

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL District HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 64-10-01-04-0001 Principal ANDREW COOK Telephone (518) 632-5923 Grades PK-12, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	21	23	28
Kindergarten	43	39	31
Grade 1	31	43	34
Grade 2	37	35	42
Grade 3	43	37	33
Grade 4	40	49	36
Grade 5	32	42	47
Grade 6	55	34	40
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	59	50	35
Grade 8	48	60	50
Grade 9	43	48	57
Grade 10	54	42	47
Grade 11	47	44	38
Grade 12	47	48	41
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K–12	579	571	532

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	17	22	18
Grade 8			
English	12	18	16
Mathematics	21	18	
Science	24	31	17
Social Studies	24	21	17
Grade 10			
English	22	19	
Mathematics	17	17	37
Science	18	7	14
Social Studies	17	20	15

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	4-05	200	5-06	2006-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	106	18%	121	21%	118	22%
Reduced-Price Lunch	35	6%	30	5%	36	7%
Student Stability*		98%		98%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	6	1%	6	1%	6	1%
Hispanic or Latino	6	1%	5	1%	3	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	1%	3	1%	3	1%
White	562	97%	557	98%	520	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	50	9%	51	9%	55	10%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	52	51	50
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	4%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	4%	2%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	6%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	12%	14%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	194	152
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	4%	6%
Total Number of Classes	200	194	196
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	6%	6%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	0%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	2%	4%	8%

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	3	3	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
 School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been ide	lentified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for
Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a	a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year,	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not
accountability measure for which it was identified is considered	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 64-10-01-04-0001

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2007–08)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	el			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing			
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding				
	2005-0	06	2006-07	2007-08			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	vel			
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	~	v	v	 ✓ 	v	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	-	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_			••••••••••••••••			
White	V	 	••••	v	<	••••		
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_			
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Page 8

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2006–07	or Target 2007–08
All Students (239:236)	Status		100%		164	115	2000 07	2007 00
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino ^(3:3)	_	_	_	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (233:230)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	164	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(35:33)	✓ SH	-	-	✓ SH	103	105	81	113
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (72:70)	 	~	100%	~	141	111	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (239:234)	V	v	100%	v	168	79		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)			-			-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (233:228)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	169	79	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(36:33)	\checkmark	-	-	~	118	69		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••• ••••	•••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (71:68)	V	V	100%	~	150	74	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006–07	Target 2007–08
All Students (87:81)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	194	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		_	_	-	–	-	-	•••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••	-
White (83:77)		Oualified	V	100%	 	195	100	•••••	••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••	•••••••	• •••••••	••••		••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (9:8)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (27:24)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	/ 1 o	f 1							
		NOTES							
AYP Status Made AYP		followed b students w ² Groups wit the particij	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled If the participatior	ed tested studer nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2006–0	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me 7, the enrol	y calculation et Iment

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (38:38)	~	_	-	V	171	143		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0.0)								
White (37:38)	<	-	-	 ✓ 	171	143	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:9)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(3:3)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08
All Students (38:38)	~	_	-	V	174	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (37:38)	<	_	-	 ✓ 	174	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:9)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	•••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
(3:3)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 64-10-01-04-0001

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08		
All Students (48)	~	~	85%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (46)	• • • • • • • • • • •	V	87%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (11)		_	-	_			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (5)		_	-	-			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	82%		34
Grade 4	64%		36
Grade 5	60%		48
Grade 6	69%		39
Grade 7	49%		35
Grade 8	70%		47
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		34
Grade 4	83%		36
Grade 5	56%		48
Grade 6	83%		40
Grade 7	65%		34
Grade 8	57%		47
Science			
Grade 4	95%		37
Grade 8	82%		33
	-	of students that or above Level 3	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	63%		46
Mathematics	65%		46

District HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sch	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780					
2006 Mean Score: 695	100%	97% 100%	82% 91%		95% 94%	76% 75%			
2006–07 2005–06				12% 16%			12% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:		33 32	28 29	4 5					
Results by		2006-07 S o	chool Year		2005-06 S	ichool Year			
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2_1 2_	_1 _1	Tested	2-1	2_1 1		

	Totat	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Totat	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	34	97%	82%	12%	32	100%	91%	16%
Female	14	93%	79%	7%	11	100%	100%	9%
Male	20	100%	85%	15%	21	100%	86%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			•••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	34	97%	82%	12%	32	100%	91%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	31	-	-	-	28	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-			4	-	-	-
English Proficient	34	97%	82%	12%	32	100%	91%	16%
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••			••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	63%	0%	12	100%	83%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	26	100%	88%	15%	20	100%	95%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	34	97%	82%	12%	32	100%	91%	16%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
ASSESSIIIEIILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in a scannot b	2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 676	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
2006 Mean Score: 678	100%	97% 100%	85% 8	8%		98% 97%	90% 87	'%			
 2006-07 2005-06 				1	2% 16%			29	[%] 25%		
Number of Tested Students:		33 32	29	28	4 5						
Poculto by	2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		2005–06 School Year						
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		34	97%	85%	12%	32	100%	88%	16 %		
Female		14	100%	79%	7%	11	100%	91%	18%		
Male		20	95%	90%	15%	21	100%	86%	14%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White		34	97%	85%	12%	32	100%	88%	16%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		31	-	_	-	28	-	_	-		
Students with Disabilities		3	-	_	-	4	-	_	-		
English Proficient		34	97%	85%	12%	32	100%	88%	16%		
Limited English Proficient											

26 Not Disadvantaged Migrant Not Migrant 34

Economically Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

8

100%

96%

97%

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

63%

92%

85%

0%

15%

12%

12

20

32

100%

100%

100%

100%

80%

88%

0%

.

16%

25%

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This School								
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 669	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2006 Mean Score: 650 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	100%	64% 52%	8% 13%	95% 94%	77% 75%	9% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		36 41	23 25	3 6					
Results by	2006–07 Sch Total			2005–06 School Year					
Student Crown		I otal Percentage scoring at level(s): Tostod 2.4			Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		

	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	36	100%	64%	8%	48	85%	52%	13%	
Female	10	100%	80%	0%	24	83%	42%	13%	
Male	26	100%	58%	12%	24	88%	63%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	36	100%	64%	8%	48	85%	52%	13%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	31	100%	71%	10%	35	100%	69%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	20%	0%	13	46%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	36	100%	64%	8%	48	85%	52%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	50%	0%	16	63%	13%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	73%	14%	32	97%	72%	19%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	36	100%	64%	8%	48	85%	52%	13%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4	New NYSAA 2006 and 2	2–4 were deve 007 results	3-4 eloped in s cannot b	4 2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	.(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 676	Range:	622-800	650-8	800 7	02-800					
2006 Mean Score: 661	100%	100% 89%	83%	52%		96% 96%	86% 85	%		
2006-07 2005-06				2	^{2%} 17%			309	[%] 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		36 42	30	29	8 8					
Results by		2006–07 School Year				2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<u>Student Group</u>)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		36	100%	83%	22%	47	89%	62 %	17%	
Female		11	100%	73%	18%	23	87%	57%	17%	
Male		25	100%	88%	24%	24	92%	67%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	••••••		•••••	••••••				•••••	
Pacific Islander										
White		36	100%	83%	22%	47	89%	62%	17%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		31	100%	84%	26%	35	97%	74%	23%	
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	80%	0%	12	67%	25%	0%	
English Proficient		36	100%	83%	22%	47	89%	62%	17%	
Limited English Proficient										
conomically Disadvantaged 14		100%	71%	14%	15	80%	20%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	t Disadvantaged 22			91%	27%	32	94%	81%	25%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		36	100%	83%	22%	47	89%	62%	17%	
NOTES										

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 81	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 00	5-100						
2006 Mean Score: 79	100%	100%100%	95% 8	9%		99% 99%	95% 95		% 61%		
 2006-07 2005-06 				3	0% 34%						
Number of Tested Students:		37 47	35	42	11 16						
Deculte by		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		37	100%	95%	30%	47	100%	89%	34%		
Female		12	100%	92%	25%	23	100%	87%	26%		
Male		25	100%	96%	32%	24	100%	92%	42%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••••••••	•••••	•••••		

	.							
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••			••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	37	100%	95%	30%	47	100%	89%	34%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		•••••		•••••		•••••
General-Education Students	32	100%	94%	31%	35	100%	100%	46%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	100%	20%	12	100%	58%	0%
English Proficient	37	100%	95%	30%	47	100%	89%	34%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	87%	27%	15	100%	73%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	100%	32%	32	100%	97%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	37	100%	95%	30%	47	100%	89%	34%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 \$	School Year	2005–06 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 658	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2006 Mean Score: 660	100%	90% 98%	60% ^{65%}		97% 95%	75% 70%		
2006-07 2005-06				4%			8% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	43 39	29 26	2 6				
2006–07 School Year					2005-06 S	chool Year		

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005-06	2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	48	90%	60%	4%	40	98%	65%	15%
Female	23	87%	57%	0%	16	100%	69%	25%
Male	25	92%	64%	8%	24	96%	63%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	48	90%	60%	4%	38	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					40	98%	65%	15%
General-Education Students	37	100%	73%	5%	30	100%	87%	20%
Students with Disabilities	11	55%	18%	0%	10	90%	0%	0%
English Proficient	48	90%	60%	4%	40	98%	65%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••				••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	16	81%	25%	0%	10	100%	70%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	32	94%	78%	6%	30	97%	63%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	48	90%	60%	4%	40	98%	65%	15%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 Sc	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Schools							
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4					
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 69	99-780								
2006 Mean Score: 644	100%	90% 86%				96% 91%	80%	%					
 2006–07 2005–06 			56% 4	5% 0'	% 0%	н.		18%	⁶ 14%				
Number of Tested Students:		43 36	27	19 (0 0								
Results by		2006–07 School Year				2005-06 S	ichool Yea	r	8% 14% at level(s): 4 0% 0% -				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students		48	90%	56%	0%	42	86%	45%	0%				
Female		23	83%	48%	0%	17	82%	53%	0%				
Male		25	96%	64%	0%	25	88%	40%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive												
Black or African American						2							
Hispanic or Latino													
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r												
Pacific Islander													
White			90%	56%	0%	40	-						
Multiracial													
Small Group Totals			1000/			42	86%	45%	0%				
General-Education Students		37	100%	68%	0%	31	97%	58%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		11	55%	18%	0%	11	55%	9%	0%				
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		48	90%	56%	0%	0% 42 86%			0%				
conomically Disadvantaged 16		16	75%	25%	0%	11	91%	55%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged 32			97%	72%	0%	31	84%	42%	0%				
Migrant													
Not Migrant		48	90%	56%	0%	42	86%	45%	0%				

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 \$	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	1	2-4	3-4	4			
2007 Mean Score: 666	Range:	598-785	650-	785	705-785						
2006 Mean Score: 655	100%	100% 90%	69% 5	8%		99% 95%	73% 68	3%			
2006-072005-06				1	19% ^{19%}			11	% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		39 28	27	18	5 6						
Deculte hy		2006–07 S o	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4		
 All Students		39	100%	69%	13%	31	90%	58%	19%		
Female		14	100%	79%	29%	16	88%	56%	6%		

Male	25	100%	64%	4%	15	93%	60%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	38	-	-	-	30	-	_	-
Multiracial							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals	39	100%	69%	13%	31	90%	58%	19%
General-Education Students	31	100%	84%	16%	26	100%	69%	23%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	13%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%
English Proficient	39	100%	69%	13%	31	90%	58%	19%
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••		•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	25%	11	73%	27%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	68%	10%	20	100%	75%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	100%	69%	13%	31	90%	58%	19%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in s cannot b	2007, so e compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This Schoo	l		Similar Schools						
	Percentage sc	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-7	780	696-780						
100%	95% 94%	83% 7	'9%		94% 91%	78%	%			
				25% 33%			204	[%] 13%		
	38 31	33	26	10 11						
	2006–07 Sc	hool Yea	r		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r			
	Total	Percentage	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	40	95%	83%	25%	33	94%	79%	33%		
	14	93%	86%	29%	17	100%	76%	24%		
	26	96%	81%	23%	16	88%	81%	44%		
		Percentage so 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 95% 94% 38 31 2006-07 So Total Tested 40 14	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 95% 94% 83% 7 95% 94% 33 33 38 31 33 38 31 33 Total Percentage Tested 1001 740 95% 95% 94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 95% 94% 83% 79% 83% 79% 38 31 33 26 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 40 95% 83% 14 95% 83% 14 93% 86%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 25% 33% 95% 94% 83% 79% 25% 33% 38 31 33 26 10 11 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 40 95% 83% 25% 14 93% 86% 29%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: 616-780 696-780 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 94% 94% 91% 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 25% 33% 94% 91% 38 31 33 26 10 11 10% 10% 11% 10% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 1	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 94% 91% 78% 66 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 25% 33% 94% 91% 78% 66 38 31 33 26 10 11 2006-07 School Year 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 14 93% 86% 29% 17 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 94% 91% 78% 66% 20% 100% 95% 94% 83% 79% 25% 33% 94% 91% 78% 66% 20% 38 31 33 26 10 11 10% 20% 20% Zoo6-o7 Scbort Year 2005-06 Scbort Year 2005-06 Scbort Year 78% 66% 20% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 704 95% 83% 25% 33 94% 79% Total 1 95% 83% 25% 33 94% 79% 14 93% 86% 29% 17 100% 76%		

riale	20	9070	01/0	23/0	10	0070	01/0	44 /0
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	39	-	-	-	32	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	95%	83%	25%	33	94%	79%	33%
General-Education Students	31	100%	97%	32%	28	100%	86%	39%
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	33%	0%	5	60%	40%	0%
English Proficient	40	95%	83%	25%	33	94%	79%	33%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	100%	25%	12	92%	75%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	32	94%	78%	25%	21	95%	81%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	95%	83%	25%	33	94%	79%	33%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 S	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 656 2006-07 2005-06	100%	97% 96%	63% 49%	11% 8%	97% 96%	67% 66%	7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		34 47	17 31	4 4					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	35	97%	49 %	11%	49	96%	63%	8%
Female	18	100%	39%	0%	22	100%	64%	5%
Male	17	94%	59%	24%	27	93%	63%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			•••••	•••••	1	-	–	-
	1		-	-	2	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
White	34				45	-		
Multiracial			•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	35	97%	49%	11%	49	96%	63%	8%
General-Education Students	31	-	_	-	42	100%	74%	10%
Students with Disabilities	4	-			7	71%	0%	0%
English Proficient	35	97%	49%	11%	49	96%	63%	8%
Limited English Proficient			•••••	••••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	20%	0%	12	92%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	20	100%	70%	20%	37	97%	73%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	35	97%	49%	11%	49	96%	63%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S o	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 5 cannot b	2007, so e compared
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		••••••						
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 660	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2006 Mean Score: 649	100%	^{100%} 94%	65% 55%		97% 94%	67%	
2005-06				12%			^{21%} 15%
Number of Tested Students:		34 46	22 27	4 0			
Results by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 \$	ichool Year	
RESULLS UV							

Total	Percentage					2005-06 School Year				
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
34	100%	65%	12%	49	94%	55%	0%			
18	100%	56%	6%	22	100%	45%	0%			
16	100%	75%	19%	27	89%	63%	0%			
				1	-	-	-			
	–			2	-	-	-			
••••••		•••••	•••••			••••••				
				1	-	-	_			
33	-	-	_	45	-	-	-			
34	100%	65%	12%	49	94%	55%	0%			
30	-	-	-	42	98%	62%	0%			
4	-		-	7	71%	14%	0%			
34	100%	65%	12%	49	94%	55%	0%			
••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••••				
14	100%	50%	0%	11	91%	18%	0%			
20	100%	75%	20%	38	95%	66%	0%			
34	100%	65%	12%	49	94%	55%	0%			
	34 30 4 34 14 20	34 100% 30 - 4 - 34 100% 14 100% 20 100%	34 100% 65% 30 - - 4 - - 34 100% 65% 100% 65% 14 100% 50% 20 100% 75%	34 100% 65% 12% 30 - - - 4 - - - 34 100% 65% 12% 34 100% 65% 12% 34 100% 50% 0% 20 100% 75% 20%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	A were deve 2007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	e scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 664	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2006 Mean Score: 655	100%	98% 93%	70%		97% 95%	68% 59%		
 2006-07 2005-06 				9% 4%			7% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		46 53	33 32	4 2				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	47	98%	70%	9 %	57	93%	56%	4%
Female	24	96%	71%	13%	35	94%	57%	3%
Male	23	100%	70%	4%	22	91%	55%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	43	-	-	–	57	93%	56%	4%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••		••••••	•	•••••	
Small Group Totals	47	98%	70%	9%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
General-Education Students	43	-	_	-	49	100%	65%	4%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	8	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	47	98%	70%	9%	57	93%	56%	4%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	73%	0%	14	93%	29%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	69%	11%	43	93%	65%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	98%	70%	9%	57	93%	56%	4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics - -

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 645	100%	96% 95%	57%		94% 94%	70% 67%	
2006-07 2005-06			41%	4% 2%			13% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		45 53	27 23	2 1			
Results by		2006–07 Sch	ool Year		2005-06 \$	ichool Year	
		T - 4 - 1			T : 1 : 1		

Deculte by	2000-07	School rea			2005-00 501000 1 eai				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	47	96%	57%	4%	56	95%	41%	2%	
Female	24	92%	46%	4%	34	94%	32%	3%	
Male	23	100%	70%	4%	22	95%	55%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-		-					
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1								
Pacific Islander	-		-	-					
White	43	-		-	56	95%	41%	2%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	47	96%	57%	4%					
General-Education Students	43	-	-	-	48	100%	46%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	8	63%	13%	0%	
English Proficient	47	96%	57%	4%	56	95%	41%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	36%	0%	14	93%	29%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	36	97%	64%	6%	42	95%	45%	2%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	47	96%	57%	4%	56	95%	41%	2%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools			
	This School Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $100\% 98\%$ $88\% 89\%$ 34% 20% 50 55 44 50 17 11 50 55 44 50 17 11 Percentage scoring at level(s): 7006 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Dov 882% 33% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 33 100% 82% 33% 15 100% 87% 53% 17% 15 100% 87% 53% 17% 12 100% 82% 33% 17% 13 100% 82% 33% 1 29 $ 33$ 100% 82% 33% 33% 29 $ -$				Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	100% 98%	88% 8			98% 99%	86% 86		⁶ 35%	
2005-06				20%					
Number of Tested Students:	50 55	44	50 1	.7 11					
Results by	2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		2005-06 \$	ichool Yea	r		
-		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	86% 86% 41% 005-06 School Year Percentage scoring at le			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	33	100%	82%	33%	31	100%	87%	19%	
Female	18	100%	78%	17%	16	100%	81%	13%	
Male	15	100%	87%	53%	15	100%	93%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1							•••••	
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ								
White	29				31	100%	87%	19%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		100%	82%	33%					
General-Education Students	29	-	_	_	24	100%	92%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	0%	
English Proficient	33	100%	82%	33%	31	100%	87%	19%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	64%	27%	7	100%	86%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	91%	36%	24	100%	88%	25%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	33	100%		33%	31	100%	87%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2006-07	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compare				
Regents Science	17	17	17	6	25	24	23	5	

³ Overview of School Performance

School HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 64-10-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	67% 68%	63% 62%	33% 21%	86% 83%	82% 76%	35% 33%	

Deculte by	2003 Cohor	t		2002 Cohort**				
Results by	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	46	67%	63%	33%	53	68%	62%	21%
Female	21	86%	81%	48%	28	64%	61%	21%
Male	25	52%	48%	20%	25	72%	64%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	46	67%	63%	33%	51	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	53	68%	62%	21%
General-Education Students	33	85%	82%	42%	39	90%	82%	28%
Students with Disabilities	13	23%	15%	8%	14	7%	7%	0%
English Proficient	46	67%	63%	33%	53	68%	62%	21%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	43	-	-	-	53	68%	62%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					53	68%	62%	21%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Coho r	t			2002 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

3 Overview of School Performance

School HARTFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 64-10-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2003 Cohort 2002 Cohort 	100%	76% 81%	65% 68%	11% 11%	89% 86%	84% 81%	26% 23%		

Deculte by	2003 Cohor	t		2002 Cohort**				
Results by	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	76%	65%	11%	53	81%	68%	11%
Female	21	95%	81%	10%	28	82%	68%	4%
Male	25	60%	52%	12%	25	80%	68%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•••••	1	–	-	–
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	46	76%	65%	11%	51	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					53	81%	68%	11%
General-Education Students	33	88%	79%	15%	39	100%	87%	15%
Students with Disabilities	13	46%	31%	0%	14	29%	14%	0%
English Proficient	46	76%	65%	11%	53	81%	68%	11%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	43	-			53	81%	68%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	53	81%	68%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohor	t			2002 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.