



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2006 – 07**

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

Superintendent **MICHAEL MCGILL**

Telephone **(914) 721-2410**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	353	356	332
Grade 1	349	375	370
Grade 2	391	359	376
Grade 3	334	393	366
Grade 4	339	337	404
Grade 5	347	343	339
Grade 6	367	356	339
Ungraded Elementary	24	0	0
Grade 7	378	370	347
Grade 8	375	374	374
Grade 9	364	371	375
Grade 10	352	365	357
Grade 11	340	344	360
Grade 12	280	336	340
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K-12	4593	4679	4680

Average Class Size

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	20	21	21
Mathematics	20	22	20
Science	21	21	20
Social Studies	22	19	21
Grade 10			
English	21	21	19
Mathematics	20	19	21
Science	22	24	22
Social Studies	20	21	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	128	3%	17	0%	98	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	89	2%	90	2%	79	2%
Hispanic or Latino	81	2%	117	3%	119	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	557	12%	575	12%	551	12%
White	3866	84%	3897	83%	3930	84%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

* Not available at the district level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	98%		97%		97%	
Student Suspensions	23	1%	12	0%	18	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	392	395	370
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	3%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	57%	59%	63%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	1398	865
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	2%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1286	1277	1297
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	2%	1%

* Data for 2004-05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	10%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	8%	14%

Staff Counts

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Other Professional Staff	44	43	44
Total Paraprofessionals*	117	79	116
Assistant Principals	3	3	4
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003–04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003–04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2007-08)

■ Good Standing

ELA	■ Good Standing	Science	■ Good Standing
Math	■ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	■ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
NO	NO	NO

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓		-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		✓	✓	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Limited English Proficient	-	✓		-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | ■ Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending - Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007-08)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006-07 2007-08
All Students (2188:2146)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (39:36)	✓	—	—	✓	189	106	
Hispanic or Latino (65:65)	✓	✓	100%	✓	177	110	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (268:246)	✓	✓	100%	✓	189	115	
White (1816:1799)	✓	✓	100%	✓	193	119	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (153:151)	✓	✓	100%	✓	141	114	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (41:16)	—	✓	100%	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)							
Final AYP Determination	✓	6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006-07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005-06 and 2006-07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006-07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (2184:2154)	✓	✓	99%	✓	192	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (39:37)	✓	—	—	✓	170	70	
Hispanic or Latino (65:64)	✓	✓	98%	✓	188	74	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (266:260)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	79	
White (1814:1793)	✓	✓	99%	✓	192	83	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (152:149)	✓	✓	99%	✓	134	77	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (37:56)	✓	—	—	✓	184	73	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)							
Final AYP Determination	✓	7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08
All Students (784:763)	✓	Qualified	✓	98%	✓	196	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (11:11)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (22:22)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (95:92)		Qualified	✓	98%	✓	197	100	
White (656:638)		Qualified	✓	97%	✓	197	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (48:43)		Qualified	✓	92%	✓	174	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (8:7)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✓	1 of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (347:348)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (11:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:45)	✓	✓	100%	✓	193	145	
White (284:285)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	153	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (39:41)	✓	—	—	✓	178	144	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)							
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 - ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07 2007–08
(12th Graders: 2003 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (347:348)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	146	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (7:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:45)	✓	✓	100%	✓	200	138	
White (284:285)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	146	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (39:41)	✓	–	–	✓	180	137	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)							
Final AYP Determination	✓	4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2006–07 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2003 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort, data for 2002 and 2003 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2003 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2003 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2007–08)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2008-09. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2006–07 2007–08	
All Students (345)	✓	✓	99%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (10)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (9)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44)		✓	100%	55%		
White (282)		✓	99%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (52)		✓	92%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (0)						
Final AYP Determination	✓	1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2002 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2002 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2006–07.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2002 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2006–07 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

2007–08 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2007–08 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

■ **Good Standing**

7 schools identified 100% of total

EDGEWOOD SCHOOL

FOX MEADOW SCHOOL

GREENACRES SCHOOL

HEATHCOTE SCHOOL

QUAKER RIDGE SCHOOL

SCARSDALE MIDDLE SCHOOL

SCARSDALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District **SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-20-01-03-0000**

Summary of 2006–07 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	91%			358
Grade 4	93%			403
Grade 5	94%			336
Grade 6	94%			333
Grade 7	90%			345
Grade 8	95%			371
Mathematics				
Grade 3	96%			364
Grade 4	96%			405
Grade 5	97%			342
Grade 6	88%			336
Grade 7	87%			343
Grade 8	90%			368
Science				
Grade 4	100%			404
Grade 8	93%			358

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2003 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	96%			351
Mathematics	97%			351

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	358	99%	91%	27%	387	99%	92%	17%
Female	166	99%	89%	25%	192	100%	94%	20%
Male	192	99%	92%	28%	195	99%	91%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	60%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	67%	0%	10	100%	90%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	98%	95%	27%	51	98%	90%	22%
White	296	99%	91%	26%	321	100%	93%	16%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	50%				
General-Education Students	333	100%	95%	28%	372	100%	94%	18%
Students with Disabilities	25	88%	36%	4%	15	87%	47%	0%
English Proficient	352	99%	91%	27%	387	99%	92%	17%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	67%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	358	99%	91%	27%	387	99%	92%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	358	99%	91%	27%	387	99%	92%	17%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	364	99%	96%	49%	393	100%	96%	38%
Female	168	99%	96%	42%	196	100%	96%	38%
Male	196	100%	96%	56%	197	99%	96%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	40%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	89%	33%	10	100%	90%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	100%	100%	51%	54	100%	94%	52%
White	298	99%	96%	49%	324	100%	97%	37%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	67%				
General-Education Students	339	100%	99%	52%	378	100%	97%	39%
Students with Disabilities	25	92%	56%	8%	15	100%	73%	13%
English Proficient	353	99%	96%	50%	388	100%	96%	38%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	18%	5	100%	100%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	364	99%	96%	49%	393	100%	96%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	364	99%	96%	49%	393	100%	96%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	403	99%	93%	16%	324	100%	96%	23%
Female	197	99%	95%	20%	172	100%	96%	28%
Male	206	99%	91%	12%	152	99%	95%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	20%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	73%	0%	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	96%	90%	20%	33	100%	94%	36%
White	330	100%	94%	15%	278	100%	96%	21%
Multiracial	6	100%	100%	50%				
Small Group Totals					13	100%	100%	31%
General-Education Students	385	100%	95%	16%	304	100%	99%	25%
Students with Disabilities	18	83%	50%	0%	20	95%	45%	0%
English Proficient	402	-	-	-	324	100%	96%	23%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	403	99%	93%	16%	324	100%	96%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	403	99%	93%	16%	324	100%	96%	23%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	405	100%	96%	51%	332	100%	98%	55%
Female	198	100%	96%	48%	177	100%	98%	54%
Male	207	100%	96%	53%	155	100%	97%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	60%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	82%	18%	11	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	98%	96%	69%	38	100%	100%	76%
White	331	100%	96%	48%	280	100%	98%	51%
Multiracial	6	100%	100%	83%				
Small Group Totals					14	100%	100%	64%
General-Education Students	387	100%	98%	52%	312	100%	99%	58%
Students with Disabilities	18	94%	61%	17%	20	100%	85%	5%
English Proficient	402	-	-	-	326	100%	98%	54%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	83%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	405	100%	96%	51%	332	100%	98%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	405	100%	96%	51%	332	100%	98%	55%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	404	100%	100%	78%	334	100%	99%	78%
Female	198	100%	99%	73%	178	100%	98%	80%
Male	206	100%	100%	83%	156	100%	99%	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	80%	5	100%	100%	80%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	91%	55%	11	100%	100%	82%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	100%	100%	76%	38	100%	97%	79%
White	331	100%	100%	79%	280	100%	99%	78%
Multiracial	6	100%	100%	100%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	387	100%	100%	80%	314	100%	99%	82%
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	94%	29%	20	100%	90%	25%
English Proficient	401	-	-	-	328	100%	99%	79%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	404	100%	100%	78%	334	100%	99%	78%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	404	100%	100%	78%	334	100%	99%	78%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	336	99%	94%	19%	332	100%	97%	37%
Female	188	99%	94%	24%	171	99%	98%	40%
Male	148	99%	95%	14%	161	100%	95%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	39	100%	90%	26%	34	100%	94%	35%
White	271	99%	94%	18%	281	100%	97%	38%
Multiracial	13	100%	100%	23%				
Small Group Totals	13	100%	92%	23%				
General-Education Students	318	100%	97%	20%	309	100%	98%	39%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	33%	0%	23	96%	78%	9%
English Proficient	334	-	-	-	332	100%	97%	37%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	336	99%	94%	19%	332	100%	97%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	336	99%	94%	19%	332	100%	97%	37%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	342	99%	97%	44%	341	99%	93%	41%
Female	190	99%	97%	42%	172	100%	94%	33%
Male	152	99%	98%	47%	169	97%	93%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	9	89%	78%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	43	100%	100%	58%	39	100%	90%	49%
White	272	100%	97%	42%	284	99%	94%	41%
Multiracial	13	100%	92%	31%				
Small Group Totals	14	93%	93%	50%				
General-Education Students	324	100%	99%	46%	318	99%	96%	43%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	61%	11%	23	87%	61%	4%
English Proficient	337	100%	98%	45%	334	99%	94%	41%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	80%	20%	7	71%	71%	43%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	342	99%	97%	44%	341	99%	93%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	99%	97%	44%	341	99%	93%	41%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	333	100%	94%	26%	337	99%	91%	33%
Female	168	100%	96%	34%	156	99%	94%	37%
Male	165	99%	91%	19%	181	99%	87%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	0%	7	100%	71%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	82%	9%	10	100%	60%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	91%	41%	35	100%	86%	43%
White	272	100%	94%	25%	285	99%	93%	33%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	57%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	310	100%	97%	28%	308	100%	94%	35%
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	43%	0%	29	93%	48%	3%
English Proficient	330	-	-	-	336	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	333	100%	94%	26%	337	99%	91%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	333	100%	94%	26%	337	99%	91%	33%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	336	98%	88%	19%	340	99%	89%	37%
Female	166	99%	89%	17%	159	99%	87%	31%
Male	170	98%	87%	21%	181	98%	90%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	10	90%	70%	0%	7	100%	57%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	91%	18%	10	90%	60%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	92%	39%	38	100%	95%	61%
White	272	98%	88%	17%	285	99%	89%	35%
Multiracial	7	100%	86%	29%	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	313	100%	92%	20%	310	99%	91%	40%
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	30%	4%	30	93%	63%	7%
English Proficient	328	99%	88%	19%	334	99%	88%	36%
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	75%	25%	6	100%	100%	100%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	336	98%	88%	19%	340	99%	89%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	336	98%	88%	19%	340	99%	89%	37%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	345	99%	90%	20%	363	99%	94%	30%
Female	158	99%	92%	23%	178	99%	93%	30%
Male	187	98%	89%	17%	185	99%	95%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	17%	5	80%	80%	40%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	67%	8%	9	100%	100%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	100%	80%	26%	36	100%	92%	22%
White	283	98%	93%	19%	313	100%	94%	31%
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	22%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	312	100%	94%	21%	339	100%	96%	32%
Students with Disabilities	33	88%	55%	3%	24	92%	67%	13%
English Proficient	342	-	-	-	363	99%	94%	30%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	345	99%	90%	20%	363	99%	94%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	345	99%	90%	20%	363	99%	94%	30%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	99%	87%	31%	367	98%	87%	25%
Female	156	99%	88%	31%	182	98%	84%	24%
Male	187	98%	87%	31%	185	98%	91%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	75%	17%	11	100%	91%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	100%	92%	54%	37	97%	92%	35%
White	280	98%	88%	29%	313	99%	87%	25%
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	44%	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	310	100%	93%	34%	343	100%	90%	27%
Students with Disabilities	33	85%	39%	0%	24	79%	46%	4%
English Proficient	337	99%	88%	31%	362	98%	87%	25%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	33%	5	100%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	343	99%	87%	31%	367	98%	87%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	99%	87%	31%	367	98%	87%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	371	100%	95%	22%	364	98%	86%	18%
Female	187	99%	95%	25%	179	98%	89%	20%
Male	184	100%	94%	20%	185	98%	83%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	17%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	90%	10%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	100%	95%	30%	36	100%	86%	22%
White	311	100%	95%	22%	317	98%	87%	18%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	14%				
Small Group Totals					11	91%	55%	0%
General-Education Students	345	100%	96%	24%	336	100%	92%	19%
Students with Disabilities	26	96%	73%	4%	28	82%	11%	0%
English Proficient	370	-	-	-	361	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	371	100%	95%	22%	364	98%	86%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	371	100%	95%	22%	364	98%	86%	18%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	368	98%	90%	17%	363	99%	93%	28%
Female	185	98%	85%	17%	179	99%	93%	29%
Male	183	99%	95%	17%	184	98%	92%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	67%	67%	0%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	89%	11%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	98%	93%	28%	38	100%	100%	53%
White	306	99%	90%	16%	314	99%	93%	26%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	14%				
Small Group Totals					11	100%	64%	0%
General-Education Students	343	100%	94%	18%	338	100%	96%	30%
Students with Disabilities	25	76%	36%	4%	25	84%	44%	0%
English Proficient	364	-	-	-	355	99%	93%	28%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	63%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	368	98%	90%	17%	363	99%	93%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	368	98%	90%	17%	363	99%	93%	28%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year			2005-06 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	358	99%	93%	49%	356	99%	92%	40%
Female	181	99%	91%	41%	177	99%	92%	32%
Male	177	99%	95%	58%	179	99%	93%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	67%	17%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	100%	60%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	39	97%	90%	46%	38	100%	97%	50%
White	296	99%	94%	49%	307	99%	92%	40%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	71%				
Small Group Totals					11	100%	82%	18%
General-Education Students	334	100%	95%	52%	329	100%	96%	43%
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	71%	13%	27	89%	44%	0%
English Proficient	353	99%	93%	50%	348	99%	92%	39%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	60%	0%	8	100%	100%	75%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	358	99%	93%	49%	356	99%	92%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	358	99%	93%	49%	356	99%	92%	40%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2006-07 School Year				2005-06 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared.			
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	351	97%	96%	74%	342	98%	96%	75%
Female	172	100%	98%	76%	164	99%	97%	81%
Male	179	95%	94%	72%	178	98%	96%	69%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	57%	10	90%	70%	60%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	82%	10	100%	80%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	100%	93%	67%	44	98%	95%	77%
White	288	97%	96%	75%	278	99%	98%	76%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	309	99%	99%	81%	293	100%	99%	82%
Students with Disabilities	42	83%	76%	26%	49	88%	82%	33%
English Proficient	350	-	-	-	340	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	351	97%	96%	74%	342	98%	96%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					342	98%	96%	75%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2003 Cohort				2002 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	351	98%	97%	85%	342	96%	96%	75%
Female	172	100%	98%	86%	164	96%	96%	73%
Male	179	96%	96%	83%	178	95%	95%	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	86%	71%	10	90%	90%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	91%	10	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	100%	100%	82%	44	89%	89%	77%
White	288	97%	96%	85%	278	97%	97%	77%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	309	99%	99%	91%	293	97%	97%	80%
Students with Disabilities	42	86%	79%	36%	49	88%	88%	45%
English Proficient	350	-	-	-	340	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	351	98%	97%	85%	342	96%	96%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant					342	96%	96%	75%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2003 Cohort			2002 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				2	-	-	-

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2002 cohort data are those reported in the 2005-06 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.