

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District ELBA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 18-09-01-04-0000 Superintendent JOAN COLE Telephone (585) 757-9967 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	16
Kindergarten	22	35	27
Grade 1	39	26	33
Grade 2	33	39	28
Grade 3	34	36	39
Grade 4	38	40	34
Grade 5	39	35	40
Grade 6	55	42	34
Ungraded Elementary	2	2	3
Grade 7	46	58	46
Grade 8	49	45	59
Grade 9	36	50	44
Grade 10	60	33	52
Grade 11	46	59	36
Grade 12	48	42	59
Ungraded Secondary	0	1	3
Total K-12	547	543	537

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	19	19	17
Grade 8			
English	21	19	19
Mathematics	23	23	27
Science	26	20	19
Social Studies	24	22	19
Grade 10			
English	20	16	26
Mathematics	17	13	17
Science	24	15	20
Social Studies	20	16	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		200	2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	136	25%	115	21%	173	32%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	56	10%	39	7%	45	8%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	21	4%	32	6%	43	8%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	13	2%	13	2%	10	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	61	11%	62	11%	73	14%	
Asian or Native	5	1%	6	1%	5	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	466	85%	460	85%	447	83%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	10	2%	20	4%	20	4%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	52	52	55
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	7%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	12%	16%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	12%	10%	7%
Total Number of Core Classes	185	142	149
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	5%	11%
Total Number of Classes	215	213	227
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	6%	9%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	31%	11%	
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	8%	

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	3	6	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	25	23	27
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement the following year. (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District ELBA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2008–09)	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Ra	ate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundir	ng			
	2006-	07	2007–08	2008–09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	 ✓ 	 	v	 ✓ 	v	v	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	— .	—	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	v	~	••••	_	_	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-		
White	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	~	••••	
Multiracial	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	~		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	–	–	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 4 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students ^(249:242)	 	 	99%	v	160	126			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (6:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino (33:30)	~	-	-	 ✓ 	123	116			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (208:204)	<	✓	100%	 	166	125	••••	•••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (32:32)	X	_	_	x	88	116	95	99	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (21:19)	_	_	_	_	_	-	••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (88:82)	<	<	98%	~	143	122			
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 5								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(249:240)	v	 	100%	v	180	95		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (6:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (33:30)	<	-	-	 	163	85		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (208:202)	✓	✓	100%	 ✓ 	184	94	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (33:32)	 	_	_	V	125	85		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (21:20)	_	_	_	_	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (87:81)	<	~	99%	~	173	91		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?


			Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	a	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index 184	Standard 100	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (92:89)		Qualified		100%		184	100		
Ethnicity					_				
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0) Black or African American (1:1)		-		-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (11:9)	••••••	_		-				•••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (79:78)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	188	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		••••••	•••		••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (15:15)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (31:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✓ Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb 4 If the coun	nt of continuous ho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled te participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro m 30 continuously ne counts and per s is equal to or gre	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2007–0 es. rrmer LEP students i	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not ree in 2007–08, tl veighted avera ed to meet the 58, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po6–07 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (59:58)	~	~	97%	V	172	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••••
(0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (5:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (1:1)			-	-	-	-		-
White (53:51)	V	v	98%	V	180	152		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••	•••••	••••			•••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (3:3)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (14:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							




‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (59:58)	~	 Image: A set of the set of the	95%	 Image: A set of the set of the	188	146		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••	
(0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (5:6)								-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (1:1)	_ 	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (53:51)	v	V	96%	V	194	146		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (3:3)	_	-	_	_	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴	•••••••••	•••••	•••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (14:13)	_	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							



‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Cohort Count) ¹ AYP Criterion Rate		Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2007-08 2008-09			
All Students (47)			87%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		_	-	-				
Black or African American (3)		-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		-	-	-				
White (40)		✓	90%	55%				
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Other Groups					-			
Students with Disabilities (8)		_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged (10)		_	-	-				
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- ³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 100% of total ELBA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

ELBA JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%		
Grade 3	53%		36		
Grade 4	75%		32		
Grade 5	82%		39		
Grade 6	74%		35		
Grade 7	57%		44		
Grade 8	57%		58		
Mathematics					
Grade 3	94%		36		
Grade 4	88%		32		
	69%		39		
Grade 6	81%		37		
Grade 7	78%		45		
Grade 8	89%		56		
Science					
Grade 4	91%		33		
Grade 8	81%		57		
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%		
English	78%		60		

90%

District ID 18-09-01-04-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

60

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 72	20-780*						
2007 Mean Score: 656	100%										
		92% 91%				94% 91%					
			53% 5	6%			70% 67	%			
2007-08			53% 5	070							
2006-07								129	6 10%		
				0'	% 0%			127			
Number of Tested Students:		33 31	19	19 0	0 0						
Bocults by		2007–08 S o	hool Yea	ſ		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		36	92%	53%	0%	34	91%	56%	0%		
Female		20	100%	45%	0%	10	90%	70%	0%		
Male		16	81%	63%	0%	24	92%	50%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative										
Black or African American						1					
Hispanic or Latino		10	80%	20%	0%	5	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ier										
Pacific Islander											
White			96%	65%	0%	28	93%	61%	0%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals						6	83%	33%	0%		
General-Education Students		35	-	-	-	31	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
English Proficient		28	96%	64%	0%	30			_		
Limited English Proficient		8	75%	13%	0%	4	-	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		16	88%	44%	0%	14	86%	50%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged		20	95%	60%	0%	20	95%	60%	0%		
Migrant		3	-		_	2			_		
Not Migrant		33	_	-	-	32	_	_	-		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007-08 S e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri				NY State Pu			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 690	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	703-770				
2007 Mean Score: 682	100%	100%100%	94%	79%		98% 96%	90% 85	%	
2007-082006-07				2	5% 24%	н.		269	% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		36 33	34	26	9 8				
Poculto by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	ır		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		36	100%	94%	25%	33	100%	79%	24%
Female		20	100%	100%	25%	10	100%	80%	30%
Male		16	100%	88%	25%	23	100%	78%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino		10	100%	80%	0%	5			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander White		26	100%	100%	35%	27	100%		30%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students		35	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	_
English Proficient		28	100%	100%	32%	29	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		8	100%	75%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		16	100%	88%	19%	14	100%	86%	29%
Not Disadvantaged		20	100%	100%	30%	19	100%	74%	21%
Migrant		3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant		33	-	-	-	31	-	-	–

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-		

34

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 668	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775					
2007 Mean Score: 672	100%	97% 97%	75% 7	7%		93% 92%	71% 68	%		
2007-08 2006-07				6	% 6%			8%	8%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	31 34	24	27	2 2					
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s)				
-		Total	rereentage scoring at tevet(s).				Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		32	97 %	75%	6%	35	97%	77%	6 %	
-emale		9	100%	67%	11%	18	94%	72%	6%	
Male		23	96%	78%	4%	17	100%	82%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska N	Native									
Black or African American		1		_		2	-			
licpanic or Latina		4	_	_	_	3	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot										
White			96%	81%	7%	30	100%	83%	7%	
1ultiracial										
small Group Totals		5	100%	40%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%	
General-Education Students		29	-		-	33	-		-	
tudents with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	2	-	-	_	
nglish Proficient		29	_	_	_	32	_	_		
imited English Proficient		3	_	-	-	3	-	_	-	
conomically Disadvantaged	1	14	100%	64%	0%	11	91%	73%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		18	94%	83%	11%	24	100%	79%	8%	
Migrant		1	_			1				
Not Migraph		21				24				

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

31

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800				
2007 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% _{95%}	88% 6	8%		95% 94%	84% 8C	%	
2007-08								200	% 28%
2006-07				1	^{6%} 8%			295	28%
Number of Tested Students:		32 35	28	25	53				
Poculte by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		32	100%	88%	16%	37	95%	68%	8%
Female		9	100%	78%	11%	20	90%	55%	10%
Male		23	100%	91%	17%	17	100%	82%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		1				2			
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27	100%	93%	19%	30	100%	83%	10%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	60%	0%	7	71%	0%	0%
General-Education Students		29	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	•••••	3	_	-	_	2	-	-	_
English Proficient		29	-	-	-	32	100%	78%	9%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	3	-	-	-	5	60%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	86%	14%	13	85%	46%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	18	100%	89%	17%	24	100%	79%	8%
Migrant		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant		31	-	-	-	34	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-10	00 8	5-100						
2007 Mean Score: 72	100%	100% 86%	91% 7	6%		97% 97%	85% 85		% 49%		
2007-08				39	9%			50	% 49%		
2006-07					16%						
Number of Tested Students:	1	33 32	30	28 1	.3 6						
Pocults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level		t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		33	100%	91%	39%	37	86%	76%	16%		
Female		10	100%	80%	30%	20	85%	70%	10%		
Male		23	100%	96%	43%	17	88%	82%	24%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	······	••••••••••	•••••	•••••		••••••••	••••••	•••••		

5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
•••••••			•••••			•••••	
27	100%	96%	48%	30	100%	87%	20%
6	100%	67%	0%	7	29%	29%	0%
30	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
30	-	-	-	32	100%	88%	19%
3	-	-	-	5	0%	0%	0%
14	100%	86%	29%	13	62%	62%	0%
19	100%	95%	47%	24	100%	83%	25%
1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
32	_		_	34	_		_
	27 6 30 3 3 30 3 14 19 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

35

91%

83%

17%

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 678	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795				
2007 Mean Score: 676	100%	^{100%} 91%	82% 8	3%		98% 95%	78% 68	%	
2007-08 2006-07				1	_{3%} 17%			6%	6 7%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	39 32	32	29	56				
Results by		2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		39	100%	82%	13%	35	91%	83%	17%
Female		22	100%	77%	18%	17	94%	82%	18%
Male		17	100%	88%	6%	18	89%	83%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		2	_			2			
Hispanic or Latino		6				3			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er								
White		31	100%	90%	16%	30	93%	87%	20%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		8	100%	50%	0%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students		37	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
English Proficient		33	100%	91%	15%	35	91%	83%	17%
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	33%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	64%	7%	11	91%	73%	9%
Not Disadvantaged		25	100%	92%	16%	24	92%		21%

Migrant Not Migrant

NOTES The - syn symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

4

35

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780					
2007 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 89%	69% 7	1%		96% 94%	^{83%} 76	%		
2007-082006-07				1	26% 3%			27	[%] 22%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	38 31	27	25	59					
Boculte by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	ſ		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	al Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		39	97%	69%	13%	35	89%	71%	26%	
Female		22	95%	59%	5%	17	88%	65%	29%	
Male		17	100%	82%	24%	18	89%	78%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		2				2				
Hispanic or Latino		6				3				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her									
Pacific Islander										
White	•••••	31	100%	84%	16%	30	90%	73%	27%	
Multiracial						· ····· <u>·</u> ·····				
Small Group Totals		8	88%	13%	0%	5	80%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students		•••••••••	-		-	31				
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-	4	-	_	_	
English Proficient		33	100%	79%	15%	35		71%	26%	
Limited English Proficient		6	83%	17%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		14	93%	50%	0%	11	91%	73%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged		25	100%	80%	20%	24	88%	71%	29%	
Migrant		4	-	-	-					

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

35

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 S e	chool Year	ool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0						

89%

35

26%

71%

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2007 Mean Score: 658	100%	94% 93%	74% 63%		98% 98%	67% 63%			
2006-07				6% 10%			5% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	33 38	26 26	2 4					
Posults by		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 S	ichool Year			

Doculto by	2007-08	School rea			2000-07 3	chool rea		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	35	94%	74%	6 %	41	93%	63%	10%
Female	18	94%	83%	11%	19	100%	58%	5%
Male	17	94%	65%	0%	22	86%	68%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	30	97%	77%	7%	37	-		_
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	0%	41	93%	63%	10%
General-Education Students	31	-	_	_	31	100%	81%	13%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	10	70%	10%	0%
English Proficient	35	94%	74%	6%	40	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	1	–	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	62%	8%	11	91%	45%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	82%	5%	30	93%	70%	10%
Migrant				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	-	-	_
Not Migrant	35	94%	74%	6%	40	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 S e	96-07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2007 Mean Score: 652	100%	95% 82%	81%	2%		94% 91%	^{79%} 71	.%	
2007-08								200	/
2006-07				1	9% 3%			269	⁶ 20%
Number of Tested Students:		35 32	30	24	7 1				
Results by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		37	95%	81 %	19 %	39	82 %	62 %	3%
Female		18	94%	83%	17%	17	94%	59%	0%
Male		19	95%	79%	21%	22	73%	64%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					1	-	_	-
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r				••••••••••••				•
White		31	97%	84%	23%	35	-	-	-
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals		6	83%	67%	0%	39	82%	62%	3%
General-Education Students		33	-	-	-	30	97%	77%	3%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	4	_	_	-	9	33%	11%	0%
English Proficient		36	_	-	-	38	_	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	1	_	_	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		14	86%	71%	14%	9	67%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	23	100%	87%	22%	30	87%	70%	3%
Migrant		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant		36	-	-	–	38	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 S e	chool Year	ool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	ring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-		

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distr	ict			NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 652	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	12-790						
2007 Mean Score: 653	100%	93% 93%	57% 5	9%		98% 94%	70%	1%			
2007-082006-07				C	% 7%			3%	6%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	41 52	25	33	0 4						
Doculto by		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		44	93%	57%	0%	56	93%	59%	7%		
Female		20	100%	55%	0%	26	96%	73%	8%		

Female	20	100%	55%	0%	26	96%	73%	8%
Male	24	88%	58%	0%	30	90%	47%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	40	-	-	-	49	94%	61%	8%
Multiracial								••••••
Small Group Totals	44	93%	57%	0%	7	86%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	35	97%	69%	0%	47	98%	68%	9%
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	11%	0%	9	67%	11%	0%
English Proficient	43	-	-	-	55	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	11	82%	36%	0%	16	94%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	33	97%	64%	0%	40	93%	68%	10%
Migrant	1	-		-	1	-	_	_
Not Migrant	43	-	-	-	55	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 S e	School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2007 Mean Score: 679	100%	91%	78% 84%		96% 93%	79% 67%			
 2007-08 2006-07 				29% 13%			28%		
Number of Tested Students:		41 56	35 47	6 16					

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	45	91%	78%	13%	56	100%	84%	29%
Female	21	95%	81%	10%	26	100%	92%	31%
Male	24	88%	75%	17%	30	100%	77%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	-	6	-	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	40	90%	80%	15%	49	100%	82%	33%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	7	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	35	100%	91%	17%	47	100%	96%	34%
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	30%	0%	9	100%	22%	0%
English Proficient	43	-	-	_	55	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	75%	17%	16	100%	81%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	33	88%	79%	12%	40	100%	85%	38%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	_
Not Migrant	43		-		55	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006-07 S	chool Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 93% 95% 57% 67% 57% 67% 2% 0% 54 40 33 28 1 0 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 58 93% 57% 2% 28 100% 71% 4% 30 87% 43% 0% 6 $ 1$ $ -$			Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 652	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 71	L5-790					
2007 Mean Score: 653	100%	93% 95%	57% 67	7%		95% 94%	56% 57	7%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07				29	% 0%			6%	6%	
Number of Tested Students:		54 40	33 2	.8 1	. 0					
Results by		2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		58	93%	57%	2%	42	95%	67 %	0%	
Female		28	100%	71%	4%	19	95%	74%	0%	
Male		30	87%	43%	0%	23	96%	61%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino			-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White			92%	59%	2%	39	-	-	-	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••					••••••••••		•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	7	100%	43%	0%	42	95%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students		48	100%	69%	2%	37	100%	73%	0%	

General-Education Students	48	100%	69%	2%	37	100%	73%	0%
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	0%	0%	5	60%	20%	0%
English Proficient	57	-	_	-	42	95%	67%	0%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	39%	0%	8	75%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	40	93%	65%	3%	34	100%	74%	0%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	56	-	-	-	42	95%	67%	0%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District						NY State Public				
		Percentage	scoring a	at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-	-4	2	1		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 686	Range:	616-775	6	50-77	5	701-775	5			· ·		
2007 Mean Score: 668	100%	98% 96%	89	9% 78%	6			93% _{88%}	70% 59	1%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07					3	2% 11%)			17	[%] 12%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	55 43	5	0 35		18 5						
		2007-08 \$	School `	Year				2006–07 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by Student Group		Total	Perce	ntage s	coring a	t level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Tested		2-4 3-4		2	Ļ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		56	98	%	89%	32%)	45	96%	78%	11%	
Female		27	100	%	100%	48%)	21	95%	76%	14%	

Mala	·····	070/	700/	4 70/	 	0.001	700/	
Male	29	97%	79%	17%	24	96%	79%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	5	_		_	3	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				1			
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	_		_	T	_		
White	50	98%	88%	34%	41	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	45	96%	78%	11%
General-Education Students	46	100%	96%	39%	39	97%	79%	13%
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	60%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%
English Proficient	55	-	-	-	43	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	88%	25%	11	82%	55%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	40	98%	90%	35%	34	100%	85%	15%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	54	–	-	–	44	-	–	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2007-08 2006-07	100%100%	81% ₇		^{5%} 19%	95% 91%	73% 68		% 28%
Number of Tested Students:	57 43	46	33 1	4 8				
	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total		e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	57	100%	81%	25%	43	100%	77%	19%
Female	28	100%	82%	21%	20	100%	75%	20%
Male	29	100%	79%	28%	23	100%	78%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American		•••••		•••••		•••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	6	–	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	50	100%	84%	26%	39	-	-	-
Multiracial Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	14%	43	100%		19%
General-Education Students	47	100%	87%	28%	38	100%	82%	21%
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	50%	10%	5	100%	40%	0%
English Proficient	56	-	-	-	41	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_			2	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	71%	12%	9	100%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	85%	30%	34	100%	88%	24%
Migrant	2			_	1			
Not Migrant	55	-	_	-	42	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public			
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	82% 79%	78% 64%	8%	80% 79%	75% 73%	30% 30%	

Results by	2004 Coho r	t		2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	60	82%	78%	8%	47	79%	64%	19%
Female	24	92%	92%	13%	25	68%	68%	20%
Male	36	75%	69%	6%	22	91%	59%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American				•••••	3	-	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	–	–	2	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	52	88%	85%	10%	40	83%	68%	20%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	8	38%	38%	0%	7	57%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	55	89%	85%	9%	39	92%	77%	23%
Students with Disabilities	5	0%	0%	0%	8	13%	0%	0%
English Proficient	59	-	_	-	47	79%	64%	19%
Limited English Proficient	1	_	-	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%	53%	13%	10	60%	30%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	45	91%	87%	7%	37	84%	73%	22%
Migrant	1	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	59	_	_	_	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2004 Coho r	rt			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	4.	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	60	95%	90%	65%	47	91%	87%	38%	
Female	24	96%	92%	71%	25	92%	84%	28%	
Male	36	94%	89%	61%	22	91%	91%	50%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_	
Black or African American				•••••	3	-	-	–	
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	-	2	-	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	–	
White	52	96%	94%	71%	40	95%	93%	43%	
Multiracial	••••••	•••••		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	25%	7	71%	57%	14%	
General-Education Students	55	98%	95%	71%	39	95%	95%	46%	
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	40%	0%	8	75%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	59	_	_	_	47	91%	87%	38%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-		-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	67%	47%	10	90%	80%	20%	
Not Disadvantaged	45	100%	98%	71%	37	92%	89%	43%	
Migrant	1	-	_	-					
Not Migrant	59	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.