

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School JOHANNA PERRIN MIDDLE SCHOOL District FAIRPORT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 26-13-01-06-0002 Principal BRETT PROVENZANO Telephone (585) 421-2080 Grades 6-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	258	269	244
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	253	268	266
Grade 8	273	255	264
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	784	792	774

Enrollment

Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	26	27	27
Grade 8			
English	23	21	21
Mathematics	24	20	20
Science	24	21	21
Social Studies	25	21	21
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	2005-06		2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	52	7%	59	7%	51	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	27	3%	23	3%	24	3%
Student Stability*		96%		97%		98%
Limited English Proficient	13	2%	14	2%	17	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	29	4%	28	4%	30	4%
Hispanic or Latino	11	1%	19	2%	16	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	4%	39	5%	37	5%
White	709	90%	706	89%	688	89%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		99%		97%		97%	
Student Suspensions	25	3%	18	2%	24	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	61	60	61
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	8%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	12%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	237	200	207
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	283	258	261
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	14%	43%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	8%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	6	5	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL × 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I l Title I Status to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	ool in Good Standing hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool in Corrective Action chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	ool Planning for Restructuring shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	ool Restructuring (Year 1) thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School JOHANNA PERRIN MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 26-13-01-06-0002

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary	/ Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ling			
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008-09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English		.	English			
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	 Image: A set of the set of the				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	~	~	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	✓			••••••••••••••••		
White	~	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 					
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (773:766)	V	V	100%	V	180	129		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (33:30)	~	-	-	~	153	116	••••	
Hispanic or Lating (16:16)			-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:37)	~	-	-	~	176	117		
White (684:680)	<	V	100%	 ✓ 	182	129	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(96:96)	V	V	100%	~	129	123		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(18:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (78:74)	~	`	97%	~	146	122	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (770:762)	~	V	100%	V	186	98			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_	
Black or African American (33:32)	✓	-	-	~	150	85	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (15:15)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:37)	~	-	-	~	186	86			
White (684:677)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	188	98	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		•••					···· ·····	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(96:96)	V	V	100%	~	143	92			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••	••••	••••	
(18:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (78:75)	~	~	99%	~	156	91			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	5 Target 2008–09
All Students (267:263)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:8)	•••••••	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (5:4)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (244:242)		Qualified	~	100%	~	194	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			• •••••	••••		••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (33:32)		Qualified	_	-	~	169	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (5:4)	•••••••	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (24:23)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ	ot	followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 07 and 2007–08 e	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a grou	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me 8, the enrol	y calculatio et lment

✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	86%		243
Grade 7	83%		264
Grade 8	74%		263
Mathematics			
Grade 6	92%		240
Grade 7	89%		264
Grade 8	82%		263
Science			
Grade 8	91%		222

District FAIRPORT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 31

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785				
2007 Mean Score: 672	100%	99% 99%	86% 78%		99% 99%	82% 79%		
2007-08 2006-07				8% 13%			7% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		241 265	209 209	19 35				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	243	99%	86%	8%	267	99%	78%	13%
Female	116	98%	83%	9%	140	99%	78%	16%
Male	127	100%	89%	6%	127	99%	79%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	86%	64%	7%	8	88%	50%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	79%	14%	13	100%	77%	31%
White	207	100%	88%	8%	240	100%	80%	12%
Multiracial			•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	0%			•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	220	100%	90%	9%	229	100%	85%	15%
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	48%	0%	38	97%	37%	0%
English Proficient	236	100%	88%	8%	262	100%	80%	13%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	14%	0%	5	80%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	92%	46%	0%	33	94%	42%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	217	100%	91%	9%	234	100%	83%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	99%	86%	8%	267	99%	78%	13%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 687	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2007 Mean Score: 674	100%	99% 94%	92% 81%		97% 96%	90% 82%			
2007-08 2006-07				35% 21%			33% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		237 251	220 216	85 57					
Boculte by		2007–08 Sc	hool Year		2006–07 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	240	99%	92%	35%	267	94%	81%	21%
Female	114	97%	91%	25%	140	94%	78%	20%
Male	126	100%	92%	44%	127	94%	84%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	87%	60%	13%	7	71%	71%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	20%	6	83%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	93%	50%	13	100%	85%	38%
White	206	100%	94%	36%	241	95%	82%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	217	100%	94%	38%	229	98%	87%	24%
Students with Disabilities	23	91%	70%	9%	38	68%	42%	8%
English Proficient	232	99%	94%	37%	262	95%	82%	22%
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	38%	0%	5	60%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	93%	63%	7%	32	84%	56%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	213	100%	95%	39%	235	95%	84%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	240	99%	92%	35%	267	94%	81%	21%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 671	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2007 Mean Score: 669	100%	99% 99%	83% 79%		99% 98%	83% 74%			
2007-08 2006-07				3% 7%			3% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		262 265	219 212	8 20					
Deculte by		2007–08 S	chool Year		2006-07	2006–07 School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	-	Total	5	oring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at leve			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	264	99%	83%	3%	268	99%	79 %	7 %		
Female	139	99%	85%	5%	136	100%	77%	10%		
Male	125	99%	81%	1%	132	98%	81%	5%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	100%	40%	0%	8	100%	100%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	87%	13%	9	100%	78%	22%		
White	234	99%	85%	3%	246	99%	79%	7%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	•••••						••••••			
General-Education Students	224	100%	90%	4%	236	100%	84%	8%		
Students with Disabilities	40	95%	45%	0%	32	91%	44%	0%		
English Proficient	258	99%	85%	3%	264	-	-	-		
imited English Proficient	6	100%	0%	0%	4	-	–	-		
conomically Disadvantaged	27	93%	63%	0%	28	96%	61%	0%		
lot Disadvantaged	237	100%	85%	3%	240	99%	81%	8%		
ligrant										
Not Migrant	264	99%	83%	3%	268	99%	79%	7%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			hool Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	J			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 685	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800				
2007 Mean Score: 671	100%	100% 98%	^{89%} 8	0%		99% 98%	92% 83	%	
2007-082006-07				3	7% 22%			41	% 25%
Number of Tested Students:		263 261	236 2	13	98 58				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	:level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	264	100%	89%	37%	267	98%	80%	22%
Female		139	100%	91%	39%	135	99%	79%	21%
Male		125	99%	88%	35%	132	97%	80%	23%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		10	100%	60%	30%	7	100%	71%	29%
Hispanic or Latino		5	100%	80%	40%	5	100%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	15	100%	87%	60%	8	88%	75%	25%
White Multiracial		234	100%	91%	36%	247	98%	81%	22%
Small Group Totals		224	100%	060/	120/	225	1000/	040/	240/
General-Education Students		224	100%	96%	43%	235	100%	84%	24%
Students with Disabilities		40	98%	55%	5%	32	84%	47%	3%
English Proficient		258	100%	91%	38%	263			
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	17%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		27	100%	67%	11%	28	89%	61%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		237	100%	92%	40%	239	99%	82%	24%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		264	100%	89%	37%	267	98%	80%	22%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2007 Mean Score: 673 2007-08 2006-07	100%	98% 97%	74% 76%	10% 10%	98% 98%	71% 75%	8% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		259 245	194 193	25 26				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	263	98%	74%	10%	253	97%	76%	10%	
Female	131	99%	78%	15%	112	96%	79%	13%	
Male	132	98%	70%	5%	141	97%	74%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	0%	10	90%	80%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	7	71%	57%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	50%	25%	16	94%	81%	13%	
White	242	98%	75%	9%	220	98%	76%	11%	
Multiracial			•••••	•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	17%			•••••		
General-Education Students	231	100%	82%	11%	222	100%	85%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	32	88%	16%	0%	31	77%	16%	0%	
English Proficient	260	-	_	-	249	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	4	–	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	48%	0%	28	79%	36%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	240	99%	76%	10%	225	99%	81%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	263	98%	74%	10%	253	97%	76%	10%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): Total Num				lumber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School					
		Percentage scori	ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 2-4 5 650-775 701-775 97% 96%	ing at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2007 Mean Score: 671	100%	96% 96%	82% 78%		97% 96%	86% 77%	
2007-08 2006-07				15% 19%			24% 17%
Number of Tested Students:		253 242	215 197	40 49			

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 S e	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	263	96%	82%	15%	252	96%	78%	19%
Female	130	98%	80%	16%	112	95%	76%	25%
Male	133	95%	83%	14%	140	97%	80%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	8	75%	63%	13%	10	100%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	7	71%	43%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	88%	25%	16	94%	88%	63%
White	241	97%	83%	15%	219	97%	79%	17%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%		••••••		••••••
General-Education Students	231	99%	88%	17%	221	98%	83%	22%
Students with Disabilities	32	78%	38%	0%	31	81%	42%	3%
English Proficient	259	-	-	-	248	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	4	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	23	83%	61%	4%	28	79%	54%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	240	98%	84%	16%	224	98%	81%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	263	96%	82%	15%	252	96%	78%	19%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level			l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4 39% 48% 48% 96% 96% 90% 50%	
100%								
	98% 98%	93% 9	2%		99% 99%	92% 89	%	
			4	5% 54%			489	6 420/
2007-08								43%
2006-07								
Number of Tested Students:	261 247	246 2	22 1	21 135				
	201 241	240 2	.52 1	21 133				
Results by	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
-	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	222	98%	91 %	36%	211	98%	91 %	46 %
Female	116	97%	91%	29%	99	97%	86%	42%
Male	106	99%	92%	42%	112	99%	96%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7				10	100%	90%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	4				6	67%	50%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	7	86%	57%	14%	9	100%	78%	44%
Pacific Islander	·····			••••••				·····
White	204	99%	94%	37%	186	99%	93%	48%
1ultiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	18%				
General-Education Students	192	99%	94%	39%	182	99%	95%	50%
Students with Disabilities	30	93%	73%	13%	29	90%	66%	21%
English Proficient	217	99%	93%	36%	207			
imited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	22	86%	68%	18%	28	86%	61%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	200	100%	94%	38%	183	100%	96%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	222	98%	91%	36%	211	98%	91%	46%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-	-	-				
Regents Science	43	43	43	42	40	40	40	38