

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School CANASERAGA SCHOOL District CANASERAGA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 02-11-02-04-0001 Principal JAMES ANDERSON Telephone (607) 545-6421 Grades PK-12, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	16	16	22
Kindergarten	20	20	21
Grade 1	12	20	20
Grade 2	21	15	21
Grade 3	22	26	16
Grade 4	17	26	27
Grade 5	19	20	23
Grade 6	20	25	19
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	26	24	22
Grade 8	25	27	21
Grade 9	22	24	30
Grade 10	27	22	22
Grade 11	26	27	17
Grade 12	27	24	27
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	4
Total K-12	284	300	290

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

#### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Common Branch	19	21	21
Grade 8			
English	20	14	14
Mathematics	20	14	14
Science	25	14	14
Social Studies	24	26	26
Grade 10			
English	12	10	10
Mathematics	12	9	9
Science	27	10	10
Social Studies	13	12	12

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	86	30%	84	28%	80	28%
Reduced-Price Lunch	42	15%	39	13%	38	13%
Student Stability*		100%		96%		100%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%
Black or African American	2	1%	4	1%	3	1%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	3	1%	2	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
White	280	99%	290	97%	282	97%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

#### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	8	3%	12	4%	13	4%	

#### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

#### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	33	34	33
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	15%	12%	12%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	6%	6%
Total Number of Core Classes	134	95	94
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	141	138	148
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	2%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	25%	40%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	12%	18%

### **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	3	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

#### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District CANASERAGA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

School CANASERAGA SCHOOL School ID 02-11-02-04-0001

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I fund	<ul> <li>New York State Status</li> <li>(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)</li> </ul>
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Pro	not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for gress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accounta measure for two consecutive years while receiving Tit is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year following year.	e I funds measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does no AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does no AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide considered a School in Corrective Action for the follow if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified is make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP accountability measure for which it was identified is c a School Planning for Restructuring for the following continues to receive Title I funds.	onsidered make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not mai AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the foll if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified is make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does n AYP on the accountability measure for which it was ide is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and abov following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	entified

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School CANASERAGA SCHOOL School ID 02-11-02-04-0001

#### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	🔥 Go	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2008–09)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary	Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing			
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math	▲ Good Standing			
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation	Rate 🔺 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ing			
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09			
	YES		YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/N	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel			
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-						
Black or African American	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_		_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					•••••••••••••••••			
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	-	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	formance <sup>3</sup> Performance Objective		es	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (128:127)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	165	124		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	_	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (123:122)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	166	124	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(15:15)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••		••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (43:42)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	162	118		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

1

X

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (128:125)	~	~	100%	V	174	93		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>	–	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (123:120)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	178	93	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(15:15)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (43:42)		<b>V</b>	100%	~	160	87	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007-08	5 Target 2008–09
All Students (48:46)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	~	180	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-	••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (45:43)		Oualified	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	186	100	••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	••••••••••••••••	• •••••••	••••		••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (10:10)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)			• ••••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (15:13)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
		NOTES							
AYP Status ✔ Made AYP		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit the partici	y the count of co ho were excused h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation	ed tested studer medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perforn s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me 8, the enrol	y calculatio et lment

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

March 10, 2009

SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students
- to Determine AYP Status

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (25:51)	~	_	-	V	176	152			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	•••••••••••••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific									
White (24:50)	<	–	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	176	152	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••				••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (1:6)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	• ••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
(7:19)									
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
<b>~</b>	_	-	V	186	146		
–	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
<	_	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
• •••••	•••••••••	•••		••••	•••••••••		••••
_	_	_	-	_	_		_
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•• •••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
🖌 2 of 2							
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     –	Status     Met Criterion     Percentage Tested       ✓     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –       –     –     –	Met Criterion     Percentage Tested     Met Criterion       Image: Criterion     Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion     Image: Criterion	Met     Percentage       Status     Met     Performance       Image: Criterion     Met     Performance       Image: Ima	Status     Met Criterion     Percentage Tested     Met Criterion     Performance Index     Effective AMO       Image: Criterion     -     -     186     146       Image: Criterion     -     -     -       Image: Criterion     -<	Met Status     Percentage Tested     Met Tested     Performance Index     Effective AMO     Safe Harbo 2007-08       Image: Criterion     -     -     186     146       Image: Criterion     -     -     -       Image: Criterion     -     -       Image: Criterion     -

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2007-08	2008-09		
All Students (51)	~	<b>~</b>	94%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Hispanic or								
	• • • • • • • • • •			•••••		•••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander (0)								
White (51)	• • • • • • • • • • •	✓	94%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	+	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (9)		_	-	_				
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (21)		-	-	-				
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	88%		16
Grade 4	58%		26
Grade 5	87%		23
Grade 6	68%		19
Grade 7	77%		22
Grade 8	33%		21
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		16
Grade 4	62%		26
Grade 5	87%		23
Grade 6	95%		20
Grade 7	86%		21
Grade 8	48%		21
Science			
Grade 4	89%		27
Grade 8	76%		21
	5	of students that r above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	74%		27
Mathematics	70%		27

District CANASERAGA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 687	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 7	20-780*						
2007 Mean Score: 669	100%	94% 88%	88%	3%		95% 94%	73% 7C	9%			
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				2	5% 12%	н.		110	8%		
Number of Tested Students:		15 23	14 :	19	4 3						
Pocults by		2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		16	94%	88%	25%	26	88%	73%	12%		
Female		10	100%	90%	40%	10	100%	70%	20%		
Male		6	83%	83%	0%	16	81%	75%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American		•••••				2	_		-		
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••••••				1	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••			•••••••	•••••		
White		16	94%	88%	25%	23	-	-	-		
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••			
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••	•••••	26	88%	73%	12%		
General-Education Students		16	94%	88%	25%	21	100%	86%	14%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		•••••	•••••	5	40%	20%	0%		
			<b>a i a</b> <i>i</i>						4.004		

16	94%	88%	25%	26	88%	73%	12%
6	83%	67%	17%	11	82%	55%	18%
10	100%	100%	30%	15	93%	87%	7%
16	94%	88%	25%	26	88%	73%	12%
	6 10	6 83% 10 100%	6 83% 67% 10 100% 100%	6 83% 67% 17% 10 100% 100% 30%	6         83%         67%         17%         11           10         100%         100%         30%         15	6         83%         67%         17%         11         82%           10         100%         100%         30%         15         93%	6         83%         67%         17%         11         82%         55%           10         100%         100%         30%         15         93%         87%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 $^{\ast}$  Level 4 range is for 2007–08 only. The 2006–07 range is 730–780.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

Percentage s 2–4 624–770 100% 96%	3-4 650-7	4	03-770	Percentage sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
624-770	650-7			2-4	3-4	4	
	0.40/	770 7	03-770				
100% <sub>96%</sub>	94%						
	8	5%		99% 98%	91% 88	%	
		19	9% 23%			229	% 21%
16 25	15	22	3 6				
2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year	r		2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
16	100%	94%	19%	26	96%	85%	23%
10	100%	100%	20%	10	90%	80%	30%
6	100%	83%	17%	16	100%	88%	19%
				2	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
	100%	94%	19%	23	-	-	-
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	26	96%		23%
16	100%	94%	19%	21	100%	95%	29%
	•••••	•••••		5	80%	40%	0%
16	100%	94%	19%	26	96%	85%	23%
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
6	100%	83%	0%	11	91%	73%	9%
	6 16 16	6         100%           16         100%           16         100%	6         100%         83%           16         100%         94%           16         100%         94%	6         100%         83%         17%           16         100%         94%         19%           16         100%         94%         19%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Migrant

Not Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

16

100%

Other     Zoor-os school year     Zoor-os school year       Other     Total     Number scoring at level(s):     Total       Assessments     Zested     2-4     3-4     4	
	(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment	4
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	

94%

19%

96%

26

85%

23%

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

This School		Similar Schools
Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4	3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4
e: 612-775	650-775 716-775	
92% 92%		94% 95%
	58% 65%	73% 72%
	0% 0%	7% 6%
24 24	15 17 0 0	

Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	26	92%	58%	0%	26	92%	65%	0%
Female	10	90%	50%	0%	11	91%	73%	0%
Male	16	94%	63%	0%	15	93%	60%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••		••••••					
Pacific Islander								
White	23	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	92%	58%	0%	26	92%	65%	0%
General-Education Students	23	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-		-	2	-		-
English Proficient	26	92%	58%	0%	26	92%	65%	0%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••				•••••••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	50%	0%	14	86%	57%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	18	94%	61%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	26	92%	58%	0%	26	92%	65%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

#### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800				
2007 Mean Score: 663	100%	92% 96%	62% <sup>6</sup>	9%		97% 96%	84% 82	?%	
<ul> <li>2007–08</li> <li>2006–07</li> </ul>			0270		12% %	н.		21	% 22%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	24 25	16	18	0 3				
Poculto by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		26	92%	62%	0%	26	96%	<b>69</b> %	12%
Female		10	80%	50%	0%	11	91%	73%	9%
Male		16	100%	69%	0%	15	100%	67%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					•••••			•••••	
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23		-		24			-
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		26	92%	62%	0%	26	96%	69%	
General-Education Students		23	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	•••••	3	_	-	_	2	-	_	-
English Proficient		26	92%	62%	0%	26	96%	69%	12%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
5		•	350/	500/	00/		0.004	= = 0 (	70/

18 100% 67% 0% 12 100% 83% 17% Not Disadvantaged Migrant . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Not Migrant 26 92% 62% 0% 26 96% 69% 12%

50%

0%

14

93%

57%

7%

75%

Economically Disadvantaged

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

8

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year	•	
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

NOTES The - sy

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 OC	35-100				
2007 Mean Score: 85	100%	96% 100%	89% 10	00%	65%	99% 99%	93% 94		% 54%
2007-08 2006-07				3	7%				
Number of Tested Students:		26 26	24	26	10 17				
Deculte hy		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 9	School Yea	ar	
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	je scoring at 3−4	t level(s): 4
= All Students		27	96%	89%	37%	26	100%	100%	65%
Female		11	91%	82%	27%	11	100%	100%	73%
Male		16	100%	94%	44%	15	100%	100%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive					1	-	-	-

				T	-	-	-
2	-	-	-				
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						••••••	
24	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
27	96%	89%	37%	26	100%	100%	65%
24	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
27	96%	89%	37%	26	100%	100%	65%
9	89%	78%	11%	14	100%	100%	64%
18	100%	94%	50%	12	100%	100%	67%
27	96%	89%	37%	26	100%	100%	65%
	27 24 3 27 9 18	27 96% 24 – 3 – 27 96% 9 89% 18 100%	27         96%         89%           24         -         -           3         -         -           27         96%         89%           9         89%         78%           18         100%         94%	27         96%         89%         37%           24         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           27         96%         89%         37%           9         89%         78%         11%           18         100%         94%         50%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006-07 S	chool Year		
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2007 Mean Score: 671 2007-08 2006-07	100%	100% 95%	87% 70%	0%	99% 97%	79% 72%	4% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		23 19	20 14	0 2			
Results by		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 \$	School Year	
<b>ΝΕΡΟΙΙΡΙΟ</b>		Tatal			Tetal		

Doculto by	2007 00		-				-	
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	23	100%	87%	0%	20	95%	70%	10%
Female	10	100%	90%	0%	9	89%	89%	0%
Male	13	100%	85%	0%	11	100%	55%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	22	-	_	_	20	95%	70%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	100%	87%	0%				
General-Education Students	22	-	-	-	17	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	23	100%	87%	0%	20	95%	70%	10%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	92%	0%	5	100%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	11	100%	82%	0%	15	93%	67%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	100%	87%	0%	20	95%	70%	10%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 676	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99-780				
2007 Mean Score: 671	100%	96% 100%	87% 7	5%		98% 96%	81% 74	.%	
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				20	5% 10%	н.		16	% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		22 20	20	15	6 2				
Results by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		23	96%	87%	26%	20	100%	75%	10%
Female		10	90%	90%	30%	9	100%	89%	22%
Male		13	100%	85%	23%	11	100%	64%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••					
Pacific Islander									
White		22	_		_	20	100%	75%	10%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		23	96%	87%	26%				
General-Education Students		22	_	-	-	17	-	-	-

Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	23	96%	87%	26%	20	100%	75%	10%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	75%	25%	5	100%	60%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	11	100%	100%	27%	15	100%	80%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	96%	87%	26%	20	100%	75%	10%
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Total	Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment	_		Number scoring at level(s):				Number scoring at level(s):				
0		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		0				0					

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	05-785				
2007 Mean Score: 657	100%	1000/1000/							
		100%100%				99% 98%			
			68%	64%			69% 65	6%	
2007-08									
2006-07									
				C	% 5%			4%	<sub>6</sub> 7%
Number of Tested Students:		19 22	13	14	0 1				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ır		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		19	100%	<b>68</b> %	0%	22	100%	64%	5%
Female		8	100%	88%	0%	13	100%	69%	0%
Male		11	100%	55%	0%	9	100%	56%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander									
White		19	100%	68%	0%	22	100%	64%	5%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		17	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
English Proficient		19	100%	68%	0%	22	100%	64%	5%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		3				8	100%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		16	-	-	-	14	100%	71%	7%
Migrant									

NOTES

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

19

100%

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

68%

0%

22

100%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

5%

64%

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	l	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 683	Range:	616-780	650-	-780 6	596-780				
2007 Mean Score: 656	100%	<sup>100%</sup> 91%	95%	59%		95% 94%	80% 72	!%	
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>				3	5%			189	<sup>%</sup> 13%
Number of Tested Students:		20 20	19	13	7 1				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ar		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		20	100%	95%	35%	22	<b>91</b> %	59%	5%
Female		8	100%	100%	50%	12	83%	58%	0%
Male		12	100%	92%	25%	10	100%	60%	10%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander									
White		20	100%	95%	35%	22	91%	59%	5%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals								••••••	•••••
General-Education Students		18	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	_	_	2	-	_	_
English Proficient		20	100%	95%	35%	22	91%	59%	5%
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		3	_	-	-	9	78%	44%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		17	-	-	-	13	100%	69%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20	100%		35%	22			 5%
Notingiant									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-		

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 663	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 71	2-790				
2007 Mean Score: 652	100%	100%100%	77%	5%		98% 95%	71%	%	
2006-07				59	<sup>%</sup> 0%			2%	5%
Number of Tested Students:		22 22	17 :	10 1	0				
Results by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		22	100%	77%	5%	22	100%	45%	0%
Female		11	100%	73%	9%	12	100%	58%	0%
Male		11	100%	82%	0%	10	100%	30%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	
Hispanic or Latino							••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander									
White		22	100%	77%	5%	22	100%	45%	0%

White	22	100%	(1%	5%	22	100%	45%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	14	100%	57%	0%
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-	8	100%	25%	0%
English Proficient	22	100%	77%	5%	22	100%	45%	0%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	13%	6	100%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	79%	0%	16	100%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	22	100%	77%	5%	22	100%	45%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         97% 95% $82\%$ $23\%$ 206-07 Scbol Year $23\%$ $12\%$ Total       Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4         22       95% $36\%$ $5\%$ 12       92% $42\%$ $8\%$ 10       100% $30\%$ $0\%$ 22       95% $36\%$ $5\%$ 10       100% $30\%$ $0\%$ 11       100% $30\%$ $0\%$ 22       95% $36\%$ $5\%$ 30% $0\%$ $7\%$ $36\%$ $5\%$ 22       95% $36\%$ $5\%$ 314       100% $50\%$ $7\%$ 350% $5\%$ $5\%$ $5\%$ 36% $13\%$ $0\%$ $5\%$ 36% $13\%$ $0\%$ $5\%$ 36% $5\%$ $5\%$ $5\%$			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 659	Range:	611-800	650-	800 (	693-800					
2007 Mean Score: 641	100%	100% <sub>95%</sub>	86%			97% 95%		%		
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>				36%	5% 5%	н.		23%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	21 21	18	8	1 1					
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			ichool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	_	21	100%	86%	5%	22	95%	36%	<b>5</b> %	
Female		10	100%	100%	0%	12	92%	42%	8%	
Male		11	100%	73%	9%	10	100%	30%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino					•••••		••••••••••	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her	•••••••					••••••			
Pacific Islander										
White		21	100%	86%	5%	22	95%	36%	5%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		20	-	-	-	14	100%	50%	7%	
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-	8	88%	13%	0%	
English Proficient		21	100%	86%	5%	22	95%	36%	5%	
Limited English Proficient		•••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	86%	0%	6	83%	0%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14	100%	86%	7%	16	100%	50%	6%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	100%	86%	5%	22	95%	36%	 5%	
NOTES										

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

. . . . . . . . . . .

93%

28

11%

61%

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		2006-07 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at level           Tested         2-4         3-4           28         93%         61%         18           18         89%         50%         50%			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 644	Range:	602-790	650-	-790	715-790				
2007 Mean Score: 664	100%	95% 93%		61%		96% 95%			
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>			33%		11% 0%		58% 57		6 4%
Number of Tested Students:		20 26	7	17	0 3				
Results by	2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	ar		2006–07 School Year				
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		21	95%	33%	0%	28	93%	61%	11%
Female		11	100%	55%	0%	18	89%	50%	17%
Male		10	90%	10%	0%	10	100%	80%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					1			
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei Pacific Islander	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••				••••	•••••	
White			95%	33%	0%		···· •·····	 –	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••	••••••		••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			28	93%	61%	11%
General-Education Students		14	100%	50%	0%	26	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	0%	0%	2	-	–	-
English Proficient		21	95%	33%	0%	28	93%	61%	11%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		5	80%	20%	0%	14	86%	36%	14%
Not Disadvantaged		16	100%	38%	0%	14	100%	86%	7%

NOTES

Migrant

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

21

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

95%

0%

33%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775						
2007 Mean Score: 660	100%	95% 100%		59%		95% 91%	71%	%			
2007-08 2006-07			48%	5	% 7%				<sup>%</sup> 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		20 27	10	16	1 2						
Results by	2007–08 School Yea			ır		2006–07 School Year					
-		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		21	95%	<b>48</b> %	5%	27	100%	59%	7%		
Female		12	92%	50%	8%	17	100%	59%	6%		
Male		9	100%	44%	0%	10	100%	60%	10%		
American Indian or Alaska N	lative					1		_			
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her										
Pacific Islander											
White		21	95%	48%		26					
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals			1000	530/	70/	27	100%	59%	7%		
General-Education Students			100%	57%	7%	26	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	29%	0%	1	-	-	-		
English Proficient		21	95%	48%		27	100%	59%	7%		
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		6	83%	17%	0%	13	100%	54%	0%		

Migrant . 48% 27 Not Migrant 21 95% 5% 100% 59% 7%

60%

7%

14

100%

64%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

15

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

14%

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	100%100%				98% 97%	950/		
		76% <sup>8</sup>	32%			85% 78	3%	
2007-08				21%			32	% 30%
2006-07			10	0%				
Number of Tested Students:	21 28	16	23	2 6	_			
Results by	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	21	100%	<b>76</b> %	10%	28	100%	82%	21%
Female	12	100%	67%	17%	18	100%	72%	17%
Male	9	100%	89%	0%	10	100%	100%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-		
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	21	100%	76%	10%	27	-		
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					28	100%	82%	21%
General-Education Students	14	100%	79%	14%	27	-	-	—
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	71%	0%	1	-	-	_
English Proficient	21	100%	76%	10%	28	100%	82%	21%
imited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	14	100%	71%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	15	100%	87%	13%	14	100%	93%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	100%		10%	28	100%		21%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School CANASERAGA SCHOOL School ID 02-11-02-04-0001

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sc	oring at level(	s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	<sup>%</sup> 85%92%	74% 73%	42% 7%	82% 82%	78% 77%	29% 30%		

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2003 Cohor	t**		
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	27	85%	74%	7%	26	92%	73%	42%
Female	14	86%	64%	14%	14	100%	86%	57%
Male	13	85%	85%	0%	12	83%	58%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	26	_			26	92%	73%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	27	85%	74%	7%				
General-Education Students	24	-	-	-	23	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	–	3	-	-	–
English Proficient	27	85%	74%	7%	26	92%	73%	42%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••		•••••		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	100%	0%	12	100%	75%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	20	80%	65%	10%	14	86%	71%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	27	85%	74%	7%		•••••		••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School CANASERAGA SCHOOL School ID 02-11-02-04-0001

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s)	:				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	85%	96% 70%	35%	85% 85%	81% 81%	29% 27%	

Results by	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	27	85%	70%	7%	26	96%	96%	35%
Female	14	86%	71%	7%	14	100%	100%	29%
Male	13	85%	69%	8%	12	92%	92%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American						•••••		
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	-	-		•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		•••••	••••••			••••••		
Pacific Islander								
White	26	-	-	-	26	96%	96%	35%
Multiracial						•••••		
Small Group Totals	27	85%	70%	7%		•••••		
General-Education Students	24	-	-	-	23	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	27	85%	70%	7%	26	96%	96%	35%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	100%	14%	12	100%	100%	67%
Not Disadvantaged	20	80%	60%	5%	14	93%	93%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	27	85%	70%	7%	•••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.