

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District GREEN ISLAND UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 01-07-01-03-0000 Superintendent JOHN MCKINNEY Telephone (518) 273-1422 Grades K-12

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

#### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



#### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

#### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	23	21	28
Grade 1	23	23	30
Grade 2	29	20	25
Grade 3	20	29	24
Grade 4	20	20	29
Grade 5	26	18	16
Grade 6	28	26	21
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	26	29	34
Grade 8	36	25	26
Grade 9	26	37	27
Grade 10	16	26	34
Grade 11	27	17	28
Grade 12	25	24	17
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	325	315	339

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	19	26	26
Grade 8			
English	19	12	13
Mathematics	19	25	13
Science	19	25	26
Social Studies	19	12	13
Grade 10			
English	13	14	18
Mathematics	10	8	18
Science	12	12	19
Social Studies	18	12	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2005-06		200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	74	23%	72	23%	93	27%
Reduced-Price Lunch	67	21%	61	19%	52	15%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	16	5%	22	7%	30	9%
Hispanic or Latino	7	2%	11	3%	9	3%
Asian or Native	3	1%	2	1%	4	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	299	92%	280	89%	295	87%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	32	10%	27	8%	41	13%

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	31	31	32
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	6%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	6%	9%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	13%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	6%	9%
Total Number of Core Classes	117	112	104
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	1%	5%
Total Number of Classes	134	143	144
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	2%	13%

#### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	40%	18%	
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	29%	16%	

### **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	6	4	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	7	11	12
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	2	2

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

#### **District in Good Standing** A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. ...... District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. ..... District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement

(Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 ★ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District GREEN ISLAND UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

#### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduatio	on Rate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fu	nding			
	2006-	07	2007–08	2008–09			
	YES		YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	ndary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	×	X	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	••••	_	_	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••	×	X	••••	
Multiracial			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	–	-	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	X 0 of 2	<b>X</b> 0 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (157:154)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	158	125		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (16:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••	-
(0.0)					-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	_	_	-	-		-
White (137:134)	✓	✓	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	156	124	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (24:22)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)	•••••••••						••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (74:74)	<	~	100%	~	149	122	••• ••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>L</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

X

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (159:153)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	163	94		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (16:16)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
			-	–	-	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••	-
White (139:133)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	166	93	••• ••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••	••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (23:22)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged (74:74)	<	~	100%	~	166	91	••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (60:57)		Qualified	V	100%	~	172	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (51:48)		Oualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	V	175	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••	• •••••	•••		••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (8:8)		_	_	_	-	_	_		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)						••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
<ul> <li>AYP Status</li> <li>✓ Made AYP</li> <li>✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ</li> <li>✗ Did Not Make AYP</li> <li>— Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status</li> </ul>	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha pined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled ne participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro m 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test e of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2007–0 es. rmer LEP students i	or accountab in the enrollme od are not red in 2007–08, th reighted avera ed to meet the o8, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po6–o7 and :	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion


District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (14:43)	X	_	-	X	140	150	150	172	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American		••••••			••••		••••••••••••••••		
(0:0) Hispanic or Latino (3:4)							••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (11:39)	X	_	-	X	138	149	149	172	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (3:8)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)					••••		••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (1:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2								



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (14:43)	X	_	-	X	135	144	144	183	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American	•••••••••••••	•••••	••••				••••	••••••••••••••••••	
(0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (3:4)								-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (11:39)	X	_	_	X	128		142		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (3:8)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	••••••••	•••••	•••			•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (1:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2								



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (54)	~	<b>~</b>	72%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (52)	• • • • • • • • • •	······	71%	 55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • •			•••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (9)		-	_	_			
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (0)				••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (13)				_			
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1					

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- <sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

## 2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

#### Federal Title I Status

**New York State Status** 

Improvement (Year 1) 1 school identified 100% of total

HEATLY SCHOOL

### Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	58%		24
Grade 4	62%		29
Grade 5	67%		15
Grade 6	43%		21
Grade 7	68%		37
Grade 8	58%		26
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		25
Grade 4	70%		30
Grade 5	87%		15
Grade 6	68%		22
Grade 7	59%		37
Grade 8	54%		26
Science			
Grade 4	66%		29
Grade 8	79%		28
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

......

75%

88%

District ID 01-07-01-03-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

16

16

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

**Mathematics** 

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	Z	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 660	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 7	20-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 648	100%	96% 90%	58%			94% 91%	70% 67	%		
2007-08 2006-07			4	7%	<sup>3%</sup> 0%		н	129	% 10%	
Number of Tested Students:		23 27	14	14	2 0					
Results by		2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
_		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		24	96%	58%	8%	30	90%	47%	0%	
Female		12	100%	50%	0%	12	92%	42%	0%	
Male		12	92%	67%	17%	18	89%	50%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative									
Black or African American		4	_			3				
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O1 Pacific Islander	ther					1	-	-	-	
White		20	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••			•••••		•••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	24	96%	58%	8%	30	90%	47%	0%	
General-Education Students		20	-	-	-	30	90%	47%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	-	-	_	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••	
English Proficient		24	96%	58%	8%	30	90%	47%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	13	92%	46%	0%	14	93%	29%	0%	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		••••••	•••••••••	•••••	•••••	

Migrant Not Migrant 24 96% 58% 8% 30 90% 47% 0%

73%

18%

16

88%

63%

0%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

11

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

NOTES The - syn

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 674	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770						
2007 Mean Score: 658	100%	100% 90%	96%	69%		98% 96%	90% 85	%			
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>				(	)% <mark>3%</mark>			26%	<sub>6</sub> 29%		
Number of Tested Students:		25 26	24	20	0 1				_		
Posults by		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	ar		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	-	25	100%	<b>96</b> %	0%	29	90%	<b>69</b> %	3%		
Female		12	100%	100%	0%	11	100%	55%	0%		
Male		13	100%	92%	0%	18	83%	78%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther					1					
Pacific Islander						L	-	-	-		
White		21	-		-	26	-	_	-		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		25	100%	96%	0%	29	90%	69%	3%		
General-Education Students		21	-	-	-	29	90%	69%	3%		
Students with Disabilities		4	-		-		•••••••••				
English Proficient		25	100%	96%	0%	29	90%	69%	3%		
Limited English Proficient		••••••	••••••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••••••	•••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		13	100%	100%	0%	13	92%	69%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	12	100%	92%	0%	16	16 88% 69%				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	25	100%	 96%		29	90%				
		-				_					

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year		2006–07 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric				NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 653	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7:	L6-775					
2007 Mean Score: 659	100%	97% 80%	62% 5	55%		93% 92%	71% 68	%		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				04	<sub>%</sub> 5%			8%	8%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	28 16	18	11 (	) 1					
Results by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		29	97%	<b>62</b> %	0%	20	80%	55%	<b>5</b> %	
Female		13	100%	62%	0%	10	80%	50%	0%	
Male		16	94%	63%	0%	10	80%	60%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		3	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner								•••••	
Pacific Islander										
White		26	-	-	-	20	80%	55%	5%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		29	97%	62%	0%					
General-Education Students		26	-	-	-	20	80%	55%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	3	_	_	_		•••••••••••	•••••••	•••••	
English Proficient		29	97%	62%	0%	20	80%	55%	5%	
Limited English Proficient		••••••					••••••••••••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		18	100%	50%	0%	9	67%	33%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		91%	82%	0%	11		73%	9%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				0%			 55%	 5%	
NOTES			2							

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 659	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 70	)2-800					
2007 Mean Score: 664	100%	93%	70%	8%		95% 94%	84% 80	)%		
2007-08 2006-07				39	22%			299	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	28 14	21	14 1	4					
Results by		2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Yea	ſ		<b>2006–07 S</b> Total	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	
<u>Student Group</u>	)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		30	93%	70%	3%	18	<b>78</b> %	78%	22%	
Female		14	93%	57%	0%	10	70%	70%	10%	
Male		16	94%	81%	6%	8	88%	88%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		3								
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er									
Pacific Islander										
White		27				18	78%	78%	22%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		30	93%	70%	3%					
General-Education Students		27	-	-	-	18	78%	78%	22%	
Students with Disabilities		3	_	-	-					
English Proficient		30	93%	70%	3%	18	78%	78%	22%	
imited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		18	94%	72%	6%	8	50%	50%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		12	92%	67%	0%	10	100%	100%	40%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		30	93%	70%	3%	18	78%	78%	22%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>So</b>	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0				

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri				NY State P			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 70	Range:	45-100	65-10	00 85	5-100				
2007 Mean Score: 71	100%	97% 94%	66% 6	7%		97% 97%	85% 85		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				39	22%			50	% 49%
Number of Tested Students:		28 17	19 2	12 1	4				
Posults by		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	_	29	<b>97</b> %	66%	3%	18	94%	67%	22%
Female		14	93%	57%	7%	10	100%	70%	20%
Male		15	100%	73%	0%	8	88%	63%	25%
American Indian or Alaska I	Native								
Black or African American		3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther								
Pacific Islander									
White		26	-		-	18	94%	67%	22%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		29	97%	66%	3%				
General-Education Students	5	26	-	-	-	18	94%	67%	22%
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-				
English Proficient		29	97%	66%	3%	18	94%	67%	22%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••••••	•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
Economically Disadvantage	d	17	94%	65%	6%	8	88%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	advantaged 12			67%	0%	10	100%	80%	40%
Migrant									
Not Migrant						18	94%		
NOTES		-				-			

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
1	-	-	-	0				
	•	Total Number sco Tested 2–4	Tested 2-4 3-4	Total TestedNumber scoring at level(s): 2-44	Total TestedNumber scoring at level(s):Total Tested2-43-44	Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):     Total Tested     Number score	Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):     Total 2-4     Number scoring at level 3-4	

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	Z	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 664	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	711-795				
2007 Mean Score: 657	100%	100%100%	67%	53%		98% 95%	78% 68	%	
2007-08 2006-07					7% 6%			6%	7%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>1</u>	15 17	10	9	1 1				
Deculte by		2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		15	100%	67%	7%	17	100%	53%	6%
Female		8	100%	63%	0%	8	100%	63%	13%
Male		7	100%	71%	14%	9	100%	44%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						1	-	-	-
White		15	100%	67%	7%	15	-	-	-
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		••••••••••••••••••			••••••	17	100%	53%	6%
General-Education Students		13	-	-	-	17	100%	53%	6%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-		•••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
English Proficient		15	100%	67%	7%	17	100%	53%	6%
Limited English Proficient		•••••••					••••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		6	100%	50%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	100%	78%	11%	9	100%	44%	11%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%	67%	7%	17	100%		6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Other	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	ing at level(s): 3–4 4 83% 76% 27%	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 678	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	99–780				
2007 Mean Score: 643	100%	100%	87%			96% 94%	<sup>83%</sup> 76	9%	
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>				17% 2 <sup>.</sup>	7% 0%			27%	22%
Number of Tested Students:		15 13	13	8	4 0				
Posults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		15	100%	87%	27%	17	76%	47%	0%
Female		8	100%	75%	13%	8	75%	50%	0%
Male		7	100%	100%	43%	9	78%	44%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						1	-	-	-
White	••••	15	100%	87%	27%	15	-		
Multiracial	••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••		••••••••		
Small Group Totals	••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	17	76%	47%	0%
General-Education Students		13	-	-	-	17	76%	47%	0%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	2	-	-	-	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
English Proficient		15	100%	87%	27%	17	76%	47%	0%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••					•••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		6	100%	67%	17%	8	88%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	9	100%	100%	33%	9	9		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	15	100%	87%	27%	17	76%	47%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 651	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70	)5-785				
2007 Mean Score: 661	100%	100%100%				98% 98%			
		100/0100/0				98% 98%			
			6	8%			<sup>67%</sup> 63	%	
2007-08			43%						
2006-07				09	<sub>%</sub> 4%			5%	9%
Number of Tested Students:		21 28	9 :	19 0	) 1				
Poculto by		2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea			2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		21	100%	<b>43</b> %	0%	28	100%	<b>68</b> %	4%
Female		8	100%	50%	0%	16	100%	69%	0%
Male		13	100%	38%	0%	12	100%	67%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve					1			
Black or African American		2				3			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		±			-				
White		18				24			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		21	100%	43%	0%	28	100%	68%	4%
General-Education Students		17	-	_	-	28	100%	68%	4%
Students with Disabilities		4	-		-				
English Proficient		21	100%	43%	0%	28	100%	68%	4%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•••••••••••••••	••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		12	100%	50%	0%	9	100%	67%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	9	100%	33%	0%	19	100%	68%	5%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	100%	43%	0%	28	100%		4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2007 Mean Score: 651 2007–08 2006–07 Number of Tested Students:	100%	91% 89%	68% 44% 15 12	<sup>14%</sup> 7%	94% 91%	79% 71%	26% <sub>20%</sub>
		20 24 2007-08 S		5 2	2006.07.8	chool Year	
Results by Student Group	)	Total Tested	Percentage scori	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested		oring at level(s): 3–4 4

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	22	91%	68%	14%	27	89%	44%	7%
Female	9	78%	78%	11%	15	87%	33%	0%
Male	13	100%	62%	15%	12	92%	58%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	19	-	-	–	23	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	91%	68%	14%	27	89%	44%	7%
General-Education Students	18	-	-	-	27	89%	44%	7%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	22	91%	68%	14%	27	89%	44%	7%
Limited English Proficient							•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	83%	8%	9	89%	22%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	10	80%	50%	20%	18	89%	56%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	22	91%	68%	14%	27	89%	44%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ict			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	12-790			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
2007 Mean Score: 650	100%	97% 100%	68%			98% <sub>94%</sub>	70%	207	
2007-08 2006-07			5	54%	4%		30	3% <u>3%</u>	6%
Number of Tested Students:		36 26	25	14	1 1				
Deculte by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		37	97%	68%	3%	26	100%	54%	4%
Female		21	95%	62%	0%	15	100%	60%	0%

21	95%	62%	0%	15	100%	60%	0%
16	100%	75%	6%	11	100%	45%	9%
1	-	-	-				
3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
				3	-	-	-
•••••••••		••••••					
33	-	-	-	19	100%	58%	5%
37	97%	68%	3%	7	100%	43%	0%
33	-	-	-	26	100%	54%	4%
4	-	-	-				
37	97%	68%	3%	26	100%	54%	4%
		••••••					
13	100%	62%	0%	12	100%	42%	0%
24	96%	71%	4%	14	100%	64%	7%
37	97%	68%	3%	26	100%	54%	4%
	16 1 3 33 33 37 33 4 37 33 4 37 13 24	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 655	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 638 ■ 2007–08 ■ 2006–07	100%	89% 93%	59% 38%	14%	96% 93%	79% 67%	28%
Number of Tested Students:		33 27	22 11	5 0			
Doculto by	I	2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 \$	School Year	
Results by		Total P	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	pring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	37	89%	59%	14%	29	93%	38%	0%
Female	20	85%	50%	5%	16	94%	56%	0%
Male	17	94%	71%	24%	13	92%	15%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-		-				
Black or African American	3	-	-	_	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					3	_	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	33	-			22	91%	45%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	37	89%	59%	14%	7	100%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	33	-	-	-	29	93%	38%	0%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	37	89%	59%	14%	29	93%	38%	0%
imited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	54%	0%	13	92%	31%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	24	92%	63%	21%	16	94%	44%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	37	89%	59%	14%	29	93%	38%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other AssessmentsZoo7-co school YearZoo8-c7 school YearTotal TestedNumber scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4Total TestedNumber scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4Total Tested	al/a);
ASSESSITIETILS Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4	el(s):
	4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 1	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 652	Range:	602-790	650-	790 72	L5-790				
2007 Mean Score: 656	100%	100% <sub>96%</sub>	58% 5	56%		95% 94%	56% 57	%	
2007-08 2006-07				04	% 4%	н.		6%	6%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	26 24	15	14 0	) 1				
Posults by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		26	100%	<b>58%</b>	0%	25	96%	56%	4%
Female		16	100%	69%	0%	8	100%	75%	13%
Male		10	100%	40%	0%	17	94%	47%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		4	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	••••••••••••••••••					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••
Pacific Islander									
White		20	100%	55%	0%	25	96%	56%	4%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		6	100%	67%	0%				
General-Education Students		24	-	-	-	25	96%	56%	4%
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-				
English Proficient		26	100%	58%	0%	25	96%	56%	4%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		12	100%	42%	0%	6	83%	33%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	14	100%	71%	0%	19	100%	63%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		100%		0%	25			4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	_	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 645	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 70	)1-775				
2007 Mean Score: 654	100%	100% 85%	54% <sup>6</sup>	2%		93% <sub>88%</sub>	70%	%	
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				09	% 0%			17%	<sup>6</sup> 12%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	22 26	14 :	16 C	0				
Results by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	•		2006-07 School Year			
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
All Students	•	26	85%	54%	0%	26	100%	62%	0%
Female		16	88%	63%	0%	9	100%	67%	0%
Male		10	80%	40%	0%	17	100%	59%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		4	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	ther								
White	•••••	20	90%	65%	0%	25	-		-
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		6	67%	17%	0%	26	100%	62%	0%
General-Education Students		24	-	_	-	26	100%	62%	0%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	2	–		_		••••	•••••••	
English Proficient		26	85%	54%	0%	26	100%	62%	0%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••••••	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		12	92%	50%	0%	6	100%	17%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	14	79%	57%	0%	20	100%	75%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		26	85%	54%	0%	26	100%	62%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	_	0			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

00% 25 <b>-08 Sch</b>	3-4 79% 8 22	4 30% 11 20 3		2-4 95% 91%	3-4	4	6 28%
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					6 28%		
25 <b>-08 Sch</b>	22 a	11 20 3	.%		73% 68		6 28%
25 <b>-08 Sch</b>	22 a	11 20 3	.%		73% 68		6 28%
25 <b>-08 Sch</b>	22 a	11 20 3	.%		73% 68		% 28%
-08 Sch	22 a	11 20 3	.%		73% 68		% 28%
-08 Sch	ool Yea	20 3	.%				% 28%
-08 Sch	ool Yea	20 3	.%			309	% 28%
-08 Sch	ool Yea	20 3					
-08 Sch	ool Yea		3 9				
P		r					
	Percentag						
b		e scoring at	level(s):		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
28 :	100%	<b>79</b> %	11%	25	100%	80%	36%
16	100%	75%	0%	10	100%	80%	30%
12	100%	83%	25%	15	100%	80%	40%
4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
			••••••				
22	100%	86%	9%	22	-	_	_
	100%	50%	17%				36%
26	-	-	-	25	100%	80%	36%
2	_	-	-				
28	100%	79%	11%	25	100%	80%	36%
12	100%	75%	8%	7	100%	71%	0%
16	100%	81%	13%	18	100%	83%	50%
28	100%	79%	11%	25	100%	80%	36%
	6 26 2 2 28	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>	94%	75% 50%	25%	80% 79%	75% 73%	30% 30%		

<b>Results by</b>	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	16	94%	75%	25%	28	79%	50%	11%	
Female	7	100%	86%	43%	12	92%	58%	8%	
Male	9	89%	67%	11%	16	69%	44%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	–	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	1	–	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	13	-		_	26	_		_	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	16	94%	75%	25%	28	79%	50%	11%	
General-Education Students	13	-	-	-	28	79%	50%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	–	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	
English Proficient	16	94%	75%	25%	28	79%	50%	11%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	-	9	89%	44%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	15	-		_	19	74%	53%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	16	94%	75%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	rt			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

 $^{\star\star\star}$  The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
10 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	94%	88%	13% 7%	83% 81%	76% 74%	29% 26%		

<b>Results by</b>	2004 <b>Cohor</b>		2003 Cohort**					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	16	94%	88%	13%	28	64%	57%	7%
Female	7	100%	100%	14%	12	58%	50%	0%
Male	9	89%	78%	11%	16	69%	63%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		•••••			1	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	-	–	1	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••		•••••	•••••		••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	13	-	-	-	26	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	88%	13%	28	64%	57%	7%
General-Education Students	13	-	-	-	28	64%	57%	7%
Students with Disabilities	3	_	-	–	•••••		••••••	•••••
English Proficient	16	94%	88%	13%	28	64%	57%	7%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	-	9	67%	67%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	15	-	-	-	19	63%	53%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	16	94%		13%	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

 $^{\star\star\star}$  The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.