%	0%	31	45%	3%	0%
English Proficient	231	94%	66%	5%	235	90%	60%	7%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	56%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	136	92%	57%	1%	117	88%	50%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	104	96%	77%	11%	118	92%	70%	10%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	238	-	-	-	234	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	96%	87%	29%	247	95%	81%	20%
Female	99	98%	90%	24%	123	95%	77%	18%
Male	138	95%	85%	33%	124	95%	84%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	59	-	-	-	57	95%	77%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	59	93%	80%	25%	48	92%	79%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	60%
White	116	98%	91%	38%	137	96%	82%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	62	95%	85%	16%				
General-Education Students	194	98%	93%	32%	212	100%	89%	24%
Students with Disabilities	43	86%	58%	16%	35	69%	29%	0%
English Proficient	225	96%	87%	29%	236	96%	82%	21%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	83%	25%	11	82%	45%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	133	95%	82%	22%	127	93%	79%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	104	98%	93%	38%	120	98%	83%	31%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	235	-	-	-	244	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	239	100%	94%	45%	240	100%	94%	49%
Female	101	100%	93%	44%	120	100%	94%	48%
Male	138	100%	94%	46%	120	100%	93%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	61	100%	97%	23%	55	100%	91%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	58	-	-	-	47	100%	91%	34%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	80%
White	117	100%	97%	57%	133	100%	95%	61%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	61	100%	84%	44%				
General-Education Students	194	100%	95%	49%	206	100%	97%	52%
Students with Disabilities	45	100%	87%	29%	34	100%	76%	32%
English Proficient	227	100%	94%	44%	229	100%	94%	51%
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	83%	58%	11	100%	91%	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	100%	90%	31%	123	100%	94%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	103	100%	98%	64%	117	100%	93%	61%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	237	-	-	-	237	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	252	95%	73%	6%	270	90%	59%	9%
Female	128	94%	73%	5%	134	92%	61%	10%
Male	124	97%	74%	6%	136	88%	56%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	60	90%	62%	2%	62	89%	45%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	50	98%	68%	6%	57	91%	44%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	136	96%	79%	7%	143	90%	69%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	8	88%	75%	13%
General-Education Students	213	99%	81%	7%	221	95%	69%	11%
Students with Disabilities	39	77%	33%	0%	49	67%	10%	0%
English Proficient	243	95%	74%	6%	262	91%	60%	10%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	44%	0%	8	63%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	95%	65%	2%	145	86%	43%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	117	96%	83%	10%	125	95%	76%	15%
Migrant	5	100%	20%	0%	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	247	95%	74%	6%	266	-	-	-


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	253	98%	88%	25%	280	91%	66%	19%
Female	127	98%	83%	22%	137	94%	68%	20%
Male	126	98%	92%	29%	143	88%	64%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	59	97%	80%	8%	61	84%	57%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	52	100%	90%	25%	64	91%	58%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	136	99%	90%	32%	146	94%	73%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	9	100%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	213	100%	95%	30%	228	96%	74%	24%
Students with Disabilities	40	90%	50%	0%	52	71%	29%	0%
English Proficient	243	98%	88%	26%	264	92%	69%	20%
Limited English Proficient	10	100%	90%	20%	16	69%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	98%	86%	19%	154	86%	53%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	118	99%	90%	32%	126	97%	82%	28%
Migrant	6	100%	100%	17%	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	247	98%	87%	26%	276	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	98%	56%	8%	269	91%	46%	7%
Female	138	99%	64%	12%	134	94%	53%	9%
Male	146	97%	48%	3%	135	89%	40%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	65	97%	52%	3%	50	88%	42%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	69	97%	35%	3%	53	91%	32%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	140	99%	67%	11%	161	93%	52%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	20%	5	80%	60%	20%
General-Education Students	228	100%	67%	10%	223	96%	54%	8%
Students with Disabilities	56	89%	13%	0%	46	67%	9%	0%
English Proficient	272	99%	58%	8%	255	91%	49%	7%
Limited English Proficient	12	83%	0%	0%	14	93%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	159	97%	46%	3%	128	90%	34%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	125	98%	69%	14%	141	93%	57%	9%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	282	-	-	-	269	91%	46%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	89%	63%	14%	266	88%	52%	5%
Female	139	94%	66%	16%	134	90%	54%	6%
Male	145	85%	61%	12%	132	86%	50%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	65	83%	58%	3%	48	85%	40%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	70	89%	47%	9%	53	81%	40%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	139	92%	73%	20%	160	92%	59%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	30%	5	80%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	225	97%	76%	17%	217	95%	60%	6%
Students with Disabilities	59	58%	15%	0%	49	59%	16%	0%
English Proficient	272	90%	65%	14%	252	89%	54%	6%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	25%	0%	14	71%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	86%	54%	6%	127	84%	43%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	126	93%	75%	23%	139	92%	61%	8%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	282	-	-	-	266	88%	52%	5%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	270	95%	55%	2%	271	87%	46%	5%
Female	140	99%	58%	3%	136	88%	45%	6%
Male	130	91%	52%	1%	135	87%	48%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	57	93%	46%	0%	65	82%	31%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	53	92%	47%	4%	55	84%	45%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	154	96%	60%	2%	145	91%	53%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	228	98%	61%	2%	226	93%	54%	6%
Students with Disabilities	42	79%	21%	0%	45	58%	7%	0%
English Proficient	258	95%	57%	2%	262	88%	47%	5%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	8%	0%	9	78%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	93%	45%	1%	136	84%	32%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	133	96%	65%	3%	135	91%	61%	9%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	270	95%	55%	2%	269	-	-	-


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	275	95%	59%	8%	274	88%	45%	9%
Female	141	96%	60%	11%	136	88%	43%	10%
Male	134	93%	58%	4%	138	88%	47%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	57	95%	42%	4%	68	78%	29%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	54	91%	44%	6%	55	91%	42%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	157	96%	70%	10%	145	92%	53%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	233	97%	68%	9%	225	95%	52%	11%
Students with Disabilities	42	81%	12%	0%	49	57%	12%	0%
English Proficient	260	95%	61%	8%	265	88%	46%	9%
Limited English Proficient	15	87%	33%	0%	9	89%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	93%	47%	2%	136	85%	29%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	134	97%	72%	13%	138	91%	61%	15%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	275	95%	59%	8%	272	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	267	92%	52%	3%	299	86%	38%	4%
Female	134	93%	55%	4%	139	94%	45%	5%
Male	133	91%	49%	1%	160	79%	32%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	88%	34%	1%	69	78%	19%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	91%	40%	4%	57	81%	23%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	141	94%	64%	3%	168	90%	51%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	216	97%	62%	3%	239	95%	47%	5%
Students with Disabilities	51	71%	12%	0%	60	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	262	92%	53%	3%	291	86%	39%	4%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	8	75%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	89%	36%	1%	134	76%	19%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	129	95%	70%	4%	165	94%	53%	6%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	8	100%	63%	0%
Not Migrant	265	-	-	-	291	86%	37%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	268	88%	52%	4%	303	85%	48%	4%
Female	132	89%	55%	7%	142	89%	54%	4%
Male	136	87%	49%	2%	161	81%	43%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	69	78%	33%	1%	71	75%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	52	90%	50%	2%	58	79%	36%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	142	91%	61%	6%	168	91%	58%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	219	92%	58%	5%	243	93%	58%	5%
Students with Disabilities	49	69%	29%	0%	60	52%	7%	0%
English Proficient	263	88%	52%	5%	293	85%	48%	3%
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	40%	0%	10	70%	40%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	84%	39%	2%	133	77%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	132	92%	66%	7%	170	91%	61%	5%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	7	86%	86%	0%
Not Migrant	266	-	-	-	296	85%	47%	4%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	242	92%	64%	24%	280	94%	69%	18%
Female	118	91%	56%	19%	134	97%	73%	15%
Male	124	93%	71%	28%	146	91%	66%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	66	85%	44%	15%	65	88%	51%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	46	-	-	-	57	93%	53%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	126	96%	75%	31%	152	97%	83%	28%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	90%	60%	16%	6	100%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	197	95%	73%	28%	221	98%	79%	21%
Students with Disabilities	45	78%	22%	2%	59	78%	34%	3%
English Proficient	237	92%	65%	24%	269	94%	70%	18%
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	20%	11	82%	45%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	87%	51%	15%	130	91%	56%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	111	97%	78%	33%	150	97%	81%	27%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	14%
Not Migrant	240	-	-	-	273	94%	68%	18%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	26	26	26	23	18	18	18	18

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	293	75%	70%	29%	276	66%	58%	26%
Female	155	79%	77%	37%	139	69%	61%	27%
Male	138	71%	63%	21%	137	63%	54%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	56	59%	54%	14%	58	48%	43%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	49	71%	65%	18%	51	53%	39%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	75%	75%	38%	12	92%	92%	50%
White	180	82%	77%	37%	155	75%	66%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	243	85%	80%	35%	228	77%	68%	32%
Students with Disabilities	50	28%	22%	0%	48	13%	8%	0%
English Proficient	288	77%	72%	30%	272	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	0%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	91	74%	66%	11%	77	57%	47%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	202	76%	72%	38%	199	69%	62%	32%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant					275	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	293	83%	76%	22%	276	69%	59%	14%
Female	155	85%	77%	20%	139	69%	60%	12%
Male	138	82%	75%	24%	137	69%	58%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	56	68%	55%	9%	58	52%	36%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	49	86%	73%	20%	51	57%	41%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	50%	12	92%	83%	42%
White	180	87%	82%	25%	155	77%	72%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	243	90%	86%	26%	228	80%	71%	17%
Students with Disabilities	50	50%	26%	0%	48	17%	4%	0%
English Proficient	288	83%	76%	22%	272	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	60%	20%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	91	85%	69%	15%	77	70%	55%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	202	83%	79%	25%	199	68%	61%	18%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant					275	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				4	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.