

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL District FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 02-20-01-04-0001 Principal KYLE FAULKNER Telephone (585) 567-2289 Grades PK-12, UE

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	30	35	36
Kindergarten	50	50	55
Grade 1	54	48	50
Grade 2	53	56	50
Grade 3	46	47	48
Grade 4	59	49	47
Grade 5	49	62	55
Grade 6	52	43	65
Ungraded Elementary	11	10	5
Grade 7	58	54	50
Grade 8	54	64	55
Grade 9	54	55	63
Grade 10	51	58	54
Grade 11	61	51	56
Grade 12	51	50	46
Ungraded Secondary	12	9	0
Total K–12	715	706	699

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005–06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	16	13	13
Grade 8			
English	14	16	16
Mathematics	14	13	13
Science	21	22	22
Social Studies	19	21	21
Grade 10			
English	14	16	16
Mathematics	19	19	19
Science	14	24	24
Social Studies	17	18	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	243	34%	253	36%	232	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	104	15%	97	14%	74	11%
Student Stability*		98%		96%		98%
Limited English Proficient	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	6	1%	7	1%	6	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	1%	4	1%	6	1%
White	702	98%	692	98%	683	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		96%		95%	
Student Suspensions	16	2%	9	1%	8	1%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	59	62	60
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	11%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	2%	2%	2%
Total Number of Core Classes	251	169	180
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	208	229	234
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	2%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	0%	7%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	7%	6%

## **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL × 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

ederal Title I Status	<b>New York State Status</b>
Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been id Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as	dentified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for s a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year,	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not
accountability measure for which it was identified is considered	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is
considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year,	considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	🔥 Go	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2008–09)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary	Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing			
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math	▲ Good Standing			
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation	Rate 🔺 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ing			
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09			
	YES		YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/N	Middle Level		Secondary Le	ivel			
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-						
Black or African American	–	—	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	—	•••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			•••••••••••••••••			
White	<	<b>~</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial				•••••	••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
		Met	Percentage	e Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (330:323)	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	173	127		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>	— —	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (320:314)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	173	127	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (49:47)	<b>v</b>	~	100%	~	123	119		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)		•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (141:137)		<b>~</b>	100%	~	158	124	····	···· •····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	articipation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index		2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (326:318)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	187	96			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>		_	_	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (316:309)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	96	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••• ••••••••••••••••••		••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(50:46)	<b>V</b>	~	100%	~	163	88			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (142:137)		~	100%	~	180	93	·····	···· ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of the count
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
Student Group	_	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (109:105)	<u> </u>	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	195	100		
Ethnicity									
Black or African American (1:0)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (105:102)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	~	195	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		• •••••	••••		••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (15:14)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (54:52)		Qualified	~	98%	~	194	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status         ✓       Made AYP         ✓SH       Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ         X       Did Not Make AYP         —       Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	followed b students w Groups wit the partici shown is tl participati Groups wit For school were comt f the coun	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 4c pation criterion he sum of 2006- on rates over th h fewer than 3c s with fewer thas bined to determ	ontinuously enroll ed from testing for i o students enrolled . If the participation -07 and 2007–08 e ose two years. o continuously enro an 30 continuously ine counts and per es is equal to or gre	ed tested stude medical reason during the test n rate of a grou nrollments and olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested dents are not require students in 2007–0 es.	mance). For a o the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–c is the weighte ed to meet the 8, data for 20	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me o8, the enrol ed average c e performan o6-07 and 2	y calculation: et Iment f the ce criterion.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested		Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (42:42)	<b>v</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	190	150		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (40:40)			100%		190	150	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>		•••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(8:8)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested		Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (42:42)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	195	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_	••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (40:40)			100%		195	144	••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••	••••••••	•••				•••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2:2)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	• ••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••••••	•••••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(8:8)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# 2 School Accountability

School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2007-08	2008–09	
All Students (49)	~	~	94%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							
Pacific Islander (0)							
White (48)	•••••	~	94%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (6)		_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (0)					•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (15)	• • • • • • • • • •	-	-	-	••••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1					
NOTES					1		

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	84%		50
Grade 4	74%		47
Grade 5	89%		<b>5</b> 4
Grade 6	70%		67
Grade 7	66%		50
Grade 8	66%		56
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		47
Grade 4	89%		47
Grade 5	87%		53
Grade 6	79%		66
Grade 7	86%		<b>5</b> 0
Grade 8	89%		<b>5</b> 7
Science			
Grade 4	93%		45
Grade 8	93%		58
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	89%		46
Mathematics	96%		46

#### District FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 670 2007-08 2006-07	100%	98% 98%	84% 69%	10% 10%	94% 91%	70% 66%	10% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		49 47	42 33	5 5			_		

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	50	98%	84%	10%	48	98%	69%	10%
Female	22	100%	95%	9%	27	100%	70%	7%
Male	28	96%	75%	11%	21	95%	67%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	49				44	-	_	-
Multiracial				••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals	50	98%	84%	10%	48	98%	69%	10%
General-Education Students	43	100%	93%	12%	43	98%	70%	9%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	29%	0%	5	100%	60%	20%
English Proficient	50	98%	84%	10%	48	98%	69%	10%
Limited English Proficient						•••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	74%	9%	23	100%	70%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	27	100%	93%	11%	25	96%	68%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	50	98%	84%	10%	48	98%	69%	10%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 708	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770			
2007 Mean Score: 707	100%	100%100%	98% 100%	47% 42%	98% 96%	90% 84%	19% 20%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	47 48	46 48	22 20			
Results by			nool Year		2006-07 S	ichool Year	

Docults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	47	100%	98%	<b>47</b> %	48	100%	100%	<b>42</b> %	
Female	20	100%	95%	45%	27	100%	100%	44%	
Male	27	100%	100%	48%	21	100%	100%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_			2				
Pacific Islander	-				۷	-			
White	46	-	-	-	44	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	47	100%	98%	47%	48	100%	100%	42%	
General-Education Students	40	100%	100%	53%	43	100%	100%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	86%	14%	5	100%	100%	40%	
English Proficient	47	100%	98%	47%	48	100%	100%	42%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	96%	39%	23	100%	100%	39%	
Not Disadvantaged	24	100%	100%	54%	25	100%	100%	44%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	47	100%	98%	47%	48	100%	100%	42%	

Other	2007–08 Se	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	_	-

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 664	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
2007 Mean Score: 684 2007–08 2006–07	100%	98% 100%	90% 74%	14% 0%	92% 93%	68% 68%	5% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		46 49	35 44	07			
		2007–08 Scl	hool Year		2006-07	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):

Results by	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	47	98%	74%	0%	49	100%	90%	14%	
Female	28	100%	86%	0%	21	100%	95%	29%	
Male	19	95%	58%	0%	28	100%	86%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2				1				
Pacific Islander	۷۲			_	T	_	-		
White	43	-	-	-	47	-	_	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	47	98%	74%	0%	49	100%	90%	14%	
General-Education Students	43	-	-	-	44	100%	93%	16%	
Students with Disabilities	4	-		–	5	100%	60%	0%	
English Proficient	47	98%	74%	0%	49	100%	90%	14%	
Limited English Proficient			•••••••			••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	26	96%	77%	0%	19	100%	89%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	71%	0%	30	100%	90%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	47	98%	74%	0%	49	100%	90%	14%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2007 Mean Score: 696	100%	100%100%	89% 98%		95% 94%	80% 79%			
2007-08 2006-07				39% 11%			18% 19%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	47 49	42 48	5 19					

<b>Bocultc</b> by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	47	100%	89%	11%	49	100%	98%	39%
Female	28	100%	89%	11%	21	100%	95%	38%
Male	19	100%	89%	11%	28	100%	100%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	43	-	-	-	47	-	-	–
Multiracial		••••		•••••	••••••			•••••
Small Group Totals	47	100%	89%	11%	49	100%	98%	39%
General-Education Students	43	-	_	-	44	100%	100%	43%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	0%
English Proficient	47	100%	89%	11%	49	100%	98%	39%
Limited English Proficient								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	26	100%	92%	4%	19	100%	95%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	86%	19%	30	100%	100%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	100%	89%	11%	49	100%	98%	39%

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_	_	_	1	-	_	-

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 81	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2007 Mean Score: 89	100%	100%100%	93% <sup>100%</sup>	73%	98% 99%	90% 92%	53%	
2007-08 2006-07				40%			49% 53%	
Number of Tested Students:		45 49	42 49	18 36				

<b>Boculte by</b>	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	je scoring at 3−4	: level(s): 4
All Students	45	100%	93%	40%	49	100%	100%	73%
Female	27	100%	96%	37%	20	100%	100%	85%
Male	18	100%	89%	44%	29	100%	100%	66%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	41	-	-	-	47	-	-	–
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••••			••••••
Small Group Totals	45	100%	93%	40%	49	100%	100%	73%
General-Education Students	41	-	-	-	43	100%	100%	79%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	33%
English Proficient	45	100%	93%	40%	49	100%	100%	73%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••							••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	25	100%	88%	40%	19	100%	100%	68%
Not Disadvantaged	20	100%	100%	40%	30	100%	100%	77%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	100%	93%	40%	49	100%	100%	73%

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scorir		oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_	_	-	1	-	_	-

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	·	Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 681	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2007 Mean Score: 675	100%	100% 98%	89% 83%		99% 97%	79% 72%	
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				13% 8%			4% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		54 64	48 54	7 5			

Deculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	54	100%	89%	13%	65	98%	83%	8%
Female	22	100%	95%	14%	32	97%	78%	13%
Male	32	100%	84%	13%	33	100%	88%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	51				64	-		
Multiracial	•••••			••••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	54	100%	89%	13%	65	98%	83%	8%
General-Education Students	45	100%	93%	16%	55	100%	93%	9%
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	67%	0%	10	90%	30%	0%
English Proficient	54	100%	89%	13%	65	98%	83%	8%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	78%	0%	25	96%	64%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	94%	19%	40	100%	95%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	54	100%	89%	13%	65	98%	83%	8%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 683	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2007 Mean Score: 665	100%	100% 98%	87% 77%		98% 96%	81% 74%	
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>				21%			16% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		53 65	46 51	11 6			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	r creentage sconing at		level(s): 4	Total Tested	r ereentage seornig at tevet		
All Students	53	100%	87%	21%	66	98%	77%	9%
Female	21	100%	86%	19%	33	97%	76%	9%
Male	32	100%	88%	22%	33	100%	79%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	50	-	-	-	65	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	•••••		••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals	53	100%	87%	21%	66	98%	77%	9%
General-Education Students	44	100%	93%	25%	56	98%	82%	11%
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	56%	0%	10	100%	50%	0%
English Proficient	53	100%	87%	21%	66	98%	77%	9%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	89%	16%	26	96%	69%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	34	100%	85%	24%	40	100%	83%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	53	100%	87%	21%	66	98%	77%	9%

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number sco		oring at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Scl	nools		
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 664	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785				
2007 Mean Score: 654 2007–08 2006–07	100%	100% 95%	70% 64%	4% 7%	99% 98%	69% 65%	4% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		67 42	47 28	3 3				
Results by		2007-08 Se	chool Year		2006-07	2006–07 School Year		
πεзинь μ		Total	Percentage scorir		Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s).	

Jaculte hv	2007 00								
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	67	100%	70%	4%	44	95%	64%	7%	
Female	35	100%	66%	6%	20	95%	65%	10%	
Male	32	100%	75%	3%	24	96%	63%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1								
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				
White	66			-	43				
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	67	100%	70%	4%	44	95%	64%	7%	
General-Education Students	56	100%	80%	5%	38	100%	71%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	18%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	67	100%	70%	4%	44	95%	64%	7%	
imited English Proficient			•••••					•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	26	100%	46%	0%	17	88%	35%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	41	100%	85%	7%	27	100%	81%	7%	
ligrant									
Not Migrant	67	100%	70%	4%	44	95%	64%	7%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			otal Number scoring a		at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sch	nools			
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 675	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2007 Mean Score: 659	100%	97% 93%	79% 75%		95% 94%	80% 729	%		
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>				18% 9%	н.		18%	13%	
Number of Tested Students:		64 41	52 33	12 4					
Poculte by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		2006-07 \$	School Year	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	Total	scoring at le	evel(s):		
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		66	97% 7	9% 18%	44	93%	75%	9%	

	••							• • •
Female	34	94%	79%	18%	20	90%	70%	10%
Male	32	100%	78%	19%	24	96%	79%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	65				43	-		
Multiracial			•••••	••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	66	97%	79%	18%	44	93%	75%	9%
General-Education Students	55	98%	80%	22%	38	97%	76%	11%
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	73%	0%	6	67%	67%	0%
English Proficient	66	97%	79%	18%	44	93%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	26	92%	65%	0%	17	82%	71%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	88%	30%	27	100%	78%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	66	97%	79%	18%	44	93%	75%	9%

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	.(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This	School				Similar So	chools		
		Perc	entage sc	oring at le	vel(s):		Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4		3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 658	Range:	600	-790	650-	-790	712-790				
2007 Mean Score: 672	100%	96%	100%	66%	67%		98% 95%	71%	<b>'%</b>	
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>						13% 0%			29	% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		48	54	33	36	07				
Results by		2007–08 School Yea			ar		2006-07	2006–07 School Year		
				Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Teste	ed	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students			50	96%	66%	0%	54	100%	<b>67</b> %	13%
Female			25	92%	68%	0%	26	100%	62%	15%
Male			25	100%	64%	0%	28	100%	71%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	e									
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		 1		······		••••		•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander		<b>.</b>		•••••						
White		· · • · · · · · · ·	.49				54	100%	67%	13%
Multiracial		<b>.</b>		••••••						
Small Group Totals			50	96%	66%	0%		1000/	6004	4.404
					74%	0%	49	100%	69%	14%
General-Education Students			43	100%			· · · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		43 7	71%	14%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
Students with Disabilities				•••••	· · <b>· ·</b> · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 54	100% 100%	40% 67%	
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient			7	71%	14%	0%				0%

Migrant . Not Migrant 50 96% 66% 0% 54 100% 67% 13%

74%

0%

24

100%

88%

29%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

31

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sconing at level(s).			Total	Number sco	er scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 682	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 678 ■ 2007–08 2006–07	100%	98% 100%	86% 89%	28% <sub>24%</sub>	97% 95%	82%	23%
Number of Tested Students:		49 54	43 48	14 13			12%
Results by		2007–08 Sch Total			2006–07 S Total	chool Year	
Student Group		Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3 <sup>.</sup>	ng at level(s): -4	Tested	Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4

All Students	50	98%	86%	<b>28</b> %	54	100%	89%	24%
Female	25	96%	80%	32%	26	100%	85%	23%
Male	25	100%	92%	24%	28	100%	93%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	49	-	-	-	54	100%	89%	24%
Multiracial				•••••	•••••			
Small Group Totals	50	98%	86%	28%	•••••	•••••••••••••••		•••••
General-Education Students	43	100%	93%	33%	49	100%	90%	27%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	43%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
English Proficient	50	98%	86%	28%	54	100%	89%	24%
Limited English Proficient				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	74%	16%	30	100%	87%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	94%	35%	24	100%	92%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	50	98%	86%	28%	54	100%	89%	24%

Other Assessments	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at leve		l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	_	-	

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	·	Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 665	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 642 2007–08 2006–07	100%	98% 94%	66% 44%	5% 2%	96% 95%	58% 57%	5% 4%
Number of Tested Students:		55 60	37 28	3 1			570 470
Results by		2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2006-07	School Year	
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	56	98%	66%	5%	64	94%	44%	2%
Female	27	100%	59%	0%	31	90%	55%	3%
Male	29	97%	72%	10%	33	97%	33%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••				••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	56	98%	66%	5%	62	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					64	94%	44%	2%
General-Education Students	51	100%	71%	6%	54	100%	52%	2%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	20%	0%	10	60%	0%	0%
English Proficient	56	98%	66%	5%	64	94%	44%	2%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		••••••				••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	50%	4%	31	90%	45%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	32	100%	78%	6%	33	97%	42%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	56	98%	66%	5%	64	94%	44%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2007 Mean Score: 662 2007-08 2006-07	100%	100% <sub>95%</sub>	89% 78%	23%	95% 91%	71% 57%	12% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		57 61	51 50	13 4			
Results by		2007-08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 \$	School Year	
κεραιις μλ		Total			Total	Deverate as as	

2007 00		-						
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
57	100%	89%	23%	64	95%	78%	<b>6</b> %	
28	100%	86%	14%	31	94%	74%	3%	
29	100%	93%	31%	33	97%	82%	9%	
				2	-	-	-	
57	100%	89%	23%	62	-	-	-	
				64	95%	78%	6%	
51	100%	92%	25%	54	100%	87%	7%	
6	100%	67%	0%	10	70%	30%	0%	
57	100%	89%	23%	64	95%	78%	6%	
		•••••	•••••			•••••••		
24	100%	88%	8%	31	94%	71%	3%	
33	100%	91%	33%	33	97%	85%	9%	
57	100%	89%	23%	64	95%	78%	6%	
	Tested 57 28 29 57 57 51 6 57 24 33	Tested         2-4           57         100%           28         100%           29         100%           57         100%           57         100%           57         100%           57         100%           57         100%           51         100%           57         100%           24         100%	Tested         2-4         3-4           57         100%         89%           28         100%         86%           29         100%         93%           57         100%         89%           57         100%         89%           57         100%         89%           57         100%         89%           57         100%         89%           51         100%         92%           6         100%         67%           57         100%         89%           24         100%         88%           33         100%         91%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4           57         100%         89%         23%           28         100%         86%         14%           29         100%         93%         31%           57         100%         89%         23%           57         100%         89%         23%           57         100%         89%         23%           57         100%         89%         23%           51         100%         92%         25%           6         100%         67%         0%           57         100%         89%         23%           24         100%         88%         8%           33         100%         91%         33%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested           57         100%         89%         23%         64           28         100%         86%         14%         31           29         100%         93%         31%         33           29         100%         93%         31%         33           29         100%         93%         31%         33           29         100%         89%         23%         62           57         100%         89%         23%         62           6         100%         92%         25%         54           6         100%         67%         0%         10           57         100%         89%         23%         64           24         100%         88%         8%         31           33         100%         91%         33%         33	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4           57         100%         89%         23%         64         95%           28         100%         86%         14%         31         94%           29         100%         93%         31%         33         97%           29         100%         93%         31%         33         97%           57         100%         89%         23%         62         -           57         100%         89%         23%         62         -           57         100%         89%         23%         62         -           64         95%         54         100%         64         95%           51         100%         92%         25%         54         100%           6         100%         67%         0%         10         70%           57         100%         89%         23%         64         95%           24         100%         88%         8%         31         94%           33         100%         91%         33%         33         97%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4         3-4           57         100%         89%         23%         64         95%         78%           28         100%         86%         14%         31         94%         74%           29         100%         93%         31%         33         97%         82%           29         100%         89%         23%         62         -         -           57         100%         89%         23%         62         -         -           57         100%         89%         23%         62         -         -           51         100%         92%         25%         54         100%         87%           6         100%         67%         0%         10         70%         30%           57         100%         89%         23%         64         95%         78%           6         100%         67%         0%         10         70%         30%           57         100%         88%         8%         31         94%         71%           33         100%         91%	

Other	2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	Similar Schools							
	Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%					00% 07%				
	100% 97%	93%			98% 97%	85% 78	07		
			75%				70		
2007-08			2.	3%			2.20	)//	
2006-07			3.	14%			325	% 30%	
				1470					
Number of Tested Students:	58 62	54	48 1	9 9					
Pocults by	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	58	100%	93%	33%	64	97%	75%	14%	
Female	28	100%	86%	21%	31	94%	61%	6%	
Male	30	100%	100%	43%	33	100%	88%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American				•••••	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino				•••••			•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••			••••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	58	100%	93%	33%	62	-	—	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					64	97%	75%	14%	
General-Education Students	52	100%	94%	37%	54	100%	85%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	6	100%	83%	0%	10	80%	20%	0%	
English Proficient	58	100%	93%	33%	64	97%	75%	14%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	96%	13%	31	97%	68%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	34	100%	91%	47%	33	97%	82%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	58	100%	93%	33%	64	97%	75%	14%	
NOTES									

Other Assessments	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2		_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷	-	-	-	0			
Regents Science	0				0			

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Scho	ools			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>	93% 92%	89% 92%	37%	82% 82%	78% 77%	29% 30%		

Results by	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	93%	89%	37%	50	92%	92%	<b>46</b> %
Female	24	96%	96%	29%	25	100%	100%	52%
Male	22	91%	82%	45%	25	84%	84%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	-	–	2	–	-	–
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–		•••••		•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	44	-	-	-	48	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	46	93%	89%	37%	50	92%	92%	46%
General-Education Students	44	-	-	-	44	98%	98%	50%
Students with Disabilities	2	_	-	-	6	50%	50%	17%
English Proficient	46	93%	89%	37%	50	92%	92%	46%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	10	80%	80%	20%	15	93%	93%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	36	97%	92%	42%	35	91%	91%	54%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	46	93%	89%	37%	••••••	•••••		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School FILLMORE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 02-20-01-04-0001

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	96% 96%	96% 96%	54% 39%	85% 85%	81% 81%	29% 27%		

Results by	2004 <b>Cohor</b>	t			2003 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	96%	96%	39%	50	96%	96%	54%
Female	24	100%	100%	29%	25	100%	100%	44%
Male	22	91%	91%	50%	25	92%	92%	64%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	-	-				•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••				•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	44				48	_		
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	46	96%	96%	39%	50	96%	96%	54%
General-Education Students	44	-	-	-	44	100%	100%	57%
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-	6	67%	67%	33%
English Proficient	46	96%	96%	39%	50	96%	96%	54%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		•••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	90%	20%	15	93%	93%	47%
Not Disadvantaged	36	97%	97%	44%	35	97%	97%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	46	96%	96%	39%		•••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.