The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**
Superintendent **MARJORIE CASTRO**
Telephone **(914) 271-4793**
Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.
This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:
Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	132	142	114
Grade 1	109	134	143
Grade 2	135	115	132
Grade 3	146	132	124
Grade 4	130	144	137
Grade 5	148	131	144
Grade 6	145	147	126
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	129	144	143
Grade 8	119	124	138
Grade 9	125	118	128
Grade 10	128	128	123
Grade 11	100	128	129
Grade 12	85	98	125
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1631	1685	1706

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Common Branch	22	23	22
Grade 8			
English	25	25	23
Mathematics	24	21	23
Science	24	25	23
Social Studies	24	25	25
Grade 10			
English	20	20	20
Mathematics	18	15	18
Science	18		20
Social Studies	21	20	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 District Profile

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004–05		2005–06		2006–07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	74	5%	80	5%	43	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	43	3%	33	2%	38	2%
Hispanic or Latino	99	6%	103	6%	127	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	48	3%	59	4%	58	3%
White	1440	88%	1490	88%	1467	86%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	1%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003–04		2004–05		2005–06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	33	2%	34	2%	21	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 District Profile

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Number of Teachers	127	129	127
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	4%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	8%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	36%	36%	34%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	490	354
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	2%	2%
Total Number of Classes	427	450	484
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	3%	2%

* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003–04	2004–05	2005–06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	5%	32%	24%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	17%	15%

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts

	2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	12	19	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	33	48	44
Assistant Principals	2	3	3
Principals	3	3	2

* Not available at the school level.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml**.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	**New York State Status** (Applies to New York State districts)
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)** A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007–08)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005–06	2006–07	2007–08
NO	NO	NO

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	–		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	✔	✔		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✔	✔		–	–	
White	✔	✔		✔	✔	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✔	✔		–	–	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal	State
Good Standing	Good Standing
Improvement (Year 1)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
Improvement (Year 5 & Above)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP	Participation[2]		Test Performance[3]		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08
All Students (826:816)	✔	✔	100%	✔	184	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (26:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (50:48)	✔	✔	100%	✔	154	108		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (31:30)	✔	–	–	✔	193	105		
White (719:714)	✔	✔	100%	✔	186	118		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (103:103)	✔	✔	100%	✔	143	112		
Limited English Proficient[5] (12:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08
All Students (826:814)	✔	✔	100%	✔	189	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (27:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (49:46)	✔	✔	100%	✔	172	72		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (31:30)	✔	–	–	✔	200	69		
White (719:714)	✔	✔	100%	✔	190	82		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (103:103)	✔	✔	100%	✔	156	76		
Limited English Proficient[5] (12:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP		Participation[2]		Test Performance[3]		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 / 2007–08
All Students (279:268)	✔	Qualified	✔	98%	✔	196	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (11:9)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (25:22)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (231:226)		Qualified	✔	99%	✔	196	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (26:24)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient[4] (5:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔	1 of 1						

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort)[1]	AYP	Participation[2]		Test Performance[3]		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08
All Students (122:127)	✔	✔	100%	✔	191	150		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (9:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (104:108)	✔	✔	100%	✔	193	149		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Limited English Proficient[4] (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 2 of 2							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2003 Cohort)[1]	AYP	Participation[2]		Test Performance[3]		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08
All Students (122:127)	✔	✔	100%	✔	195	143		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (9:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (104:108)	✔	✔	100%	✔	194	142		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Limited English Proficient[4] (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 2 of 2							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2007–08)	■	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)[1]	Graduation AYP	Graduation Met Criterion	Graduation Rate[2]	Objectives State Standard	Objectives Progress Target 2006–07	Objectives Progress Target 2007–08
All Students (94)	✔	✔	97%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (3)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		–	–	–		
White (87)		✔	98%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (12)		–	–	–		
Limited English Proficient[3] (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (0)						
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1					

NOTES

[1] Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

[2] Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

[3] If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
	Good Standing
	3 schools identified 100% of total
	CARRIE E TOMPKINS SCHOOL
	CROTON-HARMON SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
	PIERRE VAN CORTLANDT SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	0% 50% 100%	Total Tested
English Language Arts			
Grade 3	80%		124
Grade 4	85%		136
Grade 5	91%		144
Grade 6	83%		131
Grade 7	87%		145
Grade 8	86%		139
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		123
Grade 4	87%		138
Grade 5	91%		145
Grade 6	85%		132
Grade 7	94%		145
Grade 8	87%		138
Science			
Grade 4	93%		138

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	0% 50% 100%	2003 Total Cohort
Secondary Level			
English	92%		128
Mathematics	96%		128

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: **Not Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: **Partially Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: **Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**124**	**97%**	**80%**	**21%**	**139**	**97%**	**85%**	**13%**
Female	60	95%	82%	25%	74	96%	84%	14%
Male	64	98%	78%	17%	65	98%	86%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	20%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	78%	33%	0%	8	88%	63%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	50%	5	100%	100%	20%
White	104	98%	83%	21%	126	98%	86%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	108	99%	85%	24%	130	99%	88%	14%
Students with Disabilities	16	81%	44%	0%	9	67%	44%	0%
English Proficient	120	–	–	–	139	97%	85%	13%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	124	97%	80%	21%	139	97%	85%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	97%	80%	21%	139	97%	85%	13%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**123**	**100%**	**93%**	**40%**	**141**	**98%**	**89%**	**26%**
Female	60	100%	90%	35%	75	96%	88%	24%
Male	63	100%	95%	44%	66	100%	89%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	20%	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	63%	0%	9	100%	78%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	83%	5	–	–	–
White	104	100%	94%	41%	126	98%	90%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	107	100%	96%	44%	131	99%	92%	27%
Students with Disabilities	16	100%	69%	13%	10	80%	40%	20%
English Proficient	119	–	–	–	141	98%	89%	26%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	123	100%	93%	40%	141	98%	89%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	123	100%	93%	40%	141	98%	89%	26%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year: Total Tested	2006–07: Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07: 3–4	2006–07: 4	2005–06 School Year: Total Tested	2005–06: Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06: 3–4	2005–06: 4
All Students	**136**	**99%**	**85%**	**21%**	**147**	**97%**	**88%**	**12%**
Female	71	97%	83%	20%	87	98%	90%	15%
Male	65	100%	86%	22%	60	97%	87%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	13	85%	62%	8%	6	100%	83%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
White	115	100%	88%	20%	133	97%	88%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	50%	8	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	123	98%	88%	23%	134	100%	93%	13%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	54%	0%	13	69%	46%	0%
English Proficient	133	–	–	–	147	97%	88%	12%
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	136	99%	85%	21%	147	97%	88%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	136	99%	85%	21%	147	97%	88%	12%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year: Total Tested	2006–07: Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07: 3–4	2006–07: 4	2005–06 School Year: Total Tested	2005–06: Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06: 3–4	2005–06: 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 684	Range:	622–800	650–800	702–800			
2006 Mean Score: 681							
2006–07		97%	87%	25%	94%	80%	28%
2005–06		96%	86%	29%	93%	78%	26%
Number of Tested Students:		134 141	120 127	34 42			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**138**	**97%**	**87%**	**25%**	**147**	**96%**	**86%**	**29%**
Female	71	96%	87%	21%	87	95%	84%	29%
Male	67	99%	87%	28%	60	97%	90%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	60%	33%	6	100%	83%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
White	115	97%	90%	23%	133	95%	86%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	8	100%	100%	13%
General-Education Students	125	99%	92%	27%	134	99%	90%	30%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	38%	0%	13	62%	46%	15%
English Proficient	134	–	–	–	147	96%	86%	29%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	138	97%	87%	25%	147	96%	86%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	97%	87%	25%	147	96%	86%	29%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 85	Range:	45–100	65–100	85–100			
2006 Mean Score: 86							
2006–07		99%	93%	62%	97%	85%	49%
2005–06		100%	94%	64%	97%	86%	49%
Number of Tested Students:		136 145	129 137	86 93			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**138**	**99%**	**93%**	**62%**	**145**	**100%**	**94%**	**64%**
Female	71	99%	93%	61%	85	100%	93%	61%
Male	67	99%	94%	64%	60	100%	97%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	87%	40%	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	80%
White	115	99%	95%	65%	132	100%	95%	65%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	63%	8	100%	75%	38%
General-Education Students	125	99%	97%	67%	132	100%	97%	67%
Students with Disabilities	13	92%	62%	15%	13	100%	69%	38%
English Proficient	134	–	–	–	145	100%	94%	64%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	138	99%	93%	62%	145	100%	94%	64%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	99%	93%	62%	145	100%	94%	64%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07 3–4	2006–07 4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06 3–4	2005–06 4
All Students	**144**	**99%**	**91%**	**15%**	**138**	**99%**	**79%**	**20%**
Female	83	100%	94%	14%	74	100%	76%	30%
Male	61	98%	87%	16%	64	98%	83%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	80%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
White	132	99%	90%	16%	125	100%	78%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	8	88%	88%	0%
General-Education Students	129	100%	95%	17%	114	100%	87%	24%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	60%	0%	24	96%	42%	4%
English Proficient	144	99%	91%	15%	138	99%	79%	20%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	144	99%	91%	15%	138	99%	79%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	144	99%	91%	15%	138	99%	79%	20%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07 3–4	2006–07 4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06 3–4	2005–06 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 682	Range:	619–780	650–780	699–780			
2006 Mean Score: 670							
2006–07		99%	91%	23%	94%	76%	22%
2005–06		95%	77%	21%	90%	68%	19%
Number of Tested Students:		144 133	132 108	34 29			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**145**	**99%**	**91%**	**23%**	**140**	**95%**	**77%**	**21%**
Female	84	100%	92%	19%	75	96%	77%	20%
Male	61	98%	90%	30%	65	94%	77%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	20%	5	100%	80%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
White	132	99%	92%	23%	126	96%	78%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%	9	78%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	130	100%	94%	25%	116	99%	87%	24%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	67%	7%	24	75%	29%	4%
English Proficient	145	99%	91%	23%	140	95%	77%	21%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	145	99%	91%	23%	140	95%	77%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	145	99%	91%	23%	140	95%	77%	21%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year: Total Tested	2006–07: Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07: 3–4	2006–07: 4	2005–06 School Year: Total Tested	2005–06: Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06: 3–4	2005–06: 4
All Students	**131**	**99%**	**83%**	**18%**	**142**	**99%**	**85%**	**23%**
Female	68	99%	88%	28%	70	100%	90%	31%
Male	63	100%	78%	8%	72	99%	79%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	0%	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	5	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	116	99%	85%	21%	133	99%	85%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	0%	9	100%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	104	100%	94%	23%	124	99%	91%	25%
Students with Disabilities	27	96%	41%	0%	18	100%	39%	11%
English Proficient	129	–	–	–	142	99%	85%	23%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	131	99%	83%	18%	142	99%	85%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	99%	83%	18%	142	99%	85%	23%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year: Total Tested	2006–07: Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2006–07: 3–4	2006–07: 4	2005–06 School Year: Total Tested	2005–06: Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	2005–06: 3–4	2005–06: 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 683	Range:	616–780	650–780	696–780			
2006 Mean Score: 685							
2006–07		97%	85%	33%	91%	71%	20%
2005–06		99%	92%	33%	87%	60%	13%
Number of Tested Students:		128 143	112 132	43 48			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**132**	**97%**	**85%**	**33%**	**144**	**99%**	**92%**	**33%**
Female	69	96%	86%	33%	71	99%	94%	31%
Male	63	98%	84%	32%	73	100%	89%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	33%	0%	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	5	–	–	–	6	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	117	97%	87%	33%	134	99%	93%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	44%	10	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	105	99%	91%	40%	125	100%	96%	37%
Students with Disabilities	27	89%	59%	4%	19	95%	63%	11%
English Proficient	130	–	–	–	144	99%	92%	33%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	132	97%	85%	33%	144	99%	92%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	132	97%	85%	33%	144	99%	92%	33%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**145**	**99%**	**87%**	**22%**	**140**	**96%**	**84%**	**12%**
Female	71	99%	89%	30%	75	97%	91%	13%
Male	74	100%	85%	15%	65	94%	75%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	–	–	–	8	100%	88%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	67%	0%	12	83%	67%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	–	–	–	6	83%	67%	17%
White	132	100%	87%	23%	114	97%	86%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%				
General-Education Students	129	99%	92%	24%	128	98%	87%	13%
Students with Disabilities	16	100%	44%	6%	12	67%	50%	0%
English Proficient	144	–	–	–	139	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	145	99%	87%	22%	140	96%	84%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	145	99%	87%	22%	140	96%	84%	12%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 696	Range:	611–800	650–800	693–800			
2006 Mean Score: 681							
2006–07		100%	94%	49%	93%	67%	18%
2005–06		99%	87%	33%	87%	56%	12%
Number of Tested Students:		145 139	137 122	71 46			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**145**	**100%**	**94%**	**49%**	**140**	**99%**	**87%**	**33%**
Female	71	100%	97%	45%	74	100%	91%	32%
Male	74	100%	92%	53%	66	98%	83%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	–	–	–	8	100%	88%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	17%	11	100%	64%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	–	–	–	6	100%	83%	50%
White	132	100%	95%	50%	115	99%	90%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	57%				
General-Education Students	129	100%	94%	52%	128	100%	89%	34%
Students with Disabilities	16	100%	100%	25%	12	92%	67%	17%
English Proficient	144	–	–	–	139	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	145	100%	94%	49%	140	99%	87%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	145	100%	94%	49%	140	99%	87%	33%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**139**	**99%**	**86%**	**8%**	**125**	**95%**	**74%**	**10%**
Female	74	99%	91%	9%	63	97%	78%	13%
Male	65	100%	80%	6%	62	94%	69%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	78%	0%	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	80%	10%	7	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	20%	1	–	–	–
White	115	100%	87%	8%	116	95%	76%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	100%	44%	11%
General-Education Students	128	100%	89%	9%	110	98%	80%	11%
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	45%	0%	15	73%	27%	0%
English Proficient	139	99%	86%	8%	123	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient					2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	139	99%	86%	8%	125	95%	74%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	99%	86%	8%	125	95%	74%	10%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2007 Mean Score: 678	Range:	616–775	650–775	701–775			
2006 Mean Score: 669							
2006–07		99%	87%	20%	88%	59%	12%
2005–06		97%	78%	11%	85%	54%	10%
Number of Tested Students:		136 121	120 97	27 14			



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**138**	**99%**	**87%**	**20%**	**125**	**97%**	**78%**	**11%**
Female	74	99%	89%	23%	61	97%	77%	11%
Male	64	98%	84%	16%	64	97%	78%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	33%	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	70%	0%	8	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	60%	1	–	–	–
White	114	98%	88%	18%	115	97%	81%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					10	90%	40%	10%
General-Education Students	127	100%	91%	21%	110	100%	85%	13%
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	36%	0%	15	73%	20%	0%
English Proficient	137	–	–	–	123	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	138	99%	87%	20%	125	97%	78%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	99%	87%	20%	125	97%	78%	11%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

Student Group	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students					**3**	**–**	**–**	**–**
Female								
Male					3	–	–	–
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White					2	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					3	–	–	–
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					3	–	–	–
English Proficient					3	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged					3	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant					3	–	–	–

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Assessment	2006–07 School Year Total Tested	2006–07 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	2005–06 School Year Total Tested	2005–06 Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	133	133	131	66	120	117	115	53

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**128**	**95%**	**92%**	**68%**	**99**	**97%**	**95%**	**59%**
Female	67	97%	93%	70%	49	98%	96%	71%
Male	61	93%	92%	66%	50	96%	94%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	30%	1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	109	95%	94%	72%	92	97%	95%	61%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	56%	7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	115	97%	95%	74%	87	98%	97%	67%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	69%	15%	12	92%	83%	0%
English Proficient	127	–	–	–	98	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	128	95%	92%	68%	99	97%	95%	59%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					99	97%	95%	59%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report.*

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **CROTON-HARMON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **66-02-02-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**128**	**98%**	**96%**	**63%**	**99**	**96%**	**95%**	**49%**
Female	67	97%	96%	64%	49	98%	98%	57%
Male	61	98%	97%	62%	50	94%	92%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	100%	40%	1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	109	98%	96%	64%	92	96%	96%	51%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	78%	7	100%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	115	99%	99%	69%	87	97%	97%	54%
Students with Disabilities	13	85%	69%	15%	12	92%	83%	17%
English Proficient	127	–	–	–	98	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	128	98%	96%	63%	99	96%	95%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					99	96%	95%	49%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				1	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report.*

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.