



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**
Superintendent **GARY CERNE**
Telephone **(716) 366-9300**
Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	159	166	147
Grade 1	133	163	165
Grade 2	139	148	142
Grade 3	146	141	154
Grade 4	142	135	140
Grade 5	140	149	133
Grade 6	184	145	164
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	156	179	158
Grade 8	181	156	161
Grade 9	222	235	185
Grade 10	205	206	182
Grade 11	148	158	156
Grade 12	148	153	157
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2103	2134	2044

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	17	18	18
Grade 8			
English	17	12	14
Mathematics	16	14	15
Science	17	14	15
Social Studies	17	14	15
Grade 10			
English	22	17	18
Mathematics	18		
Science	16	23	21
Social Studies	21	20	16

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1184	56%	1272	60%	1167	57%
Reduced-Price Lunch	166	8%	124	6%	181	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	277	13%	263	12%	228	11%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	1%	15	1%	13	1%
Black or African American	199	9%	199	9%	194	9%
Hispanic or Latino	754	36%	817	38%	768	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	0%	12	1%	14	1%
White	1128	54%	1038	49%	1055	52%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	53	2%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		93%
Student Suspensions	166	8%	155	7%	178	8%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	218	203	220
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	3%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	23%	19%
Total Number of Core Classes	875	741	742
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	969	968	973
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	29%	28%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	16%	15%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	44	43	32
Total Paraprofessionals*	67	89	96
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Improvement (Year 4)

ELA	Improvement (Year 4)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✓	✓	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	✓ ^{SH}	✓	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts









Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)  Improvement (Year 4)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [219]




How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (935:889)							
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—						
Black or African American (85:84)							
Hispanic or Latino (368:337)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—						
White (474:460)							
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (109:98)	 SH						
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (95:97)	 SH						
Economically Disadvantaged (600:569)							
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES


- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 - ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (941:886)			99%		153	98	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (83:80)			98%		130	91	
Hispanic or Latino (370:342)			99%		142	96	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (479:456)			99%		166	97	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (107:97)			96%		90	92	85 101
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (96:106)			99%		119	92	
Economically Disadvantaged (604:577)			99%		142	97	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status














Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (315:286)		Qualified		97%		164	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (33:31)		Qualified	—	—		129	100	
Hispanic or Latino (118:103)		Qualified		95%		151	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (159:147)		Qualified		98%		180	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (42:34)		Qualified		90%		106	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (31:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (202:191)		Qualified		98%		155	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)



Improvement (Year 4)

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [219]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (159:165)	✓						
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—						
Black or African American (12:13)	—						
Hispanic or Latino (38:45)	✓ ^{SH}						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							
White (107:105)	✓						
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (12:19)	—						
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (57:67)	✓						
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (159:165)			99%		172	151	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (12:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (38:45)		—	—		160	145	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (107:105)			99%		183	149	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (12:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (57:67)			100%		158	147	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target	
				2007–08	2008–09	
All Students (193)			65%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (11)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (54)			35%	55%	1%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (127)			80%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (23)		–	–	–		
Limited English Proficient ³ (3)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (80)			43%	55%	55%	44%
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 83% of total

DUNKIRK MIDDLE SCHOOL

SCHOOL 3

SCHOOL 4

SCHOOL 5

SCHOOL 7

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

1 school identified 17% of total

DUNKIRK SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	57%			149
Grade 4	56%			138
Grade 5	75%			132
Grade 6	58%			162
Grade 7	51%			157
Grade 8	42%			164

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	76%			154
Grade 4	62%			142
Grade 5	72%			139
Grade 6	56%			163
Grade 7	58%			156
Grade 8	41%			166

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	71%			138
Grade 8	68%			160

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	66%			198
Mathematics	70%			198

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	149	93%	57%	5%	142	84%	49%	5%
Female	76	92%	53%	4%	66	89%	53%	3%
Male	73	95%	62%	5%	76	79%	45%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	17	88%	41%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	71	89%	51%	1%	64	78%	36%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	61	97%	64%	10%	61	89%	64%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	59%	0%				
General-Education Students	138	96%	61%	5%	127	87%	53%	6%
Students with Disabilities	11	55%	9%	0%	15	60%	13%	0%
English Proficient	126	96%	65%	6%	113	88%	59%	6%
Limited English Proficient	23	78%	13%	0%	29	69%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	91%	51%	2%	109	81%	40%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	48	98%	69%	10%	33	94%	76%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	149	93%	57%	5%	142	84%	49%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	154	97%	76%	8%	144	90%	55%	4%
Female	79	96%	75%	9%	66	89%	59%	6%
Male	75	97%	77%	7%	78	91%	51%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	17	82%	47%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	73	93%	70%	7%	67	87%	43%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	64	100%	83%	8%	60	97%	70%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	76%	12%				
General-Education Students	142	98%	80%	8%	129	93%	59%	5%
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	33%	0%	15	67%	20%	0%
English Proficient	129	98%	81%	9%	111	95%	63%	5%
Limited English Proficient	25	88%	48%	0%	33	76%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	95%	70%	7%	110	88%	49%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	50	100%	88%	10%	34	97%	74%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	97%	76%	8%	144	90%	55%	4%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	138	87%	56%	4%	129	95%	68%	8%
Female	62	92%	53%	5%	64	95%	72%	9%
Male	76	83%	58%	3%	65	95%	65%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	-	-	-	10	100%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	57	82%	40%	4%	40	88%	48%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	63	90%	70%	5%	79	99%	80%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	89%	56%	0%				
General-Education Students	123	93%	62%	4%	116	96%	72%	9%
Students with Disabilities	15	33%	7%	0%	13	92%	31%	0%
English Proficient	114	92%	64%	4%	118	97%	72%	8%
Limited English Proficient	24	63%	17%	0%	11	73%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	84%	48%	3%	75	92%	57%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	41	95%	73%	5%	54	100%	83%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	87%	56%	4%	129	95%	68%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	142	89%	62%	10%	130	87%	62%	11%
Female	64	94%	63%	14%	66	85%	62%	11%
Male	78	86%	62%	6%	64	89%	63%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	10	80%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	61	87%	54%	8%	42	71%	43%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	64	92%	70%	14%	78	96%	76%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	88%	59%	0%				
General-Education Students	127	94%	67%	11%	118	89%	64%	12%
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	20%	0%	12	67%	42%	0%
English Proficient	117	93%	68%	12%	115	91%	68%	12%
Limited English Proficient	25	72%	36%	0%	15	53%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	87%	58%	5%	77	82%	53%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	43	95%	72%	21%	53	94%	75%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	142	89%	62%	10%	130	87%	62%	11%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	138	94%	71%	25%	125	97%	83%	36%
Female	60	97%	75%	27%	63	94%	83%	29%
Male	78	92%	68%	23%	62	100%	84%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	10	90%	70%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	57	91%	60%	19%	41	93%	61%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	64	98%	86%	33%	74	100%	97%	46%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	88%	53%	12%				
General-Education Students	124	98%	77%	27%	115	97%	85%	38%
Students with Disabilities	14	64%	21%	0%	10	90%	60%	10%
English Proficient	114	97%	79%	30%	110	98%	87%	41%
Limited English Proficient	24	79%	33%	0%	15	87%	53%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	92%	64%	15%	74	95%	76%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	41	100%	88%	46%	51	100%	94%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	94%	71%	25%	125	97%	83%	36%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	132	98%	75%	3%	139	94%	56%	2%
Female	68	99%	78%	4%	67	97%	57%	0%
Male	64	98%	72%	2%	72	90%	56%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	100%	78%	0%	13	92%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	40	95%	53%	0%	53	89%	47%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	83	100%	86%	5%	73	97%	66%	3%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	120	98%	79%	3%	127	97%	61%	2%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	33%	0%	12	58%	8%	0%
English Proficient	121	100%	81%	3%	124	96%	60%	2%
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	9%	0%	15	73%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	98%	69%	1%	97	91%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	85%	6%	42	100%	79%	7%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	132	98%	75%	3%	139	94%	56%	2%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	139	91%	72%	11%	146	81%	48%	3%
Female	72	90%	69%	13%	70	81%	44%	3%
Male	67	91%	75%	9%	76	80%	51%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	0%	14	71%	43%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	46	78%	52%	7%	58	78%	43%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	84	96%	83%	14%	74	85%	53%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	127	92%	77%	12%	132	83%	52%	3%
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	17%	0%	14	57%	14%	0%
English Proficient	123	95%	78%	12%	126	86%	54%	3%
Limited English Proficient	16	56%	25%	0%	20	50%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	92%	65%	7%	101	79%	44%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	55	89%	82%	16%	45	84%	58%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	91%	72%	11%	146	81%	48%	3%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	162	98%	58%	2%	139	97%	42%	5%
Female	76	99%	55%	5%	72	97%	38%	1%
Male	86	97%	60%	0%	67	97%	46%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	100%	38%	0%	12	92%	33%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	59	98%	47%	0%	54	94%	31%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	90	97%	68%	4%	73	100%	51%	8%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	146	99%	64%	3%	119	100%	49%	6%
Students with Disabilities	16	88%	6%	0%	20	80%	0%	0%
English Proficient	152	98%	62%	3%	124	98%	47%	6%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	0%	0%	15	87%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	97%	48%	1%	96	96%	31%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	57	98%	77%	5%	43	100%	65%	14%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	162	98%	58%	2%	139	97%	42%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	163	85%	56%	7%	144	69%	36%	1%
Female	76	84%	50%	3%	72	71%	35%	1%
Male	87	85%	61%	10%	72	67%	38%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	77%	31%	8%	12	58%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	61	77%	51%	2%	58	48%	22%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	89	91%	63%	10%	74	86%	47%	1%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	147	89%	60%	7%	125	74%	42%	2%
Students with Disabilities	16	44%	19%	0%	19	37%	0%	0%
English Proficient	150	89%	59%	7%	125	78%	42%	2%
Limited English Proficient	13	38%	23%	0%	19	11%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	79%	43%	2%	98	58%	24%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	56	95%	80%	16%	46	91%	61%	2%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	163	85%	56%	7%	144	69%	36%	1%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	157	97%	51%	0%	169	89%	38%	2%
Female	74	99%	50%	0%	65	95%	49%	5%
Male	83	95%	52%	0%	104	85%	31%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	93%	36%	0%	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	64	94%	41%	0%	58	79%	24%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-
White	79	100%	62%	0%	90	94%	52%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					21	90%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	139	98%	55%	0%	145	94%	43%	3%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	17%	0%	24	54%	4%	0%
English Proficient	149	98%	54%	0%	162	91%	40%	2%
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	0%	0%	7	29%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	97%	40%	0%	116	85%	27%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	58	97%	69%	0%	53	96%	62%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	157	97%	51%	0%	169	89%	38%	2%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	97%	58%	4%	167	79%	29%	1%
Female	75	97%	56%	3%	66	80%	26%	2%
Male	81	98%	60%	5%	101	78%	32%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	64	95%	47%	2%	57	68%	18%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-
White	78	100%	72%	5%	90	91%	39%	1%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	93%	36%	7%	20	55%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	138	99%	63%	4%	142	85%	33%	1%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	22%	0%	25	48%	8%	0%
English Proficient	147	98%	61%	4%	161	80%	30%	1%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	22%	0%	6	50%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	97%	44%	1%	114	73%	21%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	56	98%	84%	9%	53	92%	47%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	97%	58%	4%	167	79%	29%	1%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	164	92%	42%	2%	150	85%	31%	2%
Female	64	97%	50%	3%	70	86%	30%	3%
Male	100	89%	37%	2%	80	84%	33%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	49	92%	33%	0%	57	79%	19%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	94	97%	52%	4%	68	88%	47%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	71%	19%	0%	25	88%	16%	0%
General-Education Students	145	94%	47%	3%	125	92%	38%	2%
Students with Disabilities	19	79%	5%	0%	25	48%	0%	0%
English Proficient	162	-	-	-	142	88%	33%	2%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	8	25%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	93%	36%	1%	99	78%	21%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	69	91%	51%	4%	51	98%	51%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	164	92%	42%	2%	150	85%	31%	2%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	166	84%	41%	4%	152	73%	40%	3%
Female	68	88%	46%	4%	72	69%	38%	3%
Male	98	82%	38%	3%	80	76%	43%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	52	77%	25%	0%	60	60%	27%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	95	92%	54%	6%	67	85%	55%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	68%	21%	0%	25	72%	32%	0%
General-Education Students	147	91%	46%	4%	127	80%	46%	4%
Students with Disabilities	19	32%	0%	0%	25	36%	8%	0%
English Proficient	159	86%	43%	4%	141	77%	43%	4%
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	0%	0%	11	18%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	79%	35%	0%	99	67%	31%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	68	93%	50%	9%	53	85%	57%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	166	84%	41%	4%	152	73%	40%	3%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	2	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	160	94%	68%	16%	150	93%	50%	17%
Female	63	97%	67%	8%	71	93%	41%	15%
Male	97	93%	68%	22%	79	94%	58%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	50	92%	58%	8%	58	91%	31%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	91	96%	79%	23%	67	97%	73%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	95%	37%	5%	25	88%	32%	4%
General-Education Students	142	96%	72%	18%	125	96%	55%	20%
Students with Disabilities	18	83%	33%	0%	25	80%	24%	4%
English Proficient	154	95%	70%	17%	139	96%	54%	19%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	0%	0%	11	64%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	94%	61%	7%	97	91%	37%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	64	95%	77%	30%	53	98%	74%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	160	94%	68%	16%	150	93%	50%	17%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	2	2	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	198	75%	66%	14%	195	68%	65%	20%
Female	95	77%	68%	16%	94	73%	69%	24%
Male	103	73%	64%	13%	101	63%	61%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	19	–	–	–	11	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	63	63%	54%	11%	55	35%	31%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	114	84%	76%	18%	128	86%	84%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	57%	48%	5%	12	33%	25%	8%
General-Education Students	172	83%	74%	16%	171	78%	74%	23%
Students with Disabilities	26	19%	12%	0%	24	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	196	–	–	–	191	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	78	71%	60%	10%	83	47%	42%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	120	78%	70%	17%	112	84%	82%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	198	75%	66%	14%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	198	79%	70%	23%	195	74%	70%	26%
Female	95	82%	69%	27%	94	78%	74%	23%
Male	103	77%	70%	18%	101	71%	65%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	19	–	–	–	11	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	63	73%	56%	17%	55	47%	40%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	114	87%	82%	29%	128	88%	85%	37%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	57%	43%	5%	12	50%	42%	8%
General-Education Students	172	85%	78%	26%	171	81%	77%	30%
Students with Disabilities	26	38%	15%	0%	24	25%	17%	0%
English Proficient	196	–	–	–	191	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	78	76%	63%	17%	83	57%	48%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	120	82%	74%	27%	112	88%	86%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	198	79%	70%	23%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.