

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School NEWARK MIDDLE SCHOOL District NEWARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 65-01-01-06-0005 Principal MARK MILLER Telephone (315) 332-3295 Grades 6-8

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

## **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	193	183	175
Ungraded Elementary	9	0	0
Grade 7	205	207	180
Grade 8	234	210	196
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	9	0	0
Total K–12	650	600	551

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	19	20	17
Mathematics	19	19	18
Science	20	21	18
Social Studies	20	20	18
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science	13		
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	4-05	2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	103	16%	171	29%	165	30%
Reduced-Price Lunch	41	6%	56	9%	76	14%
Student Stability*		99%		92%		95%
Limited English Proficient	14	2%	10	2%	15	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	61	9%	58	10%	66	12%
Hispanic or Latino	43	7%	52	9%	43	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	1%	5	1%	3	1%
White	542	83%	485	81%	439	80%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	82	12%	108	17%	108	18%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	52	51	51
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	14%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	8%	6%	8%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	186	182
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	278	268	263
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	0%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	42%	13%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	26%	13%	14%

## **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	6	6	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005 - 06 PL + (200 - the 2005 - 06 PL + 0.10

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

SCHOOL ID 83-01-01-08-0003

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I <b>l Title I Status</b> to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	<b>ool in Good Standing</b> hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool in Corrective Action</b> chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	<b>ool Planning for Restructuring</b> shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 1)</b> thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

**Pending** – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School NEWARK MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 65-01-01-06-0005

## Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	Improvement (Year 1)						
Status (2007–08)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Lev	Secondary Level			
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	9			
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	the School Received T	itle I Part A Funding				
	2005-0	20	006-07	2007-08			
	YES	YES	S	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English		<b>.</b>	English			
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_			••••••••••••••••••		
White	~	<	••••	••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2008-09. [104]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatus	Met Criterion	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	5
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status		Tested	Criterion	Index		2006-07	2007-08
All Students (555:540)		V	100%	~	146	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (65:62)	~	~	100%	~	119	110		
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(43:41)</sup>	<	✓	100%	<b>~</b>	122	107	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (444:434)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	153	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (87:83)	x	~	100%	x	83	111	92	95
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••• ••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(14:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (249:237)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	130	115	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	tion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2007–08	
All Students (554:534)			100%		146	81	2000-07	2007-08	
Ethnicity		•	10070		140				
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (65:59)	•	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	119	73		••••	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(42:41)</sup>	<	✓	98%	~	124	71	••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	_	
White (443:431) Multiracial (0:0)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>`</b>	152	81	••••	···· •····	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (86:82)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	100%	V	83	75			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(14:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (247:232)		<b>/</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	131	79			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accountability calculations.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006-07	5 Target 2007–08
All Students (195:187)	~	Qualified	~	99%	~	161	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (26:22)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (157:154)		Qualified	<	100%	~	168	100		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		• •••••••••••••••••••••	••••		••••			••••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (37:35)		-	_	-	~	114	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (6:6)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (84:77)		Qualified	~	98%	~	147	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perco	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me	y calculation

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

#### AYP Status

- 1 Made AYP
- **✓**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students
- to Determine AYP Status

## Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	54%		180
Grade 7	56%		180
Grade 8	46%		195
Mathematics			
Grade 6	54%		179
Grade 7	69%		179
Grade 8	43%		195
Science			
Grade 8	61%		165

District NEWARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 29

All schools in this group are middle level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									
lumber of Tested Chudente.									

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2006-07	School Year		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s)	):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	_	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	_
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant					1	-	-	-
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					1		_	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				New NYSAA	were deve	eloped in 2	2007, so
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent					2006 and 2	007 results	s cannot b	e compared
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									
lumber of Tested Chudente.									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Year		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged			•••••					
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••		••••••		1	-	-	-
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 S	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in a s cannot b	2007, so e compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
	100%							
					88%			
						59%		
2006-07								
2005-06							10%	
		0%	0%	0%				

Number of Tested Students:

2006-07	School Year		2005-06	2005–06 School Year				
Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
				6	0%	0%	0%	
				2	-	-	-	
•••••				4	-	-	-	
•••••				2	-	–	-	
				2	-	-	-	
••••••								
				2	-	-	-	
				6	0%	0%	0%	
				6	0%	0%	0%	
				5	-	-	-	
•••••				1	-	–	–	
				6	0%	0%	0%	
••••••••••					••••		••••••	
••••••••••				6	0%	0%	0%	
-	Total Tested	Total Percentage Tested 2-4	Total Percentage scoring at le Tested 2–4 3–4	Total     Percentage scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4	Total Tested     Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total Tested       6     2       4     2       4     2       2     2       2     2       2     2       6     2       2     2       1     6       5     1       6     6	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           6         0%           2         -           4         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           2         -           3         -           3         -           4         -           2         -           3         -           3         -           4         -           2         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           4         -           5         - <td>Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at 2-4         Percentage scoring at</td>	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at 2-4         Percentage scoring at	

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 656	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 655 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06	100%	96% 91%	54% <sup>60%</sup>	6% <sup>10%</sup>	98% 92%	65% 58%	7% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:		172 158	97 104	10 17					

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	180	96%	54%	6%	173	91%	60%	10%
Female	80	95%	61%	10%	88	95%	64%	11%
Male	100	96%	48%	2%	85	87%	56%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	95%	36%	5%	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	88%	38%	0%	18	83%	39%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	142	96%	58%	6%	139	95%	65%	12%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••				16	69%	38%	6%
General-Education Students	156	99%	60%	6%	150	97%	66%	11%
Students with Disabilities	24	75%	13%	0%	23	57%	22%	0%
English Proficient	177	-	-	-	169	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	3	-	–	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	86	94%	41%	3%	68	82%	38%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	94	97%	66%	7%	105	97%	74%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	180	96%	54%	6%	173	91%	60%	10%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2006 Mean Score: 656	100%	88% 89%			94% 88%	72%		
2006-07			54% 61%			55%		
2005-06				8% 11%			13% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		157 158	97 108	14 20				

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	179	88%	54%	8%	178	89%	61%	11%
Female	80	88%	55%	15%	90	90%	64%	10%
Male	99	88%	54%	2%	88	88%	57%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	82%	36%	0%	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	87%	53%	7%	17	88%	47%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	142	89%	57%	9%	145	90%	63%	13%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••		••••••			
Small Group Totals	••••••		••••••		16	75%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	155	92%	58%	9%	155	95%	68%	13%
Students with Disabilities	24	63%	29%	0%	23	48%	13%	0%
English Proficient	176	-	-	-	173	90%	62%	12%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	–	–	5	60%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	83%	44%	8%	71	76%	48%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	93	92%	63%	8%	107	97%	69%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	179	88%	54%	8%	178	89%	61%	11%

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 651	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790				
2006 Mean Score: 648 2006–07 2005–06	100%	93% 90%	56% 50%	6% 7%	95% 91%	57% 52%	5% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		167 171	101 95	10 13			_	

Poculte by	2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s) 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	180	93%	56%	6%	189	90%	50%	7%
Female	94	99%	68%	6%	96	92%	51%	10%
Male	86	86%	43%	5%	93	89%	49%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	20	95%	40%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	16	94%	44%	0%	11	64%	18%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	146	95%	60%	6%	158	92%	54%	7%
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals	18	72%	33%	6%			•••••	
General-Education Students	155	96%	62%	6%	155	96%	59%	8%
Students with Disabilities	25	72%	20%	0%	34	65%	12%	0%
English Proficient	175	93%	58%	6%	185	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	78	91%	44%	4%	82	84%	35%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	102	94%	66%	7%	107	95%	62%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	180	93%	56%	6%	189	90%	50%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 661	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 654	100%	96% 90%	69% 61%		95% 89%	67% 55%		
<ul><li>■ 2006-07</li><li>■ 2005-06</li></ul>				10% 12%			12% <sub>8%</sub>	
Number of Tested Students:		172 170	123 115	18 23				

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	179	96%	69%	10%	188	90%	61%	12%
Female	93	99%	76%	8%	95	86%	62%	13%
Male	86	93%	60%	13%	93	95%	60%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	82%	53%	0%	21	86%	57%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	10	70%	20%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	144	98%	74%	13%	157	92%	64%	14%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••	•••••	••••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	18	94%	44%	0%	•••••	•••••••••••		
General-Education Students	154	97%	77%	12%	154	96%	69%	14%
Students with Disabilities	25	88%	16%	0%	34	65%	24%	3%
English Proficient	175	-	-	-	182	92%	63%	13%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	6	33%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	93%	58%	4%	81	85%	48%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	103	98%	77%	15%	107	94%	71%	19%
Migrant					1	-	_	_
Not Migrant	179	96%	69%	10%	187	-	-	-

Other Assessments	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 649	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2006 Mean Score: 652 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	91% 92%	46% 53%	5% 4%	95% 91%	57% 46%	<u>4%</u> 4%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	177 184	90 105	10 8			

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	195	91%	46%	5%	199	92%	53%	4%
Female	93	91%	55%	6%	97	94%	64%	7%
Male	102	90%	38%	4%	102	91%	42%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	27	93%	26%	4%	16	88%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	156	92%	52%	6%	167	93%	58%	5%
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	67%	17%	0%	16	88%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	157	99%	54%	6%	164	97%	61%	5%
Students with Disabilities	38	55%	13%	0%	35	71%	14%	0%
English Proficient	189	92%	48%	5%	195	-	_	-
imited English Proficient	6	50%	0%	0%	4	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	85	85%	28%	1%	67	84%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	110	95%	60%	8%	132	97%	63%	6%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	194		-	-	199	92%	53%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at lev Tested 2–4 3–4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	4 2007, so be compared
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2007 Mean Score: 646	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2006 Mean Score: 654	100%	85% 88%	61%		91% 89%	57% 55%	
<ul><li>2006-07</li><li>2005-06</li></ul>			43%	6% 6%			7% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		166 180	84 124	11 13			

Doculto by	2006–07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	age scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	195	85%	43%	6%	204	88%	61%	6%	
Female	92	85%	45%	9%	100	90%	68%	9%	
Male	103	85%	42%	3%	104	87%	54%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	26	77%	23%	0%	17	76%	47%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	157	88%	48%	7%	168	91%	65%	8%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••		•••••••		
Small Group Totals	12	67%	25%	0%	19	74%	37%	0%	
General-Education Students	158	93%	51%	7%	171	92%	66%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	37	51%	8%	0%	33	67%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	189	86%	44%	6%	196	90%	63%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	0%	0%	8	50%	13%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	84	79%	31%	1%	69	78%	39%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	111	90%	52%	9%	135	93%	72%	9%	
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	194	-	-	-	203	-	-	-	

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.	

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	L			Similar Schools				
	Percentage se	This School         Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         95% 96%       66% 73%       15% 22%         95% 96%       15% 22%       15% 22%         183 195       128 148       28 44         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         165       94%       61%       7%         76       96%       58%       8%         89       92%       63%       7%         224       88%       46%       4%         10       -       -       -         130       96%       65%       8%         11       82%       36%       9%         129       98%       67%       9%			Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	95% 96%				97% 97%				
		66% <sup>7</sup>	3%			78% 78	%		
<ul> <li>■ 2006-07</li> <li>2005-06</li> </ul>				., 22%			309	<sup>%</sup> 24%	
2003-08			15	%					
Number of Tested Students:	183 195	128 1	.48 28	3 44					
Posults by	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Yeai	•		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	165	94%	61%	7%	181	96%	70%	18%	
Female	76	96%	58%	8%	89	93%	71%	22%	
Male	89	92%	63%	7%	92	98%	68%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	24	88%	46%	4%	16	100%	44%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		-	-		-			
White	130	96%	65%	8%	148	97%	75%	20%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		82%			17	82%	47%	6%	
General-Education Students	129	98%	67%	9%	149	96%	76%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	36	81%	36%	3%	32	94%	41%	6%	
English Proficient	159	95%	62%	8%	173	97%	72%	18%	
imited English Proficient	6	67%	33%	0%	8	63%	25%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	78	91%	54%	8%	65	94%	52%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	87	97%	67%	7%	116	97%	79%	21%	
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	164	-	-	_	180	-	_	-	

Other	2006-07 \$	School Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 cannot be	2007, so e compared.
Regents Science	28	28	28	16	22	22	22	12

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School NEWARK MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 65-01-01-06-0005

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2003 Cohort</li> <li>2002 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Deculto by	2003 Cohoi	rt			2002 Coho	rt**			
Results by	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					2	-	-	-	
Female					2	-	-	-	
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino				• • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-	-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					2	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					2	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged				••••••	2	-	-	–	
Migrant									
Not Migrant				••••••	2	-	-	-	
NOTES									

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## **Overview of School Performance** 3

School NEWARK MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 65-01-01-06-0005

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level **Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
<ul> <li>2003 Cohort</li> <li>2002 Cohort</li> </ul>								

Results by Student Group	2003 <b>Coho</b> i	2002 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students					2	-	-	-
Female					2	-	-	-
Male				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-	-	
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					2	-	-	-
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-
English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	2	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	2	-	-	-
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.