

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School HOMER INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL District HOMER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 11-07-01-06-0003 Principal STEPHANIE BEEMAN Telephone (607) 749-1240 Grades 3-6

# This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	158	149	138
Grade 4	128	156	151
Grade 5	159	133	155
Grade 6	153	157	138
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	598	595	582

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007–08
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	124	21%	105	18%	110	19%
Reduced-Price Lunch	75	13%	48	8%	48	8%
Student Stability*		100%		97%		97%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	1	0%	2	0%	6	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	4	1%	2	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	1%	5	1%	6	1%
White	589	98%	584	98%	568	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		97%	
Student Suspensions	4	1%	8	1%	3	1%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	47	47	47
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	2%	6%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	4%	9%	9%
Total Number of Core Classes	171	71	59
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	82	87	75
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	25%	0%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	6%	15%	2%

### **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)	
<ul> <li>School in Good Standing</li> <li>A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been in Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as</li> </ul>	lentified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for a School Under Registration Review.	
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.	
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.	
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.	
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.	
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does no make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) f the following year.	
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School HOMER INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL School ID 11-07-01-06-0003

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	∧ Improvement (Year 1)						
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	A Improvement (Year 1)	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	the School Received Tit	le I Part A Funding				
	2006-0	200	7–08	2008–09			
	YES	YES		YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	ementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	V	V					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•			
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>√</b> SH	<ul> <li></li> </ul>						
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1					



## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [110]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (587:570)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	172	128		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(2:2)</sup>				_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (572:555)	<	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	128	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(88:82)	V SH	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>✓</b> SH	116	122	93	124
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (181:167)	~	~	99%	~	152	125		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (586:566)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	V	185	97			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(2:2)</sup>				_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (571:551)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	97	••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••		••••		•••• •••••••••••••••••		••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(86:80)	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	100%	~	145	91			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (181:166)	<b>/</b>	~	100%	~	177	94		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target 2008-09
All Students (151:147)	V	Qualified		100%		195	100	2007 00	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)	•••••••••								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (149:145)		Qualified	~	100%	~	195	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		•••••••			••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (17:17)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (42:38)	••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	195	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particij shown is th participatio Groups wit For school were comb	y the count of cc ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2006– on rates over tho h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha bined to determi	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o7 and 2007–08 e ose two years. continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and illed tested stud enrolled tested formance indice	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 6 are not included ir administration peri 0 fell below 80 perc the percent tested ents are not require students in 2007–0 25. rmer LEP students a	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0 is the weighte ed to meet the p8, data for 20	ccountability ent count. Juired to me 8, the enroll ed average o e performan 06–07 and 2	y calculation et ment f the ce criterion.

in the performance calculations.

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	75%		138
Grade 4	72%		151
Grade 5	69%		157
Grade 6	72%		140
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		137
Grade 4	86%		151
Grade 5	78%		157
Grade 6	89%		141
Science			
Grade 4	93%		151

District HOMER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 14

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 658	100%	99% 90%	75%		97% 95%	78% 76%			
2007-08									
2006-07				18% 5%			15% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	137 136	103 84	25 8					
		2007-08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 S	chool Year			

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
All Students	138	99%	75%	18%	151	90%	56%	5%
Female	68	100%	71%	22%	62	89%	63%	8%
Male	70	99%	79%	14%	89	91%	51%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	135	-	-	–	148	-	–	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	138	99%	75%	18%	151	90%	56%	5%
General-Education Students	130	99%	77%	18%	131	97%	63%	5%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	38%	13%	20	45%	5%	5%
English Proficient	138	99%	75%	18%	149	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	43	98%	58%	5%	44	75%	30%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	95	100%	82%	24%	107	96%	66%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	99%	75%	18%	151	90%	56%	5%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 690	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770					
2007 Mean Score: 677	100%	99% 97%	93% 7	9%		99% 98%	93% 90	%		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				21	<sup>8%</sup> 22%		н	27	% 29%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	136 146	128 1	.20 3	38 33					
Poculte by		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		137	99%	93%	<b>28</b> %	151	<b>97</b> %	<b>79</b> %	22%	
Female		67	100%	94%	22%	62	97%	79%	21%	
Male		70	99%	93%	33%	89	97%	80%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American		2								
lispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Vhite		134				149	-	-	-	
1ultiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	137	99%	93%	28%	151	97%	79%	22%	
General-Education Students		128	100%	95%	27%	131	99%	85%	24%	
itudents with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	89%	78%	33%	20	80%	40%	5%	
nglish Proficient		137	99%	93%	28%	149	-	-	-	
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	2	-	-	-	
conomically Disadvantaged		43	98%	81%	14%	43	88%	60%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	94	100%	99%	34%	108	100%	87%	26%	

NOTES The - sy

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

137

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assossments	2007-08 50	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

93%

28%

151

97%

79%

22%

99%

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Scl	Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2007 Mean Score: 655 2007-08 2006-07	100%	95% 88%	<sup>72%</sup> 61%	11% 3%	95% 95%	78% 77%	9% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	144 138	108 95	16 5					
Posults by		2007–08 S	chool Year		2006-07	School Year			
<b>Results by</b>		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Total Percentage scoring at leve						
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	151	95%	72%	11%	156	88%	<b>61</b> %	3%
Female	63	95%	75%	11%	65	94%	65%	3%
Male	88	95%	69%	10%	91	85%	58%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					J			
White	149	-		-	149	89%	61%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	151	95%	72%	11%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	133	98%	79%	12%	127	98%	73%	4%
Students with Disabilities	18	72%	17%	0%	29	48%	7%	0%
English Proficient	151	95%	72%	11%	156	88%	61%	3%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	88%	51%	5%	46	76%	43%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	110	98%	79%	13%	110	94%	68%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	151	95%	72%	11%	156	88%	61%	3%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800				
2007 Mean Score: 668	100%	<sup>95%</sup> 90%	86%	1%		97% 96%	88% 86	%	
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				2:	<sup>1%</sup> 13%	н.		304	% 30%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	144 141	130 1	16 3	1 21				
Results by		2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		151	95%	86%	21%	157	90%	74%	13%
Female		63	95%	87%	16%	67	84%	67%	13%
Male		88	95%	85%	24%	90	94%	79%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American						3			
Hispanic or Latino						1			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	2	-	_	-	3	-	-	-
White		149	-		-	150	91%	75%	13%
Multiracial		•••••	••••••		•••••			•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		151	95%	86%	21%	7	57%	57%	29%
General-Education Students		134	97%	90%	23%	129	96%	84%	16%
Students with Disabilities		17	82%	59%	0%	28	61%	29%	0%
English Proficient		151	95%	86%	21%	157	90%	74%	13%
Limited English Proficient		••••••	••••••		••••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		42	93%	76%	5%	46	83%	63%	7%
Not Disadvantaged		109	96%	90%	27%	111	93%	78%	16%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		151	95%	86%	21%	157	90%	74%	13%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Scl	hools			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
2007 Mean Score: 80	100%	99% 100%	93% 9		2%	99% 99%	94% 95		% 62%	
2007-08 2006-07				5	41%					
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	150 155	141 1	.41	79 64					
Poculto by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		151	99%	93%	52%	155	100%	91%	41%	
Female		63	100%	90%	48%	65	100%	89%	32%	
Male		88	99%	95%	56%	90	100%	92%	48%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American						3				
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White		149	-		_	148	100%	93%	43%	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals		151	99%	93%	52%	7	100%	57%	14%	
General-Education Students		134	99%	93%	54%	126	100%	95%	44%	
Students with Disabilities		17	100%	94%	35%	29	100%	72%	28%	
English Proficient		151	99%	93%	52%	155	100%	91%	41%	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••		••••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		42	98%	88%	33%	45	100%	89%	36%	
Not Disadvantaged		109	100%	95%	60%	110	100%	92%	44%	
Migrant										
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	

Not Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

151

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

93%

52%

99%

155

100%

91%

41%

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	608-795	650-7	<b>'</b> 95 7:	11-795				
2007 Mean Score: 665	100%	98% 98%	69% <sup>7</sup>	9%		99% 98%	84% 78	%	
2007-08 2006-07				3'	% 2%	н.		7%	8%
Number of Tested Students:		154 134	109 1	.08 4	4 3				
Posults by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year	ſ		2006-07 <b>S</b>	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		157	98%	<b>69</b> %	3%	137	98%	79%	2%
Female		69	99%	70%	3%	63	97%	73%	3%
Male		88	98%	69%	2%	74	99%	84%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	••••••	150	98%	70%	2%	134	–	–	–
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	7	100%	57%	14%	137	98%	79%	2%
General-Education Students		121	100%	83%	3%	112	100%	88%	3%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	36	92%	22%	0%	25	88%	40%	0%
English Proficient		157	98%	69%	3%	137	98%	79%	2%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		50	98%	48%	2%	47	96%	64%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	107	98%	79%	3%	90	99%	87%	3%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	157	98%	69%	3%	137	98%	79%	2%

NOTES The - sy symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 674	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2007 Mean Score: 676	100%	96% 98%	78% 88%		98% 96%	88% 81%	
2007-08 2006-07				18% 15%			27% 22%
Number of Tested Students:		151 133	123 120	28 21			
Doculto hy		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 S	chool Year	
Results by Student Group		Total p Tested	ercentage scorir 2–4 3-	5	Total Tested	5	oring at level(s): 3–4           4

All Students	157	96%	78%	18%	136	98%	88%	15%
Female	70	94%	73%	13%	61	97%	87%	7%
Male	87	98%	83%	22%	75	99%	89%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	150	97%	79%	17%	133	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	29%	136	98%	88%	15%
General-Education Students	122	100%	85%	19%	113	100%	96%	18%
Students with Disabilities	35	83%	54%	14%	23	87%	52%	4%
English Proficient	157	96%	78%	18%	136	98%	88%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	50	94%	74%	12%	46	96%	76%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	107	97%	80%	21%	90	99%	94%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	157	96%	78%	18%	136	98%	88%	15%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

OtherZoor-os school yearZoor-os school yearTotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalNumber scoring at level(s):AssessmentsTested2-43-44	level(s):
ASSESSMENTS Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-	
	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent 0 0	

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 665	Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	05-785				
2007 Mean Score: 656	100%	100% <sub>96%</sub>	72%	56%		99% 99%	76% 73	1%	
2007-08 2006-07				6	% 5%			5%	12%
Number of Tested Students:		140 150	101	88 8	3 8				
Results by $\frac{2007}{Total}$		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		140	100%	72%	6%	156	96%	56%	5%
Female		66	100%	70%	5%	71	99%	61%	8%
Male		74	100%	74%	7%	85	94%	53%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-			••••••	
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei Pacific Islander	r	1	-	–	-				
White		137	-	-	-	155	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••		•••••••••	•••••		••••••	••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	140	100%	72%	6%	156	96%	56%	5%

82%

28%

72%

50%

83%

72%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

7%

0%

6%

0%

9%

6%

133

23

156

38

118

156

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

115

25

140

46

94

140

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant

Not Migrant

64%

13%

56%

42%

61%

56%

6%

0%

5%

5%

5%

. . . . . .

5%

99%

78%

96%

95%

97%

96%

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780					
2007 Mean Score: 663	100%	99% 94%	89%	6%		97% 95%	87% 79	%		
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>				2	3%			28	<sup>%</sup> 22%	
Number of Tested Students:		139 146	125 1	.03 3	32 17					
Deculte by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	ŕ		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s				
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		141	99%	89%	23%	155	94%	66%	11%	
Female		64	98%	86%	16%	71	93%	66%	13%	
Male		77	99%	91%	29%	84	95%	67%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	1	-	-	-					
White		138	-	-	-	154	-	-	–	
Multiracial			• •••••	•••••	•••••		••••••••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals		141	99%	89%	23%	155	94%	66%	11%	
General-Education Students		116	100%	95%	28%	133	98%	75%	13%	
Students with Disabilities		25	92%	60%	0%	22	73%	14%	0%	
English Proficient		141	99%	89%	23%	155	94%	66%	11%	
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••		••••••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged		46	98%	80%	11%	38	92%	50%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		95	99%	93%	28%	117	95%	72%	15%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		141	99%	89%	23%	155	94%	66%	11%	

NOTES The - sy symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Assessments     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): Tested     Total 2-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       New York State Alternate Assessment     0     0     0	Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
New York State Alternate Assessment	-		Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
0			2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade & Equivalent	New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			