



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **09-05-01-04-0000**

Superintendent **PETER TURNER**

Telephone **(518) 298-8242**

Grades **K-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	103	99	98
Grade 1	98	91	103
Grade 2	90	93	92
Grade 3	97	97	91
Grade 4	90	95	88
Grade 5	103	97	89
Grade 6	125	129	109
Ungraded Elementary	24	24	45
Grade 7	135	127	136
Grade 8	151	136	129
Grade 9	186	174	165
Grade 10	173	162	141
Grade 11	134	155	135
Grade 12	125	140	161
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1634	1619	1582

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	18	19	19
Grade 8			
English	27	25	22
Mathematics	24	20	18
Science	24	25	24
Social Studies	25	25	24
Grade 10			
English	25	23	24
Mathematics	22	20	24
Science	22	22	17
Social Studies	24	24	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **09-05-01-04-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	292	18%	313	19%	419	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	169	10%	159	10%	199	13%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	4	0%	2	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	11	1%	8	1%
Black or African American	17	1%	12	1%	12	1%
Hispanic or Latino	17	1%	23	1%	22	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	1%	13	1%	9	1%
White	1585	97%	1560	96%	1531	97%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	61	4%	73	4%	60	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **09-05-01-04-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	125	95	127
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	12%	12%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	13%	9%
Total Number of Core Classes	506	293	386
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	2%	0%
Total Number of Classes	484	499	516
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	29%	11%	N/A
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	11%	14%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	15	11	11
Total Paraprofessionals*	22	28	24
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	7	4	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	—	—	—	—	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	3 of 3	3 of 3	1 of 1	2 of 2	2 of 2	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |











Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (677:667)			100%		165	129	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (661:651)			100%		165	129	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (157:151)			99%		117	125	118 125
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)							
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 3						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status











Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (674:658)			100%		177	98	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (657:642)			100%		177	98	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (158:147)			99%		129	93	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 3						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (228:218)		Qualified		100%		186	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (224:215)		Qualified		100%		187	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (55:48)		Qualified		98%		160	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.


³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 2 of 2 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (154:161)			100%		171	157	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (149:156)			100%		171	157	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (19:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 2						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 - ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 2 of 2 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (154:161)			99%		180	151	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (149:156)			99%		179	151	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (19:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 2						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status





Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2007–08	2008–09
All Students (157)			76%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (2)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (2)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		–	–	–		
White (151)			75%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (29)		–	–	–		
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (26)		–	–	–		
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **09-05-01-04-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 75% of total

MOOERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

NORTHEASTERN CLINTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

NORTHEASTERN CLINTON SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

1 school identified 25% of total

ROUSES POINT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District **NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **09-05-01-04-0000**

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	76%			96
Grade 4	82%			92
Grade 5	76%			102
Grade 6	72%			110
Grade 7	64%			138
Grade 8	44%			131

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	94%			95
Grade 4	88%			92
Grade 5	82%			101
Grade 6	86%			111
Grade 7	81%			137
Grade 8	64%			131

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	99%			94
Grade 8	78%			131

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	70%			183
Mathematics	78%			183

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	96	96%	76%	6%	100	98%	70%	4%
Female	44	100%	82%	5%	52	100%	81%	4%
Male	52	92%	71%	8%	48	96%	58%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	91	96%	76%	7%	96	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	100	98%	70%	4%
General-Education Students	78	100%	87%	8%	80	100%	76%	5%
Students with Disabilities	18	78%	28%	0%	20	90%	45%	0%
English Proficient	96	96%	76%	6%	100	98%	70%	4%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					26	96%	73%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	96	96%	76%	6%	74	99%	69%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	96	96%	76%	6%	100	98%	70%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	95	97%	94%	17%	103	98%	88%	16%
Female	43	100%	98%	21%	53	100%	92%	11%
Male	52	94%	90%	13%	50	96%	84%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	90	97%	93%	18%	99	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	103	98%	88%	16%
General-Education Students	77	100%	99%	21%	83	100%	94%	16%
Students with Disabilities	18	83%	72%	0%	20	90%	65%	15%
English Proficient	95	97%	94%	17%	103	98%	88%	16%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					28	96%	82%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	95	97%	94%	17%	75	99%	91%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	95	97%	94%	17%	103	98%	88%	16%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	92	97%	82%	4%	106	94%	65%	7%
Female	47	96%	83%	9%	53	94%	62%	11%
Male	45	98%	80%	0%	53	94%	68%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	90	-	-	-	103	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	92	97%	82%	4%	106	94%	65%	7%
General-Education Students	73	100%	92%	5%	76	100%	86%	9%
Students with Disabilities	19	84%	42%	0%	30	80%	13%	0%
English Proficient	92	97%	82%	4%	106	94%	65%	7%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					40	90%	50%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	92	97%	82%	4%	66	97%	74%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	92	97%	82%	4%	106	94%	65%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	92	97%	88%	28%	105	89%	76%	18%
Female	46	100%	89%	22%	53	87%	75%	13%
Male	46	93%	87%	35%	52	90%	77%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	89	-	-	-	102	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	92	97%	88%	28%	105	89%	76%	18%
General-Education Students	71	100%	97%	31%	76	100%	93%	25%
Students with Disabilities	21	86%	57%	19%	29	59%	31%	0%
English Proficient	92	97%	88%	28%	105	89%	76%	18%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					39	82%	64%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	92	97%	88%	28%	66	92%	83%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	92	97%	88%	28%	105	89%	76%	18%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	99%	99%	68%	102	97%	95%	66%
Female	48	100%	100%	71%	51	98%	94%	61%
Male	46	98%	98%	65%	51	96%	96%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	91	-	-	-	99	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	94	99%	99%	68%	102	97%	95%	66%
General-Education Students	72	100%	100%	78%	75	100%	100%	81%
Students with Disabilities	22	95%	95%	36%	27	89%	81%	22%
English Proficient	94	99%	99%	68%	102	97%	95%	66%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					36	94%	92%	61%
Not Disadvantaged	94	99%	99%	68%	66	98%	97%	68%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	99%	99%	68%	102	97%	95%	66%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	98%	76%	4%	103	95%	74%	5%
Female	52	100%	73%	8%	48	94%	69%	2%
Male	50	96%	80%	0%	55	96%	78%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	97	98%	78%	4%	100	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	103	95%	74%	5%
General-Education Students	73	100%	93%	5%	77	100%	83%	6%
Students with Disabilities	29	93%	34%	0%	26	81%	46%	0%
English Proficient	102	98%	76%	4%	103	95%	74%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					31	84%	58%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	102	98%	76%	4%	72	100%	81%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	98%	76%	4%	103	95%	74%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	101	94%	82%	21%	104	96%	85%	26%
Female	52	94%	81%	19%	48	96%	88%	21%
Male	49	94%	84%	22%	56	96%	82%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	96	94%	84%	22%	101	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	104	96%	85%	26%
General-Education Students	73	100%	97%	29%	78	100%	95%	35%
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	43%	0%	26	85%	54%	0%
English Proficient	101	94%	82%	21%	104	96%	85%	26%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					32	88%	72%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	101	94%	82%	21%	72	100%	90%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	94%	82%	21%	104	96%	85%	26%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	97%	72%	1%	129	97%	62%	5%
Female	48	96%	75%	0%	64	100%	72%	5%
Male	62	98%	69%	2%	65	94%	52%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	109	-	-	-	124	97%	61%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	110	97%	72%	1%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	81	100%	84%	1%	100	100%	79%	7%
Students with Disabilities	29	90%	38%	0%	29	86%	3%	0%
English Proficient	110	97%	72%	1%	129	97%	62%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					45	91%	33%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	110	97%	72%	1%	84	100%	77%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	97%	72%	1%	129	97%	62%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	111	95%	86%	27%	127	91%	81%	14%
Female	48	96%	94%	19%	64	92%	86%	17%
Male	63	94%	81%	33%	63	89%	76%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	110	-	-	-	122	90%	81%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	111	95%	86%	27%	5	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	81	99%	96%	37%	99	100%	94%	18%
Students with Disabilities	30	83%	60%	0%	28	57%	36%	0%
English Proficient	111	95%	86%	27%	127	91%	81%	14%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					43	79%	63%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	111	95%	86%	27%	84	96%	90%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	111	95%	86%	27%	127	91%	81%	14%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	138	98%	64%	1%	128	95%	55%	5%
Female	70	99%	69%	3%	51	98%	65%	8%
Male	68	97%	60%	0%	77	92%	48%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	136	-	-	-	127	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	138	98%	64%	1%	128	95%	55%	5%
General-Education Students	112	100%	76%	2%	94	100%	68%	6%
Students with Disabilities	26	88%	15%	0%	34	79%	18%	0%
English Proficient	138	98%	64%	1%	128	95%	55%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					34	91%	26%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	138	98%	64%	1%	94	96%	65%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	98%	64%	1%	128	95%	55%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	137	96%	81%	14%	128	92%	60%	10%
Female	70	99%	84%	9%	51	96%	67%	8%
Male	67	94%	78%	19%	77	90%	56%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	135	-	-	-	127	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	137	96%	81%	14%	128	92%	60%	10%
General-Education Students	113	100%	90%	17%	94	100%	76%	14%
Students with Disabilities	24	79%	38%	0%	34	71%	18%	0%
English Proficient	137	96%	81%	14%	128	92%	60%	10%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					34	88%	35%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	137	96%	81%	14%	94	94%	69%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	137	96%	81%	14%	128	92%	60%	10%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	131	95%	44%	2%	135	96%	53%	4%
Female	56	98%	52%	2%	71	97%	56%	3%
Male	75	92%	37%	1%	64	94%	50%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	130	-	-	-	133	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	131	95%	44%	2%	135	96%	53%	4%
General-Education Students	103	99%	52%	2%	104	100%	63%	4%
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	11%	0%	31	81%	19%	3%
English Proficient	131	95%	44%	2%	134	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged					39	90%	28%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	131	95%	44%	2%	96	98%	64%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	95%	44%	2%	135	96%	53%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	131	89%	64%	9%	134	90%	47%	2%
Female	55	95%	67%	11%	70	89%	49%	4%
Male	76	84%	62%	8%	64	92%	45%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	130	-	-	-	132	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	131	89%	64%	9%	134	90%	47%	2%
General-Education Students	101	97%	74%	12%	103	98%	53%	3%
Students with Disabilities	30	60%	30%	0%	31	65%	26%	0%
English Proficient	131	89%	64%	9%	133	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged					38	76%	37%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	131	89%	64%	9%	96	96%	51%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	89%	64%	9%	134	90%	47%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	131	97%	78%	28%	133	95%	76%	23%
Female	55	96%	78%	22%	69	94%	74%	17%
Male	76	97%	78%	33%	64	95%	78%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	130	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	131	97%	78%	28%	133	95%	76%	23%
General-Education Students	101	100%	89%	36%	103	100%	86%	27%
Students with Disabilities	30	87%	40%	3%	30	77%	40%	10%
English Proficient	131	97%	78%	28%	132	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged					37	86%	54%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	131	97%	78%	28%	96	98%	84%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	97%	78%	28%	133	95%	76%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort	Percentage scoring at level(s):			2003 Cohort**	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	183	79%	70%	22%	158	77%	65%	13%
Female	78	82%	73%	28%	77	83%	71%	19%
Male	105	76%	68%	17%	81	72%	59%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	178	78%	69%	22%	152	76%	64%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	6	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	148	89%	82%	27%	129	91%	78%	15%
Students with Disabilities	35	37%	17%	0%	29	17%	10%	3%
English Proficient	183	79%	70%	22%	158	77%	65%	13%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	27	59%	48%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	180	-	-	-	131	81%	69%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	183	79%	70%	22%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	183	85%	78%	35%	158	82%	73%	32%
Female	78	90%	81%	42%	77	87%	78%	39%
Male	105	82%	76%	30%	81	78%	68%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	178	85%	78%	35%	152	82%	72%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	6	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	148	95%	89%	43%	129	93%	85%	39%
Students with Disabilities	35	46%	31%	0%	29	34%	17%	3%
English Proficient	183	85%	78%	35%	158	82%	73%	32%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	27	74%	59%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	180	-	-	-	131	84%	76%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	183	85%	78%	35%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort	2003 Cohort		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.