



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2006 – 07**

District **PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE  
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-19-04-03-0000**

Superintendent **DONALD CARLISLE**

Telephone **(914) 934-7901**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)

## Use this report to:

### **1** Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

### **4** Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	304	313	334
Grade 1	318	308	315
Grade 2	312	318	293
Grade 3	299	301	300
Grade 4	269	262	282
Grade 5	252	262	252
Grade 6	260	256	265
Ungraded Elementary	70	82	76
Grade 7	247	269	262
Grade 8	245	256	263
Grade 9	283	326	304
Grade 10	238	281	249
Grade 11	218	264	292
Grade 12	153	209	217
Ungraded Secondary	140	6	10
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>3608</b>	<b>3713</b>	<b>3714</b>

### Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
<b>Common Branch</b>	22	22	22
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	12	14	16
Mathematics	16	15	15
Science	22	23	25
Social Studies	20	22	23
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	25	20	23
Mathematics	19	21	22
Science	25	23	22
Social Studies	25	22	21

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-19-04-03-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1525	42%	1473	40%	1535	41%
Reduced-Price Lunch	492	14%	382	10%	378	10%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	866	24%	967	26%	983	26%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	4	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	342	9%	335	9%	302	8%
Hispanic or Latino	2461	68%	2548	69%	2629	71%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	1%	47	1%	57	2%
White	768	21%	779	21%	712	19%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		94%	
Student Suspensions	313	9%	226	6%	286	8%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-19-04-03-0000**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	269	270	263
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	2%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	4%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	5%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	39%	42%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes*</b>	N/A	964	651
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	4%	4%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	809	823	852
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	5%	3%

\* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	26%	14%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	11%	12%

## Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	33	31	32
Total Paraprofessionals*	68	74	76
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	6	6	6

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

#### Improvement (Year 1)

ELA	Improvement (Year 1)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
	<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓		-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓		✗	✗	
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 4 of 5	✗ 4 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending - Requires Special Evaluation |  |



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts























**Accountability Status for This Subject (2007-08)**  Improvement (Year 1)

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2007-08, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2008-09. [206]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
<b>All Students</b> (1679:1600)			98%		167	119	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (287:127)			97%		153	113	
Hispanic or Latino (1209:1143)			98%		164	119	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (312:309)			99%		187	116	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (467:230)			92%		131	115	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (286:431)			99%		150	117	
Economically Disadvantaged (1018:959)			98%		160	118	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		6 of 7					

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007-08)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
<b>All Students</b> (1691:1632)			99%		182	83	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (134:126)			96%		160	77	
Hispanic or Latino (1224:1178)			99%		182	83	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (312:309)			99%		192	80	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (248:236)			96%		135	79	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (291:460)			99%		184	81	
Economically Disadvantaged (1025:990)			99%		179	83	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007-08)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006-07 2007-08
<b>All Students</b> (580:551)		Qualified		98%		186	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (44:42)		Qualified		98%		164	100	
Hispanic or Latino (421:398)		Qualified		98%		186	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (109:106)		Qualified		98%		195	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (77:73)		Qualified		95%		163	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (78:118)		Qualified		97%		177	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (335:317)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts
















**Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)**  Improvement (Year 1)

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2007-08, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2008-09. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2007-08, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2008-09. [206]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07    2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students (226:250)</b>			100%		164	152	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (23:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (138:158)			100%		161	151	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (61:61)			100%		185	147	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (13:37)		—	—		92	143	113 <sup>‡</sup> 103
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (12:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (86:103)			100%		157	149	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		4 of 5					

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
















## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2007–08)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07    2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (226:250)			100%		172	145	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (23:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (138:158)			99%		168	144	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (61:61)			100%		189	140	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (13:37)		—	—		116	136	122 <sup>‡</sup> 124
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (12:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (86:103)			99%		170	142	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		4 of 5					

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2007–08)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08	
<b>All Students</b> (241)			69%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (24)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (146)			62%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		–	–	–		
White (68)			88%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (38)			32%	55%	42%	33%
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (26)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (84)			52%	55%	55%	53%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>  1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-19-04-03-0000**

## 2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### Good Standing

3 schools identified 50% of total

JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL  
PORT CHESTER MIDDLE SCHOOL  
THOMAS A EDISON SCHOOL

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

3 schools identified 50% of total

KING STREET SCHOOL  
PARK AVENUE SCHOOL  
PORT CHESTER SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District **PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-19-04-03-0000**

## Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	76%			293
Grade 4	74%			287
Grade 5	77%			255
Grade 6	68%			249
Grade 7	57%			243
Grade 8	71%			249
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	90%			305
Grade 4	92%			296
Grade 5	86%			259
Grade 6	77%			265
Grade 7	83%			252
Grade 8	85%			261
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	96%			299
Grade 8	76%			259

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>Secondary Level</b>				
English	75%			260
Mathematics	78%			260

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.



## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	155	97%	79%	8%	126	98%	79%	15%
Male	138	93%	72%	9%	123	95%	85%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	207	98%	73%	4%	170	98%	84%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	60	98%	92%	27%	59	95%	85%	14%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	26	73%	62%	4%	20	90%	65%	10%
General-Education Students	252	99%	81%	10%	224	98%	86%	12%
Students with Disabilities	41	73%	44%	0%	25	84%	52%	4%
English Proficient	194	94%	82%	12%	219	96%	80%	12%
Limited English Proficient	99	98%	63%	2%	30	100%	97%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	187	94%	71%	6%	128	95%	79%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	106	98%	84%	13%	121	98%	86%	17%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	293	96%	76%	9%	249	96%	82%	11%


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	158	98%	91%	27%	157	95%	83%	22%
Male	147	97%	90%	26%	155	95%	86%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	22	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	221	98%	90%	21%	232	95%	84%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	59	100%	98%	47%	58	95%	84%	31%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	25	92%	76%	20%	22	95%	86%	23%
General-Education Students	263	99%	94%	30%	276	97%	88%	24%
Students with Disabilities	42	88%	69%	2%	36	78%	61%	11%
English Proficient	193	97%	93%	33%	220	97%	91%	27%
Limited English Proficient	112	98%	86%	15%	92	89%	70%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	198	97%	88%	22%	182	93%	83%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	107	99%	94%	35%	130	97%	87%	28%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	305	98%	90%	26%	312	95%	85%	23%

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>
Female	141	96%	76%	10%	102	100%	89%	16%
Male	146	92%	73%	4%	100	98%	87%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	25	96%	56%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	218	94%	71%	3%	124	100%	92%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	33%
White	51	94%	90%	22%	47	98%	94%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	89%	72%	11%				
General-Education Students	250	98%	78%	8%	180	100%	92%	14%
Students with Disabilities	37	65%	49%	0%	22	91%	59%	0%
English Proficient	242	95%	83%	8%	193	99%	88%	12%
Limited English Proficient	45	87%	27%	0%	9	100%	100%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	173	94%	69%	3%	114	100%	82%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	114	95%	82%	13%	88	98%	95%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	287	94%	74%	7%	202	99%	88%	12%


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Female	146	98%	95%	27%	137	98%	91%	30%
Male	150	95%	89%	31%	129	97%	91%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	25	92%	84%	28%
Hispanic or Latino	227	97%	91%	25%	187	97%	91%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	50%
White	51	94%	94%	51%	48	100%	92%	46%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	100%	17%				
General-Education Students	259	100%	96%	31%	233	100%	94%	36%
Students with Disabilities	37	76%	62%	11%	33	82%	67%	30%
English Proficient	246	97%	93%	33%	190	98%	95%	41%
Limited English Proficient	50	96%	84%	8%	76	95%	80%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	180	97%	92%	26%	161	97%	89%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	116	97%	91%	34%	105	98%	93%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	296	97%	92%	29%	266	97%	91%	35%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>79%</b>
Female	148	99%	97%	73%	140	100%	97%	74%
Male	151	98%	95%	72%	132	100%	98%	85%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	26	100%	96%	65%
Hispanic or Latino	230	98%	97%	70%	192	100%	97%	78%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	100%
White	51	98%	96%	88%	48	100%	100%	88%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	89%	61%				
General-Education Students	263	99%	98%	76%	237	100%	98%	81%
Students with Disabilities	36	94%	83%	42%	35	100%	97%	69%
English Proficient	249	99%	97%	78%	195	100%	99%	84%
Limited English Proficient	50	96%	92%	44%	77	100%	95%	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	99%	96%	67%	165	100%	98%	76%
Not Disadvantaged	118	97%	97%	80%	107	100%	97%	84%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	98%	96%	72%	272	100%	98%	79%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>16%</b>
Female	129	96%	75%	6%	110	97%	85%	21%
Male	126	98%	79%	10%	113	99%	85%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	92%	75%	13%	21	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	178	97%	71%	4%	145	99%	88%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	17%	4	-	-	-
White	47	100%	98%	19%	53	98%	89%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					25	96%	56%	8%
General-Education Students	211	98%	81%	9%	199	100%	88%	18%
Students with Disabilities	44	91%	57%	5%	24	83%	54%	4%
English Proficient	229	98%	82%	9%	213	98%	85%	16%
Limited English Proficient	26	85%	35%	0%	10	100%	90%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	155	95%	74%	3%	125	98%	84%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	100	99%	81%	16%	98	98%	86%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	255	97%	77%	8%	223	98%	85%	16%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>21%</b>
Female	131	98%	83%	24%	141	94%	79%	21%
Male	128	98%	90%	30%	132	98%	89%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	88%	79%	17%	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	183	98%	87%	22%	193	95%	82%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	67%	4	-	-	-
White	46	100%	87%	46%	54	98%	96%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					26	96%	77%	12%
General-Education Students	215	100%	91%	31%	238	98%	88%	23%
Students with Disabilities	44	89%	66%	5%	35	80%	60%	6%
English Proficient	229	97%	88%	29%	217	98%	88%	24%
Limited English Proficient	30	100%	73%	7%	56	88%	71%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	157	96%	85%	21%	164	95%	80%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	102	100%	89%	35%	109	96%	90%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	259	98%	86%	27%	273	96%	84%	21%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	132	99%	67%	21%	103	93%	67%	7%
Male	117	98%	69%	9%	123	93%	59%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	95%	50%	9%	21	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	174	99%	64%	11%	156	92%	58%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	0%	1	-	-	-
White	47	100%	91%	36%	48	98%	79%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					22	91%	59%	5%
General-Education Students	218	99%	72%	17%	202	97%	68%	10%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	39%	3%	24	63%	13%	0%
English Proficient	221	100%	74%	17%	216	94%	64%	9%
Limited English Proficient	28	93%	21%	0%	10	90%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	98%	62%	9%	125	92%	56%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	97	100%	77%	26%	101	95%	70%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	249	99%	68%	15%	226	93%	62%	9%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	139	91%	76%	22%	115	84%	45%	9%
Male	126	94%	77%	20%	130	88%	58%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	71%	42%	13%	21	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	188	94%	77%	17%	176	85%	50%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	0%	1	-	-	-
White	48	98%	92%	42%	47	91%	64%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					22	91%	41%	5%
General-Education Students	231	97%	81%	24%	221	91%	56%	8%
Students with Disabilities	34	59%	44%	0%	24	46%	17%	0%
English Proficient	225	92%	76%	23%	213	89%	57%	8%
Limited English Proficient	40	98%	80%	10%	32	69%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	92%	76%	15%	145	82%	45%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	101	93%	78%	30%	100	93%	62%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	265	92%	77%	21%	245	87%	52%	7%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	105	96%	64%	3%	116	96%	72%	7%
Male	138	95%	52%	3%	122	98%	75%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	179	96%	52%	3%	156	97%	71%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	46	96%	76%	2%	55	98%	89%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	94%	61%	6%	27	96%	63%	15%
General-Education Students	220	96%	62%	3%	213	100%	79%	8%
Students with Disabilities	23	87%	13%	0%	25	72%	32%	0%
English Proficient	223	97%	61%	3%	238	97%	74%	7%
Limited English Proficient	20	75%	10%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	142	94%	46%	4%	119	98%	67%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	101	98%	73%	1%	119	96%	81%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	95%	57%	3%	238	97%	74%	7%


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Female	110	98%	82%	14%	126	94%	62%	16%
Male	142	99%	85%	20%	137	96%	66%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	100%	75%	25%	26	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	190	98%	81%	15%	177	95%	62%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					4	-	-	-
White	46	100%	96%	26%	56	96%	86%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					30	90%	40%	3%
General-Education Students	229	100%	87%	19%	238	98%	68%	19%
Students with Disabilities	23	83%	43%	0%	25	68%	24%	0%
English Proficient	225	98%	86%	19%	235	95%	67%	18%
Limited English Proficient	27	100%	63%	7%	28	93%	39%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	97%	77%	11%	142	94%	56%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	103	100%	92%	26%	121	96%	74%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	98%	83%	17%	263	95%	64%	17%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	7	5	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	115	100%	74%	10%	94	97%	68%	3%
Male	134	99%	69%	2%	128	93%	63%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	24	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	168	99%	68%	2%	129	95%	63%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	54	100%	87%	17%	59	95%	76%	7%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	27	100%	59%	4%	34	94%	56%	3%
General-Education Students	225	100%	74%	6%	194	98%	73%	5%
Students with Disabilities	24	100%	42%	0%	28	68%	11%	0%
English Proficient	227	100%	77%	6%	217	94%	66%	4%
Limited English Proficient	22	95%	9%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	99%	63%	1%	116	95%	61%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	113	100%	81%	11%	106	94%	70%	8%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	249	100%	71%	6%	222	95%	65%	4%


**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	120	94%	83%	17%	114	95%	70%	9%
Male	141	96%	86%	18%	132	94%	75%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	23	-	-	-	28	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	179	96%	83%	18%	157	93%	72%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	55	98%	95%	24%	58	98%	86%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	27	89%	78%	0%	31	94%	52%	3%
General-Education Students	234	98%	91%	20%	221	96%	77%	11%
Students with Disabilities	27	70%	26%	0%	25	80%	32%	0%
English Proficient	234	95%	86%	19%	211	97%	74%	10%
Limited English Proficient	27	96%	70%	7%	35	77%	63%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	143	93%	82%	14%	134	92%	66%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	118	98%	88%	22%	112	97%	80%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	261	95%	85%	18%	246	94%	73%	10%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

### 2006-07 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

### 2005-06 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2006-07 School Year	Percentage scoring at level(s):			2005-06 School Year	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>13%</b>
Female	121	97%	70%	24%	113	96%	64%	9%
Male	138	97%	82%	27%	131	98%	69%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	-	-	-	28	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	175	96%	74%	19%	157	96%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	55	100%	96%	55%	56	100%	80%	36%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	29	97%	55%	7%	31	97%	58%	3%
General-Education Students	233	98%	79%	27%	218	99%	71%	14%
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	50%	12%	26	81%	27%	0%
English Proficient	234	98%	82%	28%	210	98%	73%	15%
Limited English Proficient	25	88%	20%	0%	34	91%	26%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	96%	71%	13%	135	94%	59%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	118	97%	83%	40%	109	100%	76%	20%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	259	97%	76%	25%	244	97%	66%	13%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2006-07 School Year


Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

### 2005-06 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.
Regents Science	0				0

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>30%</b>
Female	113	83%	78%	27%	130	80%	78%	41%
Male	147	76%	72%	18%	136	72%	65%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	71%	61%	21%	26	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	163	77%	73%	10%	165	68%	65%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
White	64	88%	84%	53%	72	93%	90%	53%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					29	79%	66%	17%
General-Education Students	221	87%	84%	26%	233	79%	77%	34%
Students with Disabilities	39	31%	21%	0%	33	52%	36%	3%
English Proficient	241	81%	77%	24%	215	80%	76%	36%
Limited English Proficient	19	53%	42%	0%	51	57%	55%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	79%	74%	9%	85	69%	64%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	156	79%	75%	31%	181	79%	76%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					266	76%	72%	30%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	113	85%	81%	11%	130	82%	82%	8%
Male	147	79%	76%	10%	136	73%	68%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	82%	71%	4%	26	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	163	79%	75%	9%	165	73%	70%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	40%	3	-	-	-
White	64	88%	88%	14%	72	88%	88%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					29	76%	72%	3%
General-Education Students	221	90%	87%	11%	233	82%	80%	10%
Students with Disabilities	39	33%	28%	5%	33	48%	39%	0%
English Proficient	241	82%	78%	11%	215	80%	78%	10%
Limited English Proficient	19	79%	74%	5%	51	65%	63%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	81%	79%	8%	85	73%	68%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	156	82%	78%	12%	181	80%	78%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					266	77%	75%	9%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort			2002 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.