



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-05-03-0000**

Superintendent **HERMAN SIROIS**

Telephone **(516) 520-8300**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	500	481	498
Grade 1	545	532	492
Grade 2	558	559	551
Grade 3	585	558	556
Grade 4	616	575	553
Grade 5	610	610	579
Grade 6	671	627	609
Ungraded Elementary	57	65	64
Grade 7	663	665	630
Grade 8	646	665	664
Grade 9	624	634	653
Grade 10	647	634	644
Grade 11	621	663	644
Grade 12	567	611	656
Ungraded Secondary	89	82	44
Total K-12	7999	7961	7837

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	20	19	20
Grade 8			
English	22	22	21
Mathematics	22	21	20
Science	21	21	21
Social Studies	22	22	22
Grade 10			
English	20	21	20
Mathematics	19	18	19
Science	21	20	21
Social Studies	20	22	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	297	4%	370	5%	372	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	296	4%	251	3%	263	3%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	142	2%	156	2%	154	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	1	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	59	1%	74	1%	81	1%
Hispanic or Latino	605	8%	708	9%	761	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	366	5%	424	5%	425	5%
White	6964	87%	6750	85%	6564	84%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	4	0%	3	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	240	3%	292	4%	151	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	718	695	744
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	11%	10%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	48%	58%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	2761	2225	2363
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2710	2829	2857
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	14%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	8%	9%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	97	101	102
Total Paraprofessionals*	230	236	242
Assistant Principals	6	6	6
Principals	10	11	10

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—					
Black or African American				—	—	
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3658:3611)			100%		185	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (44:42)			100%		174	118	
Hispanic or Latino (343:335)			100%		176	127	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (187:182)			100%		194	125	
White (3083:3051)			99%		186	131	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (636:621)			98%		146	129	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (39:74)		—	—		173	122	
Economically Disadvantaged (377:358)			100%		171	127	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3665:3613)			100%		194	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (44:40)			100%		193	87	
Hispanic or Latino (344:332)			100%		193	96	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (189:185)			100%		198	94	
White (3088:3056)			100%		194	100	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (637:624)			99%		174	98	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (40:77)			100%		196	91	
Economically Disadvantaged (377:353)			100%		190	96	
Final AYP Determination		8 of 8					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1249:1222)		Qualified		99%		198	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (16:14)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (117:112)		Qualified		100%		196	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (75:71)		Qualified		99%		199	100	
White (1041:1025)		Qualified		99%		198	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (230:221)		Qualified		97%		191	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (10:6)	–		–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (131:122)		Qualified		99%		196	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status



















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (683:662)			99%		194	161	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (55:54)			95%		176	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38:37)		—	—		197	149	
White (587:569)			99%		195	160	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (154:101)			92%		167	155	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (46:47)			100%		187	151	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status



















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (683:662)			100%		195	155	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (55:54)			98%		191	146	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38:37)		—	—		200	143	
White (587:569)			100%		195	154	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (99:101)			99%		179	149	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (46:47)			100%		191	145	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
All Students (633)			93%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (8)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (32)			94%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27)		–	–	–		
White (566)			93%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (67)			75%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (3)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (37)			84%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-05-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 50% of total

ABBAY LANE SCHOOL
DIVISION AVENUE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
NORTHSIDE SCHOOL
SUMMIT LANE SCHOOL
WISDOM LANE MIDDLE SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 50% of total

EAST BROADWAY SCHOOL
GARDINERS AVENUE SCHOOL
GEN DOUGLAS MACARTHUR SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
JONAS E SALK MIDDLE SCHOOL
LEE ROAD SCHOOL

District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	88%			557
Grade 4	88%			552
Grade 5	90%			583
Grade 6	88%			610
Grade 7	83%			626
Grade 8	78%			674
Mathematics				
Grade 3	98%			557
Grade 4	96%			558
Grade 5	94%			588
Grade 6	93%			613
Grade 7	96%			628
Grade 8	90%			674
Science				
Grade 4	100%			557
Grade 8	95%			549

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	92%			686
Mathematics	94%			686

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	557	99%	88%	19%	559	98%	80%	14%
Female	284	100%	92%	18%	264	98%	85%	17%
Male	273	99%	84%	19%	295	98%	76%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	56	98%	68%	14%	51	98%	73%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	97%	10%	33	97%	85%	12%
White	466	99%	90%	20%	469	98%	80%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%				
General-Education Students	470	100%	94%	20%	466	100%	86%	16%
Students with Disabilities	87	95%	57%	10%	93	90%	47%	3%
English Proficient	541	99%	89%	19%	550	98%	80%	14%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	56%	0%	9	100%	78%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	100%	71%	9%	57	100%	63%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	502	99%	90%	20%	502	98%	82%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	557	99%	88%	19%	559	98%	80%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	5	5	4	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	557	100%	98%	44%	563	100%	97%	42%
Female	284	100%	99%	40%	264	99%	96%	41%
Male	273	99%	97%	48%	299	100%	97%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	67%
Hispanic or Latino	55	100%	98%	31%	53	100%	98%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	-	-	-	33	100%	100%	64%
White	466	100%	98%	45%	471	100%	96%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	36	100%	97%	53%				
General-Education Students	470	100%	100%	49%	469	100%	100%	49%
Students with Disabilities	87	98%	89%	20%	94	98%	83%	12%
English Proficient	541	100%	98%	45%	553	100%	97%	43%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	94%	25%	10	100%	100%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	100%	94%	24%	57	100%	95%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	503	100%	99%	46%	506	100%	97%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	557	100%	98%	44%	563	100%	97%	42%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	7	7	7	4	5	5	5	4

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	552	98%	88%	21%	578	99%	86%	15%
Female	253	98%	92%	24%	291	99%	89%	18%
Male	299	97%	85%	18%	287	98%	83%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	0%	8	100%	100%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	98%	83%	11%	49	100%	82%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	100%	97%	24%	20	95%	80%	25%
White	459	98%	88%	22%	501	99%	87%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	452	100%	95%	25%	495	100%	92%	18%
Students with Disabilities	100	88%	59%	0%	83	90%	52%	1%
English Proficient	548	-	-	-	571	99%	87%	15%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	7	86%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	100%	77%	14%	45	98%	80%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	496	98%	90%	21%	533	99%	87%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	552	98%	88%	21%	578	99%	86%	15%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	558	99%	96%	49%	582	98%	95%	42%
Female	258	100%	96%	47%	294	99%	95%	39%
Male	300	99%	96%	52%	288	98%	94%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	29%	8	100%	88%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	54	100%	96%	33%	48	100%	90%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	100%	100%	76%	22	95%	95%	73%
White	464	99%	95%	50%	504	98%	95%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	456	100%	100%	58%	497	100%	98%	48%
Students with Disabilities	102	97%	79%	11%	85	89%	73%	11%
English Proficient	554	-	-	-	574	99%	95%	42%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	8	88%	75%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	100%	89%	33%	45	96%	93%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	501	99%	97%	51%	537	99%	95%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	558	99%	96%	49%	582	98%	95%	42%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	3	1	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	557	100%	100%	84%	581	100%	99%	88%
Female	258	100%	100%	83%	293	100%	99%	90%
Male	299	100%	100%	85%	288	100%	99%	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	86%	8	100%	100%	88%
Hispanic or Latino	55	100%	100%	71%	48	100%	96%	88%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	100%	100%	97%	23	100%	96%	87%
White	462	100%	100%	84%	502	100%	99%	89%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	456	100%	100%	89%	496	100%	100%	93%
Students with Disabilities	101	100%	99%	62%	85	99%	94%	62%
English Proficient	553	-	-	-	572	100%	99%	89%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	100%	100%	77%	44	100%	98%	80%
Not Disadvantaged	501	100%	100%	85%	537	100%	99%	89%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	557	100%	100%	84%	581	100%	99%	88%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	583	99%	90%	9%	608	99%	85%	10%
Female	295	100%	93%	12%	318	99%	86%	10%
Male	288	99%	88%	6%	290	99%	84%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	100%	100%	25%	5	100%	60%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	48	100%	90%	6%	55	100%	85%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	88%	16%	29	100%	93%	21%
White	502	99%	90%	9%	519	99%	85%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	492	100%	95%	11%	520	100%	92%	11%
Students with Disabilities	91	95%	68%	0%	88	93%	44%	2%
English Proficient	577	99%	91%	9%	604	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	53	96%	77%	6%	62	98%	81%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	530	99%	92%	9%	546	99%	86%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	583	99%	90%	9%	608	99%	85%	10%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	6	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	588	99%	94%	41%	611	99%	93%	36%
Female	297	99%	96%	38%	321	98%	93%	32%
Male	291	99%	92%	44%	290	99%	93%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	8	100%	88%	13%	5	100%	100%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	49	100%	92%	33%	55	100%	89%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	93%	56%	30	100%	100%	47%
White	504	99%	95%	41%	521	99%	93%	36%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	497	100%	99%	47%	521	100%	97%	39%
Students with Disabilities	91	93%	71%	8%	90	92%	70%	13%
English Proficient	580	99%	94%	41%	606	99%	93%	36%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	38%	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	98%	89%	28%	61	98%	90%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	535	99%	95%	42%	550	99%	93%	36%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	588	99%	94%	41%	611	99%	93%	36%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	5	3

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	610	100%	88%	16%	625	100%	84%	21%
Female	315	100%	91%	19%	318	100%	88%	24%
Male	295	100%	85%	13%	307	99%	80%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	57	100%	82%	11%	63	100%	79%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	97%	13%	25	100%	88%	36%
White	516	100%	88%	17%	531	99%	85%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	517	100%	96%	19%	531	100%	93%	25%
Students with Disabilities	93	99%	46%	1%	94	97%	37%	1%
English Proficient	605	100%	88%	16%	619	100%	85%	21%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%	6	100%	33%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	100%	80%	10%	81	100%	77%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	549	100%	89%	17%	544	99%	85%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	610	100%	88%	16%	625	100%	84%	21%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	1	1	8	8	8	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	613	99%	93%	35%	631	98%	86%	31%
Female	318	99%	94%	35%	321	99%	86%	29%
Male	295	99%	93%	36%	310	98%	86%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	33%	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	57	100%	98%	26%	66	97%	82%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	100%	58%	26	100%	100%	42%
White	519	99%	92%	35%	533	98%	86%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	83%	17%
General-Education Students	518	100%	96%	40%	536	100%	93%	36%
Students with Disabilities	95	96%	78%	8%	95	89%	48%	3%
English Proficient	608	99%	93%	36%	623	98%	86%	31%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	88%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	100%	89%	23%	83	100%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	552	99%	94%	37%	548	98%	87%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	613	99%	93%	35%	631	98%	86%	31%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	5	5	2	8	8	7	7

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	626	100%	83%	2%	666	98%	74%	8%
Female	319	100%	87%	3%	344	99%	78%	10%
Male	307	100%	79%	1%	322	98%	71%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	100%	70%	0%	7	100%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	100%	73%	0%	60	97%	63%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	4%	39	100%	79%	13%
White	526	100%	84%	2%	560	99%	76%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	523	100%	90%	2%	559	100%	83%	9%
Students with Disabilities	103	99%	48%	0%	107	93%	29%	1%
English Proficient	624	-	-	-	665	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	76	100%	72%	1%	64	95%	63%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	550	100%	85%	2%	602	99%	76%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	626	100%	83%	2%	666	98%	74%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	7	7	7	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	628	100%	96%	48%	669	99%	90%	28%
Female	320	100%	98%	47%	345	99%	91%	30%
Male	308	100%	94%	48%	324	100%	89%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	100%	90%	10%	7	100%	71%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	100%	92%	36%	61	100%	82%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	75%	40	98%	98%	33%
White	528	100%	96%	49%	561	99%	90%	28%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	525	100%	99%	56%	563	100%	94%	32%
Students with Disabilities	103	99%	81%	8%	106	97%	66%	6%
English Proficient	626	-	-	-	666	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	77	100%	92%	42%	64	100%	89%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	551	100%	96%	49%	605	99%	90%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	628	100%	96%	48%	669	99%	90%	28%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	6	6	4	7	7	6	6

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	674	99%	78%	13%	660	99%	82%	8%
Female	348	100%	81%	17%	306	100%	89%	11%
Male	326	98%	74%	8%	354	99%	76%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	44%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	60	98%	65%	5%	52	98%	71%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	42	98%	86%	12%	19	-	-	-
White	563	99%	79%	14%	585	99%	83%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					23	100%	78%	13%
General-Education Students	559	100%	89%	15%	550	100%	91%	10%
Students with Disabilities	115	96%	23%	0%	110	97%	35%	0%
English Proficient	671	-	-	-	658	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	74	95%	59%	7%	62	98%	63%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	600	100%	80%	13%	598	99%	84%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	674	99%	78%	13%	660	99%	82%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	6	3	5	5	4	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	674	99%	90%	26%	661	98%	81%	19%
Female	349	99%	90%	29%	305	99%	83%	21%
Male	325	99%	90%	24%	356	97%	80%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	67%	11%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	60	98%	83%	15%	49	100%	71%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	42	100%	98%	45%	20	-	-	-
White	563	99%	91%	26%	588	98%	81%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					24	100%	96%	17%
General-Education Students	559	100%	95%	31%	552	99%	88%	22%
Students with Disabilities	115	95%	66%	2%	109	93%	49%	4%
English Proficient	669	99%	90%	26%	658	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	73	99%	86%	16%	58	98%	67%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	601	99%	91%	28%	603	98%	82%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	674	99%	90%	26%	661	98%	81%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	6	4	2	5	4	3	0

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of Tested Students:

670 656 645 627 370 362

Results by Student Group

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	549	100%	95%	48%	554	100%	95%	47%
Female	279	100%	94%	41%	248	100%	95%	42%
Male	270	100%	97%	56%	306	100%	94%	52%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	100%	88%	25%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	58	100%	95%	47%	44	100%	91%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	96%	46%	16	-	-	-
White	455	100%	96%	49%	490	100%	95%	49%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					20	100%	95%	30%
General-Education Students	438	100%	98%	53%	447	100%	97%	54%
Students with Disabilities	111	100%	85%	30%	107	99%	83%	21%
English Proficient	544	100%	95%	49%	551	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	20%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	67	100%	96%	43%	56	100%	89%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	482	100%	95%	49%	498	100%	95%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	549	100%	95%	48%	554	100%	95%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	6	4	4	5	5	4	1
Regents Science	121	121	121	105	103	103	103	99

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	686	94%	92%	53%	631	92%	90%	56%
Female	339	96%	96%	56%	291	94%	93%	66%
Male	347	91%	89%	50%	340	90%	87%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	8	63%	63%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	58	83%	81%	22%	32	91%	84%	31%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	–	–	–	27	100%	100%	67%
White	587	95%	94%	56%	564	92%	90%	57%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	41	95%	93%	59%				
General-Education Students	575	98%	97%	62%	564	95%	93%	62%
Students with Disabilities	111	73%	68%	8%	67	63%	58%	4%
English Proficient	679	94%	92%	54%	628	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	86%	0%	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	49	90%	86%	29%	36	83%	75%	42%
Not Disadvantaged	637	94%	93%	55%	595	92%	90%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	686	94%	92%	53%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	686	95%	94%	54%	631	95%	94%	52%
Female	339	97%	96%	55%	291	96%	95%	54%
Male	347	94%	93%	54%	340	94%	93%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	8	88%	88%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	58	93%	91%	41%	32	94%	94%	41%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	–	–	–	27	100%	100%	59%
White	587	95%	94%	55%	564	95%	94%	52%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	41	95%	95%	71%				
General-Education Students	575	98%	98%	61%	564	98%	97%	55%
Students with Disabilities	111	79%	75%	20%	67	73%	70%	18%
English Proficient	679	95%	94%	55%	628	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	29%	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	49	92%	90%	43%	36	92%	92%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	637	95%	95%	55%	595	95%	94%	52%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	686	95%	94%	54%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.