

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000
Superintendent JOHN CARLEVATTI
Telephone (585) 249-5700
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
0	0	0
311	299	306
327	331	338
325	330	336
334	330	341
349	340	332
392	351	348
384	394	354
9	0	0
394	379	390
422	392	383
406	423	397
411	395	427
404	401	376
374	413	403
0	0	0
4842	4778	4731
	0 311 327 325 334 349 392 384 9 394 422 406 411 404 374 0	0 0 311 299 327 331 325 330 334 330 349 340 392 351 384 394 9 0 394 379 422 392 406 423 411 395 404 401 374 413 0 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	20	20
Grade 8			
English	23	21	20
Mathematics	22	18	18
Science	24	22	25
Social Studies	23	21	22
Grade 10			
English	20	24	23
Mathematics	24	19	23
Science	22	21	21
Social Studies	25	24	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		200	2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	259	5%	252	5%	238	5%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	130	3%	125	3%	140	3%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	54	1%	33	1%	67	1%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	4	0%	4	0%	
Black or African American	172	4%	170	4%	184	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	121	2%	132	3%	147	3%	
Asian or Native	208	4%	203	4%	191	4%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	4336	90%	4269	89%	4202	89%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	3	0%	

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	239	5%	117	2%	135	3%

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

^{**} Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

District Profile

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	423	408	423
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	7%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	13%	14%
Total Number of Core Classes	1392	1104	1072
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1535	1576	1500
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	19%	16%	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	12%	12%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	52	74	58
Total Paraprofessionals*	119	110	112
Assistant Principals	8	8	15
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	ELA	Good Standing		Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♣ Good Standing		Graduation Rate	♦ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I P	art A Funding				
	2006-	07	2007-08		2008-09			
	YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
Charles & Carrier	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	~	✓	V	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native				_	_		
Black or African American	~		•••••••	_	_	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino			•••••••	<u> </u>	_	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	V	•••••••	-	_	••••••	
White	~	/	•••••••	~	~	••••••	
Multiracial	_	- -	••••••	<u> </u>	_	••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	V	V		V	V		
Limited English Proficient	_	_ _	•••••••	_	_	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••	_	_	••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	

Accountability Status Levels Federal State **AYP Status** Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Made AYP Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 2) 🔨 Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Did Not Make AYP Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) Improvement (Year 4) 🔥 **Insufficient Number of Students** Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) to Determine AYP Status

Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (2167:2157)	/	V	100%	V	184	131			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (85:85)	/	V	100%	/	166	122	•••••••	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (75:74)	/	/	100%	✓	178	122	•••••••	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (79:78)	V	✓	100%	/	190	122			
White (1918:1910)	/	/	100%	✓	185	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Multiracial (7:7)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	••••••••	_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (199:197)	~	V	100%	V	132	125			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (21:17)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Economically Disadvantaged (225:221)	/	V	100%	'	165	126	••••••••	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

Page 9

- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- 5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- performance calculations.

 † This student around did not make AVD in acions at the referse it did not qualify for Cafe Haybay.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (2167:2153)	V	V	100%	V	191	100		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (83:83)	/	/	100%	/	175	91	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (75:75)	'	/	100%	/	189	91		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (79:78)	~	V	100%	V	194	91		
White (1919:1907)	/	/	100%	/	192	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (8:7)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (199:196)	V	~	100%	V	135	94		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••••	***************************************	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
(21:20)	.	<u> </u>			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	· · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>
Economically Disadvantaged (223:220)	/	✓	99%		178	95		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7	7						

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007-08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007-08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

March 10, 2009

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (728:720)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	s Target
	V Status		- Criterion	100%	✓ Criterion	194	100	2007-06	2008-09
Ethnicity			T -						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (25:24)		_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Hispanic or Latino (26:26)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (26:26)	•	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (648:641)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	100%	V	195	100		••••••
Multiracial (2:2)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	_	_	_	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (60:56)		Qualified	~	97%	~	161	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (4:3)	• • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Economically Disadvantaged (78:77)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	99%	~	183	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1	1						,

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status





Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatus	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (401:394)	V	/	99%	/	193	159		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (9:8)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
11:	.		-	_	_	_	••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:19)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
White (362:358)	/	V	99%	V	194	159	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (1:1)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (39:35)	~	_	-	~	149	149		
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (24:22)	_ _	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007-08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007-08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (401:394)	/	✓	100%	V	194	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(9:8)	_ 	_ 	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (8:7)					-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:19)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (362:358)	/	/	99%	V	195	153	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (1:1)	- -	- -	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (39:35)	V	_	-	V	143	143		
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
(1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (24:22)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

AYP	Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Tarnet	
AYP			Juic	Progress Target		
	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
V	~	91%	55%			
	-	-	-			
	_	_	-			
	_	-	-			
	_	-	-			
•••••	/	91%	55%			
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
	~	55%	55%			
	_	_	_			
	_	_	_			
		- - - -				

NOTES

- Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- ² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

2008-09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008-09 accountability status.

Fodovol Title I Status	Now York State Status
Federal Title I Status	New York State Status

♠ Good Standing	■ Good Standing
3 schools identified 50% of total	3 schools identified 50% of total
BAY TRAIL MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARRIS HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
COBBLES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	PENFIELD SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
INDIAN LANDING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	SCRIBNER ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2007-08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	83%		343
Grade 4	92%		335
Grade 5	94%		345
Grade 6	87%		352
Grade 7	85%		390
Grade 8	75%		384
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		343
Grade 4	95%		338
Grade 5	95%		344
Grade 6	93%		351
Grade 7	91%		388
Grade 8	92%		386
Science			
Grade 4	96%		338
Grade 8	94%		383
	_	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	91%	,	412
Mathematics	94%		412

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.Student performance demonstrates a thorough

understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 96% 84% 16% 98% 87% 20%					
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	_	_					
All Students	343	97%	83%	21%	334	96%	84%	16%				
Female	187	97%	87%	22%	165	98%	87%	20%				
Male	156	97%	79%	20%	169	95%	80%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_				
Black or African American	12	83%	42%	8%	18	94%	50%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	82%	35%	13	100%	69%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	_	_	11	-	_	-				
White	302	97%	85%	21%	291	96%	87%	18%				
Multiracial	2	-	_	_								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	17%	12	100%	83%	17%				
General-Education Students	315	99%	88%	23%	313	99%	88%	17%				
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	25%	0%	21	62%	24%	5%				
English Proficient	338	97%	83%	21%	330	-	_	_				
imited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	_	_				
Economically Disadvantaged	36	92%	58%	8%	35	89%	71%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	307	98%	86%	22%	299	97%	85%	17%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	343	97%	83%	21%	334	96%	84%	16%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

and the post group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.


Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Posulte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	level(s):
All Students	343	98%	94%	36%	333	98%	92%	32%
Female	187	98%	93%	39%	165	98%	93%	31%
Male	156	98%	94%	32%	168	98%	90%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_		_
Black or African American	12	100%	75%	17%	18	100%	83%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	88%	41%	13	100%	92%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
White	302	98%	95%	36%	290	98%	92%	33%
Multiracial	2	-	_	_				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	25%	12	100%	100%	42%
General-Education Students	315	100%	98%	38%	312	100%	96%	34%
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	43%	4%	21	71%	38%	10%
English Proficient	339			_	329	_	_	
imited English Proficient	4	-	_	-	4	-	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	81%	14%	35	94%	77%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	307	98%	95%	38%	298	99%	94%	34%
ligrant								
Not Migrant	343	98%	94%	36%	333	98%	92%	32%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 S 0	chool Year	iool Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	335	97%	92%	12%	334	98%	90%	14%
Female	166	98%	93%	16%	168	98%	93%	18%
Male	169	95%	91%	8%	166	98%	88%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		_	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	15	93%	87%	7%	13	92%	69%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	86%	7%	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	_	_	15	100%	93%	20%
White	293	97%	93%	13%	295	99%	92%	15%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	13	85%	77%	8%	11	91%	64%	9%
General-Education Students	312	100%	96%	13%	305	100%	95%	15%
Students with Disabilities	23	52%	30%	0%	29	83%	45%	0%
English Proficient	334	_	_	_	330	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	1		_	_	4	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	37	92%	81%	3%	32	94%	78%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	298	97%	93%	13%	302	99%	92%	15%
1igrant								
Not Migrant	335	97%	92%	12%	334	98%	90%	14%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

and the post group of students have been suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S c	chool Year			2006-07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Doculto by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	338	96%	95%	47%	335	99%	97%	44%
Female	168	99%	97%	45%	169	100%	97%	46%
Male	170	94%	93%	50%	166	99%	97%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		-	_	1	-		_
Black or African American	15	93%	93%	33%	13	100%	85%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	43%	11	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	_	_	_	15	93%	93%	53%
White	296	97%	95%	48%	295	100%	98%	45%
Multiracial	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-			••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	13	92%	92%	62%	12	92%	92%	25%
General-Education Students	314	100%	99%	50%	306	100%	99%	46%
Students with Disabilities	24	50%	46%	8%	29	93%	79%	14%
English Proficient	335	_	_	_	330	100%	97%	44%
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	5	80%	80%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	92%	89%	30%	32	100%	91%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	301	97%	96%	50%	303	99%	98%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	338	96%	95%	47%	335	99%	97%	44%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S 0	hool Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	level(s):
All Students	338	99%	96%	71%	337	100%	99%	75%
Female	168	100%	98%	71%	169	100%	99%	72%
Male	170	98%	94%	71%	168	100%	98%	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		-	-	1	-	-	
Black or African American	15	100%	87%	53%	13	100%	100%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	43%	11	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	_	_	15	100%	100%	93%
White	296	99%	97%	74%	297	100%	99%	77%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-		••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	13	100%	92%	62%	12	100%	92%	58%
General-Education Students	314	100%	99%	76%	308	100%	100%	78%
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	58%	8%	29	100%	90%	48%
English Proficient	336	_	_	_	332	100%	99%	76%
imited English Proficient	2	_	_	-	5	100%	100%	20%
Conomically Disadvantaged	37	100%	89%	51%	32	100%	97%	41%
Not Disadvantaged	301	99%	97%	74%	305	100%	99%	79%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	338	99%	96%	71%	337	100%	99%	75%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S 0	hool Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	345	100%	94%	14%	345	99%	89%	14%		
Female	175	99%	96%	18%	173	100%	89%	17%		
Male	170	100%	92%	11%	172	99%	89%	10%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1			_						
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	0%	15	93%	60%	7%		
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	_	_	9	100%	78%	11%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	93%	29%	16	100%	94%	31%		
White	302	100%	95%	15%	305	100%	90%	13%		
Multiracial	2	_	_	_						
Small Group Totals	14	100%	79%	0%						
General-Education Students	315	100%	95%	16%	315	100%	93%	15%		
Students with Disabilities	30	97%	80%	0%	30	93%	47%	0%		
English Proficient	343	-	_	_	340	99%	90%	14%		
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	5	100%	40%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	36	100%	92%	3%	41	98%	66%	7%		
Not Disadvantaged	309	100%	94%	16%	304	100%	92%	14%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	345	100%	94%	14%	345	99%	89%	14%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 0	2006-07 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	level(s):
All Students	344	100%	95%	47%	346	98%	88%	29%
Female	176	100%	95%	44%	175	99%	88%	28%
Male	168	99%	95%	50%	171	96%	87%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_				
Black or African American	14	93%	86%	14%	15	87%	47%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	_	-	11	91%	73%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	93%	64%	16	100%	94%	50%
White	301	100%	96%	48%	304	98%	90%	30%
Multiracial	3	-	_	-				
Small Group Totals	15	100%	87%	33%				
General-Education Students	315	100%	97%	50%	317	99%	91%	31%
Students with Disabilities	29	97%	72%	17%	29	79%	48%	3%
English Proficient	342	-	_	_	339	98%	88%	29%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	7	86%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	97%	89%	23%	42	93%	69%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	309	100%	96%	50%	304	98%	90%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	344	100%	95%	47%	346	98%	88%	29%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year			2006-07 S	2006–07 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	iool Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at leve					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	352	99%	87%	7%	392	99%	81%	12%			
Female	178	99%	88%	9%	177	100%	87%	16%			
Male	174	99%	86%	6%	215	99%	77%	8%			
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_			
Black or African American	17	94%	65%	0%	12	100%	67%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	86%	14%	14	100%	100%	29%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	94%	13%	11	-	_	_			
White	312	100%	88%	7%	354	99%	81%	11%			
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals					12	100%	92%	25%			
General-Education Students	324	100%	91%	8%	345	100%	89%	13%			
Students with Disabilities	28	93%	39%	0%	47	96%	28%	2%			
English Proficient	345	99%	88%	8%	391	-	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	14%	0%	1	_	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	35	94%	57%	3%	33	100%	67%	6%			
Not Disadvantaged	317	100%	90%	8%	359	99%	83%	13%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	352	99%	87%	7%	392	99%	81%	12%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 6	2006-07 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	351	97%	93%	40%	392	97%	84%	26%
- emale	178	98%	93%	36%	178	99%	84%	25%
Male	173	96%	92%	45%	214	95%	84%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	
Black or African American	17	88%	71%	6%	13	85%	62%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	86%	14%	14	100%	79%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	81%	11	-	_	-
White	311	97%	94%	41%	353	97%	84%	25%
Multiracial				•				
Small Group Totals					12	100%	100%	75%
General-Education Students	323	99%	97%	43%	344	100%	90%	29%
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	46%	4%	48	75%	40%	4%
English Proficient	344	97%	93%	41%	391	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	7	100%	57%	0%	1	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	33	94%	82%	18%	34	94%	62%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	318	97%	94%	42%	358	97%	86%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	351	97%	93%	40%	392	97%	84%	26%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S 6	chool Year			2006-07 S	2006-07 School Year			
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
,									

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	chool Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	390	100%	85%	5%	378	99%	86%	14%			
- emale	182	100%	87%	7%	190	99%	92%	18%			
Male	208	100%	82%	3%	188	99%	80%	9%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		_	_							
Black or African American	15	100%	87%	0%	9	100%	33%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	86%	7%	11	100%	91%	9%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	15	100%	87%	13%			
White	347	100%	84%	4%	343	99%	87%	14%			
Multiracial	1	_	_	_							
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	14%							
General-Education Students	345	100%	90%	5%	345	100%	90%	15%			
Students with Disabilities	45	98%	47%	0%	33	94%	39%	0%			
English Proficient	389	-	_	_	378	99%	86%	14%			
imited English Proficient	1	_	_	-							
Economically Disadvantaged	37	100%	70%	3%	29	97%	66%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	353	100%	86%	5%	349	100%	88%	15%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	390	100%	85%	5%	378	99%	86%	14%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 6	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	:hool Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	388	99%	91%	37%	378	99%	88%	30%			
- emale	182	99%	93%	35%	189	98%	88%	30%			
Male	206	98%	89%	38%	189	99%	88%	31%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		_	-							
Black or African American	14	93%	86%	0%	9	100%	67%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	100%	36%	11	100%	64%	27%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	_	_	15	100%	100%	67%			
White	346	99%	91%	37%	343	99%	89%	30%			
Multiracial	1	-	_	_							
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	64%							
General-Education Students	343	100%	97%	39%	345	100%	92%	32%			
Students with Disabilities	45	89%	47%	16%	33	85%	45%	9%			
English Proficient	385	-	_	_	378	99%	88%	30%			
imited English Proficient	3	_	_	-							
Economically Disadvantaged	38	95%	87%	26%	28	100%	64%	11%			
Not Disadvantaged	350	99%	91%	38%	350	99%	90%	32%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	388	99%	91%	37%	378	99%	88%	30%			


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 S 0	06-07 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	384	99%	75%	7%	390	97%	76%	11%	
Female	198	99%	80%	9%	197	97%	82%	16%	
Male	186	99%	70%	4%	193	96%	69%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_	
Black or African American	10	100%	50%	0%	19	74%	37%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	55%	0%	7	-	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	87%	20%	16	100%	81%	25%	
White	348	99%	76%	7%	346	98%	77%	10%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••			•	•••••	
Small Group Totals				•	9	100%	78%	33%	
General-Education Students	353	100%	80%	7%	346	100%	83%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	31	94%	23%	0%	44	73%	16%	0%	
English Proficient	383	_	_	_	388	-	_	_	
_imited English Proficient	1	_		<u> </u>	2	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	95%	55%	3%	41	85%	39%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	344	100%	77%	7%	349	98%	80%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	384	99%	75%	7%	390	97%	76%	11%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S c	chool Year			2006-07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Doculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	i ercentage scor		level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	386	98%	92%	31%	390	94%	65%	11%
Female	198	98%	94%	31%	198	95%	67%	10%
Male	188	98%	89%	31%	192	93%	64%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_
Black or African American	10	100%	70%	10%	19	74%	26%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	83%	25%	7	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	93%	40%	17	100%	82%	29%
White	349	98%	93%	32%	345	95%	66%	10%
Multiracial	•		•••••	••••••			•	•
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	9	89%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	355	100%	95%	33%	346	99%	72%	13%
Students with Disabilities	31	81%	52%	6%	44	55%	14%	0%
English Proficient	384	_	-	_	388	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2		- -		2			_
Economically Disadvantaged	41	93%	68%	15%	41	76%	27%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	345	99%	94%	33%	349	96%	70%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	386	98%	92%	31%	390	94%	65%	11%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	_	2	-	-	-	

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Doculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006-07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	reicentage		level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	383	100%	94%	46%	387	97%	86%	49%
Female	197	99%	93%	41%	196	97%	86%	47%
Male	186	100%	95%	53%	191	98%	86%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	_	-	
Black or African American	9	100%	78%	22%	18	83%	61%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	42%	7	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	93%	67%	17	100%	94%	65%
White	347	100%	95%	46%	343	98%	87%	50%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals					9	100%	100%	44%
General-Education Students	354	100%	96%	48%	345	99%	92%	54%
Students with Disabilities	29	100%	69%	24%	42	81%	36%	10%
English Proficient	381	_	_	_	385	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	2	_	_	-	2	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	80%	23%	42	88%	64%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	343	100%	96%	49%	345	99%	89%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	383	100%	94%	46%	387	97%	86%	49%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S c	chool Year			2006-07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷								
Regents Science	0				0				

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Cohort	t		2003 Cohort**				
•	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	412	94%	91%	43%	411	93%	91%	44%
Female	196	96%	93%	53%	202	96%	93%	48%
Male	216	93%	89%	35%	209	91%	89%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	3	-	_	_
Black or African American	8	_	_	_	15	87%	80%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	56%	44%	13	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	95%	86%	59%	24	100%	92%	67%
White	371	95%	93%	43%	356	94%	92%	44%
Multiracial	1	<u> </u>			••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	30%	16	88%	81%	31%
General-Education Students	372	98%	96%	48%	370	97%	96%	48%
Students with Disabilities	40	65%	45%	3%	41	59%	46%	10%
English Proficient	411	-	-	-	408	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_		- -	3	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	27	85%	74%	15%	24	83%	71%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	385	95%	92%	45%	387	94%	92%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	412	94%	91%	43%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


^{** 2003} cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

District PENFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-12-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Cohor	t		2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	412	96%	94%	60%	411	93%	92%	31%
Female	196	97%	96%	62%	202	95%	94%	28%
Male	216	95%	92%	59%	209	92%	91%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	3	_	_	_
Black or African American	8	_	_	-	15	80%	73%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	89%	44%	13	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	91%	68%	24	96%	96%	54%
White	371	96%	95%	61%	356	94%	93%	31%
Multiracial	1	_	_	_	•••••		•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	10	80%	70%	50%	16	94%	88%	19%
General-Education Students	372	99%	99%	66%	370	98%	97%	34%
Students with Disabilities	40	65%	50%	13%	41	54%	49%	10%
English Proficient	411	-	-	-	408	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	-	3	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	27	85%	81%	41%	24	88%	88%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	385	97%	95%	62%	387	94%	93%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	412	96%	94%	60%	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2003} cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.