



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **01-03-06-06-0000**

Superintendent **MICHAEL TEBBANO**

Telephone **(518) 439-7098**

Grades **K-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	301	299	283
Grade 1	358	366	377
Grade 2	370	368	380
Grade 3	379	380	377
Grade 4	401	388	387
Grade 5	433	411	390
Grade 6	384	385	401
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	397	397	456
Grade 8	433	435	389
Grade 9	445	454	398
Grade 10	416	445	445
Grade 11	444	413	421
Grade 12	415	440	406
Ungraded Secondary	2	1	2
Total K-12	5178	5182	5112

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	22	21	21
Grade 8			
English	21	22	19
Mathematics	21	22	19
Science	22	23	19
Social Studies	22	23	19
Grade 10			
English	21	22	20
Mathematics	19	21	22
Science	19	20	19
Social Studies	24	22	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 01-03-06-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	111	2%	111	2%	172	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	56	1%	73	1%	87	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	115	2%	69	1%	67	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	8	0%	10	0%
Black or African American	106	2%	98	2%	117	2%
Hispanic or Latino	114	2%	118	2%	111	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	218	4%	208	4%	243	5%
White	4735	91%	4745	92%	4604	90%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	5	0%	27	1%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		94%		91%
Student Suspensions	124	2%	128	2%	108	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 01-03-06-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	387	376	401
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	7%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	13%	13%
Total Number of Core Classes	1374	1020	1100
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	3%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1381	1380	1405
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	13%	18%	16%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	18%	13%	9%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	68	74	81
Total Paraprofessionals*	126	137	149
Assistant Principals	3	2	3
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—	—	—	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	3 of 3	3 of 3	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2411:2390)			99%		185	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (47:47)			100%		166	119	
Hispanic or Latino (50:50)			100%		172	120	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (114:108)			96%		190	123	
White (2195:2180)			99%		186	131	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (357:351)			99%		147	127	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (32:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (61:61)			100%		159	121	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2415:2386)			99%		190	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (46:46)			100%		174	88	
Hispanic or Latino (50:49)			100%		178	88	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (117:110)			99%		188	92	
White (2197:2176)			99%		191	100	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (356:349)			99%		158	96	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (32:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (60:60)			100%		163	90	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status










Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (781:769)		Qualified		99%		193	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:18)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (14:13)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (36:35)		Qualified	–	–		186	100	
White (712:703)		Qualified		99%		195	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (109:106)		Qualified		98%		170	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (9:9)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (23:23)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status










Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (392:390)			99%		192	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (17:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (350:348)			99%		193	159	
Multiracial (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (26:31)		–	–		158	148	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (4:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination		3 of 3					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status










Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (392:390)			99%		194	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (17:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (350:348)			99%		195	153	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (26:31)		—	—		181	142	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (4:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination		3 of 3					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status





Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
All Students (439)			91%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (9)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (12)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13)		–	–	–		
White (405)			91%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (25)		–	–	–		
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (7)		–	–	–		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **01-03-06-06-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 71% of total

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL MIDDLE SCHOOL
CLARKSVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
ELSMERE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GLENMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SLINGERLANDS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 29% of total

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
HAMAGRAEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 01-03-06-06-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	90%			375
Grade 4	86%			382
Grade 5	94%			384
Grade 6	84%			402
Grade 7	88%			452
Grade 8	78%			386
Mathematics				
Grade 3	94%			375
Grade 4	92%			385
Grade 5	95%			388
Grade 6	94%			403
Grade 7	94%			451
Grade 8	84%			386
Science				
Grade 4	93%			384
Grade 8	95%			384

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	88%			412
Mathematics	91%			412

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	375	96%	90%	23%	380	97%	86%	19%
Female	193	96%	91%	27%	175	99%	90%	22%
Male	182	96%	88%	18%	205	96%	83%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	8	100%	88%	25%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	33%	16	100%	88%	13%
White	339	96%	90%	23%	350	98%	87%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					14	86%	57%	7%
General-Education Students	315	100%	96%	26%	318	100%	91%	21%
Students with Disabilities	60	75%	57%	5%	62	85%	61%	6%
English Proficient	369	96%	90%	23%	375	98%	87%	19%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	50%	0%	5	80%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	20	95%	55%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	371	-	-	-	360	98%	88%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	375	96%	90%	23%	380	97%	86%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	375	98%	94%	36%	383	97%	92%	31%
Female	192	99%	94%	38%	175	98%	92%	31%
Male	183	97%	94%	34%	208	96%	92%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	43%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	89%	44%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	100%	68%	18	94%	89%	39%
White	340	98%	94%	34%	351	98%	93%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					14	79%	79%	14%
General-Education Students	315	100%	99%	40%	320	100%	97%	33%
Students with Disabilities	60	88%	68%	15%	63	84%	68%	21%
English Proficient	369	98%	94%	36%	376	97%	92%	32%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	17%	7	100%	86%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	20	95%	85%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	371	-	-	-	363	97%	92%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	375	98%	94%	36%	383	97%	92%	31%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	382	97%	86%	10%	387	97%	87%	13%
Female	172	99%	90%	16%	177	97%	89%	20%
Male	210	96%	82%	6%	210	98%	85%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	9	89%	67%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	17%	19	100%	84%	21%
White	349	98%	87%	10%	352	98%	88%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	73%	60%	0%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	318	99%	92%	11%	308	100%	93%	17%
Students with Disabilities	64	89%	56%	5%	79	89%	65%	1%
English Proficient	377	97%	86%	10%	380	98%	88%	14%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%	7	86%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	70%	10%	13	85%	54%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	372	97%	86%	10%	374	98%	88%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	382	97%	86%	10%	387	97%	87%	13%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	385	97%	92%	40%	388	98%	92%	38%
Female	174	98%	92%	39%	177	97%	91%	39%
Male	211	96%	92%	41%	211	98%	93%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	9	89%	67%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	56%	21	95%	90%	57%
White	351	98%	93%	40%	351	98%	94%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	75%	69%	25%	7	100%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	321	99%	97%	43%	310	99%	96%	43%
Students with Disabilities	64	84%	69%	25%	78	92%	76%	17%
English Proficient	380	97%	92%	40%	379	98%	93%	38%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	20%	9	78%	56%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	100%	30%	13	85%	54%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	375	97%	92%	40%	375	98%	94%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	385	97%	92%	40%	388	98%	92%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	384	99%	93%	63%	382	99%	95%	60%
Female	175	100%	93%	63%	176	97%	93%	66%
Male	209	99%	94%	62%	206	100%	97%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	9	89%	78%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	84%	79%	19	100%	100%	68%
White	350	99%	95%	63%	347	99%	95%	61%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	93%	80%	33%	7	100%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	321	100%	98%	68%	306	100%	98%	66%
Students with Disabilities	63	95%	73%	35%	76	95%	83%	34%
English Proficient	379	99%	94%	63%	374	99%	96%	61%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	8	88%	63%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	90%	30%	12	92%	75%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	374	99%	94%	64%	370	99%	96%	61%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	384	99%	93%	63%	382	99%	95%	60%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	384	99%	94%	11%	414	98%	86%	16%
Female	179	99%	95%	13%	173	98%	87%	17%
Male	205	99%	93%	8%	241	97%	86%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	67%	50%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	89%	0%	12	92%	75%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	83%	22%	15	100%	80%	20%
White	351	99%	95%	11%	381	98%	88%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%				
General-Education Students	312	100%	96%	12%	360	100%	93%	17%
Students with Disabilities	72	96%	82%	4%	54	81%	46%	7%
English Proficient	379	99%	94%	11%	407	98%	87%	16%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	63%	0%	24	88%	63%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	376	99%	94%	11%	390	98%	88%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	384	99%	94%	11%	414	98%	86%	16%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	388	98%	95%	38%	410	98%	88%	25%
Female	180	99%	95%	43%	172	98%	87%	24%
Male	208	98%	94%	34%	238	97%	89%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	83%	50%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	89%	22%	10	100%	70%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	95%	91%	50%	16	100%	94%	25%
White	351	99%	95%	38%	378	98%	89%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%				
General-Education Students	316	99%	97%	43%	357	100%	92%	28%
Students with Disabilities	72	94%	83%	17%	53	81%	58%	9%
English Proficient	381	99%	95%	39%	402	98%	89%	26%
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	57%	0%	8	88%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	63%	0%	24	92%	67%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	380	99%	95%	39%	386	98%	89%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	98%	95%	38%	410	98%	88%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	402	99%	84%	10%	457	100%	80%	12%
Female	165	99%	87%	12%	207	100%	84%	18%
Male	237	99%	81%	8%	250	100%	76%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	40%	20%	13	100%	77%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	78%	0%	7	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	87%	0%	25	100%	84%	8%
White	373	99%	84%	10%	410	100%	80%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	100%	44%	0%
General-Education Students	349	100%	91%	11%	396	100%	86%	14%
Students with Disabilities	53	94%	38%	0%	61	98%	38%	0%
English Proficient	396	99%	85%	10%	454	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	17%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	79%	0%	21	100%	52%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	388	99%	84%	10%	436	100%	81%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	402	99%	84%	10%	457	100%	80%	12%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	403	98%	94%	45%	455	97%	85%	31%
Female	165	98%	95%	44%	206	98%	89%	32%
Male	238	97%	94%	45%	249	96%	82%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	20%	13	92%	77%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	78%	33%	7	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	93%	40%	25	100%	96%	48%
White	374	98%	95%	45%	408	97%	86%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	78%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	351	100%	99%	50%	393	99%	93%	34%
Students with Disabilities	52	83%	63%	8%	62	84%	40%	10%
English Proficient	397	98%	95%	45%	452	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	50%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	79%	7%	22	86%	59%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	389	98%	95%	46%	433	97%	87%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	403	98%	94%	45%	455	97%	85%	31%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	452	100%	88%	4%	390	98%	84%	14%
Female	203	100%	94%	6%	182	99%	85%	17%
Male	249	100%	84%	3%	208	98%	84%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	100%	91%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	11	91%	64%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	13%	14	93%	93%	7%
White	406	100%	88%	4%	359	99%	85%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	73%	9%				
General-Education Students	399	100%	94%	5%	348	100%	89%	15%
Students with Disabilities	53	98%	49%	0%	42	88%	43%	0%
English Proficient	450	-	-	-	388	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	64%	0%	18	89%	56%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	441	100%	89%	5%	372	99%	86%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	452	100%	88%	4%	390	98%	84%	14%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	451	100%	94%	56%	390	98%	88%	35%
Female	201	100%	96%	57%	181	98%	87%	29%
Male	250	99%	92%	55%	209	99%	89%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	100%	91%	27%	7	100%	57%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	11	91%	73%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	96%	72%	15	93%	80%	47%
White	404	100%	94%	56%	357	99%	89%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	82%	36%				
General-Education Students	398	100%	98%	61%	349	99%	93%	38%
Students with Disabilities	53	98%	62%	17%	41	95%	46%	5%
English Proficient	448	-	-	-	386	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	73%	18%	17	94%	71%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	440	100%	94%	57%	373	99%	88%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	451	100%	94%	56%	390	98%	88%	35%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	386	98%	78%	13%	389	99%	81%	14%
Female	181	99%	81%	15%	182	99%	82%	15%
Male	205	98%	76%	12%	207	99%	79%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	0%	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	80%	80%	0%	10	90%	80%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	94%	94%	19%	19	95%	89%	42%
White	353	99%	78%	14%	354	99%	81%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	347	99%	84%	15%	351	100%	86%	15%
Students with Disabilities	39	87%	28%	0%	38	92%	32%	0%
English Proficient	383	-	-	-	389	99%	81%	14%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	43%	0%	15	93%	60%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	372	98%	80%	14%	374	99%	82%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	386	98%	78%	13%	389	99%	81%	14%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	386	98%	84%	26%	388	98%	80%	26%
Female	181	98%	84%	22%	182	98%	76%	20%
Male	205	97%	85%	29%	206	98%	84%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	70%	0%	11	91%	64%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	94%	82%	29%	19	100%	95%	58%
White	352	98%	85%	27%	352	98%	80%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	83%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	348	99%	89%	28%	351	100%	85%	28%
Students with Disabilities	38	87%	42%	3%	37	81%	41%	3%
English Proficient	382	-	-	-	387	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	38%	0%	15	100%	93%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	373	98%	86%	27%	373	98%	80%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	386	98%	84%	26%	388	98%	80%	26%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	4	4	2

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	384	99%	95%	51%	385	100%	93%	55%
Female	180	100%	94%	46%	182	100%	91%	48%
Male	204	99%	95%	56%	203	100%	95%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	86%	29%	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	80%	10%	11	100%	82%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	59%	19	100%	100%	79%
White	350	99%	96%	53%	349	100%	94%	55%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	50%	33%
General-Education Students	346	100%	97%	55%	348	100%	95%	59%
Students with Disabilities	38	95%	74%	13%	37	100%	73%	19%
English Proficient	380	-	-	-	384	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	13	92%	69%	8%	15	100%	93%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	371	99%	96%	53%	370	100%	93%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	384	99%	95%	51%	385	100%	93%	55%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	4
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	412	93%	88%	52%	439	93%	92%	69%
Female	189	97%	94%	65%	216	95%	94%	75%
Male	223	89%	83%	41%	223	92%	90%	63%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–				
Black or African American	17	88%	76%	29%	9	89%	89%	56%
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	12	92%	92%	58%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	94%	47%	13	92%	92%	77%
White	369	93%	88%	53%	405	94%	92%	70%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	78%				
General-Education Students	377	95%	92%	56%	414	97%	95%	73%
Students with Disabilities	35	66%	46%	9%	25	36%	28%	4%
English Proficient	409	–	–	–	439	93%	92%	69%
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	6	50%	33%	0%	7	71%	71%	57%
Not Disadvantaged	406	94%	89%	53%	432	94%	92%	69%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	412	93%	88%	52%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	412	93%	91%	62%	439	93%	92%	70%
Female	189	96%	94%	71%	216	94%	93%	71%
Male	223	91%	89%	55%	223	93%	92%	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–				
Black or African American	17	88%	82%	29%	9	89%	89%	44%
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	12	83%	83%	67%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	76%	13	100%	100%	69%
White	369	93%	91%	63%	405	93%	93%	71%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	56%				
General-Education Students	377	95%	94%	66%	414	96%	95%	74%
Students with Disabilities	35	71%	66%	20%	25	52%	52%	16%
English Proficient	409	–	–	–	439	93%	92%	70%
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%	67%	0%	7	71%	71%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	406	94%	92%	63%	432	94%	93%	71%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	412	93%	91%	62%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.