

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School VAN WYCK JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL District WAPPINGERS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 13-21-01-06-0009 Principal STEVE SHUCHAT Telephone (845) 227-1700 Grades 6-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	409	434	417
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	524	487	525
Grade 8	511	546	492
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1444	1467	1434

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Common Branch	26		
Grade 8			
English	26	25	25
Mathematics	26	25	25
Science	26	24	24
Social Studies	26	25	25
Grade 10			
English	19		
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	5-06	200	2006–07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	42	3%	72	5%	58	4%
Reduced-Price Lunch	41	3%	58	4%	35	2%
Student Stability*		91%		95%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	65	5%	71	5%	65	5%
Hispanic or Latino	90	6%	111	8%	104	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	69	5%	76	5%	68	5%
White	1220	84%	1209	82%	1195	83%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%	
Student Suspensions	66	5%	47	3%	0	0%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	98	98	103
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	11%	11%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	16%	19%
Total Number of Core Classes	361	346	380
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	7%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	455	454	477
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	26%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	18%	9%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	6	7	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	2	3
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I l Title I Status to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	ool in Good Standing hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool in Corrective Action chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	ool Planning for Restructuring shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool Restructuring (Year 1) thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability

School VAN WYCK JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL School ID 13-21-01-06-0009

Summary

Overall Accountability	Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	Elementary/Midd	lle Level	Secondary Level					
	ELA Goo	d Standing	ELA					
	Math 📕 Goo	d Standing	Math					
	Science 📕 Goo	d Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Years the Scho	ool Received Title I Pa	art A Funding					
	2006–07	2007-08		2008–09				
	NO	NO		NO				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	V	 				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	✓					
White	<	 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 					
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2008–09	
All Students (1446:1424)			100%		171	130	2007 00	2000 09	
Ethnicity						1			
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (65:64)	 	 	98%	~	158	121		••••	
Hispanic or Latino (107:102)	<	✓	100%	~	160	123	••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (68:67)	~	~	100%	~	184	121	••••		
White (1204:1189)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	172	130	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (232:229)	~	~	100%	x	114	126	117	123	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• •••••	••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	••• ••••••	••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (130:122)	<u> </u>	/	100%	 	154	124		···· •····	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (1446:1414)	~	v	100%	v	184	99		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (65:62)	✓	~	100%	~	165	90		••••
Hispanic or Latino (109:101)	~	<	99%	 	178	92	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (68:66)	✓	~	99%	~	192	90		
White (1202:1183)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	185	99	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(233:226)	V	V	100%	~	132	95		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (133:122)	V	~	99%	~	174	93		•••• •••••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008–09
All Students (491:483)	~	Qualified	~	100%	 ✓ 	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (27:27)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (40:38)		Qualified	~	100%	 	192	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:27)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (397:391)		Qualified	~	100%	 	189	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			• •••••						
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (62:60)		Qualified	~	98%	~	148	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (41:39)		Qualified	~	100%	<	179	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status Made AYP SH Made AYP		followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 07 and 2007–08 e	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0	ccountabilit ent count. Juired to me 8, the enrol	y calculatio et lment

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	76%	'	420
Grade 7	78%		530
Grade 8	62%		493
Mathematics			
Grade 6	83%		422
Grade 7	91%		529
Grade 8	84%		490
Science			
Grade 8	90%		488

District WAPPINGERS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 31

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 663	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2007 Mean Score: 665	100%	98% 98%	76% 70%		99% 99%	82% 79%			
2007-082006-07				2% 8%			7% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		413 426	321 302	10 34					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	420	98%	76%	2%	433	98%	70%	8%
Female	218	98%	78%	4%	201	99%	74%	9%
Male	202	99%	75%	0%	232	98%	66%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	17	94%	59%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	96%	63%	0%	29	97%	69%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	12%	20	100%	95%	40%
White	362	99%	79%	2%	367	99%	69%	7%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	17	94%	35%	0%			•••••	
General-Education Students	331	100%	89%	3%	362	99%	80%	9%
Students with Disabilities	89	92%	28%	0%	71	93%	18%	0%
English Proficient	420	98%	76%	2%	433	98%	70%	8%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	39	95%	67%	0%	39	97%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	381	99%	77%	3%	394	98%	71%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	420	98%	76%	2%	433	98%	70%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2007 Mean Score: 670	100%	96% 93%	83% 77%		97% 96%	90% 82%			
2007-08 2006-07				19% 18%	н.		24%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	404 402	349 329	79 76					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
 All Students	422	96%	83%	19%	430	93%	77%	18%
Female	218	96%	83%	18%	199	93%	73%	18%
Male	204	96%	82%	19%	231	94%	80%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	17	76%	35%	0%	17	94%	82%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	26	100%	69%	8%	29	79%	69%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	20	100%	100%	65%
White	361	96%	86%	18%	364	94%	76%	16%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	18	94%	78%	72%				•••••
General-Education Students	333	100%	94%	23%	360	98%	85%	21%
Students with Disabilities	89	81%	42%	2%	70	70%	31%	0%
English Proficient	422	96%	83%	19%	430	93%	77%	18%
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	41	90%	71%	5%	38	87%	58%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	381	96%	84%	20%	392	94%	78%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	422	96%	83%	19%	430	93%	77%	18%

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 668	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2007 Mean Score: 667	100%	99% 99%	78% 74%		99% 98%	83% 74%			
2007-08 2006-07				4% 7%			3% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		523 482	414 359	21 35					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	530	99%	78%	4%	488	99%	74%	7%	
Female	244	100%	84%	5%	253	100%	77%	9%	
Male	286	98%	73%	3%	235	98%	69%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	29	97%	59%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	43	98%	67%	0%	33	100%	67%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	92%	17%	27	100%	78%	26%	
White	442	99%	79%	4%	399	99%	75%	6%	
Multiracial	•••••		••••••				•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	21	100%	76%	0%			•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	451	100%	86%	5%	426	100%	81%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	79	91%	30%	0%	62	92%	26%	0%	
English Proficient	530	99%	78%	4%	488	99%	74%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••		•••••			••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	98%	65%	0%	37	100%	65%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	481	99%	79%	4%	451	99%	74%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	530	99%	78%	4%	488	99%	74%	7%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 682	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 670	100%	98% 98%	91% 79%		99% 98%	92% 83%	
2007-08				33%			41%
2006-07				17%			25%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	517 473	479 384	176 83	_		
		2007-08 Sch	ool Voar		2006-07 \$	chool Voar	

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 S	2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students	529	98%	91%	33%	485	98%	79%	17%	
Female	242	99%	92%	33%	250	98%	82%	16%	
Male	287	97%	90%	34%	235	97%	76%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	27	89%	74%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	43	100%	77%	23%	35	100%	66%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	100%	78%	27	100%	100%	48%	
White	441	98%	92%	32%	396	98%	79%	16%	
Multiracial	••••••							••••••	
Small Group Totals	22	95%	82%	36%				••••••	
General-Education Students	448	100%	96%	39%	423	100%	85%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	81	85%	58%	2%	62	82%	37%	0%	
English Proficient	529	98%	91%	33%	485	98%	79%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••		••••••		•••••••••••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	100%	82%	10%	38	97%	61%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	480	98%	91%	36%	447	98%	81%	18%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	529	98%	91%	33%	485	98%	79%	17%	

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Total Number scoring at leve		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 660	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 659	100%	98% 97%	62% 66%		98% 98%	71% 75%	
2007-08 2006-07				4% 5%			8% 8%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	482 524	308 357	18 25			
		2007-08 Sch	ool Voar		2006-07 \$	ichool Year	

Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	493	98%	62%	4%	540	97%	66%	5%
Female	257	98%	68%	5%	234	98%	73%	7%
Male	236	98%	56%	2%	306	96%	61%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	100%	61%	4%	25	96%	64%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	40	95%	58%	3%	46	100%	59%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	78%	7%	29	93%	83%	3%
White	398	98%	62%	4%	440	97%	66%	5%
Multiracial		••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	429	99%	71%	4%	456	100%	76%	5%
Students with Disabilities	64	88%	5%	0%	84	83%	14%	1%
English Proficient	493	98%	62%	4%	540	97%	66%	5%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		•••••				••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	38%	5%	39	92%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	451	98%	65%	4%	501	97%	68%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	493	98%	62%	4%	540	97%	66%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2007 Mean Score: 667	100%	98% 96%	84%		97% 96%	86% 77%	
2007–08 2006–07				22% 16%			24% 17%
Number of Tested Students:		482 515	411 375	106 86			
Posults by		2007-08 S	chool Year		2006-07	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):
Student Grow	n	Tested	2-1 3.	-1 1	Tested	2-1	3-1 1

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	490	98%	84%	22%	537	96%	70%	16%
Female	255	99%	84%	19%	234	96%	71%	15%
Male	235	98%	83%	24%	303	96%	69%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	27	96%	78%	15%	25	96%	56%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	39	100%	85%	15%	45	98%	62%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	96%	59%	29	93%	86%	38%
White	397	98%	83%	20%	438	96%	70%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	428	100%	90%	25%	453	99%	77%	19%
Students with Disabilities	62	87%	44%	0%	84	79%	33%	0%
English Proficient	490	98%	84%	22%	537	96%	70%	16%
Limited English Proficient				•••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	93%	71%	7%	40	93%	45%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	448	99%	85%	23%	497	96%	72%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	490	98%	84%	22%	537	96%	70%	16%

Other Assessments	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	97%	0	F 0/		99%	89	1%		
		8	5%				.,		
								43%	
2007-08				37%				43%	
2006–07									
Number of Tested Students:	- 522	- 4	58	- 197					
Results by	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	488	99%	90%	40 %	536	97%	85%	37%	
Female	256	99%	91%	36%	233	97%	84%	34%	
Male	232	99%	88%	44%	303	97%	86%	39%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	27	100%	81%	37%	25	92%	80%	32%	
Hispanic or Latino	40	100%	93%	28%	46	100%	85%	26%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	26	100%	96%	58%	29	93%	93%	52%	
Pacific Islander		100%	9070	JO 70	29	9570	9570	JZ 70	
White		99%		40%	436	98%	85%	37%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	427	100%	95%	44%	451	99%	92%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	61	93%	54%	8%	85	87%	51%	9%	
English Proficient	488	99%	90%	40%	536	97%	85%	37%	
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	41	100%	78%	20%	41	93%	73%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	447	99%	91%	42%	495	98%	86%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	488	99%	90%	40%	536	97%	85%	37%	

Other Assessments	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					0			
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0			