

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District ROOSEVELT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-08-03-0000 Superintendent ROBERT-WAYNE HARRIS Telephone (516) 345-7001 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005–06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	144	0	0
Kindergarten	217	186	198
Grade 1	244	261	217
Grade 2	226	233	217
Grade 3	199	231	222
Grade 4	177	199	201
Grade 5	183	167	182
Grade 6	235	174	181
Ungraded Elementary	4	7	5
Grade 7	198	230	173
Grade 8	208	197	206
Grade 9	235	252	197
Grade 10	202	219	228
Grade 11	199	173	187
Grade 12	146	153	170
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	17
Total K–12	2673	2682	2601

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	17	19	19
Grade 8			
English	22	23	21
Mathematics	27	20	24
Science	24	20	22
Social Studies	23	22	22
Grade 10			
English	18	18	19
Mathematics	17	18	11
Science	14	10	25
Social Studies	21	21	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006–07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1705	64%	1110	41%	851	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	334	12%	235	9%	195	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	390	15%	461	17%	513	20%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	7	0%	6	0%
Black or African American	1991	74%	1829	68%	1724	66%
Hispanic or Latino	675	25%	835	31%	854	33%
Asian or Native	1	0%	1	0%	4	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3	0%	2	0%	0	0%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	8	0%	13	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		97%		93%
Student Suspensions	423	15%	280	10%	229	9%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	253	252	262
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	3%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	10%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	32%	34%	37%
Total Number of Core Classes	880	569	566
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	2%
Total Number of Classes	674	752	761
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	4%	4%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	34%	35%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	22%	20%	21%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	13	46	19
Total Paraprofessionals*	37	60	44
Assistant Principals	6	6	3
Principals	8	7	6

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement

(Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified

make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifie is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)
 A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above)
 that does not make AYP on the accountability measure
 for which it was identified is considered a District in Need
 of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year,
 if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)
 A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that
 does not make AYP on the accountability measure
 for which it was identified is considered a District in Need
 of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year,
 if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Title I funds.

2 District Accountability

District ROOSEVELT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)	∧ Improvement (Year 2)						
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 2)	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	Improvement (Year 1)	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding				
	2006-	07 200	7-08	2008–09			
	YES	YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	evel		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 	 Image: A second s	 Image: A start of the start of	x	X	~
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	~	~		X	X	••••
Hispanic or Latino	~	V	••••	X	X	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-				
White		•••••••••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••	–	–	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	X	X	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	X 0 of 4	X 0 of 4	✔ 1 of 1



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. [207]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(1192:1099)	 	v	97%	v	166	130		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (781:730)	~	✓	98%	~	164	129		
Hispanic or Latino (765:364)	<	✓	95%	 	170	127		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••					
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (183:170)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	~	127	125		
Limited English Proficient ⁵			•••• ••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••••			
(317:194)	X	X	91%		163	125		
Economically Disadvantaged (581:537)	~	~	97%	~	161	128		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [216]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(1184:1080)	~	 ✓ 	98%	v	169	99		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (783:710)	~	<	99%	~	164	98	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (396:365)	✓	<	97%	 ✓ 	177	96	••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)	•••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••				••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (186:167)	~	~	98%	~	129	94		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (189:206)	~	 	97%	~	169	94		
Economically Disadvantaged (579:529)	<	 	99%	~	164	97		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 6 of 6	5						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

A			Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (425:366)	V	Qualified	~	94%	V	172	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:2)		_	-	-	-	_	-		_
Black or African American (290:251)		Qualified	~	95%	~	171	100		
Hispanic or Latino (131:112)	•••••••	Qualified	~	92%	~	176	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
White (0:0)			• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••		••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••••	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••• •••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (62:50)		Qualified	~	89%	~	152	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (63:66)		Qualified	~	92%	~	164	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (205:178)		Qualified	~	95%	~	171	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students	jet	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled te participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test e of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic		or accountab of the enrollme od are not ree in 2007–08, tl reighted avera ed to meet the o8, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan bo6–07 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation uce criterion

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	0 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. [207]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3		
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09		
All Students (316:177)	X	X	93%	X	121	157	148‡	129		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American	X	X	93%	X						
(274:147)					127	156	154‡	134		
Hispanic or Latino (21:30)		-		X	90	148	115	101		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (0:0)							••••	••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (13:25)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-		
Limited English Proficient ⁴	••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
(8:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Economically Disadvantaged (47:48)	X	~	96%	X	119	151	69‡	127		
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 4	ļ								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	0 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [216]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participati		ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target		
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	-	2008-09		
All Students (316:177)	X	X	93%	X	115	151	138‡	124		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American	X	X	92%	X	121					
(274:147)						150		129		
Hispanic or Latino (21:30)	X	-		X	87	142	133	98		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (0.0)										
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (13:25)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-		
Limited English Proficient ⁴	••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
(8:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_		
Economically Disadvantaged (47:48)	X	~	96%	X	127	145	82‡	134		
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 4	1								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group,
- groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
 ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
 - ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	i	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (215)	~					
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (177)						
Hispanic or Latino (36)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•		
Pacific Islander (1) White (1)						
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		•••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (26)						
Limited English Proficient ³ (13)						
Economically Disadvantaged (80)				•••••		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

NOTES

- ¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- ² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- ³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Fe	deral Title I Status	New York State Status
~	Good Standing	
	2 schools identified 40% of total	
	ULYSSES BYAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
	WASHINGTON ROSE SCHOOL	
•	Improvement (Year 1)	
	2 schools identified 40% of total	
	CENTENNIAL AVENUE SCHOOL	
	ROOSEVELT MIDDLE SCHOOL	
•	Restructuring (Year 4)	
	1 school identified 20% of total	
	ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL	

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

-	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3					
0%	50%	100%				
77%		213				
81%		212				
85%		175				
65%		173				
60%		161				
42%		194				
90%		215				
87%		211				
89%		174				
67%		178				
52%		157				
54%		201				
89%		208				
57%		143				
Percentage of	of students that	2004 Total				
scored at or	above Level 3	Cohort				
0%	50%	100%				
	0% 77% 81% 85% 65% 60% 42% 90% 87% 89% 67% 52% 54% 89% 52% 54% 52% 54%	0% 50% 77%				

.

48%

39%

District ID 28-02-08-03-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

208

208

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric				NY State P				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-	780 7	20-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 675	100%									
		96% 97%	770/			94% 91%				
			77% 7	'4%			70% 67	%		
2007-08										
2006-07				1	20/ 440/			1.20		
				1	3% 11%			120	% 10%	
Number of Tested Students:		205 190	163 1	145 2	27 21					
De sulte her		2007–08 S o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentaq	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students		213	96%	77%	13%	195	97%	74%	11%	
Female		98	98%	81%	14%	88	99%	82%	14%	
Male		115	95%	73%	11%	107	96%	68%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		138	96%	78%	16%	129	98%	76%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino		75	97%	75%	7%	66	97%	71%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		100	0.00/	700/	1.20/	170	0.00/	770/	110/	
General-Education Students		188	98%	79%	13%	172	98%	77%	11%	
Students with Disabilities		25	80%	56%	8%	23	91%	52%	9%	
English Proficient		171	96%	75%	15%	161	98%	79%	13%	
Limited English Proficient		42	98%	83%	5%	34	94%	53%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		98	95%	72%	13%	173	98%	73%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged		115	97%	80%	12%	22	95%	82%	9%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		213	96%	77%	13%	195	97%	74%	11%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 685	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770					
2007 Mean Score: 681	100%	99% 99%	90% e	35%		98% 96%	90% 85	%		
2007-082006-07				2	<u>1%</u> 23%			269	% 29%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	213 195	193 1	167	45 46					
Results by		2007–08 S e	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		215	99%	90%	21%	197	99 %	85%	23%	
Female		97	100%	91%	22%	85	99%	85%	20%	
Male		118	98%	89%	20%	112	99%	85%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American		138	99%	89%	22%	126	99%	83%	25%	
Hispanic or Latino		77	100%	91%	19%	71	99%	87%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••			
Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		192	100%	94%	23%	176	99%	85%	26%	
Students with Disabilities		23	91%	57%	4%	21	100%	81%	5%	
English Proficient		170	99%	90%	24%	161	99%	84%	25%	
Limited English Proficient		45	100%	89%	11%	36	97%	86%	14%	
Economically Disadvantaged		100	98%	86%	21%	180	99%	86%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged		115	100%	93%	21%	17	100%	76%	24%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	215	99%	90%	21%	197	99%	85%	23%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	2	2	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	scoring at level(s): 3–4 4 71% 68% 71% 68% 8% 8% 8% 8% School Year Percentage scoring at level(s 2–4 3–4 94% 89% 179 98% 93% 209 91% 86% 149 94% 88% 179 94% 92% 179 94% 92% 179				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 678	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775						
2007 Mean Score: 683	100%	96% 94%	81% 8	9%		93% 92%	71% 68	%			
2007-082006-07				1	4% 17%			8%	6 8%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	203 165	171 1	156 3	30 30						
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year					
		Total Tested	-	e scoring at		Total Tested	-	-			
Student Grou	þ		2-4	3-4	4				4		
All Students		212	96%	81%	14%	175			17%		
Female			95%	86%	18%	84		•••••	20%		
Male		114	96%	76%	11%	91	91%	86%	14%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative										
Black or African American		141	95%	84%	13%	127	94%		17%		
Hispanic or Latino		71	97%	75%	15%	48	94%	92%	17%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner										
Pacific Islander											
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		100	070/	050/	4.50(450	0.001	0.50(1000		
General-Education Students			97%	85%	15%	152	98%	95%	19%		
Students with Disabilities		23	83%	48%	9%	23	70%	48%	4%		
English Proficient		172	95%	84%	15%	164	96%	91%	18%		
Limited English Proficient		40	98%	68%	13%	11	73%	64%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged		100	95%	71%	12%	154	95%	90%	16%		
Not Disadvantaged		112	96%	89%	16%	21	86%	81%	24%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		212	96%	81%	14%	175	94%	89%	17%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	2	2	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 686	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800					
2007 Mean Score: 693	100%	97% 98%	87% 8	9%		95% 94%	84% 80	%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07				3	3% 33%	н.	н	299	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	204 178	184 1	L61	70 59					
Results by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	06–07 School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	tlevel(s):	
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	_	211	97%	87 %	33%	181	98%	89 %	33%	
Female		97	98%	87%	35%	85	99%	91%	28%	
Male		114	96%	88%	32%	96	98%	88%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American		143	96%	85%	33%	125	98%	88%	32%	
Hispanic or Latino		68	99%	91%	34%	56	98%	91%	34%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander White			•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••	
Multiracial	•••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••		•••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students		187	97%	89%	37%	159	99%	94%	34%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	24	92%	71%	4%	22	91%	55%	23%	
English Proficient		171	98%	88%	36%	162	99%	90%	34%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	40	93%		23%	19	95%	79%	21%	
Economically Disadvantaged		101	97%	84%	35%	164	99%	89%	32%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	110	96%	90%	32%	17	88%	88%	35%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	211	97%			181	98%			

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	3		

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 84	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 0	5-100					
2007 Mean Score: 86	100%	99% 100%	89% 93		69%	97% 97%	85% 85			
2007-08 2006-07								509	% 49%	
Number of Tested Students:		206 179	185 1	67 1	22 124					
Results by		2007–08 S o	chool Year	•		2006-07	School Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		208	99%	89 %	59%	179	100%	93%	69 %	
Female		98	100%	90%	61%	84	100%	96%	73%	
Male		110	98%	88%	56%	95	100%	91%	66%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		142	99%	88%	60%	122	100%	94%	67%	
Hispanic or Latino		66	100%	91%	56%	57	100%	91%	74%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther									
Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		185	99%	90%	61%	159	100%	95%	72%	
Students with Disabilities		23	100%	83%	43%	20	100%	80%	50%	
English Proficient		170	99%	90%	62%	159	100%	96%	72%	
Limited English Proficient		38	97%	84%	45%	20	100%	75%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged		98	99%	82%	52%	166	100%	93%	69%	
Not Disadvantaged		110	99%	95%	65%	13	100%	92%	69%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		208	99%		59%	179	100%	93%	69%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	2	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	3-4 4 78% 68% 68% 6% 6% 7% cool Year 6% Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 98% 82% 7 95% 79% 9 100% 85% 5 - - - 100% 82% 6 93% 82% 6 93% 82% 6 98% 83% 6 98% 83% 6 96% 74% 13 99% 87% 8			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 675	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795						
2007 Mean Score: 670	100%	98% 98%	85% 8	2%		98% 95%	78% 68	1%			
2007-08											
2006-07				1:	1% 7%			6%	7%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	172 158	149 1	.33 1	9 11						
Poculto by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	ſ		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		175	98%	85%	11%	162	98%	82%	7%		
Female		83	100%	89%	14%	75	95%	79%	9%		
Male		92	97%	82%	8%	87	100%	85%	5%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e					1					
Black or African American		113	98%	88%	8%	102	100%	82%	6%		
Hispanic or Latino		62	98%	81%	16%	59	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander											
White									•••••		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		450	0.00/	000/	1.00/	60			8%		
General-Education Students		153	99%	89%	12%	139		83%	6%		
Students with Disabilities		22	91%	59%	0%	23		-	13%		
English Proficient		152	99%	88%	13%	146	99%	87%			
Limited English Proficient		23	96%	70%	0%	16	81%	38%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged		87	97%	82%	7%	145	98%	82%	7%		
Not Disadvantaged		88	100%	89%	15%	17	94%	82%	6%		
Migrant									•••••••		
Not Migrant		175	98%	85%	11%	162	98%	82%	7%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	5	4	1	6	6	6	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		D	This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	3-4 4 % 83% % 27% 27% 229 •07 School Year 27% Percentage scoring at level(2-4 3-4 7 96% 81% 210 7 96% 82% 13				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 683	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99-780						
2007 Mean Score: 675	100%	97% 96%	^{89%} 8	1%		96% 94%	^{83%} 76	%			
2007-08 2006-07				3	^{0%} 21%			279	[%] 22%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	169 161	154 1	36	52 35						
Results by		2007–08 S o	chool Yea	r			chool Yea	r			
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		174	97%	89%	30%	167	96%	81%	21%		
Female		82	99%	94%	37%	77	96%	82%	13%		
Male		92	96%	84%	24%	90	97%	81%	28%		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive					1					
Black or African American		114	96%	87%	32%	102	97%	81%	17%		
Hispanic or Latino		60	98%	92%	27%	64	-		_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander											
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals						65	95%	82%	28%		
General-Education Students		150	99%	93%	34%	143	97%	81%	20%		
Students with Disabilities		24	88%	58%	4%	24	92%	83%	25%		
English Proficient		150	97%	87%	31%	146	97%	85%	23%		
imited English Proficient		24	100%	100%	25%	21	90%	57%	10%		
Economically Disadvantaged		85	96%	85%	27%	152	97%	82%	20%		
Not Disadvantaged		89	98%	92%	33%	15	87%	80%	33%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		174	97%	89%	30%	167	96%	81%	21%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	5	1	6	6	6	5	

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 663	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
2007 Mean Score: 666	100%	98% 99%	65%	6%		98% 98%	67% 63	%		
2007–08 2006–07				5	% 6%			5%	9%	
Number of Tested Students:		170 167	112 1	27	9 10					
Results by		2007-08 School Year					School Yea	r		
-			recentage sconing at level(s).			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		lested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		173	98 %	65%	5%	168	99 %	76 %	6%	
Female		79	97%	70%	9%	81	100%	80%	9%	
Male		94	99%	61%	2%	87	99%	71%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska N	Native	1				1			_	
Black or African American		104	99%	62%	6%	123	99%	75%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino		68	–		_	44	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther			•••••••••••	••••••					
White					••••••		••••		•••••	
				••••••	••••••					
Small Group Totals		69	97%	70%	4%	45	100%	78%	13%	
General-Education Students		143	99%	69%	6%	143	100%	81%	7%	
Students with Disabilities		30	93%	43%	3%	25	96%	44%	0%	
English Proficient		150	99%	67%		151	99%		6%	
Limited English Proficient		23	96%	48%	4%	17	100%	47%	6%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	75	99%			151	99%	77%		
Net Diseducesters ed		00	0.00/	600/	60/	17	1000/	650/	1 20/	

Migrant Not Migrant 173 98% 65% 5% 168 99% 76% 6%

69%

6%

17

100%

65%

12%

98%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

98

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	4	2	1	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780				
2007 Mean Score: 674	100%	90% 95%	67% 7	5%		94% 91%	79% 71	%	
2007-08 2006-07				2	5% 25%	н.	н	26	[%] 20%
Number of Tested Students:	·	160 161	119 1	.28 4	15 43				
Posults by		2007–08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		178	90%	67%	25%	170	95%	75%	25%
Female		80	86%	68%	26%	77	99%	82%	26%
Male		98	93%	66%	24%	93	91%	70%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American		106	86%	62%	20%	120	93%	73%	21%
Hispanic or Latino		71	-	-	-	49	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander White	r								
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		72	96%	74%	33%	50	100%	80%	36%
General-Education Students		148	91%	73%	27%	145	99%	81%	28%
Students with Disabilities		30	83%	37%	17%	25	72%	40%	8%
English Proficient		150	89%	69%	28%	147	94%	77%	27%
Limited English Proficient		28	93%	57%	11%	23	100%	65%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged		76	87%	57%	16%	157	95%	76%	27%
Not Disadvantaged		102	92%	75%	32%	13	92%	62%	8%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		178	90%	67%	25%	170	95%	75%	25%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	4	4	2	1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

			This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 600-790 650-790 712-790 88% 95% 60% 39% 60% 0% 0% 158 198 97 82 0 1 eco7-08 School Year Fotal Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage so	oring at leve	.(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 653	Range:	600-790	650-	790 73	L2-790						
2007 Mean Score: 644	100%	98% 95%	60%			98% 94%	70%	%			
2007-08 2006-07			3		% 0%			3%	6%		
Number of Tested Students:		158 198	97	82 () 1						
Poculte by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		161	98%	60%	0%	208	95%	39 %	0%		
Female		78	99%	69%	0%	104	96%	48%	0%		
Male		83	98%	52%	0%	104	94%	31%	1%		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive					3	-	-	-		
Black or African American		112	97%	55%	0%	150	96%	37%	1%		
Hispanic or Latino		49	100%	71%	0%	54	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er					1	-	-	-		
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals						58	93%	47%	0%		
General-Education Students		133	99%	67%	0%	178	97%	44%	1%		
Students with Disabilities		28	93%	29%	0%	30	87%	13%	0%		
English Proficient		139	98%	63%	0%	200	96%	41%	1%		
Limited English Proficient		22	100%	41%	0%	8	88%	13%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged		98	99%	54%	0%	189	96%	38%	1%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	97%	70%	0%	19	89%	58%	0%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		161	98%	60%	0%	208	95%	39%	0%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	_	5	5	4	2	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 649	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	93-800				
2007 Mean Score: 630	100%	96% 82%	52%			96% 93%	79%	%	
2007-08 2006-07				22%	% 1%	н.		28%	⁶ 18%
Number of Tested Students:	·	150 174	82	47	3 2				
Posults by		2007–08 S o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	157	96%	52%	2 %	213	82 %	22%	1%
Female		77	97%	55%	3%	105	83%	22%	1%
Male		80	94%	50%	1%	108	81%	22%	1%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative					3			
Black or African American		111	95%	50%	1%	156	78%	17%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		46	98%	59%	4%	53	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther					1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander White			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••		••••••••		
Multiracial							•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals		••••••			•••••	57	91%	37%	4%
General-Education Students		129	99%	57%	2%	184	84%	24%	1%
Students with Disabilities		28	79%	29%	0%	29	69%	10%	0%
English Proficient		133	95%	55%	2%	202	82%	22%	1%
Limited English Proficient		24	96%	38%	0%	11	82%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		99	96%	43%	2%	196	84%	22%	1%
Not Disadvantaged		58	95%	67%	2%	17	53%	24%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	157	96%	52%	2%	213	82%	22%	1%
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	4	2		

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 645	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2007 Mean Score: 643	100%	96% 91%	42% 39%	2% 3%	95% 94%	56% 57%	6% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		186 163	81 69	3 6					
Desculto has		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 \$	chool Year			
Results by		Total			Total	_			

Doculto by	,								
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	.evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	194	96%	42%	2%	179	91%	39%	3%	
Female	101	96%	46%	1%	97	97%	43%	5%	
Male	93	96%	38%	2%	82	84%	33%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-					
Black or African American	140	96%	42%	1%	132	92%	39%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	50	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1		_	_	1		_	_	
Pacific Islander	_		-	-	_		-	_	
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	54	94%	41%	4%	47	89%	36%	4%	
General-Education Students	164	98%	48%	2%	155	95%	44%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	30	87%	7%	0%	24	63%	4%	0%	
English Proficient	183	97%	44%	2%	161	93%	43%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	9%	0%	18	72%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	100	98%	38%	1%	149	92%	38%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	94	94%	46%	2%	30	87%	40%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	194	96%	42%	2%	179	91%	39%	3%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	2-4	3-4	4	5	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-775	650-	775	701-775				
2007 Mean Score: 640 2007-08 2006-07	100%	85% 81%	54% 3	7%	4% 3%	93% 88%	70% 59		[%] 12%
Number of Tested Students:		171 145	109	67	96				
		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		201	85%	54%	4%	180	81%	37%	3%
Female		102	88%	55%	4%	94	80%	37%	3%
Male		99	82%	54%	5%	86	81%	37%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	3	_	_	_				
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	130	80%	51%	3%	135	70%	30%	30%

American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	139	89%	51%	3%	135	79%	39%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	58	-	-	-	44	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	±				±			
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	62	76%	61%	8%	45	87%	33%	4%
General-Education Students	172	89%	59%	5%	157	85%	41%	4%
Students with Disabilities	29	62%	24%	0%	23	52%	13%	0%
English Proficient	180	89%	58%	5%	161	81%	40%	4%
Limited English Proficient	21	48%	24%	0%	19	79%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	90%	54%	3%	156	81%	38%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	97	79%	55%	6%	24	79%	33%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	201	85%	54%	4%	180	81%	37%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	4	3	3	5	5	4	1	

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	at level(s): 3-4 4 3% 68% 309 50 Year Treentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 5% 62% 97% 73% 93% 51% 94% 56% 00% 78% 98% 67% 81% 33% 95% 57% 00% 95% 97% 62%		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	91% 95%				95% 91%				
		6.20/ 6	4.07			73% 68	%		
		62% 6	1%						
2007-08							30	% 28%	
2006-07			59	<mark>%</mark> 12%					
Number of Tested Students:	166 160	113 1	.03 9	20		_			
Number of rested students.	100 100	115 1	.03 5	20					
Results by	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			7 School Year			
-	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	143	89 %	57%	5 %	148	95%	62 %	13%	
Female	70	91%	53%	3%	77	97%	73%	19%	
Male	73	86%	62%	7%	71	93%	51%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2								
Black or African American	97	92%	58%	3%	108	94%	56%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino	43	_	_	_	39	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					±				
White									
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Small Group Totals	46	83%	57%	9%	40	100%		15%	
General-Education Students	118	92%	61%	6%	127	98%	67%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	25	76%	40%	0%	21	81%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	123	92%	62%	6%	129	95%	57%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	20	70%	30%	0%	19	100%	95%	5%	
Economically Disadvantaged	79	92%	61%	8%	128	97%	62%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	64	84%	53%	2%	20	85%	65%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	143	89%	57%	5%	148	95%	62%	13%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	2
Regents Science	40	39	31	2	20	19	11	1

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	60% 64%	48%	13% 16%	80% 79%	75% 73%	30% 30%		

Results by	2004 Cohor	2003 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	208	60%	48%	13%	228	64%	57%	16%
Female	105	67%	55%	17%	100	69%	62%	24%
Male	103	52%	40%	10%	128	61%	52%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	170	65%	51%	14%	186	67%	61%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	34	–	_	–	40	-	-	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••	•••••	1	–	-	-
White	4			-	1			-
Multiracial		••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	38	37%	34%	11%	42	55%	38%	10%
General-Education Students	180	65%	54%	15%	196	72%	64%	18%
Students with Disabilities	28	25%	7%	4%	32	16%	13%	3%
English Proficient	195	62%	49%	14%	213	67%	60%	17%
Limited English Proficient	13	23%	23%	8%	15	27%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	63%	46%	12%	53	30%	17%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	151	58%	48%	14%	175	75%	69%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	208	60%	48%	13%	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic		
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
10 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	^{0%} 60% 63%	39%	1% 5%	83% 81%	76% 74%	29% 26%	

Results by	2004 Coho r	2003 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	208	60%	39%	1%	228	63%	49 %	5%
Female	105	66%	44%	3%	100	68%	53%	11%
Male	103	54%	35%	0%	128	59%	45%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	170	65%	42%	1%	186	64%	49%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	34	-	–	-	40	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	–	-
White	4	_		-	1	-		–
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals	38	39%	26%	3%	42	60%	45%	5%
General-Education Students	180	66%	43%	2%	196	70%	55%	6%
Students with Disabilities	28	21%	14%	0%	32	19%	13%	0%
English Proficient	195	62%	40%	2%	213	63%	48%	5%
Limited English Proficient	13	38%	31%	0%	15	60%	53%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	67%	46%	0%	53	32%	23%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	151	58%	37%	2%	175	73%	57%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	208	60%	39%	1%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.