



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION
FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Superintendent **MARK MONDANARO**

Telephone **(716) 874-8400**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	216	282	252
Kindergarten	587	566	580
Grade 1	589	595	569
Grade 2	593	596	579
Grade 3	568	583	619
Grade 4	632	596	601
Grade 5	590	632	572
Grade 6	627	628	639
Ungraded Elementary	30	6	24
Grade 7	651	646	646
Grade 8	683	630	624
Grade 9	752	724	671
Grade 10	715	771	696
Grade 11	714	700	735
Grade 12	643	727	715
Ungraded Secondary	94	19	19
Total K-12	8468	8419	8289

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	20	20
Grade 8			
English	17	20	20
Mathematics	17	21	21
Science	17	22	22
Social Studies	18	21	22
Grade 10			
English	26	23	22
Mathematics	23	23	23
Science	25	23	21
Social Studies	25	22	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1378	16%	1586	19%	1628	20%
Reduced-Price Lunch	893	11%	867	10%	909	11%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	53	1%	69	1%	78	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	36	0%	57	1%	70	1%
Black or African American	368	4%	430	5%	477	6%
Hispanic or Latino	182	2%	220	3%	244	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	74	1%	79	1%	88	1%
White	7808	92%	7633	91%	7390	89%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	20	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	332	4%	423	5%	410	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	708	560	731
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	5%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	6%	6%
Total Number of Core Classes	2541	1507	1726
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2665	2727	2735
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	28%	26%	27%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	13%	13%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	84	72	91
Total Paraprofessionals*	207	199	228
Assistant Principals	6	7	7
Principals	12	14	14

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native		—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH		—			—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	7 of 7	1 of 1	3 of 4	3 of 4	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3789:3739)			100%		173	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (31:30)		—	—		167	116	
Black or African American (268:260)			100%		146	126	
Hispanic or Latino (126:124)			99%		156	124	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:40)			95%		173	118	
White (3320:3285)			100%		176	131	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (741:724)			99%		128	129	126 135
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (31:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (1469:1437)			100%		159	130	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3779:3719)			100%		183	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (29:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (265:256)			100%		159	95	
Hispanic or Latino (129:124)			99%		171	93	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:42)			100%		181	87	
White (3312:3268)			100%		185	100	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (739:721)			99%		143	98	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (31:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (1463:1426)			100%		173	99	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1249:1221)		Qualified		99%		190	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (95:92)		Qualified		100%		171	100	
Hispanic or Latino (52:48)		Qualified		98%		181	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1081:1060)		Qualified		99%		192	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (254:247)		Qualified		100%		160	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (9:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (484:467)		Qualified		99%		182	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (680:656)			98%		183	161	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (25:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (9:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (636:612)			98%		184	161	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (215:121)			93%		131	156	131 [‡] 138
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (127:130)			99%		175	156	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (680:656)			98%		185	155	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (25:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (9:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (636:612)			98%		187	155	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (215:121)			95%		139	150	133 [‡] 145
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (127:130)			100%		179	150	
Final AYP Determination		3 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2007–08	2008–09
All Students (749)			77%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)	–	–	–	–		
Black or African American (27)	–	–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (13)	–	–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6)	–	–	–	–		
White (702)			79%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (126)			52%	55%	55%	53%
Limited English Proficient ³ (2)	–	–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (176)			65%	55%		
Final AYP Determination  1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 23% of total

BEN FRANKLIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
HOLMES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
THEODORE ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

8 schools identified 62% of total

ALEXANDER HAMILTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
BEN FRANKLIN MIDDLE SCHOOL
CHARLES A LINDBERGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
HERBERT HOOVER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
HERBERT HOOVER MIDDLE SCHOOL
KENMORE MIDDLE SCHOOL
THOMAS A EDISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
THOMAS JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

1 school identified 8% of total

KENMORE EAST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 8% of total

KENMORE WEST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	77%			627
Grade 4	74%			603
Grade 5	80%			581
Grade 6	73%			644
Grade 7	74%			653
Grade 8	72%			638
Mathematics				
Grade 3	92%			624
Grade 4	87%			601
Grade 5	85%			579
Grade 6	84%			642
Grade 7	86%			651
Grade 8	80%			638
Science				
Grade 4	92%			600
Grade 8	90%			634

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	78%			777
Mathematics	82%			777

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	627	96%	77%	15%	589	92%	76%	13%
Female	305	97%	79%	17%	280	95%	79%	14%
Male	322	96%	75%	14%	309	90%	73%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	88%	0%	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	96%	54%	7%	50	82%	50%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	52%	10%	14	79%	57%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	0%	9	-	-	-
White	543	96%	79%	17%	514	94%	79%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					11	91%	82%	18%
General-Education Students	529	100%	83%	18%	498	98%	83%	14%
Students with Disabilities	98	79%	42%	3%	91	64%	34%	3%
English Proficient	623	-	-	-	586	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	252	95%	69%	9%	218	88%	65%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	375	97%	81%	20%	371	95%	82%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	627	96%	77%	15%	589	92%	76%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	624	99%	92%	26%	589	97%	89%	33%
Female	304	99%	92%	25%	277	98%	90%	35%
Male	320	98%	93%	27%	312	97%	88%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	88%	25%	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	96%	83%	13%	50	92%	74%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	22	100%	95%	14%	13	85%	77%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	33%	9	-	-	-
White	539	99%	93%	27%	516	98%	91%	36%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					10	100%	90%	40%
General-Education Students	526	100%	96%	29%	499	99%	94%	37%
Students with Disabilities	98	92%	73%	10%	90	87%	61%	12%
English Proficient	620	-	-	-	584	97%	90%	33%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	251	98%	90%	18%	221	96%	86%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	373	99%	94%	31%	368	98%	92%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	624	99%	92%	26%	589	97%	89%	33%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	4	4	2	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	603	94%	74%	7%	592	94%	73%	7%
Female	279	95%	79%	10%	289	96%	76%	10%
Male	324	92%	70%	5%	303	92%	70%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%
Black or African American	54	83%	50%	0%	29	97%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	92%	63%	4%	16	88%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	57%	14%
White	516	95%	77%	8%	534	94%	75%	7%
Multiracial	9	89%	78%	11%				
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	11%				
General-Education Students	497	99%	83%	9%	497	98%	79%	8%
Students with Disabilities	106	69%	35%	0%	95	75%	41%	1%
English Proficient	599	-	-	-	586	94%	74%	7%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	6	83%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	234	89%	62%	4%	232	91%	62%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	369	96%	82%	9%	360	96%	81%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	603	94%	74%	7%	592	94%	73%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	601	97%	87%	32%	587	96%	85%	30%
Female	279	97%	85%	29%	287	95%	82%	28%
Male	322	97%	88%	34%	300	97%	88%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	17%
Black or African American	54	89%	72%	13%	26	85%	65%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	26	92%	88%	23%	15	87%	67%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	29%
White	512	98%	88%	34%	533	97%	86%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	44%				
General-Education Students	496	100%	93%	35%	491	98%	90%	34%
Students with Disabilities	105	84%	59%	15%	96	85%	59%	8%
English Proficient	596	97%	87%	32%	582	96%	85%	30%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	80%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	95%	81%	19%	227	93%	79%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	365	98%	90%	40%	360	98%	88%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	601	97%	87%	32%	587	96%	85%	30%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	600	99%	92%	57%	580	99%	91%	57%
Female	278	99%	93%	55%	285	99%	88%	52%
Male	322	98%	91%	58%	295	98%	94%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	50%
Black or African American	54	96%	70%	26%	26	96%	65%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	26	100%	88%	42%	15	93%	73%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	14%
White	511	99%	94%	61%	526	99%	93%	59%
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	67%				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	67%				
General-Education Students	496	100%	97%	64%	486	99%	93%	62%
Students with Disabilities	104	92%	68%	25%	94	95%	80%	31%
English Proficient	595	98%	92%	57%	575	99%	91%	57%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	20%	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	233	98%	88%	45%	223	96%	83%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	367	99%	95%	65%	357	100%	96%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	600	99%	92%	57%	580	99%	91%	57%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	581	98%	80%	5%	627	96%	73%	6%
Female	273	99%	83%	6%	303	98%	74%	8%
Male	308	98%	78%	4%	324	95%	72%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	67%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	93%	57%	3%	38	100%	37%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	17	94%	59%	0%	11	100%	64%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	0%	4	-	-	-
White	521	99%	83%	5%	569	96%	76%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	11%				
General-Education Students	471	100%	87%	6%	528	99%	81%	7%
Students with Disabilities	110	93%	52%	0%	99	81%	30%	2%
English Proficient	576	98%	81%	5%	624	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	0%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	221	97%	68%	3%	220	93%	59%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	360	99%	88%	6%	407	98%	80%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	581	98%	80%	5%	627	96%	73%	6%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	579	97%	85%	25%	634	97%	85%	24%
Female	271	97%	84%	22%	308	97%	87%	22%
Male	308	96%	87%	27%	326	96%	84%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	40%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	86%	59%	7%	38	89%	61%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	18	89%	67%	11%	11	82%	73%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	63%	25%	4	-	-	-
White	519	97%	88%	26%	576	98%	88%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	89%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	470	99%	90%	29%	535	99%	92%	27%
Students with Disabilities	109	86%	68%	7%	99	83%	53%	6%
English Proficient	573	97%	86%	25%	629	97%	86%	24%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	50%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	221	94%	77%	18%	226	94%	79%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	358	98%	91%	28%	408	98%	89%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	579	97%	85%	25%	634	97%	85%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	9	9

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	644	99%	73%	5%	633	98%	71%	9%
Female	305	100%	77%	8%	310	100%	72%	13%
Male	339	98%	71%	4%	323	97%	71%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	100%	49%	0%	37	97%	43%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	59%	0%	16	94%	69%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	50%	17%	2	-	-	-
White	569	99%	76%	6%	573	98%	73%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	43%	14%				
General-Education Students	533	100%	82%	7%	508	100%	81%	11%
Students with Disabilities	111	95%	31%	0%	125	91%	30%	0%
English Proficient	636	99%	74%	6%	630	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	25%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	241	98%	59%	3%	234	97%	60%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	403	100%	82%	7%	399	99%	78%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	644	99%	73%	5%	633	98%	71%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	9	9	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	642	96%	84%	22%	635	91%	74%	14%
Female	305	97%	86%	21%	310	93%	73%	14%
Male	337	96%	82%	23%	325	90%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	89%	60%	2%	37	84%	43%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	16	88%	50%	13%	16	100%	75%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	17%	2	-	-	-
White	568	97%	86%	24%	575	92%	76%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	86%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	532	100%	92%	26%	509	97%	83%	18%
Students with Disabilities	110	80%	43%	4%	126	69%	37%	1%
English Proficient	635	96%	83%	22%	631	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	238	93%	74%	12%	235	85%	64%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	404	98%	89%	28%	400	95%	80%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	642	96%	84%	22%	635	91%	74%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	9	8	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 663	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2007 Mean Score: 659						
Number of Tested Students:	643	629	486	419	12	27

Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	653	98%	74%	2%	654	96%	64%	4%
Female	326	100%	78%	2%	308	98%	71%	6%
Male	327	97%	71%	1%	346	95%	58%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	96%	57%	0%	39	95%	31%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	19	95%	74%	0%	27	96%	41%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	577	99%	76%	2%	577	96%	67%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	0%	11	100%	82%	9%
General-Education Students	516	100%	84%	2%	508	99%	75%	5%
Students with Disabilities	137	93%	38%	0%	146	85%	27%	1%
English Proficient	650	-	-	-	651	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	254	97%	65%	1%	241	92%	48%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	399	99%	81%	3%	413	99%	73%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	653	98%	74%	2%	654	96%	64%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	651	97%	86%	28%	662	95%	75%	24%
Female	322	98%	87%	28%	311	97%	81%	26%
Male	329	96%	85%	27%	351	94%	70%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	0%	5	80%	60%	0%
Black or African American	45	91%	67%	7%	42	88%	43%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	19	95%	84%	16%	28	93%	46%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	0%	8	100%	75%	25%
White	577	98%	88%	30%	579	96%	79%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	513	100%	94%	34%	513	99%	86%	29%
Students with Disabilities	138	87%	54%	4%	149	82%	37%	4%
English Proficient	647	-	-	-	658	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	252	94%	79%	14%	250	90%	62%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	399	99%	90%	36%	412	99%	83%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	651	97%	86%	28%	662	95%	75%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	638	98%	72%	9%	653	97%	73%	7%
Female	294	99%	80%	14%	309	98%	80%	11%
Male	344	97%	65%	4%	344	97%	67%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	40%	0%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	42	98%	40%	0%	28	86%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	27	96%	56%	4%	12	100%	75%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	13%	2	-	-	-
White	556	98%	75%	10%	607	98%	75%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	83%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	496	100%	84%	11%	535	100%	83%	8%
Students with Disabilities	142	91%	30%	1%	118	87%	30%	1%
English Proficient	634	-	-	-	652	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	247	95%	54%	4%	202	93%	63%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	391	100%	83%	12%	451	99%	78%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	638	98%	72%	9%	653	97%	73%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	6	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	638	95%	80%	16%	645	96%	69%	9%
Female	294	96%	84%	19%	306	94%	71%	9%
Male	344	94%	77%	13%	339	96%	67%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	88%	61%	0%	28	79%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	27	96%	67%	11%	12	92%	67%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	38%	2	-	-	-
White	557	95%	82%	17%	598	96%	71%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	86%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	496	99%	91%	19%	532	99%	77%	10%
Students with Disabilities	142	80%	42%	4%	113	79%	28%	2%
English Proficient	634	-	-	-	644	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	246	89%	70%	9%	196	90%	56%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	392	98%	87%	20%	449	98%	75%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	638	95%	80%	16%	645	96%	69%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	6	6

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	634	98%	90%	48%	643	98%	87%	38%
Female	294	99%	91%	50%	306	98%	85%	35%
Male	340	98%	88%	47%	337	99%	89%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	40%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	98%	76%	24%	29	90%	52%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	25	100%	72%	24%	10	100%	80%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	100%	57%	2	-	-	-
White	556	98%	92%	51%	597	99%	89%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	7	100%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	493	100%	97%	57%	531	100%	95%	44%
Students with Disabilities	141	92%	66%	16%	112	91%	53%	10%
English Proficient	630	-	-	-	642	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	243	95%	82%	34%	197	95%	76%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	391	100%	94%	57%	446	100%	92%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	634	98%	90%	48%	643	98%	87%	38%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2007-08 School Year	2006-07 School Year
	Total Tested	Total Tested
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	6
Regents Science	0	0

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	777	82%	78%	38%	745	84%	81%	40%
Female	376	87%	85%	46%	356	89%	86%	49%
Male	401	77%	72%	31%	389	80%	76%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	50%	50%	33%	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	31	68%	61%	26%	26	69%	62%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	8	75%	75%	0%	13	69%	69%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	89%	78%	44%	6	-	-	-
White	723	83%	79%	39%	699	85%	82%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	71%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	629	87%	86%	46%	616	92%	91%	47%
Students with Disabilities	148	60%	46%	5%	129	48%	34%	3%
English Proficient	770	82%	79%	39%	743	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	43%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	168	73%	67%	23%	169	78%	73%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	609	84%	81%	43%	576	86%	83%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	777	82%	78%	38%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction


This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	777	87%	82%	24%	745	88%	83%	27%
Female	376	89%	85%	27%	356	91%	85%	29%
Male	401	85%	80%	21%	389	85%	80%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	67%	67%	0%	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	31	61%	55%	16%	26	73%	65%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	8	75%	75%	25%	13	85%	85%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	78%	78%	56%	6	-	-	-
White	723	88%	84%	24%	699	89%	83%	29%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	629	92%	89%	29%	616	96%	92%	32%
Students with Disabilities	148	64%	53%	2%	129	52%	40%	3%
English Proficient	770	87%	83%	24%	743	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	43%	14%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	168	79%	74%	11%	169	84%	76%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	609	89%	85%	28%	576	89%	85%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	777	87%	82%	24%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.